17 & 18. ROME IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY. Futus Faction, in 2 Vols. with 34 fine Steel Engravings, and Index

.\* The Road Book is sold separately, price is.

14 CHINA: PICTORIAL, DESCRIPTIVE, AND HISTORICAL with some account of Ava and the Burmese, Stam and Assam Illustrated by scarly 100 Engravings on Wood.

 PICTORIAL HANDBOOK OF LONDON, comprising its Antiquities, Architecture, Arts, Manufactures, Irade, Social, Literary, and Scientific Institutions, Ethibitions, and Calleries of Art, See Illustrated with 200 Empringer on Wood, and a large and complete Map. This volume, of which the former editions were published by Mr. Weale at 0:, contains

above 900 pages.

26. MARY HOWITTS PICTORIAL CALENDAR OF THE SEASONS, exhibiting the Pleasures, Parents, and Characteristics of Country Lite, for every Month in the Levy; and embedging the whole of Airxy's Calcular of Nature Cycards

of 100 Hustrations the

te in 1 110 erse

tiest , and

Map of Hindocstan ----

BOHN'S BRITISH CLASSICS.

BURKES WORKS.

12. MMITTEN NATURAL MISTORY OF SELBORNE, MIN Note, by Six Wx Jarnix art olders, cit do this haps able on; by L. Jares, De. First of Minter of the Large of the Control of the Control of Co

To: MAXWELL'S VICTORIES OF WELLINGTON AND THE BRITISH ARVIES.

CHINA - PICTORIAL DESCRIPTIVE, AND HISTORICAL with some annual
of Variand the Former, Namend Assam Limitarial dynamics for Front
of Front.
 PICTORIAL HANDSOOK OF LONDON, companies the Annual Co., Archiveture Aria Manufature, Trafe, Noval, Literary, and Seen if a lattiment,
Lithlinesh, and Chilferen of Art, 8 J. Lancated with Disparance on Fool,

Lightening, and Galleres of Art, A. Tamarated with the Engeneracy on Food, and a large and complete flag.

The returns of which the function is no wave pair should be Western by appearance of which the function is no wave pair should be Merchant by a contains above too pages.

Many Manufacture Decomposition of Manufacture and State of the Articles and Manufacture Decomposition of Manufacture and State of the Articles and Articles

24 MARY HOWITTS PICTORIAL CALENDAR OF THE SEASONS, ethorism the Preserva, Puret's, and Characteristics of Country Lee, for every Morth in the lear, and embrying the whose of MERN'S Caudite ( Notice - Lynomia of 100 Districtions.)

of 100 Examples.

h Cause, E va and Pope General .

33. ROBINSON CRUSOE, will assemble to Stotmand and Hanney, It less you have not to been said to be Keel.

BURKES WORKS.

OHN'S BRITISH CLASSICS.



#### Preliminary Volume to the Standard Library Edition of Burke's Worls

#### LIFE

### ~\* THE RIGHT HON, EDMUND BURKE,

JAMES PRIOR, ESO

FIFTH EDITION BEVISED AND ENLARGED.

Will Size Partrait.

Printed uniform'y with the present Edition, to which it forms an essential accompaniment

Pare 3s 6d

## THE WORKS

TIES STEELE TO STANDARD TO NAME T

## EDMUND BURKE.

## VOL. VI.

DISCELLANDOUS SPEECHES, LETTERS, AND FRAGMENTS.
ALEDOMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY, LTC.

With a Gernal Leber.

### LONDON:

HENRY G. BOHN, YORK STREET, COVENT GARDEN.

MDCCCLVI.

# CONTENTS.

## VOL. VI.

	LVGE
LETTER to the Chairman of the Buckinghamshire Meeting, held 13th	
April, 1780, at Aylesbury; on the Duration of Parliaments, and	
a more equal Representation of the People	1
Tracts relative to the Laws against Popery in Ireland	5
Letter to William Smith, Esq., dated January 1795, then Member	
of the Irish Parliament, now one of the Barons of the Court of	
Exchequer in Ireland, on the same subject	49
Second Letter to Sir Hercules Langrishe, dated May, 1795, on the	
same subject	56
Letter to Richard Burke, Esq., on the same subject	61
Letter on the Affairs of Ireland, written in the year 1797	80
Fragments and Notes of Speeches in Parliament:—	
On the Acts of Uniformity	91
On the Bill for the Relief of Protestant Dissenters	102
On the Petition of the Unitarians	113
On the Middlesex Election	126
On a Bill for Shortening the Duration of Parliaments	132
On the Reform of the Representation in the House of Commons	144
On the Powers of Juries in Prosecutions for Libels	154
A Letter on the same subject	165
On a Bill for repealing the Marriage Act	168
On the Dormant Claims of the Church	172
Hints for an Essay on the Drama	175
	170
An Abridgment of English History, from the Invasion of	
Julius Cresar to the End of the Reign of King John. In Three	
Books: viz.—	
BOOK I.	
CHAP. 1. Causes of the Connexion between the Romans and Bri-	
tons.—Cæsar's two Invasions of Britain	184
•	

#### CONTENTS

	Some Account of the ancient Inhabitants of Britain	192				
	The Reduction of Britain by the Romans	206				
17	The Fall of the Roman Power in Britain	224				
	BOOK II					
CHAP I	The Entry and Settlement of the Saxons, and their					
	Conversion to Christianity	233				
11						
	tions-and of their Effects	242				
111	Series of Anglo Saxon Lings, from Ethelbert to Alfred,					
	with the Invasion of the Danes	253				
17		257				
v		263				
31	Harold - Invasion of the Normans-Account of that					
	People, and of the State of England at the time of					
	the Invasion	272				
vu	Of the Laws and Institutions of the Saxons	279				
	BOOF III					
CHAP	View of the State of Europe at the time of the Norman					
	Invasion	305				
11		311				
115	Reign of William the Second, surnamed Rufus	332				
17	Reign of Henry I	340				
¥	Reign of Stephen	319				
VI	Reign of Henry II	351				
711	Reign of Richard I	376				
	Reign of John	385				
IX	Fragment-An Essay towards an History of the Laws					
	of England	412				
REPORT.	made on the 30th April, 1791, from the Committee of the					
House	of Commons appointed to inspect the Lords Journals in					
relation	to their Proceeding on the Trial of Warren Hastings,					
Lequire 423						
		529				
General Index to the Six Volumes						

## A LETTER

ON

## THE DURATION OF PARLIAMENTS.

TO THE CHAIRMAN OF

### THE BUCKINGHAMSHIRE MEETING,1

HELD ON THE 13TH APRIL, 1780, AT AYLESBURY.

SIR,

Having heard yesterday by mere accident that there is an intention of laying before the county meeting new matter which is not contained in our petition, and the consideration of which had been deferred to a fitter time by a majority of our committee in London; permit me to take this method of submitting to you my reasons for thinking, with our committee, that nothing ought to be hastily determined upon the subject.

Our petition arose naturally from distresses which we felt; and the requests which we made were in effect nothing more, than that such things should be done in parliament, as it was evidently the duty of parliament to do. But the affair, which will be proposed to you by a person of rank and ability, is an alteration in the constitution of parliament itself. It is impossible for you to have a subject before you of more importance, and that requires a more cool and more mature consideration, both on its own account, and for the credit of our sobriety of mind who are to resolve

The country will, in some way or other, be called upon to declare it your opinion, that the House of Commons is not

upon it.

¹ The meeting of the freeholders of the county of Buckingham, which occasioned the following letter, was called for the purpose of taking into consideration a petition to parliament for shortening the duration of parliaments, and for a more equal representation of the people in the House of Commons.

sufficiently numerous, and that the elections are not sufficiently frequent that a hundred new knights of the shire ought to be added, and that we are to have a new election once in three verars for certain, and as much oftener

election once in three years for certain, and as much offener as the king pleases. Such will be the state of things, if the proposition made shall take effect.

All this may be proper. But, as an honest man, I cannot possibly give my vote for it until I have considered it more fully. I will not deny that our constitution may have fulls, and that those fulls, when found, ought to be corrected.

fally I will not deny that our constitution may have faults, and that those faults, when found, ought to be corrected, but, on the whole, that constitution has been our own pride, and an object of admiration to all other nations. It is not everything which appears at first view to be faulty in such a complicated plan that is to be determined to be so in reality. To enable us to correct the constitution, the whole constitution must be viewed together, and it must be compared with the actual state of the people, and the circumstances of the time. For that which, taken singly and by itself, may appear to be wrong when considered with relation to other things may be perfectly right, or at least the constitution to other things may be perfectly right, or at least the constitution of the constitution to the realization to th

th regard to constitution to the constitution of the constitution

and separate, no more than the former There are many things in reformation which would be proper to be done, if other things can be done along with them, but which, if they cannot be so accomprined, ought not to be done at all. I therefore wish, when any new matter of this deep nature is proposed to me, to have the whole scheme distinctly in my view, and full time to consider of it. Please God, I will walk with caution whenever I am not abil

clearly to see my way before me

- ry early jouth
the subject of
those of other
times, and other countries. I have been for fifteen years a

times, and other countries. I have been for fifteen years a very laborious member of parliament, and in that time have had great opportunities of secing with my own eyes the working of the machine of our government, and remarking where it went smoothly and did its business, and where it checked in its movements, or where it damaged its work. have also had and used the opportunities of conversing with men of the greatest wisdom and fullest experience in those matters; and I do declare to you most solemnly and most truly, that on the result of all this reading, thinking, experience, and communication, I am not able to come to an immediate resolution in favour of a change of the ground-work of our constitution; and, in particular, that in the present state of the country, in the present state of our representation, in the present state of our rights and modes of electing, in the present state of the several prevalent interests, in the present state of the affairs and manners of this country, the addition of a hundred knights of the shire, and hurrying election on election, will be things advantageous to liberty or good government.

This is the present condition of my mind; and this is my apology for not going as fast as others may choose to go in this business. I do not by any means reject the propositions—much less do I condemn the gentlemen who, with equal good intentions, with much better abilities, and with infinitely greater personal weight and consideration than mine, are of opinion, that this matter ought to be decided

upon instantly.

I most heartily wish that the deliberate sense of the kingdom on this great subject should be known. When it is known it must be prevalent. It would be dreadful indeed, if there was any power in the nation capable of resisting its unanimous desire, or even the desire of any very great and decided majority of the people. The people may be deceived in their choice of an object. But I can scarcely conceive any choice they can make to be so very mischievous as the existence of any human force capable of resisting it. It will certainly be the duty of every man, in the situation to which God has called him, to give his best opinion and advice upon the matter; it will not be his duty, let him think what he will, to use any violent or any fraudulent means of counteracting the general wish, or even of employing the legal and constructive organ of expressing the people's sense against the sense which they do actually entertain.

In order that the real sense of the people should be known

upon so great an affair as this, it is of absolute necessity that timely notice should be given, that the matter should be prepared in open committees, from a choice into which no class or description of men is to be excluded—and the subsequent county meetings should be as full, and as well at-tended, as possible Without these precautions the true sense of the people will ever be uncertain no precipitate resolution on a great change in the fundamental constitution of any country can ever be called the real sense of the people

I trust it will not be taken amiss, if, as an inhabitant and

noble proposer, for whom no man professes, or feels, more re-

" -- int of concurrence in everyall in no sort weaken the

men of upright intentions ey are agreed Assembles that are met, and with a resolution to be all of a mind, are assembles that can have no opinion at all of their own The first proposer of any measure must be their master I do

not know that an amicable variety of sentiment, conducted with mutual good will, has any sort of resemblance to discord, or that it can give any advantage whatsoever to the enemies of our common cause On the contrary, a forced and fictitious agreement (which every universal agreement must be) is not becoming the cause of freedom. If, however, any evil should arise from it, (which I confess I do not foresee,) I am happy that those who have brought forward new and arduous matter, when very great doubts, and some diversity of opinion, must be foreknown, are of authority and

weight enough to stand against the consequences I humbly lay these my sentiments before the county They are not taken up to serve any interests of my own, or to be subservient to the interests of any man or set of men under heaven I could wish to be able to attend our meeting, or that I had time to reason this matter more fully by

letter; but I am detained here as much as we can do. If we you have already put upon us is gh it with any effect, I fear are prevented from going througher to the resistance of the it will be in part owing, not mour imposing on ourselves enemies of our cause, than to employed as we are, can be such tasks as no human faculties have shown distinguished equal to. Our worthy member, petition. I am just going ability and zeal in support of our trate a capital part of your down to a bill brought in to frus g to transfer the cognizance desires. The minister is preparing whom you and the conof the public accounts from thost them to unknown persons, stitution have chosen to control the annihilates parliament. creatures of his own. For so much as the honour, &c.

Charles Street, 12th April, 1780.

# TRAC TS,

RELATIV,

OPERY IN IRELAND.

EDMUND BURKE.

TO THE LAWS AGAINST P

I.

the Popery Laws.

Fragments of a Tract on

THE PLA

I PROPOSE, first, to make an spection into the affairs of show the propriety of a closer in spection in the spection into the affairs of show the propriety of a closer in specific in Ireland appears to have en-

The condition of the Roman Catholily early period of his political life, gaged the attention of Mr. Burke at a ver65 that he formed the plan of a It was probably soon after the year 17 of which are now given to the work upon that subject, the fragments riginal manuscript: and the plan, public. No title is prefixed to it in the object here, was evidently designed which it has been thought proper to inst. Of the first chapter some unmerely for the convenience of the authorfor publication, have been found. connected fragments only, too imperfect better, perhaps nearly the whole; Of the second there is a considerable po

Ireland, and this takes up the first Chapter, which is to be spent in this introductory matter, and in stating the Popery Laws in general as one leading cause of the imbecility of the country

Ch II states particularly the Laws themselves in a plain

and popular manner

ß

Ch III begins the Remarks upon them, under the heads of, 1st. The object, which is a numerous people 2dly, Their meanes, a restraint on property 3dly. Their instruments of execution, corrupted morals, which affect the national prosperity.

Ch IV. The impolicy of those Laws as they affect the na-

Ch V. Reasons by which the Laws are supported, and answers to them

#### CHAPTER II

In order to lay this matter with full satisfaction before the reader, I shall collect into one point of view, and state, as shortly and as clearly as I am able, the purport of these laws, according to the objects which they affect, without making at present any further observation upon them, but just what shall be necessary to render the drift and intention of the legislature, and the tendency and operation of the laws, the more distinct and evident

In a subsequent part of this volume will be seen a more particular account of the part which he took in the discussion of this great political C -e' - " - - to Mr Smith,

er to his son, Laws are the n a state fit to

sort of limitabill preferred They may bring such bills, tones quoties, upon proportioned to the value of the estate at the time of the new count of the value of his estate, in order to a new distribution since their first bill, to bring another, compelling a new achis industry, or othernine, increased the value of his property

bills, or to the

nours totally abandoning all thoughts of improvement and acquihave no respite from the persecution of his children but by bringing them This act expressly provides that he shall oth This hall flash to the

faction which a parent can feel in their society, and which is direction of his children, and even of all the tender satismoment she deprives her husband of all management and Catholic should choose to change her religion, from that the option of the child himself, for if the wife of a Roman drawing of the child from his father's obedience is not left to selves of their substance, there are cases where the withchildren to revolt against their parents, and to possess themhave gone much further Not satisfied with calling upon This is going a great way surely, but the laws in question

n and mort eorrows, the only ""

manner, and by whom, they are to be educated and the children from such Popish parent, to appoint where, in white authorised, but he is strongly required, to take anay all his

it either husband or wife becomes Protestant, both are to be from the other, and then the effect of the law will be, that into execution without taking the child from one as well as cand shall be taken from such Popish parent. And whilst such husband and wife combut, it will be impossible to put it

The paternal power thus being wholly abrogated, it is deprived of their children

ably acquires a power and superiority over her husband. his protection and obedience, she herself by that hold inevither power, whenever she pleases, to subtract the children from over his wife is also considerably impaired; because if it be in evident that by the last regulation the power of a husband

The husband is deprived of that coercive power over his wife cutes them in as large extent as the chancellor thinks fit. settling any her conformity executes his powers, and exehim a power of making a jointure, and he dies without for it in any marriage settlement the husband has reserved to But she is not left dependent upon this oblique influence;

discretionary power reserved in the settlement.

the good or ill behaviour of his wife. voluntary distribution of his effects, what in his opinion was law had left to him,—that of rewarding, or punishing, by a him of that source of domestic authority which the common a provision totally independent of his favour, and deprives part, nor proof of that kind to be entered into. She acquires no plea of such misdemeanours to be urged on the husband's of conformity to the Protestant religion, the law will suffer domestic misdemeanours to her husband by the public merit that of her religion. If, therefore, she chooses to balance any vision which he might have done, for no other reason than sumed that the husband has omitted to make all the pro-The laws in this instance, as well as in the former, have preestate, not exceeding one-third of his whole clear substance. shall be allotted a portion from his leases, and other personal on his real estate, his wife may apply to chancery, where she forming wife without a fixed provision by some settlement Eut if no such power had been reserved, and no such settlement existed, yet if the husband dies leaving his con-

acquisition and security of personal, the law stands thus: as to the new acquisition of real property, and both to the acquired, to its mode of descent, and to family powers. Now Thus the laws stand with regard to the property already

upon land, any rents or profits from land, any lease, interest, or term of any land; any annuity for life or lives, or years, purchasing directly, or by trust, any lands, any mortgage All persons of that persuasion are disabled from taking or

bill preferred They may bring such bills, tottes quoties, upon proportioned to the value of the estate at the time of the new count of the value of his estate, in order to a new distribution since their first bill, to bring another, compelling a new achis industry, or otherwise, increased the value of his property

sort of innita-

a bills, or to the

totally abandoning all thoughts of improvement and acquihave no respite from the persecution of his children but by This act expressly provides that he shall աթվի Զուջույն or act men shalf justify the

eorrons, the only where c faction which a parent can feel in their society, and which is direction of his children, and even of all the tender satismoment she deprives her husband of all management and Catholic should choose to change her religion, from that the opinon of the child himself, for if the wife of a Roman drawing of the child from his father's obedience is not left to selves of their substance, there are cases where the withchildren to revolt against their parents, and to possess themhave gone much further Not satisfied with calling upon This is going a great way surely, but the laws in question

pazmodiuna d eid mon

ogs pu children from such Popral parent, to appoint where, in what

0H1 TOI

0113 60 1

deprived of their children if either husband or wife becomes Protestant, both are to be from the other, and then the effect of the law will be, that an llow er ono mort blind the child from one with such husband and wife cohabit, it will be impossible to put it tenda shall be taken from such Popish parent And unhat in this case I do not see for the act expressly says that the should be the conformist, though her the law is to operate purquer out of ,

and paternal power thus being wholly abrogated, it is

ably acquires a power and superiority over her husband. his protection and obedience, she herself by that hold inevither power, whenever she pleases, to subtract the children from ni od titi osunood; borinqmi yldarobisnoo osla si olivi zid rovo evident that by the last regulation the power of a husband

which he had in his hands by the use he might make of the The husband is deprived of that coercive power over his wife entes them in as large extent as the chancellor thinks lit. settling any, her conformity executes his powers, and exedim a power of making a jointure, and he dies without for it in any marriage settlement the husband has reserved to Inc she is not left dependent upon this oblique influence;

But if no such power had been reserved, and no such discretionary power reserved in the settlement.

the good or ill behaviour of his wife. voluntary distribution of his effects, what in his opinion was law had left to him, -that of rewarding, or punishing, by a him of that source of domestic authority which the common a provision totally independent of his invour, and deprives part, nor proof of that kind to be entered into. She acquires no plea of such misdemeanours to be urged on the husband's of conformity to the Protestant religion, the law will suffer domestic misdemeanours to her husband by the public merit that of her religion. If, therefore, she chooses to balance any vision which he might have done, for no other reason than sumed that the husband has omitted to make all the pro-The laws in this instance, as well as in the former, have preestate, not exceeding one-third of his whole clear substance. on his real estate, his wife may apply to chancery, where she shall be allotted a portion from his leases, and other personal forming wife without a fixed provision by some settlement settlement existed, yet if the husband dies leaving his con-

as to the new acquisition of real property, and both to the Thus the laws stand with regard to the property already acquired, to its mode of descent, and to family powers. Now

or term of any land; any annuity for life or lives, or years, upon land, any rents or profits from land, any lease, interest, purchasing directly, or by trust, any lands, any mortgage acquisition and security of personal, the law stands thus:
All persons of that persuasion are disabled from taking or

One exception, and one only, is admitted by the statutes any manner affect, any lands or any estate whatsoever, chargeable upon, or which may in TRACTS ON THE POPERT LAWS 77

It is here necessary to state as a part of this law, what to be tried by none but known Protestants progress be energated. All assues to be tried on this act are shown, or the force and operation of the law in any part of its the whole directly upon eath. The rule of favores ampliplea and demurrer But the defendant is obliged to answer which he allows to the most fraudulent defendant, that of the justest claimant, nor has the Papist the indulgence ordinary procedure of those courts throws into the way of sunts the unformer is not liable to those delays which the order to induce their forfeiture on the discovery. In such exact nature and value of their estates in every particular, in the penaltics of perjury, to discover against themselves the whom he suspects to be their trustees, upon oath, and under the courts of equity, and call upon the parties, and those he may (and this is the usual method) enter into either of his own right. The courts of law are not alone open to him, thus invested with the property, is enabled to sue for it as in the first Protestant discoverer or informer This discoverer, limits, the whole interest is forfeited, and vested ipso facto an duration or value, and in the smallest degree, the above the n hole kingdom This lease must also be in possession, the reversion continuance of the term, and 2ndly, it does not extend to the time of the making it, shall be reserved during the whole not less than two-thirds of the full improved yearly value, at a doubly curtailed, lat, that, on such a short lease, a rent charged with a prior qualification. This remnant of a right not exceeding thirty-one years But even this privilege is to the universality of this exclusion, viz a lease for a term

naturally, arise it is generally known, a judgment being obtained, or acknowledged, for any debt since the stratute of cept as before excepted) On this a difficulty did, not uncould possess no sort of estate which might affect land (exsequence of it The act had expressly provided that a Papist has been for some time generally understood as a certain con-

ut all. people who lend mouey would, I believe, consider as none except what is merely personal, and which, therefore, most all security for money is also cut off. No security is left, with land, is taken away: and secondly, by the construction, ing any species of valuable property, in any sort connected that by the express words of the law all possibility of acquirperty of the Protestant informer. Thus you observe, first, their whole debt or charge is forfeited, and becomes the procause it may create an estate, however precarious, in land, that if they attempt to avail themselves of that security, bereceived opinion, though not juridically delivered, has been, holding under this writ is not specified in the statute, the of general credit in the nation. Although the species of the ordinary assurance of the land, and the great foundation under a writ called Elegit, and this writ has been ever since be delivered unto the creditor until the obligation is satisfied, Westm. 2, 13 Ed. I. c. 18, one half of the debtor's land is to

facture only. take more than two apprentices, except in the linen manu-They are expressly forbidden, in whatever employment, to paying as such quarterage, and other charges and impositions. they trade and work in their own native towns as aliens, ment, to obtain his freedom in any town corporate; so that man of that persuasion is capable, by any service or settleclerks, at the miserable salary of 7s. a week. No tradesnot to employ persons of that persuasion; no, not as hackney cterk, attorney, or solicitor, is obliged to take a solemn oath severest penalties and the most rigid modes of inquisition. They have gone beyond even this; for every barrister, six most voluntary agency, are prohibited to them under the that chamber practice, and even private conveyancing, the branches. This point is carried to so scrupulous a severity, they are interdicted from the army and the law in all its vision, is yet no small restraint in the acquisition; but in church and state, which, though a just and necessary proin their way. For they are not only excluded from all offices consequential provisions throws almost all sorts of obstacles meets them in every road of industry, and in its direct and Under this head of the acquisition of property, the law

In every state, next to the care of the life and properties

freehold, and all trusts, powers, or interests therein his life all his lands, hereditaments, othees, and estate of he is rendered meapable of any legacy or deed of grit, he forferts for stands for nothing in his favour He is disabled to sue in law or equity, to be guardian, executor, or administrator others, his necessary unavoidable ignorance of the laws, age of such a person, his natural subjection to the will of alterable and perpetual outlawry The tender and meapable Poprah school or college abroad, upon conviction, meurs (if of some of its provisions Being sent for education to any This act is wortby of attention on account of the singularity this restraint by precluding them from all foreign education tion, the act of King William in Ireland has added to an education in any of our authorized establishments for learna private family so that Papists are entirely excluded from scribed by those acts, and it is made felony to teach even in practice Popish schoolmasters of every species are prolaw has armed riself with all its terrors against such a defect by private academics and schools of their own, the declarations Lest they should be enabled to supply this in them who do not previously take all the tests, oaths, and admitted to study there, and that none can obtain degrees but those who conform to the Established Church can be at all tution of our universities need not be informed, that none subject of attention In the Irish laws this point has not been neglected. Those who are acquainted with the constiof the subject, the education of their youth has been a

abroad, by the least assistance of money or otherwise, are mredled in the same disabilities, and subjected to the same

The mode of conviction is as extraordinary as the penal

sisting, and examine them and other persons on oath concerning the fact. If on this examination he finds it probable that the party was sent contrary to this act, he is then to

So fire as to property and education. There are means usual solutions of the course of the common for, and first, with regard to the right of self-the common for, and first, with regard to the right of self-the consens in the use of arms. This, though one of the rights by the law of matter, yets as capable of thuses, that is may not be unauses to make some regulations concerntable in the property of the common first property of the property of the common first property of the property

But provisions in time of trouble, proper and perhaps ne-

treinnd has difficulties

Aldsummer quarter ecsaions, by the lugh and petty con-etables, or any others velom they may nuthorize, and by all be made annually, by the warrant of the justices at their has prescribed one that is general and periodical It is to isosides the discretionary and occasional search, the statute marrer does by no means totally rest on their discretion power in the hands of such magnetrates However, the they may do at their discretion, and it seems a pretty ample tute such search at any hour of the day or night This Lany gion, and without information, break open houses, and metitowns corporate and market towns, they may, at their discrein the open country, for in all cities and their suburbs, in Papts, or even of any other person, whom they suspect to be deep such arms of the control of the control of the extent of thus power 1s, that the search is to be made be-tween the rising and setting of the sun but even this quali-tation extends no further than to the execution of the act disciplent extends no further than to the execution of the act rant, are empowered to enter and search the house of any miormation, at their pleasure, by themselves or their warest powers are vested in the lowest magistrates. Any two law is changed, very severe penalties are enjoined, the largto enforce this regulation, the whole spirit of the common name or beeping any kind of weapons whatsoever In order and under all eucumstances, who are not Protestants, of

even by the Crown. 250 and a year's imprisonment, which cannot be remitted penalty upon persons of whatever substance is the sum of ing or keeping arms; for, on conviction of this offence, the the law entitles them to half the penalty incurred by carry-So far as to involuntary; -now, as to voluntary informers, £30; for the second, they too are subjected to premunive. Persons of an inferior order are, for the first offence, fined say, imprisonment for life, and forfeiture of their goods. offence is £300 penalty; the second is premunre, that is to perform this honourable service, and refuse to inform, the first summoned by the bailiff of a corporation of six cottages) to If peers or peeresses are summoned (for they may be their refusal to inform, subjects them to the severest penal-Their refusal, or declining to appear, or, appearing, discover all persons who have any arms concealed contrary ever, to tender them an oath, by which they oblige them to are empowered to summon before them any persons whatsodread of heavy penalties. With regard to the latter method, two justices of peace, or the magistrate of any corporation, even pressed involuntary informers into this service by the invited all voluntary informers by considerable rewards, and to the activity of the magistrate acting officially, the law has vagantly jealous of personal liberty. Not trusting, however, have been made by a legislature which was not at all extraconcerning both the general and particular search, seems to attend the occasional search. The whole of this regulation, to be concealed, with the same powers, in all respects, which other, where they suspect arms for the use of such persons corporate magistrates, in all houses of Papists, and every

The only exception to this law is a licence from the lord-lieutenant and council to carry arms, which by its nature is extremely limited, and I do not suppose there are six persons now in the kingdom who have been fortunate enough to obtain it.

There remains, after this system concerning property and defence, to say something concerning the exercise of religion, which is especially in the

Which is carried on in all persuasions, but especially in the Romish, by persons appointed for that purpose. The law of King William and Queen Anne ordered all Popish parsons exercising ecclesiastical jurisdiction, all orders of monks and

Aideummer quarter sessions, by the high and petty con-stables, or any others whom they may authorize, and by all pe made annually, by the warrant of the justices at their Besides the discretionary and occasional search, the statute murrer does by no means totally rest on their discretion power in the hands of such ingretrates MOTOTER, the they may do at their discretion, and it seems a pretty ample tute such search at any hour of the day or night This Leay tion, and without information, break open houses, and metitowns corporate and market towns, they may, at their discrein the open country, for in all cities and their suburbs, in neation extends no further than to the execution of the act tween the rising and setting of the sun but even this qualiextent of this power is, that the search is to be made be beep such arms in trust for them. The only limitation to the Eapist, or even of any other person, whom they suspect to rant, are empowered to enter and search the house of any information, at their pleasure, by themselves or their warjustices of peace, or magnetrates of a town, with or without est powers are vested in the lowest magnetrates Any tho law is changed, very severe penalties are enjoined, the largto enforce this regulation, the whole spirit of the common using or keeping any kind of weapons whatsoever In order and under all circumstances, who are not Protestants, of at once, сткен пр

tyranny tyranny

set several realractions on this right, especially temporary or domestic commondons or from blub proper and perhaps never commontons

But provisions in time of trouble, proper and perhaps neverses of the proper and perhaps neversely may be seen so sections of the propers of the propers of sections of the propers of sections of the propers of the

friars, and all priests, not then actually in parishes, and to be registered, to be banished the kingdom, and if they should return from exile, to be hanged, drawn, and quartered Twenty pounds 'reward is given for apprehending them Penalty on harbouring and concealing.

As all the priests then in being and registered are long since dead, and as these laws are made perpetual, every

Popish priest is liable to the law

The reader has now before him a tolerably complete view of the Popery laws relative to property by descent or acquisition, to education, to defence, and to the free exercise of religion, which may be necessary to enable him to form some judgment of the spirit of the whole system, and of the subsequent reflections that are to be made upon it

#### CHAPTER III

#### PART I

THE system which we have just reviewed, and the manner in which religious influence on the public is made to operate upon the laws concerning property in Ireland, is in its nature

made us acquainted. I believe it will not be difficult to show that it is unjust, impolite and inefficacious, that it has the most unhappy influence on the prosperity, the morals, and the safety of that country, that this influence is not accidental, but has flowed as the necessary and direct consequence of the laws themselves, first on account of the object which they effect, and next by the quality of the greatest part of the instruments they employ. Upon all there points, first upon the general, and then on the particular, this question will be considered with as much order as can be followed in a matter of itself as involved and intracte as it is important.

The first and most capital consideration with regard to this, as to every object, is the extent of it, and here it is necessars to premise, this system of penalty and incapacity has for its object no small sect, or obscure party, but a very numerous body of men—a body which comprehends at least two-thirds of that whole nation; it amounts to 2,800,000 souls, a number sufficient for the materials constituent of a great people. Now it is well worthy of a serious and dispassionate examination, whether such a system, respecting such an object, be in reality agreeable to any sound principles of legislation, or any authorized definition of law; for if our reasons or practices differ from the general informed sense of mankind, it is very moderate to say that they are at least suspicious.

This consideration of the magnitude of the object ought to attend us through the whole inquiry; if it does not always affect the reason, it is always decisive on the importance of the question. It not only makes in itself a more leading point, but complicates itself with every other part of the matter, giving every error, minute in itself, a character and significance from its application. It is, therefore, not to be wondered at if we perpetually recur to it in the course

of this essay.

. In the making of a new law it is undoubtedly the duty of the legislator to see that no injustice be done even to an individual; for there is then nothing to be unsettled, and the matter is under his hands to mould it as he pleases; and if he finds it untractable in the working, he may abandon it without incurring any new inconvenience. But in the question concerning the repeal of an old one, the work is of more difficulty; because laws, like houses, lean on one another, and the operation is delicate, and should be necessary; the objection, in such a case, ought not to arise from the natural infirmity of human institutions, but from substantial faults, which contradict the nature and end of law itself; faults not arising from the imperfection, but from the misapplication and abuse, of our reason. As no legislators can regard the minima of equity, a law may in some instances be a just subject of censure, without being at all an object of repeal. But if its transgressions against common right and the ends of just government should be considerable in their nature, and spreading in their effects, as this objection goes to the root and principle of the law, it renders it void in its obligatory quality on the mind, and therefore determines it as the pro20

per object of abrogation and repeal, so far as regards its civil existence. The objection here is, as we observed, by no means on account of the imperfection of the law, it is on account of its erroneous principle, for, if this be fundamentally wrong, the more perfect the law is made the worse it be comes. It cannot be said to have the properties of genuine law, even in its imperfections and defects. The true weakness and opprobrium of our best general constitutions is, that they cannot provide beneficially for every particular case, and thus fill, adequately to their intentions, the circle of universal justice. But where the principle is faulty, the erroneous part of the law is the beneficial, and justice only finds refuge in those holes and corners which had escaped the sagacity and inquisition of the legislator. The happiness or misery of multitudes can never be a thing indifferent. A

ople is in substance a law t determines its invalidity,

enlarges its operation it

neral oppression, and can no longer be considered as a private hardship, which might be borne, but spreads and grows up into the unfortunate im-

portance of a national calamity

Now, as a law directed against the mass of the nation has not the nature of a reasonable institution, so neither has it the authority for in all forms of government the people is the true legislator, and whether the immediate and instrumental cause of the law be a single person or many, the remoted and efficient cause is the consent of the people, either actual or implied, and such consent is absolutely essential to its validity. To the solid establishment of every law two things are essentially requisite first, a proper and sufficient human power to declare and modify the matter of the law, and next, such a fit and equitable constitution as they have a right to declare and render binding. With regard to the first requisite, the human authority, its sheri judgment they give up, not their right. The people, indeed, are presumed

ducted to that desirable end. This they owe as an act of homage and just deference to a reason which the necessity

of government has made superior to their own. But though the means, and indeed the nature, of a public advantage may not always be evident to the understanding of the subject, no one is so gross and stupid as not to distinguish between a benefit and an injury. No one can imagine, then, an exclusion of a great body of men, not from favours, privileges, and trusts, but from the common advantages of society, can ever be a thing intended for their good, or can ever be ratified by any implied consent of theirs. If, therefore, at least an implied human consent is necessary to the existence of a law, such a constitution cannot in propriety be a law at all.

But if we could suppose that such a ratification was made, not virtually but actually, by the people, not representatively, but even collectively, still it would be null and void. They have no right to make a law prejudicial to the whole com-munity, even though the delinquents in making such an act should be themselves the chief sufferers by it; because it would be made against the principle of a superior law, which it is not in the power of any community, or of the whole race of man, to alter.—I mean the will of Him who gave us our nature, and in giving impressed an invariable law upon it. It would be hard to point out any error more truly subversive of all the order and beauty, of all the peace and happiness, of human society, than the position that any body of men have a right to make what laws they please; or that laws can de-rive any authority from their institution merely and independent of the quality of the subject-matter. No arguments of policy, reason of state, or preservation of the constitution, can be pleaded in favour of such a practice. They may, indeed, impeach the frame of that constitution; but can never touch this immovable principle. This seems to be, indeed, the principle which Hobbes broached in the last century, and which was then so frequently and so ably refuted. Cicero exclaims with the utmost indignation and contempt against such a notion; 1 he considers it not only as unworthy

¹ Cicero de Legibus, lib. prim. 15 et 16. O rem dignam, in quâ non modo docti, verum etiam agrestes, erubescant! Jam vero illud stultissimum existimare omnia justa esse, quæ scita sunt in populorum institutis aut legibus, &c. Quod si populorum jussis, si principum decretis, si sententiis judicum jura constituerentur, jus esset latrocinari, jus adulterare, jus testamenta falsa supponere, si hæc suffragiis aut scitis multitudinis probarentur.

of a philosopher, but of an illiterate peasant, that of all things this was the most truly abourd to fancy that the rule of justice was to be taken from the constitutions of common wealths, or that laws derived their authority from the statutes of the people, the edicts of princes, or the decrees of judges if it be admitted that it is not the black letter and the larg's 41 4 m k g the lar we are to look for it elsewhere

two, foundations of law. without which nothing and utility With re

spect to the former, it glows out of the great rule of equality, which is grounded upon our common nature, and which Philo, with propriety and beauty, calls the Mother of Justice All human laws are, properly speaking only declaratory, they no power over

foundation of t of partial or

limited, but of general and public, utility, connected in the same manner with and derived directly from, our rational nature, for any other utility may be the utility of a robber, but cannot be that of a citizen, the interest of the domestic

fore us do, in order to induce a consequential inequality in the distribution of justice. Law is a mode of human action respecting society, and must be governed by the same rules of equity which govern every private action, and so Tully considers it, in his Offices, as the only utility agreeable to that nature, unum debet esse omnibus propositum, ut eadem sit utilitas uniusciijusque et universorum, quam si ad se quisque

this, that a

the bulk of the people, cannot be made for the utility of the party so excluded. This, therefore, is not the utility when Tully mentions. But if it were true (as it is not) that the real interest of any part of the community could be separated ld afford no just for that interest

ild be repugnant

to the essence of law, which requires that it be made as much as possible for the benefit of the whole. If this principle be denied or evaded, what ground have we left to reason on? We must at once make a total change in all our ideas, and look for a new definition of law. Where to find it I confess myself at a loss. If we resort to the fountains of jurisprudence, they will not supply us with any, that is for our purpose. Jus (says Paulus) pluribus modis dicitur; uno modo, cum id, quod semper aquum et bonum est, jus dicitur, ut est jus naturale; this sense of the word will not be thought, I imagine, very applicable to our penal laws. Altero modo, quod omnibus aut pluribus in unaquaque civitate utile est, ut est jus civile. Perhaps this latter will be as insufficient, and would rather seem a censure and condemnation of the Popery Acts than a definition that includes them; and there is no other to be found in the whole digest; neither are there any modern writers whose ideas of law are at all narrower.

It would be far more easy to heap up authorities on this article, than to excuse the prolixity and tediousness of producing any at all in proof of a point, which, though too often practically denied, is in its theory almost self-evident. For Suarez, handling this very question, utrum de ratione et substantiâ legis esse ut propter commune bonum feratur, does not hesitate a moment, finding no ground in reason or authority to render the affirmative in the least decree disputable: In quæstione ergo propositâ (says he) nulla est inter authores controversia; sed omnium commune est axioma de substantia et ratione legis esse, ut pro communi bono feratur; ita ut propter illud præcipuè tradatur; having observed, in another place, contra omnem rectitudinem est bonum commune ad privatum ordinare, seu totum ad partem propter ipsum referre. Partiality and law are contradictory terms. Neither the merits nor the ill deserts, neither the wealth and importance nor the indigence and obscurity, of the one part or of the other, can make any alteration in this fundamental truth. On any other scheme I defy any man living to settle a correct standard, which may discriminate between equitable rule and the most direct tyranny. For if we can once prevail upon ourselves to depart from the strictness and integrity of this principle in favour even of a considerable party, the

argument will hold for one that is less so, and thus we shall go on, narrowing the bottom of public right, until step by step we arrive, though after no very long or very forced deduction, at what one of our poets calls the enormous faith, the faith of the many, created for the advantage of a single pers

of a

24

scription of the whole

I am sensible that these principles, in their abstract light, will not be very strenuously opposed. Reason is never inconvenient but when it comes to be applied. Mere general truths interfere very little with the passions. They can, until they are roused by a troublesome application, rest in great tranguility side by side with tempers and proceedings the most directly opposite to them. Men want to be reminded, who do not want to be taught, because those original ideas of rectitude, to which the mind is compelled to assent when they are proposed, are not always as present to it as they ought to be. When people are gone, if not into a denial, at least into a sort of oblivion of those ideas, when they know them only as barren speculations, and not as practical motives for conduct,—it will be proper to press as well as to offer them to the understanding, and when one is attacked by prejudices, which aim to intrude themselves into the place of law, what is left for us but to vouch and call to remarked the area achieformed in the convenient of the presented of the contraction of the presented of the proper of the contraction of the place of law, what is left for us but to vouch and call to

have directly under our consideration a whole body of statutes, which, I say, are so many contridictions, which their advocates allow to be so many exceptions from those very principles? Take them in the most favourable light, every exception from the original and fixed rule of equality and justice ought surely to be very well authorized in the reason of their deviation, and very rare in their use. For if they should grow to be frequent, in what would they differ from an abrogation of the rule itself? By becoming thus frequent, they might even go further; and, a dablishing themselves into a principle, convert the rule into the exception. It cannot be dissembled, that this is not at all remote from the case before us, where the great body of the people are excluded from all valuable property; where the greatest and most ordinary benefits of society are conferred as privileges, and not enjoyed on the footing of common rights.

The clandestine manner in which there in power earry on such designs is a sufficient argument of the sense they inwardly entertain of the true nature of their proceedings. Seldom is the title or preamble of the law of the same import with the body and enacting part; but they generally place some other colour uppermost, which differs from that which is afterwards to appear, or at least one that is several shades fainter. Thus the penal laws in question are not called laws to oblige men, baptized and educated in Popery, to renounce their religion or their property; but are called laws to prevent the growth of Popery; as if their purpose was only to prevent conversions to that seet, and not to persecute a million of people already engaged in it. But of all the instances of this sort of legislative artifice, and of the principles that produced it. I never met with any which made a stronger impression on me than that of Louis XIV., in the revocation of the Edict of Nantz. That monarch had, when he made that revocation, as few measures to keep with public opinion as any man. In the exercise of the most unresisted authority at home, in a career of uninterrupted victory abroad, and in a course of flattery equal to the circumstances of his greatness in both these particulars, he might be supposed to have as little need as disposition to render any sort of account to the world of his procedure towards his subjects. But the persecution of so vast a body of men as the Huguenots was too strong a measure even for the law of pride and power. It was too glaring a contradiction even to those principles npon which persecution itself is supported. Shocked at the naked attempt, he had recourse, for a palliation of his conduct, to an unkingly denial of the fact, which made against him. In the preamble, therefore, to his act of revocation, he sets forth that the Edict of Nantz was no longer necessary, as the object of it (the Protestants of his kingdom) were then reduced to a very small number. The refugees in

Holland cried out against this misrepresentation. They asserted, I believe with truth, that this revocation had driven 200 000 of them out of their country, and that they could readily demonstrate there still remained 600,000 Protestants in France. If this were the fact, (as it was undoubtedly,) no argument of policy could have been strong enough to excess a measure by which 800 000 men were despoiled, at one stroke, of so many of their rights and privilegs. Louis XIV confessed by the set of small with the number had b. But, after all, is

inly is let lose on that monarch such a torrent of investive and reproach, and which threw so dark a cloud over all the splendo not a most ill stroke nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon not a most ill stroke nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon nor falls freshort of the case in the splendon nor falls freshort of the splendon nor fall the splendon n

1 were far

under a contrary establishment. The number of their sufferers, if considered absolutely, is not half of ours, if considered relatively to the body of each community, it is not perhaps a twentieth part. And then the penalties and incapacities which grew from that revocation are not so grievous in their nature, nor so certain in their execution, nor so runnous by a great deal to the civil prosperity of the state, as those which we have established for a perpetual law in our unhappy country. It cannot be thought to arise from affectation that I cill it so. What other name can be given to a country which continus so many hundred thousands of human creatures reduced to a state of the most abject servitude?

In putting this parallel, I take it for granted that we can stand for this short time very clear of our party distinctions If it were enough, by the use of an odous and unpopular word, to determine the question, it would be no longer a subject of rational disquisition, since that very prejudice which gives these odous names, and which is the party charged for doing so, and for the consequences of it would then become the judge also. But I fatter my-self that not a few will be found who do not think that the names of Protestant and Papist can make any clarage in the nature of essential justice. Such men will not allow that to be proper

treatment to the one of these denominations which would be cruelty to the other; and which converts its very crime into the instrument of its defence: they will hardly persuade themselves that what was bad policy in France can be good in Ireland; or that what was intolerable injustice in an arbitrary monarch becomes, only by being more extended and more violent, an equitable procedure in a country professing to be governed by law. It is however impossible not to observe with some concern that there are many also of a different disposition; a number of persons whose minds are so formed, that they find the communion of religion to be a close and an endearing tie, and their country to be no bond at all; to whom common altars are a better relation than common habitations and a common civil interest; whose hearts are touched with the distresses of foreigners, and are abundantly awake to all the tenderness of human feeling on such an occasion, even at the moment that they are inflicting the very same distresses, or worse, on their fellow-citizens, without the least sting of compassion or remorse. To commiserate the distresses of all men suffering innocently, perhaps meritoriously, is generous, and very agreeable to the better part of our nature—a disposition that ought by all means to be cherished. But to transfer humanity from its natural basis, our legitimate and homebred connexions; to lose all feeling for those who have grown up by our sides, in our eyes, of the benefit of whose cares and labours we have partaken from our birth, and meretriciously to hunt abroad after foreign affections, is such a disarrangement of the whole system of our duties, that I do not know whether benevolence so displaced is not almost the same thing as destroyed, or what effect bigotry could have produced that is more fatal to society. no one could help observing, who has seen our doors kindly and bountifully thrown open to foreign sufferers for conscience, whilst through the same ports were issuing fugitives of our own, driven from their country for a cause which to an indifferent person would seem to be exactly similar, whilst we stood by, without any sense of the impropriety of this extraordinary scene, accusing, and practising injustice. For my part, there is no circumstance, in all the contradictions of our most mysterious nature, that appears to be more humiliating than the use we are disposed to make of those sad examples which seem purposely marked for our those sad examples which seem purposely harded to but correction and improvement. Every instance of fury and bigotry in other men, one should think, would naturally fill us with a horror of that disposition. The effect, however, is directly contarry. We are inspired, it is true, with a very suf-ficient hatred for the party, but with no detestation at all of the proceeding Nay, we are apt to urge our dislike of such almost

troved hat we ich are \* those numberless books which are daily printed and industriously spread, of the persecutions in other countries and other religious persuasions These observations, which are a digres-

sion, but hardly, I think, can be considered as a departure from the subject, have detained us some time, we will now come more directly to our purpose

It has been shown, I hope with sufficient evidence, that a

act in the most contrary manner These points are indeed

testant religion is a thing beneficial for the whole community, as well in its civil

From thence they beneficial, the mean

penalties and incapacities are not final causes of the law, but only a discipline to bring over a deluded people to their real interest; and therefore, though they may be harsh in their operation, they will be pleasant in their effects, and, be they what they will, they cannot be considered as a very extraordinary hardship, as it is in the power of the sufferer to free himself when he pleases, and that only by converting to a better religion, which it is his duty to embrace, even though it were attended with all those penalties from whence in reality it delivers him: if he suffers, it is his own fault; volenti non fit

injuria.

I shall be very short, without being, I think, the less satisfactory, in my answer to these topics, because they never can be urged from a conviction of their validity; and are, indeed, only the usual and impotent struggles of those who are unwilling to abandon a practice which they are unable to defend. First then I observe, that if the principle of their final and beneficial intention be admitted as a just ground for such proceedings, there never was, in the blamable sense of the word, nor ever can be, such a thing as a religious persecution in the world. Such an intention is pretended by all men, who all not only insist that their religion has the sanction of Heaven, but is likewise, and for that reason, the best and most convenient to human society. All religious persecution, Mr. Bayle well observes, is grounded upon a miserable petitio principii. You are wrong, I am right; you must come over to me, or you must suffer. Let me add, that the great inlet by which a colour for oppression has entered into the world, is by one man's pretending to determine concerning the happiness of another, and by claiming a right to use what means he thinks proper in order to bring him to a sense of it. It is the ordinary and trite sophism of oppression. But there is not yet such a convenient ductility in the human understanding, as to make us capable of being persuaded, that men can possibly mean the ultimate good of the whole society by rendering miserable for a century together the greater part of it; or that any one has such a reversionary benevolence as seriously to intend the remote good of a late posterity, who can give up the present enjoyment which every honest man must have in the happiness of his contemporaries. Everybody is satisfied, that a conservation and secure enjoyment of our natural rights is the great and ultimate purpose of civil society; and that therefore all forms whatsoever of government are only good as they are subservient to that purpose to which they are entirely subordinate. Now, to aim at the establishment of any form of government by sacrificing what is the substance of it; to take away, or at least to suspend, the rights of nature, in order to an approved system for the protection of them; and, for the sake of that about

which men must dispute for over, to postpone those things about which they have no controversy at all, and this not in minute and subordinate, but large and principal, objects, is a procedure as preposterous and absurd in argument as it is oppressive and cruel in its effect. For the Protestant religion, nor (I speak it with reverence, I am sure,) the truth of our

a double error, first, you incur a certain mischief for an advantage which is comparatively problematical, even though you were sure of obtaining it, secondly, whatever the proposed advantage may be, were it of a certain nature, the attainment of it is by no means certain, and such deep gaming for stakes so valuable ought not to be admitted the risk is of too much consequence to society. If no other country furnished examples of this risk, yet our laws and our country

full of penalties and would lead us a great

way, but it is only just touched here, having much to say upon it in its proper place So that you have incurred a certain and an immediate inconvenience for a remote and for a doubly uncertain benefit. Thus far as to the argument which would sanctify the injustice of these laws by the benefits which are proposed to arise from them, and as to that liberty which by a new political chemistry was to be ex-

tracted out of a system of oppression

Now as to the other point, that the objects of these laws suffer voluntarily this seems to me to be an insult rather than an argument. For, besides that it totally annihilates every characteristic, and therefore every faulty, idea of persecution, just as the former does, it supposes, what is slike in fact, that it is in a man's moral power to change his religion whenever his convenience requires it. If he be beforehand satisfied that your opinion is better than his, he will voluntarily come over to you, and without compulsion, and then your law would be unnecessary, but if he is not seconvinced, he must know that it is his duty in this point to sacrifice his interest here to his opinion of his eternal happiness, cles he could have in reality no religion at all. In the

former case, therefore, as your law would be unnecessary; in the latter, it would be persecuting; that is, it would put your penalty and his ideas of duty in the opposite scales; which is, or I know not what is, the precise idea of persecution. If then you require a renunciation of his conscience, as a preliminary to his admission to the rights of society, you annex, morally speaking, an impossible condition to it. In this case, in the language of reason and jurisprudence, the condition would be void, and the gift absolute; as the practice runs, it is to establish the condition, and to withhold the benefit. The suffering is, then, not voluntary. And I never heard any other argument, drawn from the nature of laws and the good of human society, urged in favour of those prospective statutes, except those which have just been mentioned.

### CHAPTER III.

### PART II.

The second head upon which I propose to consider those statutes, with regard to their object, and which is the next in importance to the magnitude, and of almost equal concern in the inquiry into the justice of these laws, is its possession. It is proper to recollect that this religion, which is so persecuted in its members, is the old religion of the country, and the once established religion of the state; the very same, which had for centuries received the countenance and sanction of the laws, and from which it would at one time have been highly penal to have dissented. In proportion as mankind has become enlightened, the idea of religious persecution, under any circumstances, has been almost universally exploded by all good and thinking men. The only faint shadow of difficulty which remains, is concerning the introduction of new opinions. Experience has shown that, if it has been favourable to the cause of truth, it has not been always conducive to the peace of society. Though a new religious sect should even be totally free in itself from any tumultuous and disorderly zeal, which, however, is rarely the case, it has a tendency to create a resistance from the establishment in possession, productive of great disorders; and

thus becomes, innocently indeed, but yet very certainly, the cause of the bitterest dissensions in the commonwealth. To a mind not thoroughly saturated with the tolerating maxims of the gospel, a preventive persecution, on such principles, might come recommended by strong, and apparently no immoral, motives of policy, whilst yet the contrigion was recent, and had laid hold but on a few persons. The truth is, these politics are rotten and hollow at bottom, as all that are founded upon any, however minute, a degree of positive injustice must ever be. But they are specious, and sufficiently

not the right, the presumption at least is ever on the side of possession. Are they mustaken? if it does not fully justify them, it is a great alleviation of guilt, which may be mingled with their misfortune, that the error is none of their forging, that they received it on as good a footing as they can receive jour

was handed may be crre

may be crre and you put

all others is perhaps the most necessary for preserving

dmitadily would

make no difference, whether the opinion was sucked in with the milk, or imbibed yesterday because the same legislative authority which had settled, could destroy it with all the power of a Creator over his creature. But this doctine is universally disowned, and for a very plain reason. Religion, to have any force on men's understandings, indeed to exist at all, must be supposed paramount to law, and independent for its substance upon any human institution. Like it would be the absurdest thing in the world, an acknowledged chect. Religion therefore is not believed because the laws have established it, but it is established because the leading part.

TRACTS ON THE POPERT LAWS. of the community have previously believed it to be true. As no water can rise higher than its spring, no establishment can have more authority than it derives from its principle; and the power of the government can with no appearance of reason go further coercively than to bind and hold down those who have once consented to their opinions. sent is the origin of the whole. If they attempt to proceed further, they disown the foundation upon which their own establishment was built, and they claim a religious assent upon mere human authority; which has been just now shown to be absurd and preposterous, and which they in fact confess to be so.

However, we are warranted to go thus far. The people often actually do (and perhaps they cannot in general do better) take their religion, not on the coercive, which is impossible, but on the influencing authority of their governors, as wise and informed men. But if they once take a religion on the word of the state, they cannot in common sense do so a second time, unless they have some concurrent reason for it. The prejudice in favour of your wisdom is shook by your change. Tou confess that you have been wrong; and yet as you disense the mind hy combarrassing it. For why as you disengage the mind by embarrassing it. For why should I prefer your opinion of to-day to your persuasion of opinion it is in the nature of man rather to defer ground of opinion, it is in the nature of man rather to defer to the wisdom of times past, whose weakness is not before his eyes, than to the Present, of whose imbecility he has daily experience. Veneration of antiquity is congenial to persecute an opinion in possession, it sets against it all the Powerful prejudices of human nature. It even sets its own authority, when it is of most weight, against itself in that very circumstance in which it must necessarily have the least; and it opposes the stable prejudice of time against a new opinion founded on mutability; a consideration that must render compulsion in such a case the more grievous, as there is no security that, when the mind is settled in the new opinion, it may not be obliged to give place to one that is still newer, or even to a return of the old. But when an ancient establishment begins early to persecute an innovation,

it stands upon quite other grounds, and it has all the prejudices and presumptions on its side. It puts its own au
thority, not only of compulsion, but prepossession, the venertion of past age, as well as the activity of the present time,
against the opinion only of a private man, or set of men. If
there be no reason, there is at least some consistency, in its
proceedings. Commanding to constrincy, it does nothing
but that of which it sets an example itself. But an opinion
at once new and persecuting is a monster, because, in the
very instant in which it takes a liberty of change, it does
not leave to you even i liberty of perseverance.

Is then no improvement to be brought into society? Undoubtedly, but not by compulsion,—but by encountenance,—favour,—privileges, which are powerful, and are lawful instruments. The coercive nuthority of the state is limited to what is necessary for its existence. To

nishment) trespasses against

punishes delinquents, not because they are not good men, but because they are intolerably wicked. It does bear, and must, with the vices and the follies of men, until they actually strike at the root of order. This it does in things actually moral. In all matters of speculative improvement the case is stronger, even where the matter is properly of human continuous. But to consider an aversuess to improvement.

is stronger, even where the matter is properly of human engineer. But to consider an averseness to improvement, the not arriving at perfection, as a crime, is against all toler ably correct jurisprudence, for if the resistance to improvement should be great, and any way general, they would in effect give up the necessary and substantial part in fivour of the perfection and the finishing

But, say the abettors of our penal laws, this old possessed superstition is such in its principles, that society, on its general principles, enancts subsist along with it. Coull a man think such an objection possible, if he had not actually heard it made? an objection contradicted, not by hypothetical reasonings, but the clear evidence of the most decisive facts. Society not only exists, but flourishes at this hour, with this superstition in nany countries, under every form of government, in some established, in some tolerated, in others upon an equal forms. Ynd was there no critisociety.

at all in these kingdoms before the Reformation? To say it was not as well constituted as it ought to be, is saying nothing at all to the purpose; for that assertion evidently regards improvement, not existence. It certainly did then exist; and it as certainly then was at least as much to the advantage of a very great part of society as what we have brought in the place of it; which is indeed a great blessing to those who have profited of the change; but to all the rest, as we have wrought, that is, by blending general persecution with partial reformation, it is the very reverse. found the people heretics and idolaters; we have, by way of improving their condition, rendered them slaves and beggars; they remain in all the misfortune of their old errors, and all the superadded misery of their recent punishment. were happy enough, in their opinion at least, before the change: what benefits society then had, they partook of them all. They are now excluded from those benefits; and so far as civil society comprehends them, and as we have managed the matter, our persecutions are so far from being necessary to its existence, that our very reformation is made in a degree noxious. If this be improvement, truly I know not what can be called a depravation of society.

But as those who argue in this manner are perpetually shifting the question, having begun with objecting, in order to give a fair and public colour to their scheme, to a toleration of those opinions as subversive of society in general, they will surely end by abandoning the broad part of the argument, and attempting to show, that a toleration of them is inconsistent with the established government among us. Now, though this position be in reality as untenable as the . other, it is not altogether such an absurdity on the face of it. All I shall here observe is, that those who lay it down little consider what a wound they are giving to that establishment for which they pretend so much zeal. However, as this is a consideration not of general justice but of particular and national policy, and as I have reserved a place expressly where it will undergo a thorough discussion, I shall not here embarrass myself with it; being resolved to preserve all the order in my power in the examination of

this important, melancholy subject.

However, before we pass from this point concerning pos-

session, it will be a relaxation of the mind, not wholly foreign to our purpose, to take a short review of the extraordinary policy which has been held with regard to religion in that kingdom, from the time our ancestors took possession of it The most able antiquaries are of opinion, and Archbishop Usher, whom I reckon amongst the first of them, has I think shown, that a religion not very remote from the present Protestant persuasion was that of the Irish before the union of that kingdom to the Crown of England this was not directly the fact, this at least seems very pro-bable, that papal authority was much lower in Ireland than in other countries This union was made under the author ity of an arbitrary grant of Pope Adrian, in order that the church of Ireland should be reduced to the same servitude with those that were nearer to his see It is not very wonderful that an ambitious monarch should make use of any pretence in his way to so considerable an object. What is extraordinary is, that for a very long time, even quite down to the Reformation, and in their most solemn acts, the kings of England founded their title wholly on this grant, they called for obedience from the people of Ireland, not on prin ciples of subjection, but as vassals and mesne lords between them and the popes, and they omitted no measure of force or policy to establish that papal authority and all the dis-tinguishing articles of religion connected with it, and to make it take deep root in the minds of the people Not to crowd instances unnecessary, I shall select two, one of which is in print, the other on record, the one a trenty, the other an act of parliament The first is the submission of the Irish chiefs to Richard II mentioned by Sir John Davis In this pact they bind themselves for the future to preserve peace and allegiance to the kings of Ingland, under certain pecuniary penalties But what is remarkable, these fines were all covenanted to be paid into the apostolical chamber, supposing the pope as the superior power, whose peace was broken and whose majesty was violated in disobeying his governor By this time, so far as regarded Figland, the kings had extremely abridged the papal power in many material particulars, they had passed the statute of provisors, the statute of premunire, and indeed struck out of the papal authority all things, at least, that seemed to in

fringe on their temporal independence. In Ireland, however, their proceeding was directly the reverse: there they thought it expedient to exalt it at least as high as ever. For, so late as the reign of Edward IV. the following short but very explicit act of parliament was passed:

## IV. Ed. Cap. 3.

An Act, whereby letters patent of pardon from the King to those that sue to Rome for certain benefices is void. Rot. Parl.

Item, At the request of the Commons it is ordeyned and established, by authority of the said Parliament, that all maner letters patents of the King, of pardons or pardon granted by the King, or hereafter to be granted to any provisor, that claim any title by the Bulls of the Pope to any maner benefices, where at the time of the impetrating of the said Bulls of provision, the benefice is full of an incumbent, that then the said letters patents of pardon or pardons be void in law and of none effect.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

When by every expedient of force and policy, by a war of some centuries, by extirpating a number of the old, and by bringing in a number of new, people, full of those opinions, and intending to propagate them, they had fully compassed their object, they suddenly took another turn; commenced an opposite persecution, made heavy laws, carried on mighty wars, inflicted and suffered the worst evils, extirpated the mass of the old, brought in new inhabitants; and they continue at this day an oppressive system, and may for four hundred years to come, to eradicate opinions which by the same violent means they had been four hundred years endeavouring by every means to establish. They compelled the people to submit, by the forfeiture of all their civil rights, to the pope's authority, in its most extravagant and unbounded sense, as a giver of kingdoms; and now they refuse even to tolerate them in the most moderate and chastised sentiments concerning it. No country, I believe, since the world began, has suffered so much on account of religion; or has been so variously harassed both for Popery and for Protestantism.

It will now be seen, that, even if these laws could be supposed agreeable to those of nature in these particulars, on another and almost as strong a principle they are yet unjust, as being contrary to positive compact, and the public firth most solemnly plighted. On the surrender of Limerick, and some other Irish garrisons, in the war of the revolution, the lords justices of Ireland, and the commander in-chief of the result of the Irish, which was

by *insperimus* under some public articles ian Catholics in that

kingdom, and some with regard to the security of the greater part of the inhabitants of five counties. What the latter were, or in what manner they were observed is at this day of much less public concern The former are two, the 1st and the 9th The first is of this tenor the Roman Catholies of this kingdom (Ireland) shall enjoy such privileges, in the exercise of their religion, as are consistent with the laws of Ireland, or as they did enjoy in the reign of King Charles and their Majesties, as soon as their affairs will permit them to summon a parliament in this kingdom, will endeayour to procure the said Roman Catholics such further Becurity in that particular as may preserve them from any disturbance on account of their religion The 9th article is to this effect the oath to be administered to such Roman Catholics as submit to their Majesties' government, shall be the oath aforeand, and no other, viz the oath of allegiance, amade by act of parliament in England, in the first year of their then Myesties, as required by the second of the articles of Lamerick Compare this latter article with the penal laws as they are stated in the 2nd chapter, and judge whether they seem to be the public acts of the same powers, and observe whether other oaths are tendered to them, and under what penalties Compare the former with the same laws, from the beginning to the end, and judge whether the Roman Catholics have been preserved, agreeably to the sense of the article, from any disturbance upon account of their religion, or rather whether on that account there is a single right of nature or benefit of society, which has not been either totally taken away or considerably impaired

But it is said that the legislature was not bound by this

article, as it has never been ratified in parliament. I do admit that it never had that sanction, and that the parliament was under no obligation to ratify these articles by an express act of theirs. But still I am at a loss how they came to be the less valid, on the principles of our constitution, by being without that sanction. They certainly bound the king and his successors. The words of the article do this, or they do nothing; and so far as the Crown had a share in passing those acts, the public faith was unquestionably broken. land such a breach on the part of the Crown was much more unpardonable in administration than it would have been here. They have in Ireland a way of preventing any bill even from approaching the royal presence, in matters of far less importance than the honour and faith of the Crown, and the well-being of a great body of the people. For, besides that they might have opposed the very first suggestion of it in the House of Commons, it could not be framed into a bill without the approbation of the council in Ireland. It could not be returned to them again without the approbation of the king and council here. They might have met it again in its second passage through that House of parliament in which it was originally suggested, as well as in the other. If it had escaped them through all these mazes, it was again to come before the lord-lieutenant, who might have sunk it by a refusal of the royal assent. The constitution of Ireland has interposed all those checks to the passing of any constitutional act, however insignificant in its own nature. But did the administration in that reign avail themselves of any one of those opportunities? They never gave the act of the 11th of Queen Anne the least degree of opposition in any one stage of its progress. What is rather the fact, many of the queen's servants encouraged it, recommended it, were in reality the true authors of its passing in parliament, instead of recommending and using their utmost endeavour to establish a law directly opposite in its tendency, as they were bound to do by the express letter of the very first article of the treaty of Limerick. To say nothing further of the ministry, who in this instance most shamefully betrayed the faith of government, may it not be a matter of some degree of doubt whether the parliament, who do not claim a right of dissolving the force of moral obligation, did not make themselves a party in this breach of contract, by presenting a bill to the Crown in direct violation of those articles so solemnly and so recently executed, which by the constitution they had

full authority to execute?

It may be further objected, that when the Irish requested the ratification of parliament to those articles they did, in effect, themselves entertain a doubt concerning their validity without such a ratification To this I answer, that the collateral security was meant to bind the Crown, and to hold it firm to its engagements They did not, therefore, call it a perfecting of the security, but an additional security, which it could not have been, if the first had been void, for the

le but one security, neither

with propriety or common

validity of the single contract, upon the known principle of law, Non solent, quæ abundant, ritiare scripturas For nothing is more evident, than that the Crown was bound, and that no act can be made without the royal assent constitution will warrant us in going a great deal further, and

on the whole received the

sanction of parliament, because the very same constitution

regative of making war If the peace was ill made, my Lord Galway, Coningsby, and Porter, who signed it, were re-sponsible, because they were subject to the community. But its own contracts are not subject to it. It is subject to them, and the compact of the king acting constitutionally was the compact of the nation

Observe what monstrous consequences would result from a contrary position. A foreign enemy has entered, or a strong dome-tic one has arreen in the nation. In such events the circumstances may be, and often have been, such, that a parliament cannot sit. This was precisely the case in that rebellion in Ireland. It will be admitted also that their power may be so great, as to make it very prudent to treat with them, in order to save effusion of blood, perhaps to save the nation. Now, could such a treaty be at all made, if your enemies, or rebels, were fully persuaded that, in these times of confusion, there was no authority in the state which could hold out to them an inviolable pledge for their future security: but that there lurked in the constitution a dormant but irresistible power, who would not think itself bound by the ordinary subsisting and contracting authority, but might rescind its acts and obligations at pleasure? This would be a doctrine made to perpetuate and exasperate war; and, on that principle, it directly impugns the law of nations, which is built upon this principle, that war should be softened as much as possible, and that it should cease as soon as possible, between contending parties and communities. The king has a power to pardon individuals. If the king holds out his faith to a robber, to come in on a promise of pardon, of life and estate, and in all respects of a full indemnity, shall the parliament say that he must, nevertheless, be executed, that his estate must be forfeited, or that he shall be abridged of any of the privileges which he before held as a subject? Nobody will affirm it. In such a case the breach of faith would not only be on the part of the king, who assented to such an act, but on the part of the parliament, who made it. As the king represents the whole contracting capacity of the nation, so far as his prerogative (unlimited, as I said before, by any precedent law) can extend, he acts as the national procurator on all such occasions. What is true of a robber is true of a rebel; and what is true of one robber or rebel is as true, and it is a much more important truth, of one hundred thousand.

To urge this part of the argument further is indeed, I fear, not necessary, for two reasons. First, that it seems tolerably evident in itself; and next, that there is but too much ground to apprehend that the actual ratification of parliament would, in the then temper of parties, have proved but a very slight and trivial security. Of this there is a very strong example in the history of those very articles. For, though the parliament omitted in the reign of King William

12

to ratify the first and most general of them, they did actually confirm the second and more limited, that which related to the security of the inhabitants of those five counties which were in arms when the treaty was made

#### CHAPTER IV

In the foregoing book we considered these laws in a very simple point of view, and in a very general one, merely as a system of hardship imposed on the body of the community, and from thence, and from some other arguments, inferred the general injustice of such a procedure. In this we shall be obliged to be more minute, and the matter will become nore complex as we undertake to demonstrate the mischievous and impolitic consequences which the particular mode of this oppressive system, and the instruments which it employs, operating, as we said, on this extensive object, produce on the national prosperity, quiet, and security

The stock of materials by which any nation is rendered flourishing and prosperous are, its industry, its knowledge, or skill, its morals, its execution of justice, its courage,

these powers to one point, the public benefit Other scarcely can conceive any

means by which a community may flourish

If we show that these penal laws of Ircland destroy not one only, but every one of these materials of public prosperity, it will not be difficult to perceive, that Grat Britans, whilst they subsist, never can draw from that country all the advantages to which the bounty of nature has entitled it

To begin with the first great instrument of initional happiness and strength, its industry, I must observe, that although these penal laws do indeed inflict many hardships on those who are obnoxious to them, yet their chief, their most extensive, and most certain operation is upon properly Those evil constitutions.

ing, and suffer the alienat

obstructs at in any part of this distribution is, in proportion to the force and extent of the obstruction, a discourage ment to industry. I or a law against property is a law against

industry, the latter having always the former, and nothing else, for its object. Now, as to the acquisition of landed property, which is the foundation and support of all the other kinds, the laws have disabled three-fourths of the inhabitants of Ireland from acquiring any estate of inheritance for life or years, or any charge whatsoever, on which two-thirds of the improved yearly value are not reserved for 30 years.

This confinement of landed property to one set of hands, and preventing its free circulation through the community, is a most leading article of ill policy; because it is one of the most capital discouragements to all that industry which may be employed on the last improvement of the soil, or is any way conversant about land. A tenure of 30 years is evidently no tenure upon which to build; to plant; to raise enclosures; to change the nature of the ground; to make any new experiment which might improve agriculture; or to do anything more than what may answer the immediate and momentary calls of rent to the landlord, and leave subsistence to the tenant and his family. The desire of acquisition is always a passion of long views. Confine a man to momentary possession, and you at once cut off that laudable avarice which every wise state has cherished as one of the first principles of its greatness. Allow a man but a temporary possession, lay it down as a maxim that he never can have any other, and you immediately and infallibly turn him to temporary enjoyments; and these enjoyments are never the pleasures of labour and free industry, whose quality it is to famish the present hours, and squander all upon prospect and futurity; they are, on the contrary, those of a thoughtless, loitering, and dissipated life. The people must be inevitably disposed to such pernicious habits, merely from the short duration of their tenure which the law has allowed. But it is not enough that industry is checked by the confinement of its views; it is further discouraged by the limitation of its own direct object, profit. This is a regulation extremely worthy of our attention, as it is not a consequential, but a direct discouragement to melioration; as directly as if the law had said in express terms, "Thou shalt not improve."

But we have an additional argument to demonstrate the ill policy of denying the occupiers of land any solid property 44

in it Ireland is a country wholly unplanted The farms have neither dwelling houses nor good offices, nor are the lands almost anywhere provided with fences and communications. in a word, in a very unimproved state. The land owner there never takes upon him, as it is usual in this kingdom, to supply all these conveniences, and to set down his tenant in what may be called a completely furnished farm If the tenant will not do it, it is never done This circumstance shows how miserably and peculiarly impolitic it has been in Ireland to the down the body of the tenantry to short and unprofitable tenures A finished and furnished house will be taken for any term, however short if the repair lies on the owner, the shorter the better But no one will take one not only unfurnished, but half built, but upon a term which, on calculation, will answer with profit all his charges It is on this principle that the Romans established their emphyteusis, or fee farm For though they extended the ordinary term of their location only to nine years, yet they encouraged a more permanent letting to farm, with the condition of improvement, as well as of annual payment, on the part of the tenant, where the land had lain rough and neglected, and therefore invented this species of ingrafted holding in the latter times, when property came to be worse distributed by nt-

property acquired in trade to be re gorged, as it were, upon the land. They must have observed very little who have not remarked the bold and liberal spirit of improvement, which persons bred to trade have often exerted on their land purchases, that they usually come to them with a mere abundant command of ready money than most landed men possess, and that they have in general a much better idea, by long habits of calculative dealings, of the propriety of expending in order to acquire. Besides, such men eften bing their spirit of commerce into their estates with them, and make manufactures take a root, where the mere landed go it try had perhaps no capital, perhaps no inclination, and roef frequently not sufficient knowledge, to effect anything of the kind. By these means, what beautiful and useful spots have there not been made about trading and manufacturing towns.

and how has agriculture had reason to bless that happy alliance with commerce; and how miserable must that nation be, whose frame of polity has disjointed the landing and the trading interests!

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

The great prop of this whole system is not pretended to be its justice or its utility, but the supposed danger to the state which gave rise to it originally, and which, they apprehend, would return if this system were overturned. Whilst, say they, the Papists of this kingdom were possessed of landed property, and of the influence consequent to such property, their allegiance to the Crown of Great Britain was ever insecure; the public peace was ever liable to be broken; and Protestants never could be a moment secure either of their properties or of their lives. Indulgence only made them arrogant, and power daring; confidence only excited and enabled them to exert their inherent treachery; and the times which they generally selected for their most wicked and desperate rebellions were those in which they enjoyed

the greatest ease and the most perfect tranquillity.

Such are the arguments that are used both publicly and privately in every discussion upon this point. They are generally full of passion and of error, and built upon facts which in themselves are most false. It cannot, I confess, be denied, that those miserable performances which go about under the names of Histories of Ireland, do indeed represent those events after this manner; and they would persuade us, contrary to the known order of nature, that indulgence and moderation in governors is the natural incitement in subjects to rebel. But there is an interior History of Ireland, the genuine voice of its records and monuments, which speaks a very different language from these histories, from Temple and from Clarendon; these restore nature to its just rights, and policy to its proper order. For they even now show to those who have been at the pains to examine them, and they may show one day to all the world, that these rebellions were not produced by toleration, but by persecution; that they arose not from just and mild government, but from the most unparalleled oppression. These records will be far from giving the least countenance-to a doctrine so repugnant to humanity and good sense, as that the security of any establishment evil or religious, can ever depend upon the misers of those who hive under it, or that its danger can arise from their quet and prosperity. God forbid, that the history of this or any country should give such encouragement to the folly or uses of those who go err. If it can be shown that the great rebellions of Ireland have arisen from attempts to reduce the natures to the state to which they are now reduced, it will show that an attempt to continue them in that state will rather be disadvantageous to the public peace than any kind of security to it. These things have, in some measure, begun to appear already, and as fur as regards the argument drawn from former rebellions it will fall readily to the ground. But, for my part I think the real danger of every state is, to render its subjects justly discontented, nor is there in politics or science any more effectual secret for their security, than to establish in their people a firm opinion.

first

that such a temper can never become universal or last for a long time. The principle of religion is seldom lasting, the majority of men are in no persuresion bigots, they are not willing to sacrifice, on every vain imagination that superstition or enthusia mholds forth or that even zeal and paty recommend the certain possession of their temporal hippiness. And if such a spirit has been at any time roused in a society after it has had its purvaysm it commonly sub-udes and is quiet and is even the weaker for the violence of its first exertion, security and evesion its mortal enemies. But secondly if anything can tend to revive and keep it up it is to keep aline the pressions of men by ill using. This is enough to irritate even those who have not a spark of bigotry in their constitution to the most desperate enterprises, it certainly will influme darken, and render more dangerous the spirit of bigotry in those who are possessed by it. Lastly by rooting out any sect, you are never recure against the chects of functions, it was area on the safe of the most flowered of juntaces, it was a size on the safe of the most flowered of juntaces, at the safe of the most flowered of juntaces, and many are it instances wherein the established rulegion of a stat has grown fractions and turned upon its keep c, and has often form to justices.

the civil establishment that had cherished it, and which it was designed to support; France—England—Holland.

But there may be danger of wishing a change, even where

But there may be danger of wishing a change, even where no religious motive can operate; and every enemy to such a state comes as a friend to the subject; and where other

countries are under terror, they begin to hope.

This argument ad verecundiam has as much force as any such have. But I think it fares but very indifferently with those who make use of it; for they would get but little to be proved abettors of tyranny at the expense of putting me to an inconvenient acknowledgment. For if I were to confess that there are circumstances in which it would be better to establish such a religion \* \* \* \* \*

With regard to the pope's interest. This foreign chief of their religion cannot be more formidable to us than to other Protestant countries. To conquer that country for himself, is a wild chimera: to encourage revolt in favour of foreign

is a wild chimera; to encourage revolt in favour of foreign princes, is an exploded idea in the politics of that court. Perhaps it would be full as dangerous to have the people under the conduct of factious pastors of their own, as under a foreign ecclesiastical court.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

In the second year of the reign of Queen Elizabeth were enacted several limitations in the acquisition, or the retaining, of property, which had, so far as regarded any general principles, hitherto remained untouched under all changes.

These bills met no opposition either in the Irish parliament or in the English council, except from private agents, who were little attended to; and they passed into laws with the highest and most general applauses, as all such things are in the beginning, not as a system of persecution, but as master-pieces of the most subtle and refined politics. And, to say the truth, these laws, at first view, have rather an appearance of a plan of vexatious litigation, and crooked law-chicanery, than of a direct and sanguinary attack upon the rights of private conscience; because they did not affect life, at least with regard to the laity; and making the Catholic opinions rather the subject of civil regulations than of criminal prosecutions, to those who are not lawyers, and read

these laws, they only appear to be a species of jargon. For the execution of criminal law has always a certain appearance of violence. Being exercised directly on the persons of the supposed offenders, and commonly executed in the face of the public, such executions are apt to exicts sentiments of pity for the sufferers, and indignation against those who are employed in such crucilities, being seen as single acts of crucilty rather than as ill general principles of government. But the operation of the laws in question being such as common feeling brings home to every main's bosom, they operate in a sort of comparative silence and obscurity, and, though their crucilty is exceedingly great, it is never seen in a single exertion, and always escapes commiscration, being searce.

inquiries,

real object of their national politics, and for many years there was no speech from the throne, which did not, with great appearance of seriousness, recommend the passing of such laws, and scarce a session went over without in effect passing some of them, until they have, by degrees, grown to be the most considerable head in the Irish statute book At the same time, giving a temporary and occasional mitigation to the severity of some of the harshest of those laws, they appeared, in some sort, the protectors of those whom they were in reality destroying by the establishment of general constitutions against them At length, however, the policy of this expedient is worn out, the passions of men are cooled, those laws begin to disclose themselves, and to produce effects very different from those which were promised in making them, for crooked counsels are ever unwise, and nothing can be more absurd and daugerous than to tamper with the natural foundations of society in hopes of Leening it up by certain contrivances

# A LETTER TO WILLIAM SMITH, ESQ.

MY DEAR SIR,

Your letter is to myself infinitely obliging: with regard to you. I can find no fault with it, except that of a tone of humility and disqualification, which neither your rank, nor the place you are in, nor the profession you belong to, nor your very extraordinary learning and talents, will in propriety demand, or perhaps admit. These dispositions will be still less proper, if you should feel them in the extent your modesty leads you to express them. You have certainly given by far too strong a proof of self-dissidence by asking the opinion of a man circumstanced as I am on the important subject of your letter. You are far more capable of forming just conceptions upon it than I can be. However, since you are pleased to command me to lay before you my thoughts, as materials upon which your better judgment may operate, I shall obey you; and submit them, with great deference, to your melioration or rejection.

But first permit me to put myself in the right. I owe you an answer to your former letter. It did not desire one; but it deserved it. If not for an answer, it called for an acknowledgment. It was a new favour; and indeed I should be worse than insensible if I did not consider the honours you have heaped upon me with no sparing hand, with becoming gratitude. But your letter arrived to me at a time when the closing of my long and last business in life, a business extremely complex, and full of difficulties and vexations of all sorts, occupied me in a manner which those who have not seen the interior as well as exterior of it cannot easily imagine. I confess, that in the crisis of that rude conflict I neglected many things that well deserved my best attention: none that deserved it better, or have caused me more regret in the neglect, than your letter. The instant that business was over, and the House had passed its judgment on the conduct of the managers, I lost no time to execute what for years I had resolved on: it was to quit my public station,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Then a member of the Irish parliament: now one of the barons of the Court of Exchequer in Ireland.

and to seek that tranquility in my very advanced age to which, after a very tempestuous life, I thought mysell entitled But God has thought fit (and I unfegnedly acknowledge his justice) to dispose of things otherwise. So heavy a calamity has fallen upon me, as to disable me for business, and to disqualify me for repose. The existence I have I do not know that I can call life. Accordingly I do not meddle with any one measure of government, though, for what reasons I know not, you seem to suppose me deeply in the secret of affairs. I only know, so far as your side of the water is concerned, that your present excellent lord-heutennt (the best man, in every relation, that I have ever been acquainted with) has perfectly pure intentious with regard to Ireland, and, of course, that he wishes cordially well to those who form the great mass of its inhabitants, and who, as they are well or ill managed, must form an important part of its strength, or weakness. If, with regard to I meyer much the process of the property of the process o

hope, will form my humble apology, if I should ern in the notions I entertain of the question which is soon to become the subject of your deliberations. At the same time accept it as an apology for my neglects

your attachment to the re-It proves (as in you it is great points in which the

great points in which the leading divisions are agreed, when the lesser, in which they differ, are so dear to you. I shall never call any religious opinions, which appear important to serious and pious minds things of no consideration. Nothing is so fittal to religion as indifference, which is, at least, half infidelity. As long as men hold charity and justice to be essential integral parts of religion, there can be little danger from a strong attachment to particular tenets in faith. This, I am perfectly sure, is your case, but I am not equally sure that either zed for the tenets of faith, or the smallest degree of charity or justice, have much influenced the gentlemen who, under pretests of zeal, have resisted the enfranchisement of their country. My dear son, who was a person of discernment, as well as clear and acute in his expressions, said in a letter of his.

which I have seen, "that in order to grace their cause, and to draw some respect to their persons, they pretend to be bigots." But here, I take it, we have not much to do with the theological tenets, on the one side of the question or the other. The point itself is practically decided. That religion is owned by the state. Except in a settled maintenance, it is protected. A great deal of the rubbish, which, as a nuisance, long obstructed the way, is removed. One impediment remained longer, as a matter to justify the proscription of the body of our country, after the rest had been abandoned as untenable ground. But the business of the pope (that mixed person of politics and religion) has long ceased to be a bugbear: for some time past he has ceased to be even a colourable pretext. This was well known, when the Catholics of these kingdoms, for our anusement, were obliged on oath to disclaim him in his political capacity; which implied an allowance for them to recognise him in some sort of ecclesiastical superiority. It was a compromise of the old dispute.

For my part, I confess, I wish that we had been less eager in this point. I don't think, indeed, that much mischief will happen from it, if things are otherwise properly managed. Too nice an inquisition ought not to be made into opinions that are dying away of themselves. Had we lived a hundred and fifty years ago, I should have been as earnest and auxious as anybody for this sort of abjuration: but, living at the time in which I live, and obliged to speculate forward instead of backward, I must fairly say, I could well endure the existence of every sort of collateral aid which opinion might, in the now state of things, afford to authority. I must see much more danger than in my life I have seen, or than others will venture seriously to affirm that they see, in the pope aforesaid, (though a foreign power, and with his long tail of et ceteras,) before I should be active in weakening any hold which government might think it prudent to resort to, in the management of that large part of the king's subjects. I do not choose to direct all my precautions to the part where the danger does not press; and to leave myself open and unguarded where I am not only really but visibly attacked.

My whole politics, at present, centre in one point; and to this the merit or demerit of every measure (with me) is referable; that is, what will most promote or depress the cruse of Jacobinism What is Jacobinism? It is an attempt (hitherto but too successful) to eradicate prejudice out of the minds of men, for the purpose of putting all power and authority into the hands of the persons capable of occasionally enlightening the minds of the people. For this purpose the Jacobins have resolved to destroy the whole frame and fabric of the old societies of the world, and to regenerate them after their fashion. To obtain an army for this purpose, they everywhere engage the poor by holding out to them as a bribe the spoils of the rich. This I take to be a fair description of the principles and leading maxims of the enlightened of our day, who are commonly called Jucobins.

As the grand prejudice, and that which holds all the other prejudices together, the first, last, and middle object of their hostility is religion With that they are at mexpiable war They make no distinction of sects A Christian, as such, 18 to them an enemy What, then, is left to a real Christian (Christian as a believer and as a statesman) but to make a league between all the grand divisions of that name, to protect and to cherish them all, and by no means to proscribe in any manner, more or less, any member of our common party? The divisions which formerly prevailed in the Church, with all their overdone zeal, only purified and ventilated our common faith, because there was no common enemy arrayed and embattled to take advantage of their dissensions but now nothing but inevitable ruin will be the consequence of our quarrels I think we may dispute, rail, persecute, and provoke the Catholics out of their prejudices, but it is not in ours they will take refuge If anything is, one more than another, out of the power of man it is to create a prejudice Somebody has said that a king may make a nobleman, but

he cannot make a gentleman

n one com

e favoured
pa.

no one com

e favoured
pyrdence, it

is impossible to tell, but, humanly speaking, they are all prescriptive religions. They have all stood long enough to make prescription, and its chain of legitimate prejudice, and the contraction of legitimate prejudice, and the contraction of legitimate prejudice, and the contraction of the contr

<sup>11,</sup> who

have their religion derived from their parents, and the fruits of education, must have it; however the one, more than the other, may be able to reconcile his faith to his own reason, or to that of other men. Depend upon it, they must all be supported, or they must all fall in the crash of a common ruin. The Catholics are the far more numerous part of the Christians in your country; and how can Christianity (that is now the point in issue) be supported under the persecution, or even under the discountenance, of the greater number of Christians? It is a great truth, and which in one of the debates I stated as strongly as I could to the House of Commons in the last session, that if the Catholic religion is destroyed by the infidels, it is a most contemptible and absurd idea, that this, or any Protestant church, can survive that event. Therefore my humble and decided opinion is, that all the three religions, prevalent more or less in various parts of these islands, ought all, in subordination to the legal establishments, as they stand in the several countries, to be all countenanced, protected, and cherished; and that in Ireland particularly the Roman Catholic religion should be upheld in high respect and veneration; and should be, in its place, provided with all the means of making it a blessing to the people who profess it; that it ought to be cherished as a good, (though not as the most preferable good, if a choice was now to be made,) and not tolerated as an inevitable evil. If this be my opinion as to the Catholic religion, as a sect, you must see that I must be to the last degree averse to put a man, upon that account, upon a bad footing with relation to the privileges which the fundamental laws of this country give him as a subject. I am the more serious on the positive encouragement to be given to this religion, (always, however, as secondary,) because the serious and earnest belief and practice of it by its professors forms, as things stand, the most effectual barrier, if not the sole barrier, against Jacobinism. The Catholics form the great body of the lower ranks of your community; and no small part of those classes of the middling that come nearest to them. You know that the seduction of that part of mankind from the principles of religion, morality, subordination, and social order, is the great object of the Jacobins. Let them grow lax, sceptical, careless, and indifferent with regard to religion, and so sure as

we have an existence, it is not a zealous Anglican or Scottish church principle, but direct Jacobinism, which will enter into that breach. Two hundred years, dreadfully spent in

You have made, as you naturally do, a very able analysis of powers, and have separated as the things are separable, civil from political powers You start, too, a question, whether the civil can be secured without some share in the po-Intical For my part, as abstract questions, I should find some difficulty in an attempt to resolve them But, as applied to the state of Ireland, to the form of our commonwealth, to the parties that divide us, and to the dispositions of the leading men in those parties, I cannot hesitate to lay before you my opinion, that whilst any kind of discouragements and disqualifications remain on the Catholics a handle will be made by a factious power, utterly to defeat the benefits of any civil rights they may apparently possess I need not go to very remote times for my examples It was within the course of about a twelvemonth that, after parliament had been led into a step quite unparalleled in its records, after they had resisted all concession, and even hearing, with an obstinacy equal to anything that could have actuated a party domination in the second or eighth of Queen Anne,-after the strange adventure of the grand juries, and after parliament had listened to the sovereign pleading for the emancipation of his subjects, -it was after all this, that such a grudging and discontent was expressed, as must justly have alarmed, as it did extremely alarm, the whole of the Catholic body and I remember but one period in my whole life, (I mean the savage period between 1761 and 1767,) in which they have been more harshly or contumeliously treated, than since I am convinced it igma remains on

better than half

citizens If they are kept such for any length of time, they will be made whole Jacobins Against this grand and dreadful evil of our time (I do not love to cheat myself or others) I do not know any solid security whatsoever. But I am quite certain, that what will come nearest to it is to interest as many as you can in the present order of things, religiously, civilly, politically, by all the ties and principles by which mankind are held. This is like to be effectual policy: I am sure it is honourable policy: and it is better to fail, if fail we must, in the paths of direct and manly, than of low and crooked, wisdom.

As to the capacity of sitting in parliament, after all the capacities for voting, for the army, for the navy, for the professions, for civil offices, it is a dispute de lana caprina, in my poor opinion; at least on the part of those who oppose it. In the first place, this admission to office, and this exclusion from parliament, on the principle of an exclusion from political power, is the very reverse of the principle of the English test act. If I were to form a judgment from experience rather than theory, I should doubt much whether the capacity for, or even the possession of, a seat in parliament, did really convey much of power to be properly called political. I have sat there, with some observation, for nineand-twenty years, or thereabouts. The power of a member of parliament is uncertain and indirect; and, if power rather than splendour and fame were the object, I should think that any of the principal clerks in office, to say nothing of their superiors, (several of whom are disqualified by law for seats in parliament.) possess far more power than nine-tenths of the members of the House of Commons. I might say this of men who seemed from their fortunes, their weight in their country, and their talents, to be persons of figure there; and persons, too, not in opposition to the prevailing party in government.

But be they what they will, on a fair canvass of the several prevalent parliamentary interests in Ireland, I cannot, out of the three hundred members of whom the Irish parliament is composed, discover that above three, or at the utmost four, Catholics would be returned to the House of Commons. But suppose they should amount to thirty, that is, to a tenth part, (a thing I hold impossible for a long series of years, and never very likely to happen,) what is this to those, who are to balance them in the one House, and the clear and settled majority in the other? For I think it ab-

solutely impossible that, in the course of many years, above four or five peers should be created of that communion. In fact, the exclusion of them seems to me only to mark jealousy and suspicion, and not to provide security in any way. But I return to the old ground. The danger is not there—these are things not long since done away. The grand controversy is no longer between you and them. Forgive this length. My pen has insensibly run on. You are yourself to blame if you are much fatigued. I congratulate you on the auspicious opening of your session. Surely Great Britain and Ireland ought to join in wreathing a never fading gar land for the head of Grattan. Adeu 1 my dear Sir—good mights to you 1—I never can have any

Yours always most sincerely, EDMUND BURKE

Jan 29th, 1795 Twelve at night

#### A SECOND LETTER

#### TO SIR HERCULES LANGRISHE

MY DEAR SIR,

If I am not as early as I ought to be an my acknow ledgments for your very kind letter, pray do me the justice to attribute my failure to its natural, and but too real cause,—a want of the most ordinary power of exertion owing to the impressions made upon an old and nifirm constitution by private misfortune and by public calamity It is true I make occasional efforts to rouse myself to something better, but I soon relapse into that state of languor, which must be the habit of my body and understanding to the end of my short and chercless existence in this world.

I am sincerely grateful for your kindness in connecting the interest you take in the sentiments of an old friend with the able part you take in the service of your country 10 is an instance among many of that happy temper which has always given a character of amenty to your virtues, and a good natured direction to your talents Your speech on the Catholic Question I read with much satisfaction. It is solid; it is convincing; it is cloquent; and it ought, on the spot, to have produced that effect which its reason, and that contained in the other excellent speeches on the same side of the question, cannot possibly fail (though with less pleasant consequences) to produce hereafter. What a sad thing it is that the grand instructor, Time, has not yet been able to teach the grand lesson of his own value; and that, in every question of moral and political prudence, it is the choice of the moment which renders the measure service-

able or useless, noxious or salutary.

In the Catholic Question I considered only one point. Was it at the time, and in the circumstances, a measure which tended to promote the concord of the citizens? difficulty in saying it was; and as little in saying that the present concord of the citizens was worth buying, at a critical season, by granting a few capacities, which probably no one man now living is likely to be served or hurt by. When any man tells you and me that, if these places were left in the discretion of a Protestant Crown, and these memberships in the discretion of Protestant electors, or patrons, we should have a Popish official system, and a Popish representation, capable of overturning the establishment, he only insults our understandings. When any man tells this to Catholics, he insults their understandings and he galls their feelings. It is not the question of the places and seats; it is the real hostile disposition, and the pretended fears, that leave stings in the minds of the people. I really thought that in the total of the late circumstances, with regard to persons, to things, to principles, and to measures, was to be found a conjunction favourable to the introduction, and to the perpetuation, of a general harmony, producing a general strength which to that hour Ireland was never so happy as to enjoy. My sanguine hopes are blasted, and I must consign my feelings on that terrible disappointment to the same patience in which I have been obliged to bury the vexation I suffered on the defeat of the other great, just, and honourable causes in which I have had some share; and which have given more of dignity than of peace and advantage to a long, laborious life. Though, perhaps, a want of success might be urged as a reason for making me doubt of the justice of the part I have taken,

vet, until I have other lights than one side of the debate has furnished me, I must see things, and feel them too, as I see and feel them I think I can hardly overrate the malignity of the principles of Protestant ascendency, as they affect Ireland, or of Indianism as they affect these countries, and as they affect Asia, or of Jacobinism, as they affect all Europe, and the state of human society itself The last is the greatest evil. But it readily combines with the others, and flows Whatever breeds discontent at this time will from them produce that great master mischief most infallibly Whatever tends to persuade the people that the few, called by whatever name you please, religious or political, are of opinion that their interest is not compatible with that of the many, is a great point gained to Jacobinism Whatever tends to urntate the talents of a country, which have at all times and at these particularly, a mighty influence on the public mind, is of infinite service to that formidable cause Unless where of virtue in the

> Cordia Tetan-Thatever ill hum ire to discharge

themselves in a mingled torrent in the cloaca maxima of Jacobinism Therefore people ought well to look about them First, the physicians are to take care that they do nothing to irritate this epidemical distemper It is a foolish thing to have the better of the patient in a dispute The complaint, or its cause, ought to be removed, and wise and lenient arts ought to precede the measures of vigour They ought to be the ultima, not the prima, not the tota ratio of a wise government God forbid, that on a worthy occasion authority should want the means of force, or the disposition to use it But where a prudent and enlarged policy does not precede it, and attend it too, where the hearts of the better sort of people do not go with the hands of the soldiery, you may call your constitution what you will, in effect it will consist of three parts, (orders, if you please,)-cavalry, infantry, and artillery,-and of nothing else or better

I agree with you in your dislike of the discourses in Francis Street, but I like as little some of those in College Green. I am even less pleased with the temper that predominated in the latter, as better things might have been expected in the regular family mansion of public discretion, than in a new and hasty assembly of unexperienced men, congregated under circumstances of no small irritation. After people have taken your tests, prescribed by yourselves as proofs of their allegiance, to be marked as enemies, traitors, or at best as suspected and dangerous persons, and that they are not to be believed on their oaths, we are not to be emprised if they fall into a passion, and talk, as men in a passion do, intemperately and idly.

The worst of the matter is this: you are partly leading. partly driving, into Jacobinism that description of your people whose religious principles-church polity, and habitual discipline—might make them an invincible dyke against that inundation. This you have a thousand mattocks and pick-axes lifted up to demolish. You make a sad story of the pope! - O seri studiorum! - It will not be difficult to get many called Catholics to laugh at this fundamental part of their religion. Never doubt it. You have succeeded in part; and you may succeed completely. But in the present state of men's minds and affairs do not flatter yourselves that they will piously look to the head of our church in the place of that pope whom you make them forswear; and out of all reverence to whom you bully, and rail, and bufloon them. Perhaps you may succeed in the same manner with all the other tenets of doctrine, and usages of discipline, amongst the Catholics. But what security have you that in the temper and on the principles on which they have made this change, they will stop at the exact sticking-places you have marked in your articles? You have no security for anything, but that they will become what are called Franco-Jacobins, and reject the whole together. No converts now will be made in a considerable number from one of our sects to the other upon a really religious principle. Controversy moves in another direction.

Next to religion, property is the great point of Jacobin attack. Here, many of the debaters in your majority, and their writers, have given the Jacobins all the assistance their hearts can wish. When the Catholics desire places and seats, you tell them that this is only a pretext (though Protestants might suppose it just possible for men to like good places and snug boroughs for their own merits); but that

their real view is to strip Profestants of their property. To my certain knowledge, till those Jacobin lectures were opened in the House of Commons, they never dreamt of any such thing, but now the great professors may stimulate them to inquire (on the new principles) into the foundation of that property, and of all property. If you treat men as robbers, why, robbers sooner or later they will become A third part of Jacobin attack is on old traditionary con-

stitutions You are apprehensive for yours, which leans from its perpendicular, and does not stand firm on its theory I like parliamentary reforms as little as any man who has boroughs to sell for money, or for peerages, in Ireland But it passes my comprehension, in what manner it is, that men can be reconciled to the practical ments of a constitution, the theory of which is in litigation, by being practically excluded from any of its advantages. Let us put ourselves in the place of these people, and try an experiment of the effects of such a procedure on our own mind. Unques-tionably we should be perfectly satisfied when we were told that houses of parliament, instead of being places of refuge for popular liberty, were citadels for keeping us in order as a conquered people. These things play the Jacobin game to a meety. Indeed, my dear Sir, there is not a single particular in the Francis Street declamations which has not, to your and to my certain knowledge, been taught by the jealous ascendants, sometimes by doctrine, sometimes by example, always by provocation Remember the whole of 1781 and 1782-in parliament and out of parliament; at this very day, and in the worst acts and designs, observe the tenor of the objections with which the College Green orators of the ascendency reproach the Catholes You have observed, no doubt, how much they rely on the affair of Jackson is it not pleasant to hear Catholes reproached for a supposed connexion—with whom ?—with Protestant elergy men! with Protestant gentlemen! with Mr Jackson!—with Mr Rowan, &c &c! But egomet mi ignosco Conspiracies and treasons are privileged pleasures, not to be profuned by the impure and unhallowed touch of Papists Indeed, all this will do, perhaps, well enough with detachments of dismounted cavalry and fencibles from England But let us not say to Catholics by way of argument, that they are to be kept in a degraded

state, because some of them are no better than many of us Protestants. The thing I most disliked in some of their speeches (those I mean of the Catholics) was what is called the spirit of liberality, so much and so diligently taught by the ascendants, by which they are made to abandon their own particular interests, and to merge them in the general discontents of the country. It gave me no pleasure to hear of the dissolution of the committee. There were in it a majority, to my knowledge, of very sober, well-intentioned men; and there were none in it but such who, if not continually goaded and irritated, might be made useful to the tranquillity of the country. It is right always to have a few of every description, through whom you may quietly operate on the many, both for the interests of the description, and for the general interest. Excuse me, my dear friend, if I have a little tried your patience. You have brought this trouble on yourself, by your thinking of a man forgot, and who has no objection to be forgot, by the world. These things we discussed together four or five and thirty years ago. We were then, and at bottom ever since, of the same opinion on the justice and policy of the whole, and of every part, of the penal system. You and I and everybody must now and then ply and bend to the occasion, and take what can be got. But very sure I am, that whilst there remains in the law any principle whatever which can furnish to certain politicians an excuse for raising an opinion of their own importance, as necessary to keep their fellowsubjects in order, the obnoxious people will be fretted, harassed, insulted, provoked to discontent and disorder, and practically excluded from the partial advantages from which the letter of the law does not exclude them.

Adieu! my dear Sir, and believe me very truly

Yours,

Beaconsfield, May 26, 1795.

EDMUND BURKE.

# LETTER TO RICHARD BURKE, ESQ.

MY DEAR SON,

We are all again assembled in town, to finish the last, but the most laborious, of the tasks which have been imposed upon me during my parliamentary service. We are as well as at our time of life we can expect to be. We have, indeed, some moments of anxiety about you. You are engaged in an undertaking similar in its principle to mine. You are engaged in the relief of an oppressed people. In that service you must necessarily excite the same sort of passions in those who have exercised, and who wish to continue, that oppression that I have had to struggle with in this long labour. As your father has done, you must make enemies of many of the rich, of the proud, and of the powerful. I and you began in the same way. I must confess, that, if our place was of our choice, I could wish it had been your lot to begin the career of your life with an endeavour to reader some more moderate, and less invidous, service to the pub-

shaken from the character of your mind, I should be satisfied on that point by the cry that is raised against you. If you had behaved, as they call it, discretly, that is, faintly and treacherously, in the execution of your trust, you would have had, for a while, the good word of all sorts of men, even of many of those whose cause you had betrayed; and whilst courter the control of the courter of the courter

beaten ects it

1 to.

When data that the for sooth from you what you are about, or should trifle with it. In this House

All must come right. You may open the way with pain, and

under reproach. Others will pursue it with ease and with

applause.

I am sorry to find that pride and passion, and that sort of zeal for religion which never shows any wonderful heat but when it afflicts and mortifies our neighbour, will not let the ruling description perceive, that the privilege for which your clients contend is very nearly as much for the benefit of those who refuse it, as those who ask it. I am not to examine into the charges that are daily made on the administration of Ireland. I am not qualified to say how much in them is cold truth, and how much rhetorical exaggeration. Allowing some foundation to the complaint, it is to no purpose that these people allege that their government is a job in its administration. I am sure it is a job in its constitution; nor is it possible a scheme of polity which, in total exclusion of the body of the community, confines (with little or no regard to their rank or condition in life) to a certain set of favoured citizens the rights which formerly belonged to the whole, should not, by the operation of the same selfish and narrow principles, teach the persons who administer in that government to prefer their own particular, but well understood, private interest to the false and ill calculated private interest of the monopolizing company they belong to. Eminent characters, to be sure, overrule places and circum-I have nothing to say to that virtue, which shoots up in full force by the native vigour of the seminal principle, in spite of the adverse soil and climate that it grows in. But, speaking of things in their ordinary course, in a country of monopoly there can be no patriotism. There may be a party spirit—but public spirit there can be none. As to a spirit of liberty, still less can it exist, or anything like it. A liberty made up of penalties! a liberty made up of incapacities! a liberty made up of exclusion and proscription, continued for ages, of four-fifths, perhaps, of the inhabitants of all ranks and fortunes! In what does such liberty differ from the description of the most shocking kind of servitude?

But, it will be said, in that country some people are freewhy this is the very description of despotism! Partial freedom is privilege and prerogative, and not liberty. Liberty, such as deserves the name, is an honest, equitable, diffusive, and impartial principle. It is a great and enlarged virtue, and not a sordid, selfish, and illiberal vice. It is the portion of the mass of the citizens, and not the haughty heence of some potent individual, or some predominant faction. If anything ought to be despotic in a country, it is its government, because there is no cause of constant operation

to make its joke unequal But the dominion of a party must continually, steadily, and by its very essence lean upon the prostrate description A constitution formed so as to enable a party to overrule its very government, and to overpower the people too, answers the purposes neither of government nor of freedom It compels that power which ought, and often would be disposed, equally to protect the subjects, to fail in its trust, to counteract its purposes, and to become no better than the instrument of the wrongs of a Q m d man of nd n m of ar + n all governments t to please the body them, nor with safety call for their support, nor is of power to sway the domineering faction, can only exist by corruption, and, taught by that monopolizing party which usurps the title and qualities of the public, to consider the body of the people as out of the constitution, they will consider those who are

as out of the constitution, they will consider those who are in it in the light in which they choose to consider themselves. The whole relation of government and of freedom will be a battle, or a traffic. This system in its real nature, and under its proper appel-

This system in its real nature, and under its proper appellations, is odious and unnatural, especially when a constitution is admitted which not only, as all constitutions do profess, has a regard to the good of the multitude, but in its theory makes profession of their power also But of late this scheme of theirs has been new christened-honestum nomen imponitur vitio A word has been lately struck in the mint of the Castle of Dublin, thence it was conveyed to the Tholsel, or city hall, where, having passed the touch of the corporation, so respectably stamped and vouched, it soon became current in parliament, and was carried back by the Speaker of the House of Commons in great pomp, as an offering of homage from whence it came The word is Ascendency It is not absolutely new But the sense in which I have hitherto seen it used was to signify an influence obtained over the minds of some other person by

love and reverence, or by superior management and dexterity. It had, therefore, to this its promotion no more than a moral, not a civil or political, use. But I admit it is capable of being so applied; and if the Lord Mayor of Dublin, and the Speaker of the Irish parliament, who recommend the preservation of the Protestant ascendency, mean to employ the word in that sense, that is, if they understand by it the preservation of the influence of that description of gentlemen over the Catholics by means of an authority derived from their wisdom and virtue, and from an opinion they raise in that people of a pious regard and affection for their freedom and happiness, it is impossible not to commend their adoption of so apt a term into the family of politics. It may be truly said to enrich the language. Even if the Lord Mayor and Speaker mean to insinuate that this influence is to be obtained and held by flattering their people, by managing them, by skilfully adapting themselves to the humours and passions of those whom they would govern, he must be a very untoward critic who would cavil even at this use of the word, though such cajoleries would perhaps be more prudently practised than professed. These are all meanings laudable, or at least tolerable. But when we look a little more narrowly, and compare it with the plan to which it owes its present technical application, I find it has strayed far from its original sense. It goes much further than the privilege allowed by Horace. It is more than parce detortum. This Protestant ascendency means nothing less than an influence obtained by virtue, by love, or even by artifice and seduction; full as little an influence derived from the means by which ministers have obtained an influence, which might be called, without straining, an ascendency in public assemblies in England, that is, by a liberal distribution of places and pensions, and other graces of government. This last is wide indeed of the signification of the word. New ascendency is the old mastership. It is neither more nor less than the resolution of one set of people in Ireland to consider themselves as the sole citizens in the commonwealth; and to keep a dominion over the rest by reducing them to absolute slavery under a military power; and, thus fortified in their power, to divide the public estate, which is the re-VOL. VI.

sult of general contribution, as a military booty solely amongst themselves

The poor word ascendency, so soft and melodious in its sound, so lentitive and emollient in its first usage, is now employed to cover to the world the most rigid, and perhaps not the most wise, of all plans of policy. The word is large onceive what mode onceive what mode

nceive what mod

cendency I pride and do

the other subserviency and contempt—and it signifies nothing elso The old words area so it to be set to music as the new, but use has long since affixed to them their true signification, and they sound, as the other will, harshly and odiously to the

the proscription from citizenship of by far the major part of the people of any country, then Protestant ascendency is a bad thing, and it ought to have no existence. But there is a deeper evil. By the use that is so frequently made of the term, and the policy which is ingrafted on it, the name fro-

of its own, upon the ground of which it persecutes other men, for the patrons of this Protestant ascendency neither do, nor can, by anything positive, define or describe what they mean by the word Protestant It is defined, as Cowley defines wit, not by what it is not It is

Christians, that known about its

signification This makes such persecutors ten times worse than any of that description that hitherto have been known in the world The old persecutors, whether Pagan or Christian, whether Aran or Orthodox, whether Catholies, Anglicans, or Calvinsts, actually were, or at least had the decorum

to pretend to be, strong dogmatists. They pretended that their religious maxims were clear and ascertained, and so useful, that they were bound, for the eternal benefit of mankind, to defend or diffuse them, though by any sacrifices of the temporal good of those who were the objects of their

system of experiment. The bottom of this theory of persecution is false. It is not permitted to us to sacrifice the temporal good of any body of men to our own ideas of the truth and falsehood of any religious opinions. By making men miserable in this life they counteract one of the great ends of charity; which is, inasmuch as in us lies, to make men happy in every period of their existence, and most in what most depends upon us. But give to these old persecutors their mistaken principle, in their reasoning they are consistent, and in their tempers they may be even kind and good-natured. But whenever a faction would render millions of mankind miserable, -some millions of the race co-existent with themselves, and many millions in their succession, without knowing, or so much as pretending to ascertain, the doctrines of their own school, (in which there is much of the lash and nothing of the lesson,) the errors which the persons in such a faction fall into are not those that are natural to human imbecility, nor is the least mixture of mistaken kindness to mankind an ingredient in the severities they inflict. The whole is nothing but pure and perfect malice. It is, indeed, a perfection in that kind belonging to beings of a higher order than man, and to them we ought to leave it.

This kind of persecutors, without zeal, without charity, know well enough that religion, to pass by all questions of the truth or falsehood of any of its particular systems, (a matter I abandon to the theologians on all sides,) is a source of great comfort to us mortals in this our short but tedious journey through the world. They know that to enjoy this consolation men must believe their religion upon some principle or other, whether of education, habit, theory, or authority. When men are driven from any of those principles on which they have received religion, without embracing with the same assurance and cordiality some other system, a dreadful void is left in their minds, and a terrible shock is given to their morals. They lose their guide, their comfort,

their hope. None but the most cruel and hard hearted of mer who had banished all natural tenderness from their mindsuch as those beings of iron the atheists, could bring them selves to any persecution like this Strange it is, but so i is, that men, driven by force from their habits in one mod of religion, have, by contrary habits, under the same force often quetly settled in another They suborn their reaso to declare in favour of their necessity Man and his consci ence cannot always be at war If the first races have no been able to make a pacification between the conscience an the convenience, their descendants come generally to submi to the violence of the laws, without violence to their minds As things stood formerly, they possessed a positive scheme of direction and of consolation. In this men may acquiesce The harsh methods in use with the old class of persecutor were to make converts, not apostates only If they per versely hated other sects and factions, they loved their own inordinately But in this Protestant persecution there is anything but benevolence at work. What do the Irish statutes? They do not make a conformity to the established

s no system
which they
not profess

Some of the unbuppy assertors of this strange scheme say they are not persecutors on account of religion In the first

religion, and to its doctrines and practices the condition of

place they say what is not true For what else do they disfranchise the people? If the man gets rid of a religion through which their mulice operates, he gets rid of all their penalties and incaprenties at once. They nover afterwards inquire about him. I speak here of their pretexts, and not of the true spirit of the transaction, in which religious bigotry, I apprehend, has little share. Every man has his taste; but I think, if I were so miscrable and undone as to be guilty of premeditated and continued violence towards any set of men, I had rather that my conduct was supposed to arise from wild conceits concerning their religious advantages than from low and ungenerous motives relative to my own selfish interest. I had rather be thought insane in my charity than rational in my malice. This much, my dear son, I have to say of this Protestant persecution; that is, a persecution of

A very great part of the mischiefs that vex the world arises from words. People soon forget the meaning, but the impression and the passion remain. The word Protestant is the charm that locks up in the dungeon of servitude three millions of your people. It is not amiss to consider this spell of potency, this abracadabra, that is hung about the necks of the unhappy, not to heal, but to communicate disease. We sometimes hear of a Protestant religion, frequently of a Protestant interest. We hear of the latter the most frequently, because it has a positive meaning. The other has none. We hear of it the most frequently, because it has a word in the phrase, which, well or ill understood, has animated to persecution and oppression at all times infinitely more than all the dogmas in dispute between religious factions. These are indeed well formed to perplex and torment the intellect; but not half so well calculated to inflame the passions and animosities of men.

I do readily admit, that a great deal of the wars, seditions, and troubles of the world did formerly turn upon the contention between *interests* that went by the names of Protestant and Catholic. But I imagined that at this time no one was weak enough to believe, or imprudent enough to pretend, that questions of Popish and Protestant opinions, or interest, are the things by which men are at present menaced with crusades by foreign invasion, or with seditions which shake the foundations of the state at home. It is long since all this combination of things has vanished from the view of intelligent observers. The existence of quite another system of opinions and interests is now plain to the grossest sense. Are these the questions that raise a flame in the minds of men at this day? If ever the church and the constitution of

England should fall in these islands, (and they will fall together,) it is not Presbyterian discipline, nor Popush her archy, that will rise upon their rums. It will not be the Church of Rome nor the Church of Scotland—not the Church of Luther, nor the Church of Calvin. On the contrary, all these Churches are menaced, and menaced alike. It is the new fanatical religion, now in the heat of its first ferment, of the Rights of Man, which rejects all establishments, all discipline, all ecclesiastical, and in truth all civil, order, which will trumph, and which will hay prostrate your church, which will trumph, and which will hay prostrate your church, which will destroy your distinctions, and which will put all your properties to auction, and disperse you over the earth If the present establishment should fall, it is this religion which will trumph in Ireland and in England, as it has

Catholies, and amongst nominal churchmen, and amongst those dissenters who know little, and care less, about a pres bytery, or any of its discipline, or any of its doctrine

Against this new, this growing this exterminatory system, all these churches have a common concern to defend themselves. How the enthusiasts of this rising sect repoice to see you of the old churches play their game, and stir and rake the enders of animosities such in their askee, in order to keep up the execution of their plan for your common run!

to a thing

raise a riot

that this is an overt act of a treasonable conspiring. Here the bulls, and the pardons, and the crusade, and the pope, and the thunders of the Vatican, are everywhere at work. There is a plot to bring in a foreign power to destroy the church. Alsa' it is not about popes, but about potatoes, that the minds of this unhappy people are agitated. It is not from the spirit of zeal, but the spirit of whisker, that these wretches act. Is it then not conceived possible that a poor clown can be unwilling, after paying three pounds rent to a gentleman in a brown cort, to pay fourteen shillings to

one in a black coat for his acre of potatoes, and tumultously to desire some modification of the charge, without being supposed to have no other motive than a frantic zeal for being thus double-taxed to another set of landholders, and another set of priests? Have men no self-interest? no avarice? no repugnance to public imposts? Have they no sturdy and restive minds? no undisciplined habits? Is there nothing in the whole mob of irregular passions which might precipitate some of the common people, in some places, to quarrel with a legal, because they feel it to be a burdensome, imposition? According to these gentlemen, no offence can be committed by Papists but from zeal to their religion. make room for the vices of Papists, they clear the house of all the vices of men. Some of the common people (not one however in ten thousand) commit disorders. Well! punish them as you do, and as you ought to punish them, for their violence against the just property of each individual clergyman, as each individual suffers. Support the injured rector, or the injured impropriator, in the enjoyment of the estate of which (whether on the best plan or not) the laws have put him in possession. Let the crime and the punishment stand upon their own bottom. But now we ought all of us, clergymen most particularly, to avoid assigning another cause of quarrel, in order to infuse a new source of bitterness into a dispute which personal feelings on both sides will of themselves make bitter enough, and thereby involve in it, by religious descriptions, men who have individually no share whatsoever in those irregular acts. Let us not make the malignant fictions of our own imaginations, heated with factious controversies, reasons for keeping men that are neither guilty, nor justly suspected of crime, in a servitude equally dishonourable and unsafe to religion and to the state. When men are constantly accused, but know themselves not to be guilty, they must naturally abhor their accusers. There is no character, when malignantly taken up and deliberately pursued, which more naturally excites indignation and abhorrence in mankind; especially in that part of mankind which suffers from it.

I do not pretend to take pride in an extravagant attachment to any sect. Some gentlemen in Ireland affect that

sort of glory It is to their taste. Their piety, I take it for

justice to my own sentiments, that not one of those zealots for a Protestant interest wishes more sincerely than I do, perhaps not half so sincerely, for the support of the Established Church in both these kingdoms. It is a great link towards holding fast the connexion of religion with the state, and for keeping these two islands, in their present critical independence of constitution, in a close connexion of opinion and affection. I wish it well, as the religion of the greater number of the primary land proprietors of the kingdom, with whom all establishments of church and state, for strong political reasons, ought in my opinion to be warmly connected I wish it well, because it is more closely combined than my other of the church-systems with the Crown, which is the stay of the mixed constitution, because it is, as things now stand, the sole connecting political principle between the interest.

pillars of the Christian religion itself. The body and suffice

d leave m anv

distinct idea might iii I respect the Cathonic interests, and the Presbyterian republic But I know that the hope or the fear of establishing either of them is, in these kingdoms, equal

of them to These are

the Church are founded as well on the absolute as on the relative stun-

any absurdity,

any susuring, in the means of supporting any of these powers, or all of them

together? Instead of prating about Protestant ascendencies, Protestant parliaments ought, in my opinion, to think

at last of becoming patriot parliaments.

The legislature of Ireland, like all legislatures, ought to frame its laws to suit the people and the circumstances of the country, and not any longer to make it their whole business to force the nature, the temper, and the inveterate habits of a nation to a conformity to speculative systems concerning any kind of laws. Ireland has an established government, and a religion legally established, which are to be preserved. It has a people who are to be preserved too, and to be led by reason, principle, sentiment, and interest to acquiesce in that government. Ireland is a country under peculiar circumstances. The people of Ireland are a very mixed people; and the quantities of the several ingredients in the mixture are very much disproportioned to each other. Are we to govern this mixed body as if it were composed of the most simple elements, comprehending the whole in one system of benevolent legislation? or are we not rather to provide for the several parts according to the various and diversified necessities of the heterogeneous nature of the mass? Would not common reason and common honesty dictate to us the policy of regulating the people in the several descriptions of which they are composed, according to the natural ranks and classes of an orderly civil society, under a common protecting sovereign, and under a form of constitution favourable at once to authority and to freedom; such as the British constitution boasts to be, and such as it is, to those who enjoy it?

You have an ecclesiastical establishment, which, though the religion of the prince, and of most of the first class of landed proprietors, is not the religion of the major part of the inhabitants, and which, consequently, does not answer to them any one purpose of a religious establishment. This is a state of things which no man in his senses can call perfectly happy. But it is the state of Ireland. Two hundred years of experiment show it to be unalterable. Many a fierce struggle has passed between the parties. The result is—you cannot make the people Protestants—and they cannot shake off a Protestant government. This is what experience teaches, and what all men of sense, of all descriptions, know. To-

day the question is this—are we to make the best of this situation which we cannot alter? The question is—shall the condition of the body of the people be alleviated in other things, on account of their necessary suffering from their being subject to the burdens of two religious establishments,

being subject to the burdens of two religious establishments, from one of which they do not partake the least, living or dying, either of instruction or of consolation, or shall it be in ingoing the state of the s

cut off (perhaps) three millions of plebean subjects, without reference to property or any other qualification, from all connexion with the popular representation of the kingdom?

As to religion, it has nothing at all to do with the proceeding Liberty is not sacrificed to a zeal for religion, but
a zeal for religion is pretended and assumed, to destroy
liberty The Catholic religion is completely free It has
no establishment, but it is recognised, permitted, and in a
degree protected by the laws It a man is satisfied to be a
slave, he may be a Papist with perfect impunity. He may
say mass, or hear it, as he pleases, but he must consider him
self as an outlaw from the British constitution. If the constitutional liberty of the subject were not the thing aimed at,
the direct reverse course would be taken. The franchise
would have been permitted, and the mass exterminated
But the conscience of a man left, and a tenderness for it hypoortically pretended, is to make it a trap to catch his liberty
So much is this the design, that the violent particular

(and upon this they reckon, as aimals it as a sever they wish to direct the storm), they abandon all pretext of the general good of the community. They say that if the people, under any; portion or particle of possible for them to he

Europe, that their estates are made up of forfitures and confiscations from the natives —that if the body of people

obtain votes, any number of votes, however small, it will be a step to the choice of members of their own religion:-that the House of Commons, in spite of the influence of nineteen parts in twenty of the landed interest now in their hands, will be composed in the whole, or in far the major part, of Papists; that this Popish House of Commons will instantly pass a law to confiscate all their estates, which it will not be in their power to save even by entering into that Popish party themselves, because there are prior claimants to be satisfied;—that as to the House of Lords, though neither Papists nor Protestants have a share in electing them, the body of the peerage will be so obliging and disinterested as to fall in with this exterminatory scheme, which is to forfeit all their estates, the largest part of the kingdom; and, to crown all, that his Majesty will give his cheerful assent to this causeless act of attainder of his innocent and faithful Protestant subjects:—that they will be or are to be left without house or land, to the dreadful resource of living by their wits, out of which they are already frightened by the apprehension of this spoliation with which they are threatened:—that therefore they cannot so much as listen to any arguments drawn from equity or from national or constitutional policy; the sword is at their throats; beggary and famine at their door. See what it is to have a good look-out, and to see danger at the end of a sufficiently long perspective!

This is indeed to speak plain, though to speak nothing very new. The same thing has been said in all times and in all languages. The language of tyranny has been invariable; the general good is inconsistent with my personal safety. Justice and liberty seem so alarming to these gentlemen, that they are not ashamed even to slander their own titles; to calumniate, and call in doubt, their right to their own estates, and to consider themselves as novel disseizors, usurpers, and intruders, rather than lose a pretext for becoming oppressors of their fellow-citizens, whom they (not I) choose

to describe themselves as having robbed.

Instead of putting themselves in this odious point of light, one would think they would wish to let Time draw his oblivious veil over the unpleasant modes by which lordships and demesnes have been acquired in theirs, and almost in all

other countries upon earth. It might be imagined that when the sufferer (if a sufferer exists) had forgot the wrong, they would be pleased to forget it too, that they would permit the sacred name of possession to stand in the place of the melancholy and unpleasant title of grantees of con fiscation, which, though firm and valid in law, surely ments the name that a great Roman jurist gave to a title at least as valid in his nation as confiscation would be either in his or in ours,—Tristis et luctuous successio

Such is the situation of every min who comes in upon the rum of another-his succeeding, under this circumstance, is tristis et luctuosa successio If it had been the fate of any gentleman to profit by the confiscation of his neighbour, one would think he would be more disposed to give him a valuable interest under him in his land, or to allow him a pension, as I understand one worthy person has done, without fear or apprehension that his benevolence to a rumed family would be construed into a recognition of the forfeited title The public of England the other day acted in this manner towards Lord Newburgh, a Catholic Though the estate had been vested by law in the greatest of the public charities, they have given him a pension from his confiscation gone further in other cases On the last rebellion in 1745, in Scotland, several forfeitures were incurred. They had been disposed of by parliament to certain laudable uses Parliament reversed the method, which they had adopted in Lord Newburgh's case, and, in my opinion, did better, they gave the forfeited estates to the successors of the forfeiting proprietors, chargeable in part with the uses Is this, or anything like this, asked in favour of any human creature in Ireland? It is bounty, it is charity, wise bounty and politic charity, but no man can claim it as a right Here no such thing is claimed as right or begged as charity The demand has an object as distant from all considerations of this sort as any two extremes can be The people desire the privileges meep rably annexed, since Magna Charta, to the freehold which they have by descent, or obtain as the fruits of their industry They call for no man's estate, they desire not to be dispossessed of their own

But this melancholy and invidious title is a favourite (and like favourites, always of the least merit) with those who

possess every other title upon earth along with it. For this purpose they revive the bitter memory of every dissension which has torn to pieces their miserable country for ages. After what has passed in 1782, one would not think that decorum, to say nothing of policy, would permit them to call up, by magic charms, the grounds, reasons, and principles of those terrible confiscatory and exterminatory periods. They would not set men upon calling from the quiet sleep of death any Samuel, to ask him, by what act of arbitrary monarchs, by what inquisitions of corrupted tribunals and tortured jurors, by what fictitious tenures, invented to dispossess whole unoffending tribes and their chieftains! They would not conjure up the ghosts from the ruins of castles and churches, to tell for what attempt to struggle for the independence of an Irish legislature, and to raise armies of volunteers, without regular commissions from the Crown in support of that independence, the estates of the old Irish nobility and gentry had been confiscated. They would not wantonly call on those phantoms, to tell by what English acts of parliament, forced upon two reluctant kings, the lands of their country were put up to a mean and scandalous auction in every goldsmith's shop in London; or chopped to pieces, and cut into rations, to pay the mercenary soldiery of a regicide usurper. They would not be so fond of titles under Cromwell, who, if he avenged an Irish rebellion against the sovereign authority of the parliament of England, had himself rebelled against the very parliament whose sovereignty he asserted full as much as the Irish nation, which he was sent to subdue and confiscate, could rebel against that parliament, or could rebel against the king, against whom both he and the parliament which he served, and which he betrayed, had both of them rebelled.

The gentlemen who hold the language of the day know perfectly well that the Irish in 1641 pretended, at least, that they did not rise against the king, nor in fact did they, whatever constructions law might put upon their act. But full surely they rebelled against the authority of the parliament of England, and they openly professed so to do. Admitting (I have now no time to discuss the matter) the enormous and unpardonable magnitude of this their crime, they rued it in their persons, and in those of their children and their grand-

children even to the fifth and suth generations Admitting, then, the enormity of this unnatural rebellion in favour of the independence of Ireland, will it follow that it must be avenged for ever? Will it follow that it must be avenged on thousands, and perhaps hundreds of thousands, of those whom they can never trace, by the labours of the most subtle metaphysician of the traduction of crimes, or the most inquisitive genealogist of proscription, to the descendant of any one concerned in that nefarious Irish rebellion against the parlament of England?

If, however, you could find out these pedigrees of gull, I do not think the difference would be essential. History re cords many things which ought to make us hate eril actions, but neither history, nor morals, nor policy can teach us to pumsh innocent men on that account. What lesson does the iniquity of prevalent factions read to us? It ought to lesson us into an abhorience of the abuse of our own power in our own day, when we hate its excesses so much in other persons and in other times. To be satisfied to leave man the dead all the discuss

and in other times To be satisfied to leave man the dead all the discuss influed the farious fact to pieces, they ought not to rake into the hideous and aboundable things which were done in the turbulent fury of an interest of the satisfied of

an hundred and inty years atter, to min some colour for justifying them in the eternal proscription and civil excom munication of a whole people

Let us come to a later period of those confiscations, with the memory of which the gentlemen who triumph in the

their estates belief n nor to blame the English parliament for this confiscation. The Irish, it is true, did not revolt from King James's power. He threw himself upon their fidelity, and they supported him to the best of their ficelile power. Bo the crime of that obstinate adherence to an adherated sovereign against a prince.

whom the parliaments of Ireland and Scotland had recognised what it may, I do not mean to justify this rebellion more than the former. It might, however, admit some palliation in them. In generous minds some small degree of compassion might be excited for an error, where they were misled, as Cicero says to a conqueror, quidam specie et similitudine pacis, not without a mistaken appearance of duty, and for which the guilty have suffered by exile abroad, and slavery at home, to the extent of their folly or their offence. best calculators compute that Ireland lost 200,000 of her inhabitants in that struggle. If the principle of the English and Scottish resistance at the revolution is to be justified, (as sure I am it is.) the submission of Ireland must be somewhat extenuated. For if the Irish resisted King William, they resisted him on the very same principle that the English and Scotch resisted King James. The Irish Catholics must have been the very worst and the most truly unnatural of rebels, if they had not supported a prince whom they had seen attacked, not for any designs against their religion, or their liberties, but for an extreme partiality for their sect; and who, far from trespassing on their liberties and properties, secured both them and the independence of their country in much the same manner that we have seen the same things done at the period of 1782,—I trust the last revolution in Ireland.

That the Irish parliament of King James did in some particulars, though feebly, imitate the rigour which had been used towards the Irish, is true enough. Blameable enough they were for what they had done, though under the greatest possible provocation. I shall never praise confiscations or counter-confiscations as long as I live. When they happen by necessity I shall think the necessity lamentable and odious; I shall think that anything done under it ought not to pass into precedent, or to be adopted by choice, or to produce any of those shocking retaliations which never suffer dissensions to subside. Least of all would I fix the transitory spirit of civil fury by perpetuating and methodizing it in tyrannic government. If it were permitted to argue with power, might one not ask these gentlemen whether it would not be more natural, instead of wantonly mooting these questions concerning their property, as if it were an exercise

and able persons who conduct public affairs is undoubtedly superior to mine but self-partiality induces almost every man to defer something to his own Nothing is more notorious than that I have the misfortune of thinking that no one capital measure relative to political arrangements, and still less that a new military plan for the defence of either kingdom in this arduous war, has been taken upon any other principle than such as must conduct us to mevitable ruin

In the state of my mind, so discordant with the tone of ministers, and still more discordant with the tone of opposition, you may judge what degree of weight I am likely to

the attentions of , I am totally out

of all social intercourse. My health has gone down very rapidly, and I have been brought hither with very faint hopes of life, and enfeebled to such a degree as those who

twenty-four hours, indeed almost the whole, either in my bed, or lying upon the couch, from which I dictrict this Had you been apprized of this circumstance, you could not have expected anything, as you seem to do, from my active exertions I could do nothing, if I was still stronger, not even "Si meus adforct Hector"

There is no hope for the body of the people of Ireland, as long as those who are in power with you shall make it the great object of their polecy to propagate an opinion on this side of the water, that the mass of their countrymen are not to be trusted by their government—and that the only hold which England has upon Ireland, consists in preserving a certain very small number of gentlemen in full possession of a monopoly of this Lingdom. This system has disgusted many others besides Catholics and dissenters

As to those who on your side are in the opposition to

government, they are composed of persons, several of whom I love and revere. They have been irritated by a treatment too much for the ordinary patience of mankind to bear, into the adoption of schemes, which, however argumentatively specious, would go practically to the inevitable ruin of the kingdom. The opposition always connects the emancipation of the Catholics with these schemes of reformation: indeed, it makes the former only a member of the latter project. The gentlemen who enforce that opposition are, in my opinion, playing the game of their adversaries with all their might; and there is no third party in Ireland (nor in England neither) to separate things, that are in themselves so distinct—I mean the admitting people to the benefits of the constitution, and the change in the form of the constitution itself.

As every one knows that a great part of the constitution of the Irish House of Commons was formed about the year 1614, expressly for bringing that House into a state of dependence; and that the new representative was at that time seated and installed by force and violence; nothing can be more impolitic than for those who wish the House to stand on its present basis, (as, for one, I most sincerely do,) to make it appear to have kept too much the principle of its first institution, and to continue to be as little a virtual, as it is an actual, representative of the commons. It is the degeneracy of such an institution, so vicious in its principle, that is to be wished If men have the real benefit of a sympathetic representation, none but those who are heated and intoxicated with theory will look for any other. This sort of representation, my dear Sir, must wholly depend, not on the force with which it is upheld, but upon the prudence of those who have influence upon it. Indeed, without some such prudence in the use of authority, I do not know, at least in the present time, how any power can long continue.

If it be true that both parties are carrying things to extremities in different ways, the object which you and I have in common, that is to say, the union and concord of our country, on the basis of the actual representation, without risking those evils which any change in the form of our legislature must inevitably bring on, can never be obtained. On the part of the Catholics (that is to say, of the body of the people of the kingdom) it is a terrible alternative, either to

84

submit to the yoke of declared and insulting enemies, or to seek a remedy in plunging themselves into the horrors and crimes of that Jacobiusm, which unfortunately is not disagreeable to the principles and inclinations of, I am afraid, the majority of what we call the Protestants of Ireland The Protestant part of that kingdom is represented by the government itself to be by whole counties in nothing less than open rebellion I am sure that it is everywhere teeming with

of the y, have tems of

this time, yet, whenever their situation brings them nearer into contact with the Jacobin Protestants, they are more or less infected with their doctrines

It is a matter for melancholy reflection, but I am fully convinced that many persons in Ireland would be glad that the Catholics should become more and more infected with the Jacobin madness, in order to furnish new arguments for fortifying them in their monopoly On any other ground it is impossible to account for the late language of your men in

1 -- language, for refusal, in itand, unfortunately, men are

more discouraging to the loyalty of any description of men, than to represent to them, that their humilition and subjection make a principal part in the fundamental and invariable policy which regards the conjunction of these two kingdoms. This is not the way to give them a warm interest in that conjunction

My poor opinion is that the closest connexion between Great Britain and Ireland is essential to the well-being, I had almost said, to the very being, of the two kingdoms For that purpose I humbly conceive that the whole of the superior, and what I should call imperial, politics ought to have its residence here; and that Ireland, locally, civilly, and commercially independent, ought politically to look up to Great Britain in all matters of peace or of war; in all those points to be guided by her; and, in a word, with her to live and to die. At bottom, Ireland has no other choice, I mean no other rational choice.

I think, indeed, that Great Britain would be ruined by the separation of Ireland; but, as there are degrees even in ruin, it would fall the most heavily on Ireland. By such a separation Ireland would be the most completely undone country in the world; the most wretched, the most distracted, and, in the end, the most desolate part of the habitable globe. Little do many people in Ireland consider how much of its prosperity has been owing to, and still depends upon, its intimate connexion with this kingdom. But, more sensible of this great truth than perhaps any other man, I have never conceived, or can conceive, that the connexion is strengthened by making the major part of the inhabitants of your country believe that their ease, and their satisfaction, and their equalization with the rest of their fellow-subjects of Ireland, are things adverse to the principles of that connexion; or that their subjection to a small monopolizing junto, composed of one of the smallest of their own internal factions, is the very condition upon which the harmony of the two kingdoms essentially depends. I was sorry to hear that this principle, or something not unlike it, was publicly and fully avowed by persons of great rank and authority in the House of Lords in Ireland.

As to a participation on the part of the Catholics in the privileges and capacities, which are withheld without meaning wholly to depreciate their importance, if I had the honour of being an Irish Catholic, I should be content to expect satisfaction upon that subject with patience, until the minds of my adversaries, few but powerful, were come to a proper temper; because, if the Catholics did enjoy without fraud, chicane, or partiality, some fair portion of those advantages, which the law, even as now the law is, leaves open to them; and if the rod were not shaken over them at every turn; their present condition would be tolerable; as compared with their former condition, it would be happy. But the most favourable laws can do very little towards the happiness of a people,

when the disposition of the ruling power is adverse to them Men do not live upon blotted paper The favourable or the

any fair measure of advantage is intended to them, when they hear the laws, by which they were admitted to this limited qualification, publicly reprobated as excessive and inconsiderate They must think that there is a hankering after the old penal and persecuting code Their alarm must be great, when that declaration is made by a person in very high and important office in the House of Commons, and as the very first specimen and auspice of a new government.

All this is very unfortunate I have the honour of an old acquaintance, and entertain, in common with you, a very high esteem for the few English persons who are concerned in the government of Ireland, but I am not ignorant of the relation these transitory ministers bear to the more settled Irish part of your administration It is a delicate topic, upon which I wish to say but little, though my reflections upon it are many and serious There is a great cry against English influence I am quite sure that it is Irish influence that dreads the English habits

Great disorders have long prevailed in Ireland It is not long since that the Catholics were the suffering party from those disorders I am sure they were not protected as the case required Their sufferings became a matter of discussion in parliament. It produced the most infuriated declamation against them that I have ever read An inquiry was moved into the facts. The declamation was at least tolerated, if not approved The inquiry was absolutely rejected In that case, what is left for those who are aban-Trjee---1 !--

.

that the rulers in Ireland think it proper to carry on against the person whom they call the pope, and against all his adherents, whenever they think they have the power of manifesting their hostility. Without in the least derogating from the talents of your theological politicians, or from the military abilities of your commanders (who act on the same principles) in Ireland, and without derogating from the zeal of either, it appears to me, that the Protestant directory of Paris, as statesmen, and the Protestant hero, Buonaparte, as a general, have done more to destroy the said pope and all his adherents, in all their capacities, than the junto in Ireland have ever been able to effect. You must submit your fasces to theirs, and at best be contented to follow, with songs of gratulation, or invectives, according to your humour, the triumphal car of those great conquerors. Had that true Protestant Hoche, with an army not infected with the slightest tincture of Popery, made good his landing in Ireland, he would have saved you from a great deal of the trouble which is taken to keep under a description of your fellowcitizens, obnoxious to you from their religion. It would not have a month's existence, supposing his success. This is the alliance which, under the appearance of hostility, we act as if we wished to promote. All is well, provided we are safe from Popery.

It was not necessary for you, my dear Sir, to explain yourself to me, (in justification of your good wishes to your fellow-citizens,) concerning your total alienation from the principles of the Catholics. I am more concerned in what we agree than in what we differ. You know the impossibility of your forming any judgment upon the opinions, religious, moral, or political, of those who in the largest sense are called Protestants; at least as these opinions and tenets form a qualification for holding any civil, judicial, military, or even ecclesiastical situation. I have no doubt of the orthodox opinion of many both of the clergy and laity, professing the established religion in Ireland, and of many even amongst the dissenters, relative to the great points of the Christian faith: but that orthodoxy concerns them only as individuals. As a qualification for employment, we all know that in Ireland it is not necessary that they should profess any religion at all: so that the war that we make is upon

88

certain theological tenets, about which scholastic disputes are carried on aquo Marte by controvertists, on their side as able and as learned, and perhaps as well intentioned, as those are who fight the battle on the other part To them I would leave those controversies I would turn my mind to what is more within its competence, and has been more my study, (though for a man of the world I have thought of those things,) I mean the moral, civil, and political good of the countries we belong to, and in which God has appointed your station and mine Let every man be as prous as he pleases, and in the way that he pleases, but it is agreeable neither to piety nor to policy to give exclusively all manner of civil privileges and advantages to a negative religion.such is the Protestant without a certain creed, and at the same time to deny those privileges to men whom we know to agree to an iota in every one positive doctrine, which all of us, who profess the religion authoritatively taught in England, hold ourselves, according to our faculties, bound to believe The Catholics of Ircland (as I have said) have the whole of our positive religion, our difference is only a negation of certain tenets of theirs If we strip ourselves of that part of Catholicism, we abjure Christianity If we drive them from that holding, without engaging them in some other positive religion, (which you know by our qualifying laws we do not.) what do we better than to hold out to them terrors on the one side, and bounties on the other, in favour of that which, for anything we know to the contrary, may be pure atheism?

You are well aware, that when a man renounces the Roman religion, there is no civil inconvenience or incapacity whatsoever which shall hinder him from joining any new or old sect of dissenters, or of forming a sect of his own inven-Paine obtain a pardon, (as on change of ministry he may,)
there is nothing to hinder him from setting up a church of his own in the very midst of you. He is a natural born British subject. His French citizenship does not disqualify him, at least upon a peace. This Protestant aportle is as much above all suspicion of Popery as the greatest and most realous of your santiedrim in Ireland can possibly be Oir purchasing a qualification, (which his friends of the directory are not so poor as to be unable to effect.) he may sit in parliament; and there is no doubt, that there is not one of your tests against Popery that he will not take as fairly and as much cx animo as the best of your zealous statesmen. I push this point ro further; and only adduce this example (a pretty strong one, and fully in point) to show what I take to be the madners and folly of driving men, under the existing circumstances, from any positive religion whatever into the irreligion of the times, and its sure concomitant prin-

ciples of anarchy.

When religion is brought into a question of civil and political arrangement, it must be considered more politically than theologically at least by us who are nothing more than mere laymen. In that light the case of the Catholics of Iremere laymen. In that light the case of the Catholics of Ircland is peculiarly hard, whether they be laity or clergy. If any of them take part, like the gentleman you mention, with some of the most accredited Protestants of the country, in projects which cannot be more abhorrent to your nature and disposition than they are to mine,—in that ease, however few these Catholic factions, who are united with factious Protestants, may be, (and very few they are now, whatever shortly they may become,) on their account the whole holy is considered as of suspected fidelity to the Crown mere laymen. body is considered as of suspected fidelity to the Crown, and as wholly undeserving of its favour. But if, on the contrary, in those districts of the kingdom where their numbers are the greatest, where they make, in a manner, the whole body of the people, (as, out of cities, in three-fourths of the kingdom they d?) these Catholics show every mark of loyalty and zeal in support of the government, which at best looks on them with an evil eye; then their very loyalty is turned against their claims. They are represented as a contented and happy people; and that it is unnecessary to do anything more in their favour. Thus the factious disposition of a few among the Catholics, and the loyalty of the whole mass, are equally assigned as reasons for not putting them on a par with those Protestants who are asserted by the government itself, which frowns upon Papists, to be in a state of nothing short of actual rebellion, and in a strong disposition to make common cause with the worst foreign enemy that these countries have ever had to deal with. What in the end can come of all this?

As to the Irish Catholic clergy, their condition is likewise most critical if they endeavour by their influence to keep a dissatisfied laity in quiet, they are in danger of losing the little credit they possess, by being considered as the instru-

interests of their they will be releast tacitly en-

couraging it is propagate rebelli hostility to that is no road they c

90

is no road they c

If nothing can be done on your side of the water, I promise you that nothing will be done here

Whether in

in your affairs. They mean you no ill whatever, and they are too ignorant of the state of your affairs to be able to do you any good. Whatever opinion they have on your subject is very faint and indistinct, and if there is anything like a formed notion, even that amounts to no more than a sort of humming that remains on their ears of the burden of the old song about Popery. Poor souls, they are to be pitted, who think of nothing but dangers long passed by, and but little of the peals that actually surround them

same opinion to my last breath which I entertained when my faculties were at the best, and I have not held back from men in power in this kingdom, to whom I have very good wishes, any part of my sentiments on this melancholy subject, so long as I had means of access to persons of their consuderation.

I have the honour to be, &c

## FRAGMENTS AND NOTES

OP

## SPEECHES.

## SPEECH

ON THE PETITION, WHICH WAS PRESENTED TO THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, FROM CERTAIN CLERGYMEN OF THE CHURCH OF ENGLAND, AND FROM CERTAIN OF THE TWO PROFESSIONS OF CIVIL LAW AND PHYSIC, AND OTHERS; PRAYING TO BE RELIEVED FROM SUBSCRIPTION TO THE THIRTY-NINE ARTICLES, AS REQUIRED BY THE ACTS OF UNIFORMITY.1

## MR. SPEAKER,

I should not trouble the House upon this question, if I could at all acquiesce in many of the arguments, or justify the vote I shall give upon several of the reasons, which have been urged in favour of it. I should, indeed, be very much concerned if I were thought to be influenced to that vote by those arguments.

In particular, I do most exceedingly condemn all such arguments as involve any kind of reflection on the personal character of the gentlemen who have brought in a petition so decent in the style of it, and so constitutional in the mode. Besides the unimpeachable integrity and piety of many of the promoters of this petition, which render those aspersions as idle as they are unjust, such a way of treating the subject can have no other effect than to turn the attention of the House from the merits of the petition, the only thing properly be-

¹ The persons associated for this purpose were distinguished at the time by the name of "The Feathers Tavern Association," from the place where their meetings were usually held. Their petition was presented on the 6th of February, 1772; and on a motion that it should be brought up, the same was negatived on a division, in which Mr. Burke verthe majority by 217 against 71.

fore us, and which we are sufficiently competent to decide upon, to the motives of the petitioners, which belong exclusively to the great Searcher of hearts

We fill know that those who loll at their ease in high digmities, whether of the church or of the state, are commonly averse to all reformation. It is hard to persuade them that there can be anything amiss in establishments, which by feeling experience they find to be so very comfortable. It is as true that from the same selfish motives those who are struggling upwards are apt to find everything wrong and out of order These are truths upon one side and on the other, and neither on the one side or the other, in argument, are they worth a single farthing. I wish, therefore, so much had not been said upon these ill chosen, and worse than ill chosen, these very inviduous, topics

I wish still more that the dissensions and animosities which had slept for a century had not been just now most unseasonably revived. But if we must be driven, whether we will or not, to recollect these unhappy transactions, let our memory be complete and equitable, let us recollect the whole of them together. If the dissenters, as an honourable gentleman has described them, have formerly risen from a "whining, canting surveiling generation," to be a body dreadful, and runnous to all our establishments, let him call to mind the follies, the

jured up,

of retalia

services which dissenters have done to our church and to our state If they have once destroyed, more than once they have saved them This is but common justice, which they and all mankind have a right to

There are, Mr Speaker, besides the prejudices and an metales which I would have wholly removed from the debate, things more regularly and argumentatively urged against the petition, which, however, do not at all appear to me conclusive.

First, two honourable gentlemen, one near me, the other, I think, on the other side of the House, assert, that if you alter her symbols you destroy the being of the Church of England This, for the sake of the liberty of that church, I must absolutely deny The Church, like every body corporate, may alter her laws without changing her identity. an independent church professing fallibility, she has claimed a right of acting without the consent of any other; as a church she claims, and has always exercised, a right of reforming whatever appeared amiss in her doctrine, her discipline, or her rites. She did so when she shook off the Papal supremacy in the reign of Henry the VIII., which was an act of the body of the English Church, as well as of the state (I don't inquire how obtained). She did so when she twice changed the liturgy in the reign of King Edward, when she then established Articles, which were themselves a variation from former professions. She did so when she cut off three Articles from her original 42, and reduced them to the present 39; and she certainly would not lose her corporate identity, nor subvert her fundamental principles, though she were to leave ten of the 39 which remain out of any future confession of her faith. She would limit her corporate powers, on the contrary, and she would oppose her fundamental principles, if she were to deny herself the prudential exercise of such capacity of reformation. This, therefore, can

be no objection to your receiving the petition.

In the next place, Sir, I am clear that the act of union, reciting and ratifying one Scotch and one English act of parliament, has not rendered any change whatsoever in our church impossible, but by a dissolution of the union between

the two kingdoms.

The honourable gentleman who has last touched upon that point has not gone quite so far as the gentlemen who first insisted upon it. However, as none of them wholly abandon that post, it will not be safe to leave it behind me unattacked. I believe no one will wish their interpretation of that act to be considered as authentic. What shall we think of the wisdom (to say nothing of the competence) of that legislature, which should ordain to itself such a fundamental law at its outset, as to disable itself from executing its own functions; which should prevent it from making any further laws, however wanted, and that, too, on the most interesting subject that belongs to human society, and where she most frequently wants its interposition; which should fix those fundamental laws that are for ever to prevent it from adapt-

ing itself to its opinions, however clear, or to its own necessities, however urgent? Such an act, Mr Speaker, would for over put the church out of its own power; it certainly would put it far above the state, and erect it into that species of independency which it has been the great principle of our policy to prevent.

The act never meant, I am sure, any such unnatural restraint on the joint legislature it was then forming. History shows us what it meant, and all that it could mean with any

dagree of common sense

spirit in that country. It produced that shocking fanatical covenant (I mean the covenant of 36) for forcing their ideas of religion on England, and indeed on all mankind. This became the occasion, at length, of other covenants, and of a Scotch army marching mito England to fulfill them, and the parhament of England (for its own purposes) adopted their scheme, took their last covenant, and destroyed the Church of England. The parlament, in their ordinance of 1643, expressly assign their desire of conforming to the Church of Scotland as a motive for their alteration.

To prevent such violent enterprises on the one side or on the other, since each church was going to be disarmed of a legislature wholly and peculiarly affected to it, and lest this new uniformity in the state should be urged as a reason and ground of the control of the contro

vided,

pacy tituntually independent church-government was to be considered as a part of the union, without aming at putting the regulation within each church out of its own power, without putting both churches out of the power of the state. It could not mean to forbid us to set anything ecclementarial morder, but at the expense of tearing up all foundations, and forfeiting the mestimable benefits (for inestimable they are) which we derive from the happy union of the two kingdoms.

To suppose otherwise is to suppose that the act intended we could not meddle at all with the church, but we must as a

preliminary destroy the state.

Well then, Sir, this is, I hope, satisfactory. The act of union does not stand in our way: but, Sir, gentlemen think we are not competent to the reformation desired, chiefly from our want of theological learning. If we were the legal assembly \* \* \* \* \* \*

If ever there was anything to which from reason, nature, habit, and principle, I am totally averse, it is persecution for conscientious difference in opinion. If these gentlemen complained justly of any compulsion upon them on that article, I would hardly wait for their petitions; as soon as I knew the evil I would haste to the cure; I would even run before their complaints.

I will not enter into the abstract merits of our Articles and Liturgy—perhaps there are some things in them which one would wish had not been there. They are not without

the marks and characters of human frailty.

But it is not human frailty and imperfection, and even a considerable degree of them, that becomes a ground for your alteration; for by no alteration will you get rid of those errors, however you may delight yourselves in varying to infinity the fashion of them. But the ground for a legislative alteration of a legal establishment is this, and this only; that you find the inclinations of the majority of the people concurring with your own sense of the intolerable nature of the abuse are in favour of a change.

If this be the case in the present instance, certainly you ought to make the alteration that is proposed, to satisfy your own consciences, and to give content to your people. But if you have no evidence of this nature, it ill becomes your gravity, on the petition of a few gentlemen, to listen to anything that tends to shake one of the capital pillars of the state, and alarm the body of your people upon that one ground in which every hope and fear, every interest, passion, prejudice, everything which can affect the human breast, are all involved together. If you make this a season for religious alterations, depend upon it you will soon find it a season of religious tumults and religious wars.

These gentlemen complain of hardships. No considerable

number shows discontent, but, in order to give satisfaction to any number of respectable men, who come in so decent and constitutional a mode before us, let us examine a little what that hardship is. They want to be preferred elergymen in the Church of England as by Jaw established, but their consciences will not suffer them to conform to the doctrines and practices of that church, that is, they want to be teachers in a church to which they do not belong, and it is an odd sort of hardship. They want to receive the emoluments appropriated for teaching one set of doctrines, whilst they are teaching another. A church, in any legal sense, is only a certain system of religious doctrines and practices, fixed and ascertained by some law, by the difference of which laws, different churches (as different commonwalths) are made in various parts of the world, and the establishment

teaching, as divine truths, their own particular fancies For the state has so taxed the people, and, by way of relieving these gentlemen, it would be a cruel hardship on the people to be compelled to pay, from the sweat of their brow, the most heavy of all taxes to men, to condemn as heretical the doctrines which they repute to be orthodox, and to reprobate as superstitious the practices which they use as pious and holy If a man leaves by will an establishment for preaching, such as Boyle's Lectures, or for charity sermons, or funeral sermons, shall any one complain of a hardship because he has an excellent sermon upon matrimony, or on the martyrdom of King Charles, or on the restoration, which I, the trustee of the establishment, will not pay him for preaching ?-S Jenyns, Origin of Evil Such is the hardship which they complain of under the present church establishment, that they have not the power of taxing the people of England for the maintenance of their private opinions The laws of toleration provide for every real grievance

that these gentlemen can rationally complain of Are they hindered from professing their belief of what they think to

be truth? If they do not like the establishment, there are a hundred different modes of dissent in which they may teach. But even if they are so unfortunately circumstanced that of all that variety none will please them, they have free liberty to assemble a congregation of their own; and if any persons think their fancies (they may be brilliant imaginations) worth paying for, they are at liberty to maintain them as their clergy, nothing hinders it. But if they cannot get a hundred people together who will pay for their reading a liturgy after their form, with what face can they insist upon the nation's conforming to their ideas, for no other visible purpose than the enabling them to receive with a good conscience the tenth part of the produce of your lands?

Therefore, beforehand, the constitution has thought proper to take a security, that the tax raised on the people shall be applied only to those who profess such doctrines, and follow such a mode of worship, as the legislature, representing the people, has thought most agreeable to their general sense; binding, as usual, the minority not to an assent to the doc-

trines, but to a payment of the tax.

But how do you ease and relieve? How do you know that in making a new door into the church for these gentlemen vou do not drive ten times their number out of it? Supposing the contents and not contents strictly equal in numbers and consequence, the possession, to avoid disturbance, ought to carry it. You displease all the clergy of England now actually in office for the chance of obliging a score or two, perhaps, of gentlemen who are, or want to be, beneficed clergymen; and do you oblige? Alter your liturgy, will it please all even of those who wish an alteration? Will they agree in what ought to be altered? And after it is altered to the mind of every one, you are no further advanced than if you had not taken a single step; because a large body of men will then say, you ought to have no liturgy at all. And then these men, who now complain so bitterly that they are shut out, will themselves bar the door against thousands of others. Dissent, not satisfied with toleration, is not conscience, but ambition.

You altered the liturgy for the Directory; this was settled by a set of most learned divines and learned laymen; Selden sat amongst them. Did this please? It was considered you' yi. 98

upon both sides as a most unchristian imposition. Well, at the restoration they rejected the Directory, and reformed the Common Prayer, which, by the way, had been three times reformed before. Were they then contented? Two thousand (or some great number) of clergy resigned their livings in one day rather than read it, and truly, rather than raise that second idol, I should have adhered to the Directory as I now adhere to the Common Prayer. Nor can you content other men's conscience real or pretended, by any concessions follow your own, seek peace and ensee it You have no symptoms of discontent in the people to their

Truth may be far better But as we have scarcely ever the same certainty in the one that we have in the other, I would, unless the truth were evident indeed, hold fast to peace, which has in her company charity, the highest of the virtues. This business appears in two points of view—lst, Whe-

This business appears in two points of view—List, Whether it is a matter of grievance. <sup>2</sup> Jod, Whether it is within our province to redress it with propriety and prudence Whether it comes properly before us on a petition upon matter of grievance, I would not inquire too currously I know, technically speaking, that nothing agreeable to law can be considered as a grievance. But an over attention to the rules of any act does sometimes defeat the ends of it, and I think it does so in this pathamentary act, as much at least as in any other. I know many gentlemen think that the very essence of liberty consists in being governed according to law, as if grievances had nothing real and intrinsic, but I cannot be of that opinion. Grievances may subsist by law Nay, I do not know whether any grievance can be considered as intolerable until it is established and sanctified by law. If the act of toleration were not perfect, if there were a complaint of it, I would gladly consent to amend it. But when I heard a complaint of a pressure on religious liberty, to my astonishment. I find that there was no complaint whatsoever of the insufficiency of the act of King William, nor any attempt to make it more sufficient.

matter, therefore, does not concern toleration, but establishment; and it is not the rights of private conscience that are in question, but the propriety of the terms which are proposed by law as a title to public emoluments; so that the complaint is not that there is not toleration of diversity in opinion, but that diversity in opinion is not rewarded by bishoprics, rectories, and collegiate stalls. When gentlemen complain of the subscription as matter of grievance, the complaint arises from confounding private judgment, whose rights are anterior to law, and the qualifications which the law creates for its own magistracies, whether civil or religious. To take away from men their lives, their liberty, or their property, those things for the protection of which society was introduced, is great hardship and intolerable tyranny; but to annex any condition you please to benefits artificially created, is the most just, natural, and proper thing in the world. When e novo you form an arbitrary benefit, an advantage, pre-eminence, or emolument, not by nature; but institution, you order and modify it with all the power of a creator over his creature. Such benefits of institution are royalty, nobility, priesthood; all of which you may limit to birth; you might prescribe even shape and stature. The Jewish priesthood was hereditary. Founders' kinsmen have a preference in the election of Fellows in many colleges of our universities; the qualifications at All Souls are that they should be-optime nati, bene vestiti, mediocriter docti.

By contending for liberty in the candidate for orders you take away the liberty of the elector, which is the people; that is, the state. If they can choose, they may assign a reason for their choice; if they can assign a reason, they may do it in writing, and prescribe it as a condition; they may transfer their authority to their representatives, and enable them to exercise the same. In all human institutions a great part, almost all regulations, are made from the mere necessity of the case, let the theoretical merits of the question be what they will. For nothing happened at the Reformation but what will happen in all such revolutions. When tyranny is extreme, and abuses of government intolerable, men resort to the rights of nature to shake it off. When they have done so, the very same principle of necessity of human affairs, to

establish some other authority, which shall preserve the order of this new institution, must be obeyed, until they grow intolerable, and you shall not be suffered to plead original

shall think fit As I said before, your determination may be unwise in this as in other matters, but it cannot be unjust, hard, or oppressive, or contrary to the liberty of any man, or in the levist degree exceeding your province

It is, therefore, as a grievance fairly none at all, nothing but what is essential not only to the order but to the liberty of the whole community

The petitioners are so sensible of the force of these arguments, that they do admit of one subscription, that is, to the Scriptire I shall not consider how forcibly this argument militates with their whole principle aguinet subscription as an usurpation on the rights of Providence, I content my-self with submitting to the consideration of the House, that, if that rule were once established, it must have some authoraty to enforce the obedience, because you well know, a law without a sanction will be ridiculous. Somebody must sit in judgment on his conformity, he must judge on the charge, if he judges he must ordain execution. These things are necessary consequences one of the other, and then this judge is an equal and a superior violation of private judge.

ment, the right of private judgment is violated in a much greater degree than it can be by any previous subscription. You come round again to subscription, as the best and easiest method, men must judge of his doctrine, and judge definitively, so that either his test is nugatory, or min must first or last proscribe his public interpretation of it.

en en en

to oppose the entrance of any upon such conditions as she thinks proper For otherwise it would be a voluntary society acting contrary to her will, which is a contradiction in terms.—And this is Mr. Locke's opinion, the advocate for the largest scheme of ecclesiastical and civil toleration to Protestants (for to Papists he allows no toleration at all).

They dispute only the extent of the subscription; they, therefore, tacitly admit the equity of the principle itself. Here they do not resort to the original rights of nature, because it is manifest that those rights give as large a power of controverting every part of Scripture, or even the authority of the whole, as they do to the controverting any articles whatsoever. When a man requires you to sign an assent to Scripture, he requires you to assent to a doctrine as contrary to your natural understanding, and to your rights of free inquiry, as those who require your conformity to any one article whatsoever.

The subscription to Scripture is the most astonishing idea I ever heard, and will amount to just nothing at all. Gentlemen so acute have not, that I have heard, ever thought of answering a plain obvious question,-What is that Scripture to which they are content to subscribe? They do not think that a book becomes of divine authority because it is bound in blue morocco, and is printed by John Basket and his as-The Bible is a vast collection of different treatises; a man who holds the divine authority of one, may consider the other as merely human. What is his canon? The Jewish -St. Jerome's-that of the 39 Articles-Luther's-? There are some who reject the Canticles; others, six of the Epistles; the Apocalypse has been suspected even as heretical, and was doubted of for many ages, and by many great men. these narrow the canon, others have enlarged it by admitting St. Barnabas's Epistles, the Apostolic Constitutions, to say nothing of many other Gospels. Therefore, to ascertain Scripture you must have one Article more; and you must define what that Scripture is which you mean to teach. There are, I believe, very few who, when Scripture is so ascertained, do not see the absolute necessity of knowing what general doctrine a man draws from it, before he is sent down authorized by the state to teach it as a pure doctrine, and receive a tenth of the produce of our lands.

The Scripture is no one summary of doctrines regularly digested, in which a man could not mistake his way; it is a most venerable, but most multifarious, collection of the re-

ferent ends and purposes

It is necessary to sort out what is intended for example, what only as narrative, what to be understood literally, what figuratively, where one precept is to be controlled and modified by another,—what is used directly, and what only as an argument ad hominem,—what is temporary, and what of perpetual obligation,—what appropriated to one state, and to one set of men, and what the general duty of all Christians If we do not get some security for this, we not only permit, but we actually pay for, all the dangerous fanaticism which can be produced to corrupt our people, and to derange the public worship of the country

We owe the best we can (not infallibility, but prudence) to the subject, first sound doctrine, then ability to use it

# SPEECH

ON THE SECOND READING OF A BILL FOR THE RELIEF OF PROTESTANT DISSENTERS 2

### (1773)

I assure you, Sir, that the honourable gentlemin, who spoke last but one, need not be in the least ferr that I should make a war of particles upon his opinion, whether the Church of England should, would, or ought to be alarmed I am very clear, that this House has no one reason in the world to think she is alarmed by the bill brought before you It is some-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This speech is given partly from the manuscript papers of Mr Burke, and partly from a very imperfect short hand note taken at the time by a member of the House of Commons.

thing extraordinary, that the only symptom of alarm in the Church of England should appear in the petition of some dissenters; with whom, I believe, very few in this House are yet acquainted; and of whom you know no more than that you are assured by the honourable gentleman, that they are not Mahometans. Of the Church we know they are not, by the name that they assume. They are then dissenters. first symptom of an alarm comes from some dissenters assembled round the lines of Chatham: these lines become the security of the Church of England! The honourable gentleman, in speaking of the lines of Chatham, tells us, that they serve not only for the security of the wooden walls of England, but for the defence of the Church of England. I suspect the wooden walls of England secure the lines of Chatham, rather than the lines of Chatham secure the wooden walls of England.

Sir, the Church of England, if only defended by this miserable petition upon your table, must, I am afraid, upon the principles of true fortification, be soon destroyed. But fortunately her walls, bulwarks, and bastions are constructed of other materials than of stubble and straw; are built up with the strong and stable matter of the gospel of liberty, and founded on a true, constitutional, legal establishment. But, Sir, she has other securities: she has the security of her own doctrines; she has the security of the piety, the sanctity, of her own professors; their learning is a bulwark to defend her; she has the security of the two universities, not shook in any single battlement, in any single pinnacle.

But the honourable gentleman has mentioned, indeed, principles which astonish me rather more than ever. The honourable gentleman thinks, that the dissenters enjoy a large share of liberty under a connivance; and he thinks that the establishing toleration by law is an attack upon Christianity.

The first of these is a contradiction in terms. Liberty under a connivance! Connivance is a relaxation from slavery, not a definition of liberty. What is connivance, but a state under which all slaves live? If I was to describe slavery, I would say with those who hate it, it is living under will, not under law: if as it is stated by its advocates, I would say, that, like earthquakes, like thunder, or other wars the elements make upon mankind, it happens rarely, it occasionally

comes now and then upon people, who, upon ordinary occasions, enjoy the same legal government of liberty Take it under the description of those who would soften those features, the state of slavery and connivance is the same thing If the liberty enjoyed be a liberty not of toleration, but of connivance, the only question is whether establishing such by law is an attack upon Christianity Toleration an attack upon Christianity! What then, are we come to this pass, to suppose that nothing can support Christianity but the principles of persecution? Is that, then, the idea of establishment? Is it, then, the idea of Christianity itself, that it ought to have establishments, that it ought to have laws against dissenters, but the breach of which laws is to be connived at? What a picture of toleration, what a picture of laws, of establishments, what a picture of religious and civil liberty 1 I am persuaded the honourable gentleman does not see it in this light. But these very terms become the strongest reasons for my support of the bill, for I am perstrongest relication, so far from being an attack upon suaded, that toleration, so far from being an attack upon aroso

and whilst its own principles were not tolerated, it conquered all the powers of darkness, it conquered all the powers of the world. The moment it began to depart from these principles, it converted the establishment into tyranny, it suberted its foundations from that very hour. Zealous as I am for the principle of an establishment, so just an abhorrence do I conceive against whatever may shake it, I know nothing but the supposed necessity of persecution that can make an establishment disgusting. I would have toleration a part of establishment, as a principle favour-ble to Christianity, and as a part of Christianity and the first properties of the properties of the stablishment against that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands, inflicting penaltic power of the stablishment accorded that it also as it stands are stablishment.

masters who do
to be executed
for that, I preought not to be

executed The question, therefore, is, whether in a well-constituted commonwealth, which we desire ours to be thought, and I trust intend that it should be, whether in such a com-

monwealth it is wise to retain those laws which it is not proper to execute. A penal law, not ordinarily put in execution, seems to me to be a very absurd and a very dangerous thing. For if its principle be right, if the object of its prohibitions and penalties be a real evil, then you do in effect permit that very evil, which not only the reason of the thing, but your very law, declares ought not to be permitted; and thus it reflects exceedingly on the wisdom, and consequently derogates not a little from the authority of a legislature, who can at once forbid and suffer, and in the same breath promulgate penalty and indemnity to the same persons and for the very same actions. But if the object of the law be no moral or political evil, then you ought not to hold even a terror to those whom you ought certainly not to punishfor if it is not right to hurt, it is neither right nor wise to menace. Such laws, therefore, as they must be defective either in justice or wisdom, or both, so they cannot exist without a considerable degree of danger. Take them which way you will, they are pressed with ugly alternatives.

Ist, All penal laws are either upon popular prosecution, or on the part of the Crown. Now, if they may be roused from their sleep, whenever a minister thinks proper, as instruments of oppression, then they put vast bodies of men into a state of slavery, and court dependence; since their liberty of conscience and their power of executing their functions depend entirely on his will. I would have no man derive his means of continuing any function, or his being restrained from it, but from the laws only; they should be

his only superior and sovereign lords.

2nd, They put statesmen and magistrates into a habit of playing fast and loose with the laws, straining or relaxing them as may best suit their political purposes; and in that light tend to corrupt the executive power through all its offices.

3rd, If they are taken up on popular actions, their operation in that light also is exceedingly evil. They become the instruments of private malice, private avarice, and not of public regulation; they nourish the worst of men to the prejudice of the best, punishing tender consciences, and rewarding informers.

Shall we, as the honourable gentleman tells us we may

with perfect security, trust to the manners of the age? I am well pleased with the general manners of the times, but the desultory execution of penal laws, the thing I condemn, does not depend on the manners of the times I would, however, have the laws tuned in unison with the manners,—rey dissonant are a gentle country and cruel laws, very dissonant, that your reason is furious but your passions moderate, and that you are always equitable except in your courts of justice

I will beg leave to state to the House one argument which has been much relied upon—that the dissenters are not unanimous upon this business, that many persons are alarmed,

that it will create a disunion among the dissenters

When any dissenters, or any body of people, come here with a petition, it is not the number of people, but the reasonableness of the request, that should weigh with the House A body of dissenters come to this House, and say, Tolerate us-we desire neither the parochial advantage of tithes, nor dignities, nor the stalls of your cathedrals No! let the venerable orders of the hierarchy exist with all their advant-And shall I tell them, I reject your just and reasonable petition, not because it shakes the church, but because there are others, while you he grovelling upon the earth, that will kick and bite you? Judge which of these descriptions of men comes with a fair request-that which says, Sir, I desire liberty for my own, because I trespass on no man's conscience, -or the other, which says, I desire that these men should not be suffered to act according to their consciences, though I am tolerated to act according to mine But I sign a body of Articles, which is my title to toleration, I sign no more, because more are against my conscience But I desire that you will not tolerate these men because they will not go so far as I, though I desire to be tolerated who will not go as far as you No, imprison them, if they come within five miles of a corporate town, because they do not believe what I do in point of doctrines

Shall I not say to these men, arrangez rous, canaule? You, who are not the predominant power, will not gue to others the relaxation under which you are yourself suffered to live I have as high an opinion of the doctrines of the church as you I receive them implicitly, or I put my own explanation on them, or take that which seems to me to

come best recommended by authority. There are those of the dissenters, who think more rigidly of the doctrine of the articles relative to predestination than others do. They sign the article relative to it ex animo, and literally. Others allow a latitude of construction. These two parties are in the church, as well as among the dissenters; yet in the church we live quietly under the same roof. I do not see why, as long as Providence gives us no further light into this great mystery, we should not leave things as the Divine Wisdom has left them. But suppose all these things to me to be clear, (which Providence however seems to have left obscure,) yet whilst dissenters claim a toleration in things which, seeming clear to me, are obscure to them, without entering into the merit of the articles, with what face can these men say, Tolerate us, but do not tolerate them? Tolerate is the same roof.

ation is good for all, or it is good for none.

The discussion this day is not between establishment on one hand and toleration on the other, but between those who, being tolerated themselves, refuse toleration to others. That power should be puffed up with pride, that authority should degenerate into rigour, if not laudable, is but too natural. But this proceeding of theirs is much beyond the usual allowance to human weakness; it not only is shocking to our reason, but it provokes our indignation. Quid domini facient, audent cum talia fures? It is not the proud prelate thundering in his commission court, but a pack of manumitted slaves with the lash of the beadle flagrant on their backs, and their legs still galled with their fetters, that would drive their brethren into that prison-house from whence they have just been permitted to escape. If, instead of puzzling themselves in the depths of the Divine counsels, they would turn to the mild morality of the gospel, they would read their own condemnation—O thou wicked servant, I forgave thee all that debt because thou desiredst me: shouldest not thou also have compassion on thy fellow-servant, even as I had pity on thee?

In my opinion, Sir, a magistrate, whenever he goes to put any restraint upon religious freedom, can only do it upon this ground, that the person dissenting does not dissent from the scruples of ill-informed conscience, but from a party ground of dissension, in order to raise a faction in the state.

We give, with regard to rites and ceremonies, an indulgence to tender consciences But if dissent is at all punished in any country, if at all it can be punished upon any pretence, it is upon a presumption, not that a man is supposed to dif-for conscientionsly from the establishment, but that he reersity of opinio

peace

stroy the there is no true, ground of persecution As the laws stand, there-

fore, let us see how we have thought fit to act

If there is any one thing within the competency of a mathat whilst in

God alone, the province of the

chief governor Hooker, and all the great lights of the this to be a part within the but look at the act of toler-

ere you will see the civil ma-

gistrate has not only dispensed with those things which are more particularly within his province, with those things which faction might be supposed to take up for the sake of making visible and external divisions, and raising a standard of revolt, but has also from sound politic considerations relaxed on those points which are confessedly without his province

The honourable gentleman, speaking of the heathers, certainly could not mean to recommend anything that is derived from that impure source But he has praised the tolerating spirit of the heathens Well! but the honourable gentleman will recollect that heathers, that polytheists, must permit a number of divinities It is the very essence of its constitution But was it ever heard that polytheism tolerated a dissent from a polytheistic establishment? the belief of one God only? Never, never! Sir, they constantly carried on persecution against that doctrine I will not give heathers the glory of a doctrine, which I consider the best part of of Bacchus: and even before Christ, to say nothing of their subsequent persecutions, they persecuted the Druids and Heathenism, therefore, as in other respects erroneous, was erroneous in point of persecution. I do not say, every heathen who persecuted was therefore an impious man: I only say he was mistaken, as such a man is now. But, says the honourable gentleman, they did not persecute Epicureans. No; the Epicureans had no quarrel with their religious establishment, nor desired any religion for themselves. It would have been very extraordinary, if irreligious heathens had desired either a religious establishment or toleration. But, says the honourable gentleman, the Epicureans entered, as others, into the temples. They did so; they defied all subscription; they defied all sorts of conformity; there was no subscription to which they were not ready to set their hands, no ceremonies they refused to practise; they made it a principle of their irreligion outwardly to conform to any religion. These atheists eluded all that you could do; so will all free-thinkers for ever. Then you suffer, or the weakness of your law has suffered, those great dangerous animals to escape notice, whilst you have nets that entangle the poor fluttering silken wings of a tender conscience.

The honourable gentleman insists much upon this circumstance of objection, namely, the division amongst the dissenters. Why, Sir, the dissenters, by the nature of the term, are open to have a division among themselves. They are dissenters, because they differ from the Church of England; not that they agree among themselves. There are Presbyterians, there are Independents, some that do not agree to infant-baptism, others that do not agree to the baptism of adults, or any baptism. All these are, however, tolerated under the acts of King William, and subsequent acts; and their diversity of sentiments with one another did not, and could not, furnish an argument against their toleration when their difference

with ourselves furnished none.

But, says the honourable gentleman, if you suffer them to go on, they will shake the fundamental principles of Christianity. Let it be considered, that this argument goes as strongly against connivance, which you allow, as against toleration, which you reject. The gentleman sets out with a principle of perfect liberty, or, as he describes it, connivance.

But for fear of dangerous opinions you leave it in your power to ver a man, who has not held any one dangerous opinion whatsoever If one man is a professed atheir, another man the best Christian, but dissents from two of the

scentious dissenter, on account of his attachment to that general religion, which perhaps I hate, I shall take care to punish, because I may punish when I think proper Therefore connivance, being an engine of private malice or private favour, not of good government, an engine, which totally fails of suppressing atheism, but oppresses conscience, I say that principle becomes not serviceable, but dangerous to Christiamity, that it is not toleration, but contrary to it, even contrary to peace, that the penal system to which it belongs is a dangerous principle in the economy either of religion or government

connivance consi with the interests of far as that honourable ncon sistent with the mis

pression of the religion

we profess in common.—I mean revealed religion, nothing sooner makes them take a short cut out of the bondage of sectarian vexation into open and direct infidelity, than tormenting men for every difference. My opinion is, that in establishing the Christian religion wherever you find it, curosity or research is its best security, and in this way a man is a great deal better justified in saying, Tolerate all kinds of consciences, than in imitating the heathens, whom the honourable gentleman quotes, in tolerating those who have none I am not over-fond of calling for the secular arm upon these misguided, or misguiding, men; but if ever it ought to be raised, it ought surely to be raised against these very men, not against others, whose liberty of religion you make a pretext for proceedings which drive them into the bondage of impiety. What figure do I make in saying I do not attack the works of these atheistical writers, but I will keep a rod hanging over the conscientious man, their bitterest enemy, because these atheists may take advantage of the liberty of their foes to introduce irreligion? The best book that ever, perhaps, has been written against these people, is that in which the author has collected in a body the whole of the infidel code, and has brought the writers into one body to cut them off together. This was done by a dissenter, who never did subscribe the 39 Articles-Dr. Leland. after all, this danger is to be apprehended, if you are really fearful that Christianity will indirectly suffer by this liberty, you have my free consent; go directly, and by the straight way, and not by a circuit, in which in your road you may destroy your friends, point your arms against these men, who do the mischief you fear promoting; point your arms against men who, not contented with endeavouring to turn your eyes from the blaze and effulgence of light, by which life and immortality is so gloriously demonstrated by the gospel, would even extinguish that faint glimmering of nature, that only comfort supplied to ignorant man before this great illumination—them, who, by attacking even the possibility of all Revelation, arraign all the dispensations of Providence to These are the wicked dissenters you ought to fear; these are the people against whom you ought to aim the shaft of the law; these are the men to whom, arrayed in all the terrors of government, I would say, you shall not degrade us into brutes; these men, these factious men, as the honourable gentleman properly called them, are the just objects of vengeance, not the conscientious dissenter; these men, who would take away whatever ennobles the rank or consoles the misfortunes of human nature, by breaking off that connexion of observances, of affections, of hopes and fears, which bind us to the Divinity, and constitute the glorious and distinguishing prerogative of humanitý, that of being a religious creature; against these I would have the laws rise in all



their majesty of terrors, to fulminate such vain and impious wretches, and to awe them into impotence by the only dread they can fear or believe, to learn that eternal lesson—Discite sustitium moniti, et non tennere Divos

At the same time that I would cut up the very root of ntheism, I would respect all conscience, all conscience that is really such, and which, perhaps, its very tenderness proves to be sincere I wish to see the established Church of Eng-land great and powerful, I wish to see her foundations laid low and deep, that she may crush the grant powers of rebellious darkness, I would have her head rused up to that heaven to which she conducts us I would have her open wide her hospitable gate by a noble and liberal comprehension, but I would have no breaches in her wall, I would have her cherish all those who are within, and pity all those who are without. I would have her a common blessing to the world, an example, if not an instructor, to those who have not the happiness to belong to her, I would have her give a lesson of peace to mankind, that a vexed and wandering generation might be trught to seek for repose and tolerution in the maternal bosom of Christian charity, and not in the harlot lap of infidelity and indifference Nothing has driven people more into that house of seduction than the mutual hatred of C enjoy our church un pacy But episcopacy may horrid and cruel blow through atheism Do not promote diversity, when you have it, bear it, have as many sorts of religion as you and in your country, there is a reasonable worship in them all The others, the infidels, are outlaws of the constitution, not of this country, but of the human race They are never, never to be supported, never to be tolerated Under the systematic attacks of these people I see some of the props of

good government already begin to fail, I see propagated principles, which will not leave to religion even a toleration 1 see myself sinking every day under the attacks of these myself sinking every day under the mattacks of these myself sinking every day under the mattacks of these myself sinking every day under the myself every da

le and sustrins uble assurance to the country. Even the man who does not hold revelation, yet who wishes that it were proved to him, who observes a pious silence with regard to it, such a man, though not a Christian, is governed by religious principles. Let him be tolerated in this country. Let it be but a serious religion, natural or revealed, take what you can get; cherish, blow up the slightest spark. One day it may be a pure and holy By this proceeding you form an alliance, offensive and defensive, against those great ministers of darkness in the world, who are endeavouring to shake all the works of God established in order and beauty—Perhaps I am carried too far; but it is in the road into which the honourable gentleman has led me. The honourable gentleman would have us fight this confederacy of the powers of darkness with the single arm of the Church of England; would have us not only fight against infidelity, but fight at the same time with all the faith in the world except our own. In the moment we make a front against the common enemy, we have to combat with all those who are the natural friends of our Strong as we are we are not equal to this. cause of the Church of England is included in that of religion, not that of religion in the Church of England. I will stand up at all times for the rights of conscience, as it is such, not for its particular modes against its general principles. One may be right, another mistaken; but if I have more strength than my brother, it shall be employed to support, not to oppress, his weakness; if I have more light, it shall be used to guide, not to dazzle him.

# SPEECH

ON A MOTION FOR LEAVE TO BRING IN A BILL TO REPEAL AND ALTER CERTAIN ACTS RESPECTING RELIGIOUS OPINIONS;

MAY 11, 1792,1

I NEVER govern myself, no rational man ever did govern himself, by abstractions and universals. I do not put abstract

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This motion was made by Mr. Fox; and was chiefly grounded upon a petition presented to the House of Commons by the Unitarian Society.

I go on thi

ideas wholly out of any question, because I well know that under that name I should dismiss principles, and that with

e only ut the il con

'ng the

sity, the latter has only the general view of society; the for mer, the statesman, has a number of circumstances to combine with those general ideas, and to take into his consideration Circumstances are infinite are infinitely combined, are variable and transient, he who does not take them into consideration is not erroneous but stark mad,—dat operam utcum ratione insoniat,—he is metaphysically mad. A states man, never losing sight of principles is to be guided by circumstances, and, judging contrary to the exigences of the moment, he may ruin his country for ever

society, has a all the actions, and c mes of men, without for all the wants of society, but then it is to use this power with an equitable discretion, the only bond of sovereign authority. For it is not, perhaps, so much by the assumption of unlaw

ful powers, as by the unwise or unwarrantable use of those

legislature is the most comessenty competent, in which, the rules of beinginty and prudence are not observed, the most mischievous and oppressive things may not be done. So that after all it is a moral and virtuous discretion, and not any abstract theory of right which keeps governments faithful to their ends Crude, unconnected truths are in the world of practice what falsehoods are in theory

A reasonable, prudent, provident, and moderate coercion may be a means of preventing acts of extreme ferocity and rigour, for by propagating excessive and extravagant doctrines, such extravagant disorders take place, as require the most perilous and fience corrections to oppose them It is not morally true that we are bound to establish in every

country that form of religion which in our minds is most agreeable to truth, and conduces most to the eternal happiness of mankind. In the same manner it is not true that we are, against the conviction of our own judgments, to establish a system of opinions and practices directly contrary to those ends, only because some majority of the people, told by the head, may prefer it. No conscientious man would willingly establish what he knew to be false and mischievous in religion, or in anything else. No wise man, on the contrary, would tyrannically set up his own sense so as to reprobate that of the great prevailing body of the community, and pay no regard to the established opinions and prejudices of mankind, or refuse to them the means of securing a religious instruction suitable to these prejudices. A great deal depends on the state in which you find men. \*

An alliance between church and state in a Christian commonwealth is, in my opinion, an idle and a fanciful speculation. An alliance is between two things that are in their nature distinct and independent, such as between two sovereign states. But in a Christian commonwealth the church and the state are one and the same thing, being different integral parts of the same whole. For the church has been always divided into two parts, the clergy and the laity; of which the laity is as much an essential, integral part, and has as much its duties and privileges, as the clerical member; and in the rule, order, and government of the church has its share. Religion is so far, in my opinion, from being out of the province of the duty of a Christian magistrate, that it is, and it ought to be, not only his care, but the principal thing in his care; because it is one of the great bonds of human society; and its object the supreme good, the ultimate end and object of man himself. The magistrate, who is a man, and charged with the concerns of men, and to whom very specially nothing human is remote and indifferent, has a right and a duty to watch over it with an unceasing vigilance; to protect, to promote, to forward it by every rational, just, and prudent means. It is principally his duty to prevent the abuses which grow out of every strong and efficient principle that actuates the human mind. As religion is one of the bonds of society, he ought not to suffer it to be made the pretext of destroying its peace, order, liberty, and its security Above all, he ought strictly to look to it when men begin to form new combinations, to be distinguished by new names, and especially when they mingle a political system with their

religious opinions, true or false, plausible or implausible

rnment to attend on combine with passions, even when they do not produce them, they have much influence on actions. Factions are formed upon opinions, which factions become in effect bodies corporate in the state:—nay, factions generate opinions in order to become a

state,—nay, factions generate opinions in order to become a centre of union, and to furnish watch-words to parties, and this may make it expedient for government to forbid things in themselves inno

ing with precision supreme power in

supreme power in any not extend to the will signify the hitle what my notions, or what their own notions, on the subject may be, because, according to the exigence, they will take, in fact, the steps which seem to them necessary for the preservation of the whole, for as self-preservation in individuals is the first law of inture, the same will prevail in societies, who will, right or wrong, make that an object paramount to all other rights whatsoever. There are ways and means by which a good man would not even save the commonwealth. \* \* All things founded on the idea of dances could use a self-preserved the means the self-preserved to the self-preserve

societies, who will, right or wrong, make that an object part mount to all other rights whatsoever. There are ways and means by which a good man would not even save the commonwealth \* \* All things founded on the idea of danger ought in a great degree to be temporary. All policy is very suspicious that sacrifices any part to the ideal good for the chief of the state is (as far as may be).

misery of mankind, estimated by unun included ments, and not by any theories of their rights, is, and ought ments, and not by any theories of their rights, is, and ought their rights, and to a conduct of legislators towards the uets us to the pole, and to a pole, and to a

ople, and to a ts, and all the The first quesfore, would be, how and in what circumstances do you find the society? and

to act upon them.

To the other laws relating to other sects I have nothing I only look to the petition which has given rise to this proceeding. I confine myself to that, because in my opinion its merits have little or no relation to that of the other laws which the right honourable gentleman has with so much ability blended with it. With the Catholics, with the Presbyterians, with the Anabaptists, with the Independents, with the Quakers, I have nothing at all to do. They are in possession, a great title in all human affairs. The tenor and spirit of our laws, whether they were restraining, or whether they were relaxing, have hitherto taken another The spirit of our laws has applied their penalty or their relief to the supposed abuse to be repressed, or the grievance to be relieved; and the provision for a Catholic and a Quaker has been totally different, according to his exigence; you did not give a Catholic liberty to be freed from an oath, or a Quaker power of saying mass with impunity. You have done this because you have laid it down as an universal proposition, as a maxim, that nothing relative to religion was your concern, but the direct contrary; and therefore you have always examined whether there was a grievance. It has been so at all times; the legislature, whether right or wrong, went no other way to work but by circumstances, times, and necessities. My mind marches the same road; my school is the practice and usage of parliament.

Old religious factions are volcanoes burnt out; on the lava, and ashes, and squalid scoriæ of old eruptions grow the peaceful olive, the cheering vine, and the sustaining corn. Such was the first, such the second, condition of Vesuvius. But when a new fire bursts out, a face of desolations comes on, not to be rectified in ages. Therefore, when men come before us, and rise up like an exhalation from the ground, they come in a questionable shape, and we must exercise them, and try whether their intents be wicked or charitable; whether they bring airs from heaven or blasts from hell. This is the first time that our records of parliament have heard, or our experience or history given us an account, of any religious congregation or association known by the name which these petitioners have assumed. We are now to see by what people, of what character, and under what temporary

circumstances, this business is brought before you We are to see whether there be any, and what, mixture of political dogmas and political practices with their religious tenets, of what nature they are, and how far they are at present practically separable from them This faction (the authors of the petition) are not confined to a theological sect, but are also a political faction 1st, As theological, we are to show that they do not aim at the quiet enjoyment of their own liberty, but are associated for the express purpose of proselytism -In proof of this first proposition, read their primary association 2nd, That their purpose of proselytism is to collect a multitude sufficient by force and violence to overturn the church In proof of the second proposition, see the letter of Priestley to Mr Pitt, and extracts from his works 3rd, That the designs against the church are concurrent with a design to subvert the state In proof of the third proposition, read the advertisement of the Unitarian Society for celebrating the 14th of July 4th, On what model they intend to build, that it is the French In proof of the fourth

ligious toleration, and with regard to, 1 Religion-2 Civil happiness—3 Viitue, order, and real liberty—4 Commercial opulence—5 National defence In proof of the fifth proposition, read the representation of the French minister of the Home Department, and the report of the committee

Formerly, when the superiority of two parties contending tion, we knew

knew, for in-- to the West-

We knew that minster Catechism with regard to tenets Presbytery would prevail in church government But we do not know what opinions would prevail if the present dis-senters should become masters They will not tell us their present opinions, and one principle of modern dissent 18, not to discover them Next, as their religion is in a continual fluctuation, and is so by principle, and in profession, it is impossible for us to know what it will be If religion only related to the individual, and was a question be-tween God and the conscience, it would not be wise, nor in

my opinion equitable, for human authority to step in. But when religion is embodied into faction, and factions have objects to pursue, it will, and must, more or less, become a question of power between them. If even, when embodied into congregations, they limited their principle to their own congregations, and were satisfied themselves to abstain from what they thought unlawful, it would be cruel in my opinion to molest them in that tenet, and a consequent practice. But we know that they not only entertain these opinions, but entertain them with a zeal for propagating them by force, and employing the power of law and place to destroy establishments, if ever they should come to power sufficient to effect their purpose: that is, in other words, they declare they would persecute the heads of our church; and the question is, whether you should keep them within the bounds of toler-

ation, or subject yourself to their persecution.

A bad and very censurable practice it is to warp doubtful and ambiguous expressions to a perverted sense, which makes the charge not the crime of others, but the construction of your own malice; nor is it allowed to draw conclusions from allowed premises, which those who lay down the premises utterly deny and disown as their conclusions. For this, though it may possibly be good logic, cannot by any possibility whatsoever be a fair or charitable representation of any man, or any set of men. It may show the erroneous nature of principles, but it argues nothing as to dispositions and intentions. Far be such a mode from me. A mean and unworthy jealousy it would be to do anything upon the mere speculative apprehension of what men will do. But let us pass by our opinions concerning the danger of the church. What do the gentlemen themselves think of that danger? They from whom the danger is apprehended, what do they declare to be their own designs? What do they conceive to be their own forces? and what do they proclaim to be their means? Their designs they declare to be to destroy the established church, and not to set up a new one of See Priestley. If they should find the state stick to the church, the question is whether they love the constitution in state so well, as that they would not destroy the constitution of the state in order to destroy that of the church. Most certainly they do not.

The foundations on which obedience to governments is founded are not to be constantly discussed. That we are here supposes the discussion already made and the dispute settled. We must assume the rights of what represents the public to control the individual, to make his will and his acts to submit to their will, until some intolerable girerance shall make us know that it does not answer its end, and will submit neither to reformation nor restraint. Otherwise we should dispute all the points of morality before we can punish a murderer, robber, and adulterer, we should analyze all secrety. Dangers by being despised grow great, so they do by absurd provision against them. Stalls est dixisse non pu

declare themselves more early than otherwise they would, and therefore precipitate the evil—all this depends on the reality of the danger. Is it only an unbooksh jealousty, as Shakspeare calls it? It is a question of fact. Does a design against the constitution of this country exist? If it does, and if it is carried on with increasing vigour and activity by a restless faction and if it receives countenance by the most ardent and enthusiastic appliances of its object in the great council of this kingdom by men of the first parts which this kingdom produces perhaps by the first it has ever produced, if the produced of the first parts which the sample of the sample o

' If you ask whether

ate, I answer, thank

the is yet sound, the constitution is in their hearts, while wicked men are one are vouring to put another into their heads. But if I see the very same beginnings which have commonly ended in great calamities, I ought to act as if they might produce the very same effects. Early and provident fear is the mother of safety, because in that state of things the mind is firm and collected, and the judgment unembarrassed. But when the

oon all delays

decision, the man is in a flutter, and in a hurry, and his judgment is gone, as the judgment of the deposed king of

France and his ministers was gone, if the latter did not premeditately betray him. He was just come from his usual amusement of hunting, when the head of the column of treason and assassination was arrived at his bouse. Let not the king, let not the prince of Wales, be surprised in this manner. Let not both Houses of parliament be led in triumph along with him, and have law dictated to them by the Constitutional, the Revolution, and the Unitarian Societies. These insect reptiles, whilst they go on only caballing and toasting, only fill us with disgust; if they get above their natural size, and increase the quantity, whilst they keep the quality, of their venom, they become objects of the greatest terror. A spider in his natural size is only a spider, ugly and loath-some; and his flimsy net is only fit for catching flies. But, good God! suppose a spider as large as an ox, and that he spread cables about us, all the wilds of Africa would not produce anything so dreadful—

Quale portentum neque militaris Daunia in latis alit esculctis, Nec Jubæ tellus generat leonum Arida nutrix.

Think of them, who dare menace in the way they do in their present state, what would they do if they had power commensurate to their malice? God forbid I ever should have a despotic master—but if I must, my choice is made. I will have Louis XVI. rather than Monsieur Bailly, or Brissot, or Chabot; rather George III., or George IV., than Dr. Priestley or Dr. Kippis,—persons who would not load a tyrannous power by the poisoned taunts of a vulgar, low-bred insolence. I hope we have still spirit enough to keep us from the one or the other. The contumelies of tyranny are the worst parts of it.

But if the danger be existing in reality, and silently maturing itself to our destruction, what, is it not better to take treason unprepared, than that treason should come by surprise upon us, and take us unprepared? If we must have a conflict, let us have it with all our forces fresh about us, with our government in full function and full strength, our troops uncorrupted, our revenues in the legal hands, our arsenals filled and possessed by government; and not wait till the conspirators, met to commemorate the 14th of July, shall

seize on th murder the the king's

gallery, an you The degree of danger is not only from the circumstances which threaten, but from the value of the objects which are threatened A small danger menacing an inestimable object is of more importance than the greatest perils which regard one that is indifferent to us The whole question of the danger depends upon facts The first fact 18, whether those who sway in France at present confine themselves to the regulation of their internal affairs, or whether upon system they nourish cabals in all other countries, to extend their power by producing revolutions similar to their 2 The next is, whether we have any cabals formed or forming within these kingdoms, to co-operate with them for the destruction of our constitution On the solution of these two questions, joined with our opinion of the value of the object to be affected by their machinations, the justness of our alarm and the necessity of our vigilance must depend Every private conspiracy, every open attack upon the law, is dangerous One robbery is an alarm to all property, else I am sure we exceed measure in our punishment As robberies increase in number and audacity, the alarm increases These wretches are at war with us upon principle They hold this government to be an usurpation See the language of the department

The whole question is on the reality of the danger Is it such a danger as would justify that fear, qui cadere potest in hominem constantem et non metuentem? This is the fer

wenn dones declare to be a lawful life openly

When every act of a man's life manifests such a design stronger than by words, even though he does not make such a declaration, I am justified in being on my guard They are of opinion, that they are already one-lifth of the kingdom If so, their force is naturally not contemptible To say that in all contests the decision will of course be in favour of the greater number, is by no means true in fact. For, first, the greater number is generally composed of men of sluggish tempers, slow to act, and unwilling to attempt; and, by being in possession, are so disposed to peace, that they are unwilling to take early and vigorous measures for their defence, and they are almost always caught unprepared.

Nec coiere pares: alter vergentibus annis
In senium, longoque togæ tranquillior usu
Dedidicit jam pace ducem;———
Nec reparare novas vires, multumque priori
Credere fortunæ. Stat magni nominis umbra.
LUCAN, l. 129—135.

A smaller number, more expedite, awakened, active, vigorous, and courageous, who make amends for what they want in weight by their superabundance of velocity, will create an acting power of the greatest possible strength. When men are furiously and fanatically fond of an object, they will prefer it, as is well known, to their own peace, to their own property, and to their own lives; and can there be a doubt in such a case that they would prefer it to the peace of their country? Is it to be doubted, that, if they have not strength enough at home, they will call in foreign force to aid them? Would you deny them what is reasonable for fear they should? Certainly not. It would be barbarous to pretend to look into the minds of men. I would go further, it would not be just even to trace consequences from principles, which, though evident to me, were denied by them. Let them disband as a faction, and let them act as individuals; and when I see them with no other views than to enjoy their own conscience in peace, I for one shall most cheerfully vote for their relief.

A tender conscience, of all things, ought to be tenderly handled; for if you do not, you injure not only the conscience, but the whole moral frame and constitution is injured, recurring at times to remorse, and seeking refuge only in making the conscience callous. But the conscience of faction, the conscience of sedition, the conscience of conspiracy, war, and confusion \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Whether anything be proper to be denied, which is right in itself, because it may lead to the demand of others, which it is improper to grant;—abstractedly speaking, there can be no doubt that this question ought to be decided in the negative. But as no moral questions are ever abstract ques-

tions, this, before I judge upon any abstract proposition, must be embodied in circumstances, for since things are right or wrong, morally speaking, only by their relation and connexion with other things, this very question of what it is politically right to grant depends upon this relation to its effects It is the direct office of wisdom to look to the con sequences of the acts we do, if it be not this, it is worth nothing, it is out of place and of function, and a downright fool is as capable of government as Charles Fox A man desires a sword, why should he be refused? a sword is a means of defence, and defence is the natural right of man, -nay, the first of all his rights, and which comprehends them all But if I know that the sword desired is to be employed to cut my own throat, common sense, and my own self defence, dictate to me to keep out of his hands this natural right of the sword But whether this denial be wise or foolish, just or unjust, prudent or cowardly, depends entirely on the state of the man's means A man may have very ill dispositions, and yet be so very weak as to make all precaution foolish See whether this be the case of these dissenters, as to their designs, as to their means, numbers, activity, zeal, foreign assistance

The first question to be decided, when we talk of the church's being in danger from any particular measure, is, whether the danger to the church is a public evil, for to those who think that the national church establishment is itself a national grievance, to desire them to forward or to resist any measure upon account of its conducing to the safety of the church, or averting its danger, would be to the last degree absurd If you have reason to think thus of it, take

ot un-But

here I assume, that by far the greater number of those who compose the House are of opinion, that this national church establishment is a great national benefit, a great public blessing, and that its existence or its non-enstence of course is a thing by no means indifferent to the public welfare, then, to them its danger or its safety must enter deeply and every question which has a relation to it. It is not because ungrounded alarms have been given that there never can exist

a real danger; perhaps the worst effect of an ungrounded alarm is to make people insensible to the approach of a real peril. Quakerism is strict, methodical, in its nature highly aristocratical, and so regular that it has brought the whole community to the condition of one family; but it does not actually interfere with the government. The principle of your petitioners is no passive conscientions dissent on account of an over-scrupulous habit of mind; the dissent on their part is fundamental, goes to the very root; and it is at issue not upon this rite or that ceremony, on this or that school opinion, but upon this one question of an establishment as unchristian, unlawful, contrary to the gospel and to natural right. Popish, and idolatrous. These are the principles violently and fanatically held and pursued-taught to their children, who are sworn at the altar like Hannibal. The war is with the establishment itself, no quarter, no compromise. As a party, they are infinitely mischievous; see the declarations of Priestley and Price—declarations, you will say, of hot men. Likely enough—but who are the cool men who have disclaimed them? not one,-no, not one. Which of them has ever told you that they do not mean to destroy the church, if ever it should be in their power? Which of them has told you, that this would not be the first and favourite use of any power they should get? not one,—no, not one. Declarations of hot men! The danger is thence, that they are under the conduct of hot men; falsos in amore odia non fingere.

They say they are well affected to the state, and mean only to destroy the church. If this be the utmost of their meaning, you must first consider whether you wish your church establishment to be destroyed; if you do, you had much better do it now in temper, in a grave, moderate, and parliamentary way. But if you think otherwise, and that you think it to be an invaluable blessing, a way fully sufficient to nourish a manly, rational, solid, and at the same time humble, piety; if you find it well fitted to the frame and pattern of your civil constitution; if you find it a barrier against fanaticism, infidelity, and atheism; if you find that it furnishes support to the human mind in the afflictions and distresses of the world, consolation in sickness, pain, poverty, and death; if it dignifies our nature with the hope of immortality, leaves inquiry free, whilst it preserves an authority to teach, where

authority only can teach, communia altaria, æque ac patriam, diligite, colite, fovete.

jesty, his heirs and successors, to destroy and subvert the same, or, to cause members to be elected into any corporation, or into parliament,

"So help me Gou.

#### SPECCH

ON THE MOTION MADE IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, THE 7th OF FEBRUARY, 1771, RELATIVE TO THE MIDDLESEX ELECTION!

In every complicated constitution (and every free constitution is complicated) cases will arise, when the several orders of the state will clash with one another; and disputes will arise about the limits of their several rights and privileges It may be almost impossible to reconcile them

1 This motion, which was for leave to bring in a bill to ascertain the rights of the electors in respect to the eligibility of persons to serve in purliament, was rejected by a majority of 167 against 103

Carry the principle on by which you expelled Mr. Wilkes, there is not a man in the House, hardly a man in the nation, who may not be disqualified. That this House should have no power of expulsion, is a hard saying. That this House should have a general discretionary power of disqualification, is a dangerous saying. That the people should not choose their own representative, is a saying that shakes the constitution. That this House should name the representative, is a saying which, followed by practice, subverts the constitu-tion. They have the right of electing, you have a right of expelling; they of choosing, you of judging, and only of judging, of the choice. What bounds shall be set to the freedom of that choice? Their right is prior to ours, we all originate there. They are the mortal enemies of the House of Commons, who would persuade them to think or to act as if they were a self-originated magistracy, independent of the people, and unconnected with their opinions and feelings. Under a pretence of exalting the dignity, they undermine the very foundations of this House. When the question is asked here, What disturbs the people, whence all this clamour? we apply to the treasury-bench, and they tell us it is from the efforts of libellers, and the wickedness of the people:—a worn-out ministerial pretence. If abroad the people are deceived by popular, within we are deluded by ministerial, cant. The question amounts to this, whether you mean to be a legal tribunal, or an arbitrary and despotic assembly. I see, and I feel, the delicacy and difficulty of the ground upon which we stand in this question. I could wish, indeed, that they who advise the Crown had not left parliament in this very ungraceful distress, in which they can neither retract with dignity nor persist with justice. Another parliament might have satisfied the people without lowering themselves. But our situation is not in our own choice: our conduct in that situation is all that is in our own option. The substance of the question is, to put bounds to your own power by the rules and principles of law. This is, I am sensible, a difficult thing to the corrupt, grasping, and ambitious part of human nature. But the very difficulty argues and enforces the necessity of it. First, because the greater the power the more dangerous the abuse. Since the revo-

lution, at least, the power of the nation has all flowed with a full tide into the House of Commons Secondly, because the House of Commons, as it is the most powerful, is the most corruptible part of the whole constitution Our public wounds cannot be concealed, to be cured they must be laid open The public does think we are a corrupt body In our legislative capacity we are, in most instances, esteemed a very wise body In our judicial, we have no credit, no cha racter at all Our judgments stink in the nostrils of the people They think us to be not only without virtue, but without shame Therefore the greatness of our power, and the great and just opinion of our corruptibility and our corruption, render it necessary to fix some bound, to plant some landmark, which we are never to exceed This is what the bill proposes First, on this head, I lay it down as a fundamental rule in the law and constitution of this country, that this House has not by itself alone a legislative authority in any case whatsoever I know that the contrary was the doctrine of the usurping Ho the fences and bulwarks

the fences and bulwarks Lords, then the Crown, t

thing that was done on the restoration of the constitution was to settle this point Secondly, I lay it down as a rule, that the powe

grounds, is a

principle, if i

1 2 -- at ng li

cretionary application Therefore it can give rights, rights where no rights existed before, and it can take away rights where they were before established. For the law which binds all others does not and cannot bind the law-maker, he, and he alone, is above the law But a judge, a person exercising to original justice, nor

He goes to justice and brough the medium of

some superiors He is to work neither upon his opinion of

the one nor of the other; but upon a fixed rule, of which he has not the making, but singly and solely the application to the case.

The power assumed by the House neither is, nor can be, judicial power exercised according to known law. The properties of law are, first, that it should be known; secondly, that it should be fixed, and not occasional. First, this power cannot be according to the first property of law; because no man does or can know it, nor do you yourselves know upon what grounds you will vote the incapacity of any man. No man in Westminster Hall, or in any court upon earth, will say that is law upon which, if a man going to his counsel should say to him, What is my tenure in law of this estate? he would answer, Truly, Sir, I know not; the court has no rule but its own discretion; they will determine. It is not a fixed law—because you profess you vary it according to the occasion, exercise it according to your discretion; no man can call for it as a right. It is argued that the incapacity is not originally voted, but a consequence of a power of expulsion: but if you expel, not upon legal, but upon arbitrary, that is, upon discretionary, grounds, and the incapacity is ex vi termini, and inclusively comprehended in the expulsion, is not the incapacity voted in the expulsion? Are they not convertible terms? And if incapacity is voted to be inherent in expulsion, if expulsion be arbitrary, incapacity is arbitrary also. I have therefore shown that the power of incapacitation is a legislative power; I have shown that legislative power does not belong to the House of Commons; and therefore it follows that the House of Commons has not a power of incapacitation.

I know not the origin of the House of Commons, but am very sure that it did not create itself; the electors were prior to the elected; whose rights originated either from the people at large, or from some other form of legislature, which never could intend for the chosen a power of superseding the choosers.

If you have not a power of declaring an incapacity simply by the mere act of declaring it, it is evident to the most ordinary reason, you cannot have a right of expulsion, inferring, or rather including, an incapacity. For as the law, when it gives any direct right, gives also as necessary incidents all the means of acquiring the possession of that right; so where it you yi.

does not give a right directly, it refuses all the means by which such a right may by any mediums be exercised, or, in effect, be indirectly acquired. Else it is very obvious that the intention of the law in refusing that right might be entirely frustrated, and the whole power of the legislature baffled. If there be no certain, invariable rule of eligibility, it were better to get simplicity, if certainty is not to be had, and to resolve all the frunchises of the subject into this one short proposition—the will and pleasure of the House of Commons.

The argument drawn from the courts of law applying the principles of law to new cases as they emerge is altogether

total ignorance of sdiction, and of the ovinces of law, that

he courts of law, where a new case comes before them, as they do every hour, then that there may be no defect in justice, call in similar

the nearest determination, and iw to as near a conformity to

m as they can bring it with its judicis est ampliare justitiam-

that is, to make open and neeral justice. But in criminal matters this parity of reason, and these analogies, erer hard been, and ever ought to be, shunned.

Iging in elections But a not necessary to a court of

therefore a power of making inerpacties is not by to the House of Commons

Incapacity, declared by whatever authority, stands upon two principles. First, an incapacity arising from the supposed incongenity of two duties in the commonwealth becondly, an incapacity arising from unfitness by infirmite of nature or the eriministy of conduct. As to the first class of incapacities, they have no hardship annexed to them. The persons so incapacitated are paid by one dignity for what they ablandon in another, and, for the most part, the nituation arises from their own choice. But as to the second, arising from a unfilters not fixed by nature, but superindiced by some positive acts, or arising from honourable motives, such

ne an ecosional personal di ability, of all things it ought to be defined by the fixed rule of law-what Lord Coke calls the golden metward of the law, and not by the crooked cord of discretion. Whatever is general is better born. We take our common let with men of the same description. But to be selected and marked out by a particular brand of unworthings among our fellow-citizens, is a lot of all others the hardest to be borne; and consequently is of all others that not which ought only to be trusted to the legislature, as not only legislative in its nature, but of all parts of legislature the most clions. The question is over, if this is shown not to be a legislative net. But what is very usual and natural, is to corrupt judicature into legislature. On this point it is proper to inquire whether a court of judicature, which decides without appeal, has it as a nece sare incident of such judicature, that whatever it decides is de jure law. Nobody will, I hope, assert this, because the direct consequence would be the entire extinction of the difference between true and false judgments. For if the judgment makes the law, and not the law directs the judgment, it is impossible there should be such a thing as an illegal judgment given.

But instead of standing upon this ground, they introduce another question, wholly foreign to it, whether it ought not to be submitted to as if it were law. And then the question is,—by the constitution of this country, what degree of submission is due to the authoritative acts of a limited power? This question of submission, determine it how you please, has nothing to do in this discussion, and in this House. Here it is not how long the people are bound to tolerate the illegality of our judgments, but whether we have a right to substitute our occasional opinion in the place of law; so as to deprive the citizen of his franchise.

ĸ 2

## SPEECH

# ON A BILL FOR SHORTENING THE DURATION OF PARLIAMENTS!

It is always to be lamented when men are driven to search into the foundations of the commonwealth. It is certainly necessary to resort to the theory of your government whenever you propose any alteration in the frame of it, whether that alteration means the revival of some former antiquited and forsaken constitution of state, or the introduction of some new improvement in the commonwealth. The object of our deliberation is to promote the good purposes for which elections have been instituted, and to prevent their mecony.

with n the str

sweep
to be suited to your disease—your present disease, and to
your whole disease. That man thinks much too highly, and
therefore he thinks weakly and deliusively, of any contrivance
of human wisdom, who believes that it can make any sort of
approach to perfection. There is not, there nerer was, a
principle of government under heaven, that does not, in the
very pursuit of the good it proposes, naturally and inevitably
lead into some inconvenience, which makes it absolutely
necessary to counterwork and weaken the application of that
first principle itself, and to abandon something of the extent
of the advantage you proposed by it, in order to prevent
also the inconveniences which have arisen from the instru-

ment of all the good you had in view

medium of popular electeril It is such, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This speech was delivered upon one of those motions which for many successive years were made by Mr Sawbridge, for shortening the duration of parliaments, but the precise date cannot be ascertained.

few nations whose monarchs were not originally elective, very few are now elected. They are the distempers of elections that have destroyed all free states. To cure these distempers is difficult, if not impossible; the only thing, therefore, left to save the commonwealth is to prevent their return too frequently. The objects in view are, to have parliaments as frequent as they can be without distracting them in the prosecution of public business; on one hand to secure their dependence upon the people; on the other to give them that quiet in their minds, and that case in their fortunes, as to enable them to perform the most arduous and most painful duty in the world with spirit, with efficiency, with independency, and with experience, as real public counsellors, not as the canvassers at a perpetual election. It is wise to compass as many good ends as possibly you can, and, seeing there are inconveniences on both sides, with benefits on both, to give up a part of the benefit to soften the inconvenience. The perfect cure is impracticable, because the disorder is dear to those from whom alone the cure can possibly be derived. The utmost to be done is to palliate, to mitigate, to respite, to put off the evil day of the constitution to its latest possible hour, and may it be a very late one!

This bill, I fear, would precipitate one of two consequences, I know not which most likely, or which most dangerous; either that the Crown, by its constant stated power, influence, and revenue, would wear out all opposition in elections, or that a violent and furious popular spirit would arise. I must see, to satisfy me, the remedies; I must see, from their operation in the cure of the old evil, and in the cure of those new evils which are inseparable from all remedies, how they balance each other, and what is the total result. The excellence of mathematics and metaphysics is to have but one thing before you; but he forms the best judgment in all moral disquisitions, who has the greatest number and variety of considerations in one view before him, and can take them in with the best possible consideration of the middle results of all.

We of the opposition, who are not friends to the bill, give this pledge at least of our integrity and sincerity to the people, that in our situation of systematic opposition to the

man of a second

present ministers in which all our hope of rendering it effectual depends upon popular interest and favour, we will not flatter them by a surrender of our uninfluenced judgment and opinion, we give a security, that, if ever we should be in another situation, no flattery to any other sort of power and influence would induce us to act against the true interests of the people

All are agreed that parliaments should not be perpetual, the only question is, what is the most convenient time for their duration? On which there are three opinions are agreed, too that the term ought not to be chosen most likely in its operation to spread corruption, and to augment the already overgrown influence of the Crown On these principles I mean to debate the question It is easy to pretend a zeal for liberty Those who think themselves not likely to be encumbered with the performance of their promises, either from their known mability, or total indifference about the performance, never fail to entertain the most lofty ideas They are certainly the most specious, and they cost them neither reflection to frame, nor pains to modify, nor management to support The task is of another nature to those who mean to promise nothing that it is not in their intention, or may possibly be in their power, to perform, to those who are bound and principled no more to delude the understandings than to violate the liberty of their fellowsubjects Taithful and privileges of the

fied for it as we oug

to receive it from them, we are not to go to school to them to learn the principles of law and government. In doing so, we should not dutifully serve, but we should basely and scandalously betray, the people, who are not capable of this service by nature, nor in any instance called to it by the constitution I reverentially look up to the opinion of the people and with an awe that is almost superstitious I should be ashamed to show my face before them if I changed my ground, as they cried up or cried down men, or thing, or opinions, if I wavered and shifted about with every change and joined in it, or opposed, as best answered any low interest or pression, if I held them up hopes which I knew I never intended, or promised what I well knew I

could not perform. Of all these things they are perfect sovereign judges, without appeal; but as to the detail of particular measures, or to any general schemes of policy, they have neither enough of speculation in the closet, nor of experience in business, to decide upon it. They can well see whether we are tools of a court, or their honest servants. Of that they can well judge; and I wish that they always exercised their judgment; but of the particular merits of a measure I have other standards. 45 45 \* & \* That the frequency of elections proposed by this bill has a tendency to increase the power and consideration of the electors, not lessen corruptibility. I do most reacily allow; so far it is desirable; this is what it has, I will tell you now what it has not. 1st, It has no sort of tencency to increase their integrity and public spirit, unless an increase of power has an operation upon voters in elec-tions that it has in no other situation in the world, and upon no other part of mankind. 2nd, This bill has no tendency to limit the quantity of influence in the Crown, to render its operation more difficult, or to counteract that operation, which it cannot prevent, in any way whatsoever. It has its full weight, its full range, and its uncontrolled operation on the electors exactly as it had before. 3rd, Nor, thirdly, does it abate the interest or inclination of ministers to apply that influence to the electors; on the contrary, it renders it much more necessary to them; if they seek to have a majority in parliament, to increase the means of that influence, and redouble their diligence, and to sharpen dexterity in the application. The whole effect of the bill is therefore the removing the application of some part of the influence from the elected to the electors, and further to strengthen and extend a court interest already great and powerful in boroughs, here to fix their magazines and places of arms, and thus to make them the principal, not the secondary, theatre of their manœuvres for securing a determined majority in parliament.

I believe nobody will deny that the electors are corruptible. They are men; it is saying nothing worse of them; many of them are but ill informed in their minds, many feeble in their circumstances, easily over-reached, easily seduced. If they are many, the wages of corruption are the lower; and would to God it were not rather a contemptible and hypocritical adulation than a charitable sentiment to say

Of at all planetages a

see their neighbours aggrandized, and themselves poor and virtuous without that eclat or dignity which attends men in

higher situations
But admit it were true, that the great mass of the electors
were too vast an object for court influence to grasp, or extend to, and that in despair they must abandon it; he must
be very ignorant of the state of every popular interest who
does not know, that in all the corporations, all the open
boroughs, indeed in every district of the kingdom, there is
some leading man, some agistator, some wealthy merchani, or

Luc navo d

-- Hultum in Fabià valet hie, valet ille Velinà , Cuilibet hie fasces dabit erspietque curule

These spirits, each of which informs and governs his own little orb, are neither so many, nor so little powerful, nor so incorruptible, but that a minister may, as he does frequently, find means of gaining them, and through them all their followers. To establish, therefore, a very general influence

parliaough it eve the ed elec-

constitution I went through almost the constitution in the beginning of this parliament, and acted as a manager in very many of them, by which, though as at a school of pretty severe and rugged discipline, I came to have some degree of instruction concerning the means by which parliamentary interests are in general procured and supported

mentary interests are in general procured and supported. Theory, I know, would suppose, that every general election is to the representative a day of judgment, in which he appears before his constituents to account for the use of the talent with which they intrusted him, and for the improvement he has made of it for the public advantage. It would

be so, if every corruptible representative were to find an enlightened and incorruptible constituent. But the practice and knowledge of the world will not suffer us to be ignorant, that the constitution on paper is one thing, and in fact and experience is another. We must know, that the candidate, instead of trusting at his election to the testimony of his behaviour in parliament, must bring the testimony of a large sum of money, the capacity of liberal expense in entertainments, the power of serving and obliging the rulers of corporations, of winning over the popular leaders of political clubs, associations, and neighbourhoods. It is ten thousand times more necessary to show himself a man of power, than a man of integrity, in almost all the elections with which I have been acquainted. Elections, therefore, become a matter of heavy expense; and, if contests are frequent, to many they will become a matter of an expense totally ruinous, which no fortunes can bear; but least of all the landed fortunes, encumbered as they often, indeed as they mostly, are with debts, with portions, with jointures; and tied up in the hands of the possessor by the limitations of settlement. It is a material, it is in my opinion a lasting, consideration in all the questions concerning election. Let no one think the charges of elections a trivial matter.

The charge, therefore, of elections ought never to be lost sight of in a question concerning their frequency; because the grand object you seek is independence. Independence of mind will ever be more or less influenced by independence of fortune; and if, every three years, the exhausting sluices of entertainments, drinkings, open houses, to say nothing of bribery, are to be periodically drawn up and renewed;—if government-favours, for which now, in some shape or other, the whole race of men are candidates, are to be called for upon every occasion, I see that private fortunes will be washed away, and every, even to the least, trace of independence borne down by the torrent. I do not seriously think this constitution, even to the wrecks of it, could survive five triennial elections. If you are to fight the battle, you must put on the armour of the ministry; you must call in the public, to the aid of private, money. The expense of the last election has been computed (and I am persuaded that it has not been over-rated) at £1,500,000;—three shillings

in the pound more in the land tax. About the close of the last parliament, and the beginning of this, several agents for boroughs went about, and I remember well that it was in every one of their mouths—"Sir, your election will cost you three thousand pounds if you are independent, but if the ministry supports you it may be done for two, and perhaps for less," and, indeed, the thing spoke itself. Where a living was to be got for one, a commission in the army for another, a lift in the many for a third, and custom-house offices scattered about without measure or number, who doubts but money may be saved? The treasury may even add money, but indeed it is superfluous. A gentleman of two thousand a year who meets another of the same fortune fights with equal arms, but if to one of the candidates you add a thousand a year in places for himself, and a power of

will be said, I do not allow for the operation of character, but I do, and I know it will have its weight in most elections, perhaps it may be decisive in some. But there are few in which it will prevent great expenses

The destruction of independent fortunes will be the consequence on the part of the candidate What will be the consequence of triennial corruption, triennial drunkenness, triennial idleness, triennial law-suits, litigations, prosecutions, triennial phrensy, of society dissolved, industry interrupted, runed, of those personal hatreds that will never be suffered to soften, those animosities and feuds which will be rendered immortal, those quarrels which are never to be appeased, morals vitiated and gangrened to the vitals? I think no stable and useful advantages were ever made by the money got at elections by the voter, but all he gets is doubly lost to the public, it is money given to diminish the general stock of the community, which is in the industry of the subject I am sure that it is a good while before he or his family settle again to their business. Their heads will never cool, the temptations of elections will be for ever glittering before their eyes They will all grow politicians, every one, quitting his business, will choose to enrich himself by his vote They will all take the gauging-rod, new

places will be made for them; they will run to the customhouse quay; their looms and ploughs will be deserted.

So was Rome destroyed by the disorders of continual elections, though those of Rome were sober disorders. They had nothing but faction, bribery, bread, and stage plays, to debauch them. We have the inflammation of liquor superadded, a fury hotter than any of them. There the contest was only between citizen and citizen; here you have the contests of ambitious citizens on one side, supported by the Crown, to oppose to the efforts (let it be so) of private and unsupported ambition on the other. Yet Rome was destroyed by the frequency and charge of elections, and the monstrous expense of an unremitted courtship to the people. I think, therefore, the independent candidate and elector may each be destroyed by it; the whole body of the community be an infinite sufferer; and a vicious ministry the only gainer. Gentlemen, I know, feel the weight of this argument; they agree that this would be the consequence of more frequent elections, if things were to continue as they But they think the greatness and frequency of the evil would itself be a remedy for it; that, sitting but for a short time, the member would not find it worth while to make such vast expenses, while the fear of their constituents will hold them the more effectually to their duty.

To this I answer, that experience is full against them. This is no new thing; we have had triennial parliaments; at no period of time were seats more eagerly contested. The expenses of elections ran higher, taking the state of all charges, than they do now. The expense of entertainments was such, that an act, equally severe and ineffectual, was made against it; every monument of the time bears witness of the expense, and most of the acts against corruption in elections were then made; all the writers talked of it and lamented it. Will any one think that a corporation will be contented with a bowl of punch or a piece of beef the less, because elections are every three, instead of every seven, years? Will they change their wine for ale, because they are to get more ale three years hence? Don't think it. Will they make fewer demands for the advantages of patronage in favours and offices, because their member is brought more under their power? We have not only our own his-

413 8

toncal experience in England upon the subject, but we have the experience co-existing with us in Ireland, where, since their parliament has been shortened, the expense of elections has been so far from being lowered, that it has been very near doubled. Tormerly they sat for the king's life, the ordinary charge of a seat in parliament was then £1500. They now sit eight years, four sessions, it is now £2500, and upwards. The spirit of emulation has also been extremely increased, and all who are acquainted with the tone of that country have no doubt that the spirit is still growing, that new emuldates will take the field, that the contests will be more violent, and the expenses of elections larger than ever

It never can be otherwise A seat in this House, for good

Thad n masses for no n rposes at all, (except the
g concerned in the pub

object of ambition in

in their beds for ages, so that the bow string is the natural death of bashaws yet in no country is power and distinction (precarious enough God knows, in all ) sought for with such boundless aridity, as if the value of place was enhanced by the danger and insecurity of its tenure. Nothing will ever make a seat in this House not an object of desire to numbers by any means or at any charge, but the depriving it of all power and all dignity, this would do it. This is the true and only nostrum for this purpose. But a House of Commons without power and without dignity, either in itself or in its members is no House of Commons for the purposes of this constitution.

But they will afraid to act ill, if they know that the day of their account is always near. I wish it were true, but it is not, here again we have experience and experience is against us. The distemper of this age is a poverty of spirit and of genus, it is trilling it is fulle, worse than ignorant, superficially taught, with the politics and morals of gris at

a boarding-school, rather than of men and statesmen; but it is not yet desperately wicked, or so scandalously venal as in former times. Did not a triennial parliament give up the national dignity, approve the peace of Utrecht, and almost give up everything else in taking every step to defeat the Protestant succession? Was not the constitution saved by those who had no election at all to go to, the Lords, because the court applied to electors, and by various means carried them from their true interests; so that the Tory ministry had a majority without an application to a single member? Now as to the conduct of the members, it was then far from pure and independent. Bribery was infinitely more flagrant, A predecessor of yours, Mr. Speaker, put the question of his own expulsion for bribery. Sir William Musgrave was a wise man; a grave man; an independent man; a man of good fortune and good family; however, he carried on while in opposition a traffic, a shameful traffic, with the ministry. Bishop Burnet knew of £6000 which he had received at one payment. I believe the payment of sums in hard money, plain, naked bribery, is rare amongst us. It was then far from uncommon.

A triennial was near ruining, a septennial parliament saved, your constitution; nor perhaps have you ever known a more flourishing period for the union of national prosperity, dignity, and liberty, than the sixty years you have passed

under that constitution of parliament.

The shortness of time in which they are to reap the profits of iniquity is far from checking the avidity of corrupt men; it renders them infinitely more ravenous. They rush violently and precipitately on their object; they lose all regard to decorum. The moments of profits are precious; never are men so wicked as during a general mortality. It was so in the great plague at Athens; every symptom of which (and this its worst symptom amongst the rest) is so finely related by a great historian of antiquity. It was so in the plague of London in 1665. It appears in soldiers, sailors, &c. Whoever would contrive to render the life of man much shorter than it is, would, I am satisfied, find the surest receipt for increasing the wickedness of our nature.

Thus, in my opinion, the shortness of a triennial sitting would have the following ill effects; it would make the mem-

ber more shamelessly and shockingly corrupt, it would increase his dependence on those who could best support him at his election, it would rack and tear to pieces the fortunes of those who stood upon their own fortunes and their private interest, it would make the electors infinitely more venal, and it would make the whole body of the people, who are, whether they have votes or not, concerned in elections, more lawless, more idle, more debauched, it would utterly destroy the sobriety, the industry, the integrity, the simplicity of all the people, and undermine, I am much afraid, the deepest and best laid foundations of the commonwealth

Those who have spoken and written upon this subject without-doors do not so much deny the probable existence of these inconveniences, in their measure, as they trust for prevention to remedies of various sorts, which they propose I irst, a place bill, but if this will not do, as they fear it will not, then they say we will have a rotation, and a certain number of you shall be rendered incapable of being elected for ten years Then for the electors, they shall ballot, the members of parliament also shall decide by ballot, a fifth project is the change of the present legal representation of the kingdom On all this I shall observe, that it will be very unsi

to which bill itself, agai ...

moved by subsequent projects, every one of which is full of difficulties of its own, and which are all of them very essential alterations in the constitution. This seems very irregular

in the opinion inconveniences

in such a manner as to require a total alteration in the con stitution of the kingdom? If the remedies are proper in triennial, they will not be less so in septennial elections, let us try them first, see how the House relishes them, see how they will operate in the nation, and then, having felt your way and prepared against these inconveniences \*

The honourable gentleman sees that I respect the principle

not differ from him wantonly,

very sure that it was not his

embracing one way, which determined me to take the other. I have not, in newspapers, to derogate from his fair fame with the nation, printed the first rude sketch of his bill with ungenerous and invidious comments. I have not, in conversations industriously circulated about the town, and talked on the benches of this House, attributed his conduct to motives low and unworthy, and as groundless as they are injurious. I do not affect to be frightened with his proposition, as if some hideous spectre had started from hell, which was to be sent back again by every form of exorcism, and every kind of incantation. I invoke no Acheron to overwhelm him in the whirlpools of its muddy gulf. I do not tell the respectable mover and seconder, by a perversion of their sense and expressions, that their proposition halts between the ridiculous and the dangerous. I am not one of those who start up, three at a time, and fall upon and strike at him with so much eagerness, that our daggers hack one another in his sides. My honourable friend has not brought down a spirited imp of chivalry to win the first achievement and blazon of arms on his milk-white shield in a field listed against him; nor brought out the generous offspring of lions, and said to them-Not against that side of the forest, beware of that—here is the prey where you are to fasten your paws; and seasoning his unpractised jaws with blood, tell him— This is the milk for which you are to thirst hereafter. furnish at his expense no holiday, nor suspend hell, that a crafty Ixion may have rest from his wheel; nor give the common adversary, if he be a common adversary, reason to say, I would have put in my word to oppose, but the eagerness of your allies in your social war was such, that I could not break in upon you. I hope he sees and feels, and that every member sees and feels along with him, the difference between amicable dissent and civil discord.

#### SPEECH

OY A MOTION MADE IN THE HOUSE OF COMMONS, THE 7TH OF MAY 1782, FOR A COMMITTEE TO INQUIRE INTO THE STATE OF THE REPRESENTATION OF THE COMMONS IN PARLIAMENT

#### MR SPEAKER.

We have now discovered, at the close of the eighteenth century, that the constitution of England, which for a series of ages had been the proud distinction of this country, always the admiration, and sometimes the envy, of the wise and learned in every other nation,—we have discovered, that this boasted constitution, in the most boasted part of it, is a gro

sul

political architects have taken a survey of the fabric of the British constitution It is singular that they report nothing against the Crown, nothing against the Lords, but in the House of Commons everything is unsound, it is ruinous in every part It is infested by the dry rot, and ready to tumble about our ears without their immediate help You know by the faults they find what are their ideas of the alteration As all government stands upon opinion, they know that the way utterly to destroy it is to remove that opinion, to take away all reverence, all confidence from it, and then, at the first blast of public discontent and popular tumult, it tumbles to the ground

In considering this question, they who oppose it oppose it on different grounds one is in the nature of a previous question, that some alterations may be expedient, but that this is not the time for making them The other is, that no essential alterations are at all wanting, and that neither now nor at any time is it prudent or safe to be meddling with the fundamental principles, and ancient tried usages, of our constitution-that our representation is as nearly perfect as the necessary imperfection of human affairs and of human creatures will suffer it to be, and that it is a subject of prudent and honest use and thankful enjoyment, and not of captious criticism and rash experiment.

On the other side, there are two parties who proceed on two grounds, in my opinion, as they state them, utterly irreconcilable. The one is juridical, the other political. The one is in the nature of a claim of right, on the supposed rights of man as man; this party desire the decision of a suit. The other ground, as far as I can divine what it directly means, is, that the representation is not so politically framed as to answer the theory of its institution. As to the claim of right, the meanest petitioner, the most gross and ignorant, is as good as the best; in some respects his claim is more favourable on account of his ignorance; his weakness, his poverty, and distress, only add to his titles; he sues in forma pauperis; he ought to be a favourite of the court. But when the other ground is taken, when the question is political, when a new constitution is to be made on a sound theory of government, then the presumptuous pride of didactic ignorance is to be excluded from the counsel in this high and arduous matter, which often bids defiance to the experience of the wisest. The first claims a personal representation, the latter rejects it with scorn and fervour. The language of the first party is plain and intelligible; they who plead an absolute right cannot be satisfied with anything short of personal representation, because all natural rights must be the rights of individuals; as by nature there is no such thing as politic or corporate personality; all these ideas are mere fictions of law, they are creatures of voluntary institution; men as men are individuals, and nothing else. They, therefore, who reject the principle of natural and personal representation, are essentially and eternally at variance with those who claim it. As to the first sort of reformers, it is ridiculous to talk to them of the British constitution upon any or upon all of its bases; for they lay it down that every man ought to govern himself, and that where he cannot go himself he must send his representative; that all other government is usurpation; and is so far from having a claim to our obedience, it is not only our right, but our duty, to resist it. Nine-tenths of the reformers argue thus, that is, on the natural right. It is impossible not to make some reflection on the nature of this claim, or avoid a comparison between the extent of the prin111 or at short of the demand. If this claim be

f Commons,
the people,
as a collection of individuals. Appears pretently it, holody

as a collection of individuals Anthony pretenus it, nobody can justify such an assertion When you come to examine into this claim of right, founded on the right of self government in each individual, you find the thing demanded infinitely short of the principle of the demand What' one third only

at all?

ask, and your grant is suit a cheat, not not consider that to be their younger children's fortune in this settle ment? How came they neither to have the choice of kings, or lords, or judges, or generals, or admirals or bishops, or priests, or ministers, or justices of peace? Why, what have you to answer in favour of the prior rights of the Crown and peerige but this—Our constitution is a prescriptive constitution, it is a constitution whose sole authority is that it has existed time out of mind. It is settled in these two portions against one, legislatively, and in the whole of the

lone f the

Crown settled on any adjudication in tayout of natural lights, for they could never be so partitioned. Your king, your lords, your judges, your juries, grand and little, all are prescriptive, and what proves it is the disputes not yet concluded, and never near becoming so, when any of them first originated. Prescription is the most solid of all titles, not only to property, but, which is to secure that property, to government. They harmonize with each other, and give mutual and to one another. It is accompanied with another

ttled a naetter

presumption even of the choice of a nation, in than my sudden and temporary arrangement by actual election Because a nation is not an idea only of local extent, and individual momentary aggregation, but it is an idea of continuity.

- J. -

which extends in time as well as in numbers and in space. And this is a choice not of one day, or one set of people, not a tumultuary and giddy choice; it is a deliberate election of ages and of generations; it is a constitution made by what is ten thousand times better than choice, it is made by the peculiar circumstances, occasions, tempers, dispositions, and moral, civil, and social habitudes of the people, which disclose themselves only in a long space of time. It is a vestment, which accommodates itself to the body. Nor is prescription of government formed upon blind, unmeaning prejudices—for man is a most unwise and a most wise being. The individual is foolish; the multitude, for the moment, is foolish, when they act without deliberation; but the species is wise, and, when time is given to it, as a species it always acts right.

The reason for the Crown as it is, for the Lords as they are, is my reason for the Commons as they are, the electors as they are. Now, if the Crown, and the Lords, and the Judicatures, are all prescriptive, so is the House of Commons of the very same origin and of no other. We and our electors have their powers and privileges both made and circumscribed by prescription, as much to the full as the other parts; and as such we have always claimed them, and on no other title. The House of Commons is a legislative body corporate by prescription, not made upon any given theory, but existing prescriptively—just like the rest. This prescription has made it essentially what it is, -an aggregate collection of three parts, knights, citizens, burgesses. The question is whether this has been always so, since the House of Commons has taken its present shape and circumstances, and has been an essential operative part of the constitution; which, I take it, it has been for at least five hundred years.

This I resolve to myself in the affirmative: and then another question arises, whether this House stands firm upon its ancient foundations, and is not, by time and accidents; so declined from its perpendicular, as to want the hand of the wise and experienced architects of the day to set it upright again, and to prop and buttress it up for duration; -whether it continues true to the principles upon which it has hitherto stood;—whether this be de facto the constitution of the House of Commons, as it has been since the time that the House of Commons has, without dispute, become a necessary

and an efficient part of the British constitution? To ask whether a thing which has always been the same stands to its usual principle, seems to me to be perfectly absurd, for how do you know the principles but from the construction? and if that remains the same, the principles remain the same. It is true, that to say your constitution is what it has been is no sufficient defence for those who say it is a bad constitution. It is an answer to those who say that it is a degenerate constitution. To those who say it is a bad one I answer, look to its effects. In all moral machinery the moral results are its test.

On what grounds do we go to restore our constitution to what it has been at one given period, or to reform and reconstruct it upon principles more conformable to a sound theory of government? A prescriptive government, such as ours, never was the work of any legislator, never was made upon any foregone theory. It seems to me a preposterous way of reasoning, and a perfect confusion of them.

lation—no, because that would be 10 thing leader here.

Neque decipitur ratio, neque decipit unquam No, whenever I speak against theory, I mean always a weak, erroneous, fallacious, unfounded, or imperfect theory, and one of the ways of discovering that it is a false theory is by comparing it with practice. This is the true touchstone of all theories which regard man and the affairs of men—does it suit his nature in general?—does it suit his nature as modified by his habits?

The more frequently this affair is discussed, the stronger the case appears to the sense and the feelings of mankind I have no more doubt than I entertain of my existence that this very thing, which is stated as a horrible thing is the means of the preservation of our constitution whilst it lasts, of curing it of many of the disorders which, attending every species of institution, would attend the principle of an exact local representation, or a representation on the principle of numbers. If you reject personal representation, you are pushed upon expedience, and then what they wish

us to do is to prefer their speculations on that subject to the happy experience of this country of a growing liberty and a growing prosperity for five hundred years. Whatever respect I have for their talents, this, for one, I will not do. Then what is the standard of expedience? Expedience is that which is good for the community, and good for every individual in it. Now this expedience is the desideratum to be sought either without the experience of means or with that experience. If without, as in case of the fabrication of a new commonwealth, I will hear the learned arguing what promises to be expedient; but if we are to judge of a commonwealth actually existing, the first thing I inquire is, what has been found expedient or inexpedient? And I will not take their promise rather than the performance of the constitution.

But no, this was not the cause of the discontents. I went through most of the northern parts,—the Yorkshire election was then raging; the year before, through most of the western countries—Bath, Bristol, Gloucester; not one word, either in the towns or country, on the subject of representation; much on the receipt tax, something on Mr. Fox's ambition; much greater apprehension of danger from thence than from want of representation. One would think that the ballast of the ship was shifted with us, and that our constitution had the gunnel under water. But can you fairly and distinctly point out what one evil or grievance has happened which you can refer to the representative not following the opinion of his constituents? What one symptom do we find of this inequality? But it is not an arithmetical inequality with which we ought to trouble ourselves. If there be a moral, a political equality, this is the desideratum in our constitution, and in every constitution in the world. Moral inequality is as between places and between Now, I ask, what advantage do you find that the places which abound in representation possess over others, in which it is more scanty, in security for freedom, in security for justice, or in any one of those means of procuring temporal prosperity and eternal happiness, the ends for which society was formed? Are the local interests of Cornwall and Wiltshire, for instance, their roads, canals, their prisons, their police, better than Yorkshire, Warwickshire, or Staffordshire?

Warwick has members; is Warwick, or Stafford, more opulent, happy, or free than Newcastle, or than Birminghain? Is Wiltshire the pampered favourite, whilst Yorkshire, like the child of a bond-woman, is turned out to the desert? This is like the unhappy persons who live, if they can be said to live, in the statical chair, who are ever feeling their pulse, and who do not judge of health by the aptitude of the body to perform its functions, but by their ideas of what ought to be the true balance between the several secretions Is a committee of Cornwall, &c , thronged, and the others deserted? No You have an equal representation, because you have men equally interested in the prosperity of the whole who are involved in the general interest and the general sympathy, and, perhaps, these places, furnishing a superfluity of public agents and administrators, (whether in strictness they are representatives or not I do not mean to mounte, but they are agents and administrators,) will stand clearer of local interests, passions, prejudices, and cabals, than the others, and therefore preserve the balance of the parts, and with a more general view, and a more stendy hand, than the rest

In every political proposal we must not leave out of the question the political views and object of the proposer, and these we discover, not by what he says, but by the principles he lays down I mean, says he, a moderate and temperate reform, that is, I mean to do as little good as possible If the constitution be what you represent it, and there he no danger in the change, you do wrong not to make the reform commensurate to the abuse Fine reformer indeed! generous donor! What is the cues of this prisimony of the liberty which you do le out to the people? Why all this imitation in giving blessings and benefits to mankind? You admit that there is an extreme in liberty, which may be infantlely noxious to those who are to receive it, and which in the old will leave them no liberty at all I think so too; they know it, and they feel it. The question is, then, what

sought for, I will seek for it. Where? Not in their fancies, nor in my own; I will seek for it where I know it is to be found,—in the constitution I actually enjoy. Here it says to an encroaching prerogative,—Your sceptre has its length, you cannot add a hair to your head, or a gem to your crown, but what an eternal law has given to it. Here it says to an overweening peerage,-Your pride finds banks that it cannot overflow: here to a tumultuous and giddy people,-There is a bound to the raging of the sea. Our constitution is like our island, which uses and restrains its subject sea; in vain the waves roar. In that constitution I know, and exultingly I feel, both that I am free and I am not free dangerously to myself or to others. I know that no power on earth, acting as I ought to do, can touch my life, my liberty, or my property. I have that inward and dignified consciousness of my own security and independence which constitutes, and is the only thing which does constitute, the proud and comfortable sentiment of freedom in the human breast. I know too, and I bless God for, my safe mediocrity; I know that if I possessed all the talents of the gentlemen on the side of the House I sit and on the other, I cannot by royal favour, or by popular delusion, or by oligarchical cabal, elevate myself above a certain very limited point, so as to endanger my own fall, or the ruin of my country. I know there is an order that keeps things fast in their place; it is made to us, and we are made to it. Why not ask another wife, other children, another body, another mind?

The great object of most of these reformers is to prepare the destruction of the constitution by disgracing and discrediting the House of Commons. For they think, prudently in my opinion, that if they can persuade the nation that the House of Commons is so constituted as not to secure the public liberty; not to have a proper connexion with the public interests; so constituted as not either actually or virtually to be the representative of the people; it will be easy to prove, that a government composed of a monarchy, an oligarchy chosen by the Crown, and such a House of Commons,—whatever good can be in such a system can

by no means be a system of free government.

The constitution of England is never to have a quietus; it is to be continually vilified, attacked, reproached, resisted.

Instead of being the hope and sure anchor in all storms, instead of being the means of redress to all grievances, itself

looked on as the great of protection of convenience An honourable gentleman prefers the individual to the present He therefore himself sees no middle term whatsoever, and therefore prefers of what he sees, the individual, this is the only thing distinct and sensible that has been advocated He has, then, a scheme, which is the individual representation, he is not at a loss, not inconsistent. - which scheme the other right honourable gentleman reprobates Now what does this go to, but lead directly to anarchy? For to discredit the only government which he either possesses or can project, what is this but to destroy all government? and this is anarchy My right honourable friend, in supporting this motion, disgraces his friends and justifies his enemies, in order to blacken the constitution of his country, even of that House of Commons which supported him There is a difference between a moral or political exposure of a public evil, whether in men

l or supposed, m ntry The first ives of religion.

virtue, honour, fear, shame, or interest Men may be made to abandon also false systems, by exposing their absurdity or mischievous tendency to their own better thoughts, or to the contempt or indignation of the public, and, after all, if they

as quite otherwise with ate, if that is disgraced,

patriotism is destroyed in its very source. No man has ever willingly obeyed, much less was desirous of defanding with his blood, a mischierous and absurd scheme of government Our first, our dearest, most comprehensive relation, our country, is gone

It suggests melancholy reflections, in consequence of the strange course we have long held, that we are now no longer quarrelling about the character or about the conduct of men, or the tenor of measures; but we are grown out of humour with the English constitution itself; this is become the object of the animosity of Englishmen. This constitution in former days used to be the admiration and the envy of the world; it was the pattern for politicians; the theme of the eloquent; the meditation of the philosopher in every part of the world. As to Englishmen, it was their pride, their consolution. By it they lived, for it they were ready to dic. Its defects, if it had any, were partly covered by partiality, and partly borne by prudence. Now all its excellencies are forgot, its faults are now forcibly dragged into day, exaggerated by every artifice of representation. It is despised and rejected of men; and every device and invention of ingenuity, or idleness, set up in opposition or in preference to it. It is to this humour, and it is to the measures growing out of it, that I set myself (I hope not alone) in the most determined opposition. Never before did we at any time in this country meet upon the theory of our frame of government, to sit in judgment on the constitution of our country, to call it as a delinquent before us, and to accuse it of every defect and every vice; to see whether it, an object of our veneration, even our adoration, did or did not accord with a pre-conceived scheme in the minds of certain gentlemen. Cast your eyes on the journals of parliament. It is for fear of losing the inestimable treasure we have, that I do not venture to game it out of my hands for the vain hope of improving it. I look with filial reverence on the constitution of my country, and never will cut it in pieces, and put it into the kettle of any magician, in order to boil it, with the puddle of their compounds, into youth and vigour. On the contrary, I will drive away such pretenders; I will nurse its venerable age, and with lenient arts extend a parent's breath.

#### SPEECH

ON A MOTION, MADE BY THE RIGHT HON WM DOWDESWELL, FOR LLAVE TO BRING IN A BILL FOR EXPLAINING THE POWERS OF JURIES IN PROSECUTIONS FOR LIBELS <sup>1</sup>

I HAVE always understood that a superintendence over the doctrines, as well as the proceedings, of the courts of justice, was a principal object of the constitution of this House, that you were to watch at once over the lawyer and the law, that there should be an orthodox faith as well as proper works, and I have always looked with a degree of reverence and admiration on this mode of superintendence For, being totally disengaged from the detail of juridical practice, we come something perhaps the better qualified, and certainly much the better disposed, to assert the genuine principle of the laws, in which we can, as a body, have no other than an enlarged and a public interest We have no common cause of a professional attachment, or professional emulations, to bias our minds, we have no foregone opinions, which from obstinacy and false point of honour we think ourselves at all events obliged to support So that with our own minds perfectly disengaged from the exercise, we may superintend the execution, of the national justice, which from this circumstance is better secured to the people than in any other country under heaven it can be As our situation puts us in a proper condition, our power enables us to execute this trust. We may, when we see cause of complaint, administer a remedy, it is in our choice by an address to remove an imore the peers to pursue to

bill to assert, to explain, just as the occasion and We stand in a situation ery useful to our country,

if we do not abuse or abandon the trust that is placed in us

Mr Dowdeswell, ries in prosecutions was carried on the The question now before you is upon the power of juries in prosecuting for libels. There are four opinions. 1. That the doctrine as held by the courts is proper and constitutional, and therefore should not be altered. 2. That it is neither proper nor constitutional, but that it will be rendered worse by your interference. 3. That it is wrong, but that the only remedy is a bill of retrospect. 4. The opinion of those who bring in the bill,—that the thing is wrong, but that it is enough to direct the judgment of the court in future.

The bill brought in is for the purpose of asserting and securing a great object in the juridical constitution of this kingdom; which from a long series of practices and opinions in our judges has *in one point*, and in one very essential

point, deviated from the true principle.

It is the very ancient privilege of the people of England, that they shall be tried, except in the known exceptions, not by judges appointed by the Crown, but by their own fellowsubjects, the peers of that county court, at which they owe their suit and service; and out of this principle the trial by juries has grown. This principle has not, that I can find, been contested in any case by any authority whatsoever; but there is one case, in which, without directly contesting the principle, the whole substance, energy, and virtue of the privilege is taken out of it; that is, in the case of a trial by indictment or information for a libel. The doctrine in that case, laid down by several judges, amounts to this, that the jury have no competence, where a libel is alleged, except to find the gross corporeal facts of the writing and the publication, together with the identity of the things and persons to which it refers; but that the intent and the tendency of the work, in which intent and tendency the whole criminality consists, is the sole and exclusive province of the judge. Thus having reduced the jury to the cognizance of facts, not in themselves presumptively criminal, but actions neutral and indifferent, the whole matter, in which the subject has any concern or interest, is taken out of the hands of the jury; and if the jury take more upon themselves, what they so take is contrary to their duty; it is no moral, but a merely natural, power; the same by which they may do any other improper act, the same by which they may even prejudice

themselves with regard to any other part of the issue before them. Such is the matter, as it now stands in possession of Jour lighest criminal courts, handed down to them from very respectable legal ancestors. If this can once be established in this case, the application in principle to other cases will be easy, and the practice will run upon a descent, until the progress of an encroaching jurisdiction (for it is in its nature to encroach, when once it has passed its limits) coming to confine the juries, case after case, to the corporeal fact, and to that alone, and excluding the intention of mind, the only source of merit and dement, of reward or punishment, juries become a dead letter in the constitution

become a dead letter in the constitution

For which reason it is high time to take this matter into
the consideration of parliament, and for that purpose it will
be necessary to examine, first, whether there is anything in
the peculiar nature of this erime, that makes it necessary to
evolude the jury from considering the intention in it, more
than in others. So far from it, that I take it to be much
less so from the analogy of other criminal cases, where no
such restraint is ordinarily put upon them. The act of homende is, prima face, criminal. The intention is afterwards
to appear, for the jury to acquit or condemn. In burglary
do tt

find that indictment?

The section of constitution of the section of the s

make in the case of murder, the telony in the CASE of SCHLING. If the intent and tendency are not matters within the province of popular judgment, but legal and technical con clusions, formed upon general principles of law, let us see what they are Certainly they are most unfavourable, indeed totally adverse, to the constitution of this country.

Here we must have recourse to analogies, for we cannot argue on ruled cases one way or the other See the history. The old books, deficient in general in Crown cases, furnish us with little on this head. As to the crime, in the very

early Saxon law, I see an offence of this species, called folkleasing, made a capital offence, but no very precise definition of the crime, and no trial at all: see the statute of 3rd Edward I., cap. 34. The law of libels could not have arrived at a very early period in this country. It is no wonder that we find no vestige of any constitution from authority, or of any deductions from legal science, in our old books and records upon that subject. The statute of Scandalum Magnatum is the oldest that I know, and this goes but a little way in this sort of learning. Libelling is not the crime of an illiterate people. When they were thought no mean clerks, who could read and write; when he who could read and write was presumptively a person in holy orders, libels could not be general, or dangerous; and scandals merely oral could spread little, and must perish soon. It is writing, it is printing, more emphatically, that imps calumny with those eagle wings on which, as the poet says, "immortal slanders fly." By the press they spread, they last, they leave the sting in the wound. Printing was not known in England much earlier than the reign of Henry VII., and in the third year of that reign the court of Star Chamber was established. The press and its enemy are nearly coëval. As no positive law against libels existed, they fell under the indefinite class of misdemeanours. For the trial of misdemeanours that court was instituted; their tendency to produce riots and disorders was a main part of the charge, and was laid in order to give the court jurisdiction chiefly against libels. The offence was new. Learning of their own upon the subject they had none; and they were obliged to resort to the only emporium where it was to be had,—the Roman law. After the Star Chamber was abolished in the 10th of Charles I., its authority, indeed, ceased, but its maxims subsisted and survived it. The spirit of the Star Chamber has transmigrated and lived again; and Westminster Hall was obliged to borrow from the Star Chamber, for the same reasons as the Star Chamber had borrowed from the Roman forum, because they had no law, statute, or tradition of their own. Thus the Roman law took possession of our courts; I mean its doctrine, not its sanctions; the severity of capital punishment was omitted, all the rest remained. The grounds of these laws are just and equitable. Undoubtedly the good fame of every man ought to be under

the protection of the laws, as well as his life, and liberty, and property Good fame is an out-work, that defends them all, and renders them all valuable The law forbids you to revenge, when it ties up the hinds of some it ought to re-strain the tongues of others The good fame of government is the same, it ought not to be traduced This is necessary in all government, and if opinion be support, what takes away this destroys that support, but the liberty of the press

> is of more import temper of the people

before I ventured on actions of this kind I would consider the whole of the prosecution of a libel of such importance as Junius,—as one piece, as one consistent plan of oper ations, and I would contrive it so, that if I were defeated I should not be disgraced, that even my victory should not be more ignominious than my defeat, I would so manage,

form against the ould not put him ould rather stand it to a judgment ourt We ought comes ourselves. to examine into penmed the onice or en hefore 119

to examine into inc just An inquiry is wished, as the most effectual way or putting an end to the clamours and libels which are the disorder and

1 -cms n quiet, they sleep a censorial

judicaturo, ial jealousy, and that the sacreu inco.

which is the guardian of liberty, law, and justice, is alive night and day, and burning in this House But when the magistrate gives up his office and his duty, the people assume it, and they inquire too much, and too irreverently, because they think their representatives do not inquire at all

We have in a libel, 1st, The writing 2nd, The communi-

cation, called by the lawyers the publication. 3rd, The application to persons and facts. 4th, The intent and tendency. 5th, The matter,—diminution of fame. The law-presumptions on all these are in the communication. No intent can make a defamatory publication good, nothing can make it have a good tendency: truth is not pleadable. Taken juridically, the foundation of these law-presumptions is not unjust; taken constitutionally, they are ruinous, and tend to the total suppression of all publication. If juries are confined to the fact, no writing which censures, however justly, or however temperately, the conduct of administration can be unpunished. Therefore, if the intent and tendency be left to the judge, as legal conclusions growing from the fact, you may depend upon it you can have no public discussion of a public measure; which is a point which even those who are most offended with the licentiousness of the press (and it is very exorbitant, very provoking) will hardly contend for.

So far as to the first opinion, that the doctrine is right and needs no alteration. 2nd, The next is, that it is wrong, but that we are not in a condition to help it. I admit it is true that there are cases of a nature so delicate and complicated, that an act of parliament on the subject may become a matter of great difficulty. It sometimes cannot define with exactness, because the subject-matter will not bear an exact definition. It may seem to take away everything which it does not positively establish, and this might be inconvenient; or it may seem, vice versa, to establish everything which it does not expressly take away. It may be more advisable to leave such matters to the enlightened discretion of a judge, awed by a censorial House of Commons. But then it rests upon those who object to a legislative interposition to prove these inconveniences in the particular case before them. For it would be a most dangerous, as it is a most idle and most groundless, conceit to assume as a general principle that the rights and liberties of the subject are impaired by the care and attention of the legislature to secure them. If so, very ill would the purchase of Magna Charta have merited the deluge of blood which was shed in order to have the body of English privileges defined by a positive written law. Charter, the inestimable monument of English freedom, so long the boast and glory of this nation, would have been at



once an instrument of our servitude and a monument of our folly, if this principle were true. The thirty-four confirmations would have been only so many repetitions of their absurdity, so many new links in the chain, and so many invalid attons of their right.

You cannot open your statute book without seeing positive provisions relative to every right of the subject. The business of juries is the subject of not fewer than a dozen. To suppose that juries are something innate in the constitution of Great Britain, that they have jumped like Minerva, out of the head of Jove in complete amour, is a weak fining supported neither by precedent nor by reason. Whatever is most "The provided in the provided i

of cot the occasi to your your thing so elaborate and artificial as a jury was not the not of chance, but a matter of institution brought to its present state by the joint efforts of legislative authority and juridical to the control of the contr

state by the joint efforts of tegrstative authority and juridical prudence. It need not be ashamed of being (what in many parts of it at least it is) the offspring of an act of parliament, unless it is a shame for our laws to be the results of our legislature. Juries which sensitively shrink from the rude touch fewer

fewer they have been changed with an over his creature, from Magna Charta to the great alterations

which were made in the 29th of George II

To talk of this matter in any other way is to turn a

rational principle into an idle and vulgar superstition, like

puts been made

to some rich tends. In some assistance, but they begged to be excused for fear of afforting a person of his high birth, and so the poor gentleman was left to starve out of pure respect to the antiquity of his family From this principle has arisen an opinion, that I

find current amongst gentlemen, that this distemper ought to be left to care itself; that the judges having been well expesed, and something terrified on recount of these elamours. will entirely change, if not very much relax from their rigour; -if the present race should not change, that the chances of succession may put other more constitutional judges in their place; -lastly, if neither should happen, yet that the spirit of an English jury will always be sufficient for the vindication of its own rights, and will not suffer it elf to be overborne by the bench. I confess that I totally discent from all these opinions. These suppositions become the strongest reasons with me to evince the necessity of some clear and positive settlement of this question of contested jurisdiction. If judges are so full of levity, so full of timidity, if they are influenced by such mean and unworthy passions, that a popular clamour is sufficient to shake the resolution they build upon the solid basis of a legal principle, I would endeavour to fix that mercury by a positive law. If to please an administration the judges can go one way to-day, and to please the crowd they can go another to-morrow; if they will oscillate backward and forward between power and popularity, it is high time to fix the law in such a manner as to resemble, as it ought, the great Author of all law, in whom there is no - variableness nor shadow of turning.

As to their succession, I have just the same opinion. I would not leave it to the chances of promotion, or to the characters of lawyers, what the law of the land, what the rights of juries, or what the liberty of the press, should be. My law should not depend upon the fluctuation of the closet, or the complexion of men. Whether a black-haired man or a fair-haired man presided in the court of King's Bench, I would have the law the same; the same whether he was born in domo regnatrice, and sucked from his infancy the milk of courts, or was nurtured in the rugged discipline of a popular opposition. This law of court cabal and of party, this mens quædam nullo perturbata affectu, this law of complexion, ought not to be endured for a moment in a country whose being depends upon the certainty, clearness, and

stability of institutions.

Now I come to the last substitute for the proposed bill, the spirit of juries operating their own jurisdiction. This I

confess I think the worst of all, for the same reasons on which I objected to the others, and for other weighty rea sons besides, which are separate and distinct First, because turies, being taken at random out of a mass of men infinitely large, must be of characters as various as the body they arise from is large in its extent If the judges differ in their com - A + m l 1 rv will give way

1 charging insciences.

m no com re done so in a hundred instances, a respectable member or your own House, no vulgar man tells you that on the authority of a judge he found a man guilty in whom at the same time he could find no guilt But supposing them full of knowledge and full of manly confidence in themselves how will their knowledge, or their confidence, inform or inspirit others? They give no reason for their verdict, they can but condemn or acquit, and no man can tell the motives on which they have acquitted or condemned So that this hope of the power of juries to assert their own jurisdiction must be a principle blind as

as the complexion

But after all, 18

between the court and juries should subsist any iou, et i U ry refuses to be directed by the Vhether a libel or no libel be

ay be doubtful but a question

ile an

error, you ough explanatory bil claratory, and

judge when he refuses his opinion a post a q ture? You are so corrupt, that I should consider myscli a partaker of your crime were I to be guided by your opinion or you are so grossly ignorant, that I fresh from my hounds from my plough my counter, or my loom am fit to direct you in your own profession. This is an unfitting it is a dangerous, state of things The spirit of any sort of men 13

# PROSECUTIONS FOR LIBELS.

not a fit rule for deciding on the bounds of their jurisdic First, because it is different in different men, and even d ent in the same at different times; and can never become proper directing line of law; next, because it is not rebut feeling; and, when once it is irritated, it is not a confine itself within its proper limits. If it becomes difference in my opinion upon law, but a trial of spirit tween parties, our courts of law are no longer the temp justice, but the amphitheatre for gladiators. No-God bid! Juries ought to take their law from the bench of but it is our business that they should hear nothing the bench but what is agreeable to the principles of the stitution. The jury are to hear the judge, the judge hear the law where it speaks plain; where it does not, to hear the legislature. As I do not think these opinio the judges to be agreeable to those principles, I wish to the only method in which they can or ought to be corre by a bill.

manifest and express declaration, and in words de præt I do this upon reasons of equity and constitutional polido not want to censure the present judges. I think to be excused for their error. Ignorance is no excus a judge; it is changing the nature of his crime; it is no It must be such error as a wise and conscien judge may possibly fall into, and must arise from one or 1. A plausible principle of law. 2. The cedents of respectable authorities, and in good times. the first, the principle of law, that the judge is to decid fact, is an ancient and venerable principle and maxim o law; and if supported in this application by preceden good times and of good men, the judge, if wrong, ought corrected; he ought not to be reproved, or to be disgr or the authority or respect to your tribunals to be impo In cases in which declaratory bills have been made w

by violence and corruption some fundamental part of constitution has been struck at; where they would damp principle, censure the persons, and annul the acts—but we the law has been by the accident of human frailty depr or in a particular instance misunderstood; where you ne

..: 02

nij bi

- ( ) [tr

Next, my opinion is that it ought to be rather by a for removing controversies, than by a bill in the sta

nurv according to the degree of respect with which they are disposed to receive the opinions of the bench

This doctrine, which, when it prevails, tends to annihilate the benefit of trial by jury, and when it is rejected by juries tends to weaken and disgrace the authority of the judge, is not a doctrine proper for an English judicature For the sake both of judge and jury the controversy ought to be ometed, and the law ought to be settled in a manner clear, definitive, and constitutional by the only authority competent to it,—the authority of the legislature

Mr Dowdeswell's bill was brought in for that purpose It gues to the jury no new powers, but, after rectung the doubts and controversies, (which nobody denies actually to subsist,) and after stating that if juries are not reputed competent to try the whole matter the benefit of trial by nurv will be of none, or imperfect, effect, it enacts, not that the jury shall have the power, but that they shall be held and reputed in law and right competent to try the whole matter laid in the information The bill is directing to the judges concerning the opinion in law which they are known to hold upon this subject, and does not in the least imply that the jury were to derive a new right and power from that bill, if it should have passed into an Act of Parliament The implication is directly the contrary, and is as strongly conveyed as it is possible for those to do who state a doubt and controversy, without charging with criminality those persons who so doubted, and so controverted

Such a style is frequent in acts of this nature, and is that only which is suited to the occasion. An insidious use has been made of the words enact and declare, as if they were formal and operative words of force to distinguish different species of laws producing different effects. Nothing is more groundless, and I am persuaded no lawyer will stand to such an assertion The gentlemen who say that a bill ought to have been brought in upon the principle, and in the style, of the petition of right, and declaration of right, ought to consider how far the circumstances are the same in the two r -than are prepared to go the whole lengths

It has been always disagreeable to the persons who compose that connexion to engage wantonly in a paper war, especially with gentlemen for whom they have an esteem, and who seem to agree with them in the great grounds of their public conduct: but they can never consent to purchase any assistance from any persons by the forfeiture of their own reputation. They respect public opinion; and, therefore, whenever they shall be called upon, they are ready to meet their adversaries, as soon as they please, before the tribunal of the public, and there to justify the constitutional nature and tendency, the propriety, the prudence, and the policy of their bill. They are equally ready to explain and to justify all their proceedings in the conduct of it; equally ready to defend their resolution, to make it one object (if ever they should have the power) in a plan of public reformation. Your correspondent ought to have been satisfied with the

Your correspondent ought to have been satisfied with the assistance which his friends have lent to administration in defeating that bill. He ought not to make a feeble endeavour (I dare say, much to the displeasure of those friends) to disgrace the gentleman who brought it in. A measure, proposed by Mr. Dowdeswell, seconded by Sir George Saville, and supported by their friends, will stand fair with the public, even though it should have been opposed by that list of names (respectable names I admit) which have been printed with

so much parade and ostentation in your papers. It is not true that Mr. Burke spoke in praise of Lord Mansfield. If he had found anything in Lord Mansfield praiseworthy, I fancy he is not disposed to make an apology to anybody for doing justice. Your correspondent's reason for asserting it is visible enough; and it is altogether in the strain of other misrepresentations. That gentleman spoke decently of the judges, and he did no more; most of the gentlemen who debated on both sides held the same language; and nobody will think their zeal the less warm, or the less effectual, because it is not attended with scurrility and virulence.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

## LIBEL BILL

\* various s matter Jahan

libels And wh perfect effect if try the whole

-- for securing to the sub-

efit of trial by juries in 18. BE it enacted, &c,

That jurors duly empannelled and sworn to try the issue 1 1 f and at non ear and etment or inbetween the formation fo ather denomination uted competent to all intents and purposes, in law and in right, to try every part of the matter laid or charged in said indict-

icy of the libel charged, ication thereof, and the

application by innuendo of blanks, initial letters, pictures, and other devices, any opinion, question, ambiguity, or doubt to the contrary notwithstanding

### SPEECH

ON THE SECOND READING OF A BILL FOR THE REPEAL OF THE MARRIAGE ACT 1

This act [the Marriage Act] stands upon two principles, one, that the power of marrying without consent of parents should not take place till twenty one years of age, the other, that all marriages should be public

The proposition of the honourable mover goes to the first, and undoubtedly his motives are fair and honourable, and even in that measure, by which he would take away paternal power, he is influenced to it by filial piety, and he is led into

This bill was brought into the House of Commons by Mr Fox, June I 1781, and rejected on the second reading, without a division

it by a natural, and to him inevitable, but real, mistake, that the ordinary race of mankind advance as fast towards maturity

of judgment and understanding as he does.

The question is not now whether the law ought to acknowledge and protect such a state of life as minority; nor whether the continuance which is fixed for that state be not improperly prolonged in the law of England. Neither of these in general are questioned. The only question is, whether matrimony is to be taken out of the general rule, and whether the minors of both sexes, without the consent of their parents, ought to have a capacity of contracting the matrimonial, whilst they have not the capacity of contracting any other, engagement. Now it appears to me very clear that they ought not. It is a great mistake to think that mere animal propagation is the sole end of matrimony. Matrimony is instituted not only for the propagation of men, but for their nutrition, their education, their establishment; and for the answering of all the purposes of a rational and moral being; and it is not the duty of the community to consider alone of how many, but how useful, citizens it shall be composed.

It is most certain that men are well qualified for propagation long before they are sufficiently qualified even by bodily strength, much less by mental prudence, and by acquired skill in trades and professions, for the maintenance of a family. Therefore, to enable and authorize any man to introduce citizens into the commonwealth before a rational security can be given that he may provide for them, and educate them as citizens ought to be provided for and educated, is totally incongruous with the whole order of society. Nay, it is fundamentally unjust; for a man that breeds a family without competent means of maintenance encumbers other men with his children, and disables them so far from maintaining their own. The improvident marringe of one man becomes a tax upon the orderly and regular marriage of all the rest. Therefore, those laws are wisely constituted that give a man the use of all his faculties at one time; that they may be mutually subservient, aiding and assisting to each other: that the time of his completing his bodily strength, the time of mental discretion, the time of his having learned his trade, and the time at which he

has the disposition of his fortune, should be likewise the time in which he is permitted to introduce citizens into the state, and to charge the community with their maintenance To give a man a family during his apprenticeship, whilst his very labour belongs to another, to give him a family when you do not give him a fortune to maintain it, to give him a family before he can contract any one of those engagements without which no business can be carried on, would be to burden the state with families without any security for their maintenance When parents themselves marry their chil dren, they become in some sort security to prevent the ill consequences You have this security in parental consent, the state takes its security in the knowledge of human nature Parents ordinarily consider little the passion of their children, and their present gratification Don't fear the power of a father, it is kind to passion to give it time to cool But their censures sometimes make me smile, sometimes, for I am very infirm, make me angry , sape bilem, sape rocum movent

It gives me pain to differ on this occasion from many, if not most, of those whom I honour and esteem To suffer the grave ammadversion and censorial rebuke of the honourable gentleman who made the motion, of him whose good

nature and good se partiality, whose highest objects of

the Marriage Act

abroad, of being a man of aristocratic principles It by aristocracy they mean the Peers, I have no vulgar admiration, nor any vulgar antipathy, towards them, I hold their order in cold and decent respect I hold them to be of an absolute necessity in the constitution, but I think they are only good when kept within their proper bounds I trust, whenever there has been a dispute between these Houses, the part I have taken has not been equivocal If by the aristocracy, which indeed comes nearer to the point, they mean an adherence to the rich and powerful against the poor and weak,

in question, I would set my face against any act of pride and power countenanced by the highest that are in it; and if it should come to the last extremity, and to a contest of blood. God forbid! God forbid!—my part is taken; I would take my fate with the poor, and low, and feeble. But if these people came to turn their liberty into a cloak for maliciousness, and to seek a privilege of exemption, not from power, but from the rules of morality and virtuous discipline, then I would join my hand to make them feel the force which a few, united in a good cause, have over a multitude of the

profligate and ferocious.

I wish the nature of the ground of repeal were considered with a little attention. It is said the act tends to accumulate, to keep up the power of great families, and to add wealth to wealth. It may be that it does so. It is impossible that any principle of law or government useful to the community should be established without an advantage to those who Even some vices have the greatest stake in the country. arise from it. The same laws which secure property encourage avarice; and the fences made about honest acquisition are the strong bars which secure the hoards of the miser. The dignities of magistracy are encouragements to ambition, with all the black train of villanics which attend that wicked passion. But still we must have laws to secure property; and still we must have ranks and distinctions and magistracy in the state, notwithstanding their manifest tendency to encourage avarice and ambition.

By affirming the parental authority throughout the state, parents in high rank will generally aim at, and will sometimes have, the means, too, of preserving their minor children from any but wealthy or splendid matches. But this nuthority preserves from a thousand misfortunes which embitter every part of every man's domestic life, and tear to

pieces the dearest ties in human society.

I am no peer, nor like to be—but am in middle life, in the mass of citizens; yet I should feel for a son who married a prostituted woman, or a daughter who married a dishonourable and prostituted man, as much as any peer in the realm.

You are afraid of the avaricious principle of fathers. But observe that the avaricious principle is here mitigated very considerably. It is avarice by proxy, it is avarice not work-

ing by itself, or for itself, but through the medium of pa

rental affection, meaning to procure good to its off-pring But the contest is not between love and avarice While you would guard against the possible operation of

this species of benevolent avarice, the avarice of the father, you let loose another species of avarice, that of the fortune hunter, unm has beard of

sixpence P

best passion-love It is robbery, not a jot better than any other

Would you suffer the sworn enemy of his family, his life and his honour, possibly the shame and scandal and blot of human society, to debauch from care and protection the dearest pledge that he has on earth the sole comfort of his declining years, almost in infantine imbecility, and with it to carry into the hands of his enemy, and the disgrace of na ture, the dear earned substance of a careful and laborious life? Think of the daughter of an honest virtuous parent allied to vice and infamy Think of the hopeful son tied for life by the meretricious arts of the refuse of mercenary and promiscuous lewdness Have mercy on the youth of both sexes, protect them from their ignorance and inexperience,

SPEECH

protect one part of life by the wisdom of another, protect them by the wisdom of laws, and the care of nature

ON A MOTION FOR LEAVE TO BRING IN A BILL TO QUIET THE POSSESSIONS OF THE SUBJECT AGAINST DORMANT CLAIMS OF THE CHURCH 1

IF I considered this bill as an attack upon the Church, brought in for the purpose of impoverishing and weakening the clergy, I should be one of the foremost in an early and vigorous opposition to it

I admit, the same reasons do not press for limiting the 1 This motion was made the 17th February 1772 and rejected on a division, the numbers being Ayes 117, Noes 141

claims of the Church, that existed for limiting the Crown by that wisest of all laws, which has secured the property, the peace, and the freedom of this country from the most dangerous mode of attack which could be made upon them all.

I am very sensible of the propriety of maintaining that venerable body with decency (and with more than mere decency). I would maintain it according to the ranks wisely established in it with that sober and temperate splendour, that is suitable to a sacred character invested with high dignity.

There ought to be a symmetry between all the parts and orders of a state. A poor clergy in an opulent nation can have little correspondence with the body it is to instruct, and it is a disgrace to the public sentiments of religion. Such irreligious frugality is even bad economy, as the little that is given is entirely thrown away. Such an impoverished and degraded clergy in quiet times could never execute their duty, and in time of disorder would infinitely aggravate the public confusions.

That the property of the Church is a favoured and privileged property I readily admit. It is made with great wisdom, since a perpetual body with a perpetual duty ought to

have a perpetual provision.

The question is not the property of the church, or its security. The question is, whether you will render the principle of prescription a principle of the law of this land, and incorporate it with the whole of your jurisprudence; whether, having given it first against the laity, then against the Crown, you will now extend it to the Church.

The acts which were made, giving limitation against the laity, were not acts against the property of those who might be precluded by limitations. The act of quiet against the Crown was not against the interests of the Crown, but against

a power of vexation.

If the principle of prescription be not a constitution of positive law, but a principle of natural equity, then to hold

it out against any man is doing him injustice.

That tithes are due of common right is readily granted;—and if this principle had been kept in its original straitness, it might indeed be supposed that to plead an exemption was to plead a long-continued fraud; and that no man could be deceived in such a title; as the moment he bought land he

Here it is necessary to distinguish two sorts of property 1 Land carries no mark on it to distinguish it as ecclesi astical, as tithes do, which are a charge on land, therefore,

by the abeth

> terres. lesias-

tical with lay property, that a man may by every rule of good faith be possessed of it

The statute of Queen Elizabeth, ann 1, ch 1, gave away the bishops' lands

So for an to lands

As to tithes, they are not things in their own nature subject to be barred by prescription upon the general principle But tithes and church lands, by the statutes of Henry VIII and the 11th Eliz, have become objects in commercio, for by coming to the Crown they became grantable in that way to the subject, and a great part of the church lands passed through the Crown to the people

By passing to the Ling party, by passing from th

property, the partition-

and church possession became no longer synonymous terms No man, therefore, might become a fair purchaser of tithes, and of exemption from tithes

By the statute of Elizabeth the lands took the same course, (I will not inquire by what justice, good policy, and decency,) but they passed into lay lands, became the object of purchases for valuable consideration, and of marriage ecttlements

Now, if tithes might come to a layman, land in the hands of a layman might be also tithe free So that there was an object which a layman might become seized of equitably and bond fide, there was something on which a prescription might attach, the end of which is to secure the natural wellmeaning ignorance of men, and to secure property by the

best of all principles,—continuance.

I have therefore shown that a layman may be equitably seized of church lands-2. Of tithes-3. Of exemption from tithes; and you will not contend that there should be no prescription. Will you say that the alienations made before the

11th of Elizabeth shall not stand good?

I do not mean anything against the Church, her dignities, her honours, her privileges, or her possessions. I should wish even to enlarge them all; not that the Church of England is incompetently endowed. This is to take nothing from her but the power of making herself odious. If she be secure herself, she can have no objection to the security of others. For I hope she is secure from lay-bigotry and anti-priestcraft, for certainly such things there are. I heartily wish to see the Church secure in such possessions, as will not only enable her ministers to preach the gospel with ease, but of such a kind as will enable them to preach it with its full effect—so that the pastor shall not have the inauspicious appearance of a tax-gatherer; -such a maintenance as is compatible with the civil prosperity and improvement of their country.

# HINTS

# 'FOR AN ESSAY ON THE DRAMA.1

IT is generally observed that no species of writing is so difficult as the dramatic. It must indeed appear so, were we to consider it upon one side only. It is a dialogue, or species of composition, which in itself requires all the mastery of a complete writer with grace and spirit to support. We may add that it must have a fable too, which necessarily requires invention, one of the rarest qualities of the human mind. It would surprise us, if we were to examine the thing critically, how few good original stories there are in the world. The most celebrated borrow from each other, and are content

<sup>1</sup> These hints appear to have been first thoughts, which were probably intended to be amplified and connected; and so worked up into a regular discertation. No date appears of the time when they were written, but it was probably before the year 1765.

with some new turn, some corrective, addition, or establishment. Many of the most celebrated writers in that way can claim no other ment. I do not think La Fontaine has one original story. And if we pursue him to those who are his originals, the Italian writers of tales and novels, we shall find

country Sometimes they laid the foundation of their tale in real fact. Even after all their borrowing from so many funds, they are still far from opulent. How few stories has Boccace which are tolerable, and how much fiver are there which you would desire to read twice! But this general difficulty is greatly increased when we come to the drama Here n fable is essential, a fable, which is to be conducted

This is

ory in it-

particulars, and n, there are still

pernaps, coo, is indeed very diffi-

lraw the characters e directly to such

paintings than to the invention of a story, we are imitative animals, and we are more naturally led to imitate the everanimals, and we are more naturally led to observe and describe a condition of the condition

than this quality Herem, as I believe, consists no affirm

icts era, are

m some



men, which first gave rise to this species of writing; for from these alone its nature, its limits, and its true character, can be determined.

side of the first may be classed admiration and love, hatred and contempt on the other. And these have accordingly divided poetry into two very different lunds, the panegyrical and the saturcal, under one of which heads all growne poetry falls (for I do not reckon the didactic as

Without question, the su' direct and personal. If you and comparatively mode slow, and always follow had always friends an nature of vice and vice powers of cloquence and set off the one,

The first species hably some gor present in a song couple kind of proceed that as nothing those forcible light

prejudice, they so proved the the hearer, and to disgrace to the st

It is natural

of poetr universal example most stances,

as the c

on a It all poetry for is tion is of phil they

they , and or , the

the

and voice of the person concerned, and for the time, as it were, put themselves into his place.

This gave the hint to the drama, or acting, and observing the powerful effect of this in public exhibitions \* \* \*

But the drama, the most artificial and complicated of all the poetical machines, was not yet brought to perfection: and like those animals which change their state, some parts of the old narrative still adhered. It still had a chorus, it still had a prologue to explain the design; and the perfect drama, an automaton supported and moved without any foreign help, was formed late and gradually. Nay, there are still several parts of the world in which it is not, and probably never may be, formed.—The Chinese drama.

The drama, being at length formed, naturally adhered to the first division of poetry, the satirical and panegyrical, which

made tragedy and comedy.

Men, in praising, naturally applaud the dead. Tragedy

celebrated the dead.

Great men are never sufficiently shown but in struggles. Tragedy turned therefore on melancholy and affecting subjects;—a sort of Threnodia;—its passions, therefore, admiration, terror, and pity.

Comedy was satirical. Satire is best on the living.

It was soon found, that the best way to depress a hated character was to turn it into ridicule; and therefore the greater vices, which in the beginning were lashed, gave place to the *contemptible*.

Its passion therefore became ridicule.

Every writing must have its characteristic passion. What

is that of comedy, if not ridicule?

Comedy, therefore, is a satirical poem, representing an action carried on by dialogue, to excite laughter by describing ludicrous characters. See Aristotle.

Therefore, to preserve this definition, the ridicule must be

either in the action or characters, or both.

An action may be ludicrous, independent of the characters, by the ridiculous situations and accidents which, may happen to the characters.

But the action is not so important as the characters. We see this every day upon the stage.

What are the characters fit for comedy?

It appears that no part of human life, which may be subject to ridicule, is exempted from comedy; for whenever men run into the absurd, whether high or low, they may be the subject of satire, and consequently of comedy. Indeed some characters, as kings, are exempted through decency; others might be too insignificant. Some are of opinion, that persons in better life are so polished that their true characters, and the real bent of their humour, cannot appear. For my own

situations are judiciously adapted to their characters, there is no doubt but they will appear in all their force, choose what and his

it forward with greater violence, and make a more forcible contrast. " - .1 ---- port on their

es ele-· pages.

v have

too much or no movement.

They are not good in tragedy, much less here.

The greater virtues, fortitude, justice, and the like, too serious and sublime.

It is not every story, every character, every incident, but those only which answer their end .- Painting of artificial I --- I a thing hoing useful does not therefore make

ral manners good and bad

and common life virtuous sentiments are not even the character of virtuous men; we cannot bear these sentiments but when they are pressed out as it were by great exigencies, and a certain contention, which

is above the general style of comedy. The first character of propriety the Law-Suit possesses in

an eminent degree. The plot of the play is an iniquitous suit; there can be no fitter persons to be concerned in the active part of it than low, necessitous lawyers of bad character and profligates of desperate fortune. On the other hand, in the passive part, if an honest and virtuous man had been made the object of their designs, or a weak man of good intentions, every successful step they should take against him ought rather to fill the audience with horror than pleasure and mirth; and if in the conclusion their plots should be baffled, even this would come too late to prevent that ill impression; but in the Law-Suit this is admirably avoided; for the character chosen is a rich, avaricious usurer. The pecuniary distresses of such a person can never be looked upon with horror; and if he should be even handled unjustly, we always wait his delivery with patience.

Now, with regard to the display of the character, which is the essential part of the plot, nothing can be more finely imagined than to draw a miser in law. If you draw him inclined to love, and marriage, you depart from the height of his character in some measure, as Moliere has done. Expenses of this kind he may easily avoid. If you draw him in law, to advance brings expense; to draw back brings expense; and the character is tortured and brought out at every moment.

A sort of notion has prevailed, that a comedy might subsist without humour. It is an idle disquisition, whether a story in private life, represented in dialogues, may not be carried on with some degree of merit without humour. It may, unquestionably; but what shines chiefly in comedy, the painting the manners of life, must be in a great measure wanting. A character, which has nothing extravagant, wrong, or singular in it, can affect but very little; and this is what makes Aristotle draw the great line of distinction between tragedy and comedy. Έν αὐτη δὲ τῆ διαφορᾶ καὶ ἡ τραγφδία, &c. Arist. Poet. ch. 11.

There is not a more absurd mistake, than that whatever may not unnaturally happen in an action is of course to be admitted into every painting of it. In nature, the great and the little, the serious and the ludicrous, things the most disproportionate the one to the other, are frequently huddled together in much confusion. And what then? It is the

business of art first to choose some determinate end and pur-

distinction between the just and chimerical in the arts would be utterly lost. A here eats, drinks, and sleeps like other men, but to introduce such scenes on the stage because they are natural, would be rideulous. And why? because they have nothing to do with the end for which the play is written. The design of a piece might be utterly destroyed by the most natural incidents in the world. Boileau has somewhere criticised, with what surely is a very just severity, on Ariosto, for introducing a ludicrous tale from his host to one of the principal persons of his poem though the story has great mert in its way. Indeed that famous piece is so mon strous and extravagint in all its parts, that one is not particularly shocked with this indecorum. But, as Boileau has observed, if Virgil had introduced Zheus intenning to a bawdy story from his host, what an episode had this formed in that

I taste, and
I have
and, if I work of some of
the Flem.

The Herman a manner suitable to the solemnity of the occasion,
but the panner has filled the void under the table with a deg
gnawing bones. Who does not see the possibility of such an in-

cidents, characters, or sentiments with the probable in fact, but with propriety in design, that admits or excludes them from a place in any composition. We may as well urge, that stones sand, clay, and metals ho in a certain manner in the earth, as a reason for building with these meterals and in that manner, as for writing according to the accidental disposition of characters in nature. I have, I am afrud, been

longer than it might seem necessary in refuting such a notion; but such authority can only be opposed by a good deal of reason.

We are not to forget, that a play is, or ought to be, a very short composition; that if one passion or disposition is to be wrought up with tolerable success, I believe it is as much as can in any reason be expected. If there be scenes of distress, and scenes of humour, they must either be in a double or single plot. If there be a double plot, there are in fact two. If they be in checkered scenes of serious and comic, you are obliged continually to break both the thread of the story and the continuity of the passion; if in the same scene, as Mrs. V. seems to recommend, it is needless to observe how absurd the mixture must be, and how little adapted to answer the genuine end of any passion. It is odd to observe the progress of bad taste; for this mixed passion being universally proscribed in the regions of tragedy, it has taken refuge and shelter in comedy, where it seems firmly established, though no reason can be assigned why we may not laugh in the one as well as weep in the other. The true reason of this mixture is to be sought for in the manners which are prevalent amongst a people; it has become very fashionable to affect delicacy, tenderness of heart, and fine feeling, and to shun all imputation of rusticity. mirth is very foreign to this character; they have introduced therefore a sort of neutral writing.

Now as to characters, they have dealt in them as in the passions. There are none but lords and footmen. One objection to characters in high life is, that almost all wants, and a thousand happy circumstances arising from them, being removed from it, their whole mode of life is too artificial, and not so fit for painting. And the contrary opinion has arisen from a mistake, that whatever has merit in the reality necessarily must have it in the representation. I have observed that persons, and especially women, in lower life, and of no breeding, are fond of such representations. It seems like introducing them into good company, and the honour compensates the dulness of the entertainment.

Fashionable manners being fluctuating is another reason for not choosing them—sensible comedy—talking sense a dull thing— \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

# AN ESSAY

TOWARDS

# AN ABRIDGMENT OF THE ENGLISH HISTORY.

IN THREE BOOKS

# BOOK I -CHAPTER I

CALSES OF THE CONNEXION BUTWEEN THE ROUADS AND BRITONS —
CASAR'S TWO INVASIONS OF BRITAIN

In order to obtain a clear notion of the state of Europe before the universal prevalence of the Roman power, the whole region is to be divided into two principal parts, which we shall call northern and southern Europe The northern part is everywhere separated from the southern by immense and continued chains of mountains From Greece it is divided by Mount Hæmus, from Spain by the Pyrenees, from Italy by the Alps This division is not made by an arbitrary or casual distribution of countries The limits are marked out by nature, and in these early ages were yet further distin-guished by a considerable difference in the manners and usages of the nations they divided If we turn our eyes to the northward of these boundaries, a vast mass of solid contment hes before us, stretched out from the remotest shore of Tartary quite to the Atlantic ocean A line drawn through this extent from east to west would pass over the greatest body of unbroken land that is anywhere known upon the This tract, in a course of some degrees to the northward, is not interrupted by any sea, neither are the mountains so disposed as to form any considerable obstacle to hostile incursions Originally it was all inhabited but by one sort of people, known by one common denomination of Scythians As the several tribes of this comprehensive name lay in many parts greatly exposed, and as by their situation and customs they were much inclined to attack, and by both

ill qualified for defence, throughout the whole of that immense region there was for many ages a perpetual flux and reflux of barbarous nations. None of their commonwealths continued long enough established on any particular spot to settle, and to subside into a regular order; one tribe continually overpowering or thrusting out another. But as these were only the mixtures of Scythians with Scythians, the triumphs of barbarians over barbarians, there were revolutions in empire, but none in manners. The northern Europe, until some parts of it were subdued by the progress of the Roman arms, remained almost equally covered with all the ruggedness of primitive barbarism.

The southern part was differently circumstanced. Divided, as we have said, from the northern by great mountains, it is further divided within itself by considerable seas. Spain, Greece, and Italy are peninsulas. By these advantages of situation the inhabitants were preserved from those great and sudden revolutions to which the northern world had been always liable. And being confined within a space comparatively narrow, they were restrained from wandering into a pastoral and unsettled life. It was upon one side only that they could be invaded by land. Whoever made an attempt on any other part must necessarily have arrived in ships of some magnitude; and must therefore have, in a degree, been cultivated, if not by the liberal, at least by the mechanic arts. In fact the principal colonies which we find these countries to have received were sent from Phænicia, or the Lesser Asia, or Egypt, the great fountains of the ancient civility and learning. And they became, more or less, earlier or later, polished, as they were situated nearer to, or further from, these celebrated sources. Though I am satisfied, from a comparison of the Celtic tongues with the Greek and Roman, that the original inhabitants of Italy and Greece were of the same race with the people of northern Europe, yet it is certain they profited so much by their guarded situation, by the mildness of their climate favourable to humanity, and by the foreign infusions, that they came greatly to excel the northern nations in every respect, and particularly in the art and discipline of war. For not being so strong in their bodies, partly from the temperature of their climate, partly from a degree of softness induced by a more cultivated life, they applied them-

186

AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY. a mamora tha far incompanioness of a sottled cornety by

obedi-1. their people were well exercised in arms and sharpened against

each other by continual war Such was the situation of Greece and Italy from a very re-

mote period The Gauls and other northern nations, envious of their wealth, and despising the effeminacy of their manners, often 1 But th

Italy. In the course of these wars the superiority of the consider Roman discipline over the Gallie ferocity was at length de-

success. They were altogether unskilful either in improving their victories or repairing their defeats. But the Romans. being governed by a most wise order of men, perfected by a traditionary experience in the policy of conquest, drew some advantage from every turn of fortune, and, victorious or van-

them over the Aips, and, carrying one ... of their enemy, under several able generals, and at last under Cams Casar, they reduced all the Gauls from the Mediterranean Sea to the Rhine and the ocean During the progress of this decisive war, some of the maritime nations of Gaul had recourse for assistance to the neighbouring island of Britain From thence they received considerable succours; by which means this island first came to be known with any exactness by the Romans; and first drew upon it the attention of that

victorious people Though Casar had reduced Gaul, he perceived clearly that a great deal was still wanting to make his conquest secure and lasting That extensive country, inhabited by a multitude of populous and fierce nations, had been rather overrun than conquered The Gauls were not yet broken to the yoke, which they bore with murmuring and discontent

The ruins of their own strength were still considerable; and they had hopes that the Germans, famous for their invincible courage and their ardent love of liberty, would be at hand powerfully to second any endeavours for the recovery of their freedom; they trusted that the Britons of their own blood, allied in manners and religion, and whose help they had lately experienced, would not then be wanting to the same cause. Cæsar was not ignorant of these dispositions. He therefore judged, that, if he could confine the attention of the Germans and Britons to their own defence, so that the Gauls, on which side soever they turned, should meet nothing but the Roman arms, they must soon be deprived of all hope, and compelled to seek their safety in an entire submission.

These were the public reasons which made the invasion of Britain and Germany an undertaking, at that particular time, not unworthy a wise and able general. But these enterprises, though reasonable in themselves, were only subservient to purposes of more importance, and which he had more at heart. Whatever measures he thought proper to pursue on the side of Germany, or on that of Britain, it was towards Rome that he always looked, and to the furtherance of his interest there that all his motions were really directed. That republic had receded from many of those maxims by which her freedom had been hitherto preserved under the weight of so vast an empire. Rome now contained many citizens of immense wealth, eloquence, and ability. Particular men were more considered than the republic; and the fortune and genius of the Roman people, which formerly had been thought equal to everything, came now to be less relied upon than the abilities of a few popular men. The war with the Gauls, as the old and most dangerous enemy of Rome, was of the last importance; and Casar had the address to obtain the conduct of it for a term of years, contrary to one of the most established principles of their government. But this war was finished before that term was expired, and before the designs which he entertained against the liberty of his country were fully ripened. It was, therefore, negerary to find some pretext for keeping his army on fort; it were necessary to employ them in rome enterprise, that might as once raise his character, keep his interest alive at Poste, ondear him to his troops, and by that means weaken the ties which held them to their country

Irom this motive, coloured by reasons plausible and fit to be avowed, he resolved in one and the same year, and even when that was almost expired, upon two expeditions; the objects of which lay at a great distance from each other, and were as yet untouched by the Roman arms. And first be resolved to pass the Rhine and penetrate into Germany

and never The most the strong

awed, the weak taken into processor. 2 an alliance being formed, always the first step of the Roman policy, and not only a pretence, but a means, being thereby acquired of entering the country upon any future occasion, he marched back through Gaul to execute a design of much the same nature and extent in Britain

The inhabitants of that island, who were divided into a immber of petty nations, under a very coarse and disframe of government, did not find it easy to plan any effectual measures for their definer. In order,

Ante Ch. 55
however, to gain time in this exigency, they cent
ambassadors to Cæsar with terms of submission Cæsar
could not colourably reject their offers But as their subled of than councided with his real designs,

resing over into Britain; infantry of two legions vas obstinately disputed ry hot and doubtful en-

tions of so accomplished to an and the

1 Some think this port to be Witsaid,

The place where the Romans first entered this island was somewhere near Deal; and the time fifty-five years before the birth of Christ.

The Britons, who defended their country with so much resolution in the engagement, immediately after it lost all their spirit. They had laid no regular plan for their defence. Upon their first failure they seemed to have no resources left. On the slightest loss they betook themselves to treaty and submission; upon the least appearance in their favour they were as ready to resume their arms, without any regard to their former engagements; -a conduct which demonstrates that our British ancestors had no regular polity with a standing coercive power. The ambassadors which they sent to Cæsar laid all the blame of a war, carried on by great armies, upon the rashness of their young men; and they declared that the ruling people had no share in these hostilities. This is exactly the excuse which the savages of America, who have no regular government, make at this day upon the like occasions; but it would be a strange apology from one of the modern states of Europe, that had employed armies against another. Cæsar reprimanded them for the inconstancy of their behaviour; and ordered them to bring hostages to secure their fidelity, together with provisions for his army. But whilst the Britons were engaged in the treaty, and on that account had free access to the Roman camp, they easily observed that the army of the invaders was neither numerous nor well provided; and having about the same time received intelligence that the Roman fleet had suffered in a storm, they again changed their measures, and came to a resolution of renewing the war. Some prosperous actions against the Roman foraging parties inspired them with great confidence. They were betrayed by their success into a general action in the open field. Here the disciplined troops obtained an easy and complete victory; and the Britons were taught the error of their conduct at the expense of a terrible slaughter.

Twice defeated, they had recourse once more to submission. Cæsar, who found the winter approaching, provisions scarce, and his fleet not fit to contend with that rough and tempestuous sea in a winter voyage, hearkened to their proposals,

exacting double the number of the former hostages He then set sail with his whole army

iake,

contiguous to it, and he became acquainted with the force, the manner of fighting, and the military character of the people. To compars these purposes he did not think a part of the summer ill bestowed. But early in the next he pre pared to make a more effective use of the experience he had gained. He embarked again at the same port, but with a more numerous army. The Britons on their part had prepared more regularly for their defence in this than the former year. Several of those states which were nearest and most exposed to the danger had, during Casar's absence, combined for their common safety, and chosen Casaibelan, a chief of power and reputation, for the leader of their union.

Romans the sea

covered as an estate to be some the comp's ships (for they were eight hundred sail), they desprized of defending the coast, they retired into the woods and fastnesses, and Casar landed his army without opposition

Detail how saw the necessity of altering their former therefore opposed the Ro-

med frequent ambuscades,

they divided themselves into light flying parties, and continually harassed the enemy on his march. This plan, though in their circumstances the most judicious, was attended with no great success. Carar forced some of their strongest intrenchments, and then carried the war directly into the territories of Cassibelan.

The only fordable passage which he could find over the Thunes was defended by a row of palisadoes, which limed the opposite bank, another row of sharpened stakes stood undar water along the middle of the stream. Some remains of thee works long subsisted, and were to be discorated in the neriform almost to the present times. The Britons had made

Coway stakes, near Lingston on Thames

the best of the situation; but the Romans plunged into the water, tore away the stakes and palisadoes, and obtained a complete victory. The capital, or rather chief fastness, of Cassibelan was then taken, with a number of cattle, the wealth of this barbarous city. After these misfortunes the Britons were no longer in a condition to act with effect. Their ill success in the field soon dissolved the ill-cemented union of their councils. They split into factions, and some of them chose the common enemy for their protector. Insomuch that, after some feeble and desultory efforts, most of the tribes to the southward of the Thames submitted themselves to the conqueror. Cassibelan, worsted in so many encounters, and deserted by his allies, was driven at length to sue for peace. A tribute was imposed. And as the summer began to wear away, Cæsar, having finished the war to his satisfaction, embarked for Gaul.

The whole of Cæsar's conduct in these two campaigns sufficiently demonstrates that he had no intention of making an absolute conquest of any part of Britain. Is it to be believed, that, if he had formed such a design, he would have left Britain without an army, without a legion, without a single cohort, to secure his conquest; and that he should sit down contented with an empty glory, and the tribute of an indigent people, without any proper means of securing a continuance of that small acquisition? This is not credible. But his conduct here, as well as in Germany, discovers his purpose in both expeditions; for by them he confirmed the Roman dominion in Gaul: he gained time to mature his designs, and he afforded his party in Rome an opportunity of promoting his interest, and exaggerating his exploits, which they did in such a manner as to draw from the senate a decree for a very remarkable acknowledgment of his services, in a supplication or thanksgiving of twenty days. This attempt, not being pursued, stands single, and has little or no connexion with the subsequent events.

Therefore I shall in this place, where the narrative will be the least broken, insert from the best authorities which are left, and the best conjectures which, in so obscure a matter, I am able to form, some account of the first peopling of this island; the manners of its inhabitants; their art of war; their religious and civil discipline. These are matters not only worthy of attention, as containing a very remarkable piece of antiquity; but as not wholly unnecessary towards comprehending the great change made in all these points, when the Roman conquest came afterwards to be completed.

### CHAPTER II.

#### SOME ACCOUNT OF THE ANCIENT INHABITANTS OF BRITAIN.

· THAT Britain was first peopled from Gaul we are assured by the best proofs; proximity of situation, and resemblance in language and manners. Of the time in which this event happened we must be contented to remain in ignorance, for we have no monuments. But we may conclude that it was a very ancient settlement, since the Carthaginians found this island inhabited when they traded hither for tin; as the Phonicians, whose tracks they followed in this commerce, are said to have done long before them. It is true that when we consider the short interval between the universal deluge and that period, and compare it with the first settlement of men ach a distance from this corner of the world, it may seem

to reconcile such a claim to antiquity with the only account we have of the origin and progress of manespecially as in those early ages the whole face of

are was extremely rude and uncultivated; when the links on commerce, even in the countries first settled, were few and weak; navigation imperfect; geography unknown; and the hardships

of which and unive

tages. Many writers indeed imagine that these migrations, so common in the primitive times, were caused by the prodigious increase of people beyond what their several territories could maintain. But this opinion, far from being by the general appearance

in every country vast tracts st useless in morasses and Nor is it, indeed, more countenanced by the an-

cient modes of life, no way favourable to population. I ap-

prehend, that these first settled countries, so far from being overstocked with inhabitants, were rather thinly peopled; and that the same causes which occasioned that thinness, occasioned also those frequent migrations which make so large a part of the first history of almost all nations. For in these ages men subsisted chiefly by pasturage or hunting. These are the occupations which spread the people without multiplying them in proportion; they teach them an extensive knowledge of the country; they carry them frequently and far from their homes; and weaken those ties which might attach them to any particular habitation.

It was in a great degree from this manner of life that mankind became scattered in the earliest times over the whole globe. But their peaceful occupations did not contribute so much to that end as their wars, which were not the less frequent and violent because the people were few, and the interests for which they contended of but small importance. Ancient history has furnished us with many instances of whole nations, expelled by invasion, falling in upon others, which they have entirely overwhelmed; more irresistible in their defeat and ruin than in their fullest prosperity. rights of war were then exercised with great inhumanity. A cruel death, or a servitude scarcely less cruel, was the certain fate of all conquered people; the terror of which hurried men from habitations to which they were but little attached, to seek security and repose under any climate that, however in other respects undesirable, might afford them refuge from the fury of their enemies. Thus the bleak and barren regions of the north, not being peopled by choice, were peopled as early, in all probability, as many of the milder and more inviting climates of the southern world; and thus, by a wonderful disposition of the Divine Providence, a life of hunting, which does not contribute to increase, and war, which is the great instrument in the destruction of men, were the two principal causes of their being spread so early and so universally over the whole earth. From what is very commonly known of the state of North America, it need not be said how often, and to what distance, several of the nations on that continent are used to migrate; who, though thinly scattered, occupy an immense extent of country. Nor are the causes of it less obvious,—their hunting life, and their inhuman wars.

Such migrations, sometimes by choice, more frequently from necessity, were common in the ancient world Frequent which subsisted after the o it happen but from some ejudice, which always overrules and stitles the private sense of men, that a whole nation should deliberately think it a wise measure to quit their country in a body, that they might obtain in a foreign land a settlement which must wholly depend upon the chance of war? Yet this resolution was taken, and actually pursued, by the entire nation of the Helvetu, as it is minutely related by Casar The method of reasoning which led them to it must appear to us at this day utterly inconceivable, they were far from being compelled to this extraordinary migration by any want of subsistence at home, for it appears that they raised, without difficulty, as much corn in one year as supported them for two, they could not complain of the barrenness of such a soil

This spirit of migration, which grew out of the ancient manners and necessities, and sometimes operated like a blind instinct, such as actuates birds of passage, is very sufficient to account for the early habitation of the remotest parts of the earth, and in some sort also justifies that clum which has been so fondly made by almost all nations to great an-

tiquity

Gaul, from whence Britain was originally peopled, consisted of three nations, the Belga towards the north, the Celte in the middle countries, and the Aquitani to the south Britain appears to have received its people only from the two From the Celta were derived the most ancient tribes of the Britons, of which the most considerable were called Brigantes The Belge, who did not even settle in And after Britain had been peopled by colonies from

land in a more improved age, prought ledge and practice of agriculture, which, however, only prevailed in their own countries, the Brigantes still continued their ancient way of life by pasturage and hunting In this respect alone they differed, so that what we shall say in treating of their manners is equally applicable to both. And though the Britons were further divided into an innumerable multitude of lesser tribes and nations, yet, all being the branches of these two stocks, it is not to our purpose to consider them more minutely.

Britain was in the time of Julius Cæsar what it is at this day in climate and natural advantages, temperate and reasonably fertile. But, destitute of all those improvements which in a succession of ages it has received from ingenuity, from commerce, from riches and luxury, it then wore a very rough and savage appearance. The country, forest or marsh; the habitations, cottages; the cities, hiding-places in woods; the people, naked, or only covered with skins; their sole employment, pasturage and hunting. They painted their bodies for ornament or terror, by a custom general amongst all savage nations; who, being passionately fond of show and finery, and having no object but their naked bodies on which to exercise this disposition, have in all times painted or cut their skins, according to their ideas of ornament. They shaved the beard on the chin; that on the upper lip was suffered to remain, and grow to an extraordinary length, to favour the martial appearance, in which they placed their glory. They were in their natural temper not unlike the Gauls; impatient, fiery, inconstant, ostentatious, boastful, fond of novelty; and, like all barbarians, fierce, treacherous, and cruel. Their arms were short javelins, small shields of a slight texture, and great cutting swords with a blunt point, after the Gaulish fashion.

Their chiefs went to battle in chariots, not unartfully contrived, nor unskilfully managed. I cannot help thinking it something extraordinary, and not easily to be accounted for, that the Britons should have been so expert in the fabric of those chariots, when they seem utterly ignorant in all other mechanic arts; but thus it is delivered to us. They had also horse, though of no great reputation, in their armies. Their foot was without heavy armour; it was no firm body; nor instructed to preserve their ranks, to make their evolutions, or to obey their commanders; but in tolerating hardships, in dexterity of forming ambuscades, (the art military of savages,) they are said to have excelled. A natural ferocity, and an impetuous onset, stood them in the place of discipline.

It is very difficult, at this distance of time, and with so little information, to discern clearly what sort of civil government prevailed among the ancient Britons I nall very uncultivated countries, as society is not close or intricate, nor property very valuable, liberty subsists with few restraints. The natural equality of mankind appears, and is asserted, and therefore there are but obscure lines of any form of government In every society of this sort the natural connexions are the same as in others, though the political ties are weak. Among such barbarans, therefore, though there is little authority in the magistraite, there is often great power lodged, or rather left, in the father, for, as among the Gauls, so among the Britons, he had the power of life and death in his sown family, over his children and his servants.

But among freemen and heads of families causes of all sorts seem to have been decided by the Drinds they summoned and dissolved all the public assemblies, they alone had the power of capital punishments, and indeed seem to have had the sole execution and unterpretation of whatever laws subsisted among this people. In this respect the Celtic nations did not greatly differ from others, except that we view them in an earlier stage of society. Justice was in all countries originally administered by the priesthood, nor in-

their down The

above a century 1

The time in which the Drind priesthood was instituted is unknown. It probably rose, like other institutions of that hind, from low and obscure beginnings, and acquired from time, and the labours of able men, a form, by which it extended itself so far, and attimed at length so mighty an influence over the minds of a flerce, and otherwise ungovernable, people. Of the place where it arose there is somewhat less doubt. Casar mentions it as the common opinion that this institution began in Britain, that there it always re-

Digest, lib I tit ii De origine et processu juris, 2

mained in the highest perfection, and that from thence it disfused itself into Gaul. I own I find it not easy to assign any tolerable cause why an order of so much authority, and a discipline so exact, should have passed from the more barbarous people to the more civilized; from the younger to the older; from the colony to the mother country: but it is not wonderful that the early extinction of this order, and that general contempt in which the Romans held all the barbarous nations, should have left these matters obscure and full of difficulty.

The Druids were kept entirely distinct from the body of the people; and they were exempted from all the inferior and burdensome offices of society, that they might be at leisure to attend the important duties of their own charge. They were chosen out of the best families, and from the young men of the most promising talents; a regulation which placed and preserved them in a respectable light with the world. None were admitted into this order but after a long and laborious novitiate, which made the character venerable in their own eyes by the time and difficulty of attaining They were much devoted to solitude, and thereby acquired that abstracted and thoughtful air which is so imposing upon the vulgar. And when they appeared in public it was seldom, and only on some great occasion; in the sacrifices of the gods, or on the sent of judgment. They prescribed medicine; they formed the youth; they paid the last honours to the dead; they foretold events; they exercised themselves in magic. They were at once the priests, lawgivers, and physicians of their nation; and consequently concentred in themselves all that respect that men have diffusively for those who heal their diseases, protect their property, or re-concile them to the Divinity. What contributed not a little to the stability and power of this order was the extent of its · foundation, and the regularity and proportion of its structure. It took in both sexes; and the female Druids were in no less esteem for their knowledge and sanctity than the males. was divided into several subordinate ranks and classes; and they all depended upon a chief or Arch-Druid, who was elected to his place with great authority and preëminence for life. They were further armed with a power of interdicting from their sacrifices, or exco.... oxious,

persons This interdiction, so similar to that used by the ancient Athemans, and to that since practised among Chris trans, was followed by an exclusion from all the benefits of civil community, and it was accordingly the most dreaded of all punishments This ample authority was in general usefully exerted, by the interposition of the Druids differences were composed, and wars ended, and the minds of the fierce northern people, being reconciled to each other under the influence of religion, united with signal effect against their common enemies

There was a class of the Druids, whom they called Bards, who delivered in songs (their only history) the exploits of their heroes, and who composed those verses, which contained the secrets of Druidical discipline, their principles of

> bability agoras. s of the 1. where

they were not altogether ignorant of the use of letters, in order to preserve their knowledge in greater respect committed none of their precepts to writing The proficiency of their pupils was estimated principally by the number of technical verses which they retained in their memory a f circumstance that shows this discipline rather calculated to preserve with accuracy a few plain maxims of traditionary science, than to improve and extend it And this is not the sole circumstance which leads us to believe that among them learning

The sc carefully rians com

tion than examination, they must therefore be a ut a thagoras in the rude times of Greece, required silence in his disciples, but Socrates in the meridian of the Athenian refinement, spoke less than his scholars, everything was dis puted in the Acidemy

authors speak, in a tery exagners a s Some clemental lenco in these, and in many other sciences

knowledge I suppose they had; but I can scarcely be persuaded that their learning was either deep or extensive. all countries where Druidism was professed the youth were generally instructed by that order; and yet was there little either in the manners of the people, in their way of life, or their works of art, that demonstrates profound science, or particularly mathematical skill. Britain, where their discipline was in its highest perfection, and which was therefore resorted to by the people of Gaul, as an oracle in Druidical questions, was more barbarous in all other respects than Gaul itself, or than any other country then known in Europe. Those piles of rude magnificence, Stonehenge and Abury, are in vain produced in proof of their mathematical abilities. These vast structures have nothing which can be admired, but the greatness of the work; and they are not the only instances of the great things which the mere labour of many hands united, and persevering in their purpose, may accomplish with very little help from mechanics. This may be evinced by the immense buildings, and the low state of the sciences, among the original Peruvians.

The Druids were eminent, above all the philosophic lawgivers of antiquity, for their care in impressing the doctrine of the soul's immortality on the minds of their people, as an operative and leading principle. This doctrine was inculcated on the scheme of transmigration, which some imagine them to have derived from Pythagoras. But it is by no means necessary to resort to any particular teacher for an opinion which owes its birth to the weak struggles of unenlightened reason, and to mistakes natural to the human The idea of the soul's immortality is indeed ancient, universal, and in a manner inherent in our nature: but it is not easy for a rude people to conceive any other mode of existence than one similar to what they had experienced in life; nor any other world as the scene of such an existence but this we inhabit, beyond the bounds of which the mind extends itself with great difficulty. Admiration, indeed, was able to exalt to heaven a few selected heroes: it did not seem absurd that those, who in their mortal state had distinguished themselves as superior and overruling spirits, should after death ascend to that sphere which influences and governs everything below; or that the proper abode of beings,

at once so illustrious and permanent, should be in that part of nature in which they had always observed the greatest splendour and the least mutation But on ordinary occasions it was natural some should imagine that the dead retired into a remote country, separated from the living by seas or mountains It was natural that some should follow their imagination with a simplicity still purer, and pursue the souls of men no further than the sepulchres in which their bodies had been deposited, whilst others of deeper penetration, observing that bodies worn out by age, or destroyed by accidents, still afforded the materials for generating new ones, concluded likewise that a soul being dislodged did not wholly perish, but was destined, by a similar revolution in nature, to act again, and to animate some other body This last principle gave rise to the doctrine of transmigration, but we must not presume of course that where it prevailed it necessarily excluded the other opinions, for it is not remote from the usual procedure of the human mind, blending, in obscure matters, imagination and reasoning together, to unite ideas the most inconsistent. When Homer represents the ghosts of his heroes appearing at the sacrifices of Ulysses, he supposes them endued with life, sensation, and a capacity of moving, but he has joined to these powers of living existence uncomclines, want of chi, want of distinction, the characteristics of a dead the state of the six want to the characteristics of the six want of the characteristics of a dead the six want of the six want to do, it is very and the six want of the six

This is what the mind is apt to do, it is very apt to confound the ideas of the surviving soul and the dead body. The vulgar have al

very irreconcilable ideas

in church-yards, they hab appears in all the ghastly paleness of a corpse. A contradiction of this kind has given rise to a doubt whether the Druids did in reality hold the doctrine of transmigration. There is positive testimony that they did hold it. There is also testimony as positive that they buried or burned with the dead, utensils, arms, slaves, and whatever might be judged useful to them, as if they were to be removed into a separate state. They might have held both these opinions; and we ought not to be surprised to find error inconsistant.

The objects of the Druid worship were many. In this respect they did not differ from other heathens; but it must be owned that in general their ideas of divine matters were more exalted than those of the Greeks and Romans; and that they did not fall into an idelatry so coarse and vulgar. That their gods should be represented under a human form, they thought derogatory to beings uncreated and imperishable. To confine what can endure no limits within walls and roofs, they judged absurd and impious. In these particulars there was something refined, and suitable enough to a just idea of the Divinity. But the rest was not equal. Some notions they had, like the greatest part of mankind, of a Being eternal and infinite; but they also, like the greatest part of mankind, paid their worship to inferior objects, from the nature of ignorance and superstition always tending downwards.

The first and chief objects of their worship were the elements; and, of the elements, fire, as the most pure, active, penetrating, and what gives life and energy to all the rest. Among fires, the preference was given to the sun, as the most glorious visible being, and the fountain of all life. Next they venerated the moon and the planets. After fire, water was held in reverence. This, when pure, and ritually prepared, was supposed to wash away all sins, and to qualify the priest to approach the altar of the gods with more acceptable prayers; washing with water being a type natural enough of inward cleansing and purity of mind. They also worshipped foun-

tains, and lakes, and rivers.

Oaks were regarded by this sect with a particular veneration, as by their greatness, their shade, their stability, and duration, not ill representing the perfections of the Deity. From the great reverence in which they held this tree, it is thought their name of Druids is derived, the word Deru in the Celtic language signifying an oak. But their reverence was not wholly confined to this tree. All forests were held sacred; and many particular plants were respected, as endued with a particular holiness. No plant was more revered than the mistletoe: especially if it grew on the oak; not only because it is rarely found upon that tree, but because the oak was among the Druids peculiarly sacred. Towards the end of the year they searched for this plant, and when it was found great rejoicing ensued; it was approached with reverence; it

was cut with a golden hook, it was not suffered to fall to the ground, but received with great care and solemnity upon a white garment

In ancient times, and in all countries, the profession of physic was annexed to the priesthood Men imagined that all their diseases were inflicted by the immediate displeasure of the Deity, and therefore concluded that the remedy would most probably proceed from those who were particularly employed in his service Whatever, for the same reason, was

found of efficacy to avert or cure distempers was considered ine was always tered without e of plants and was early and y peculiar appearance and manner on the imaginations of may have been soon against the opinion of fit a me gond

service in the cure of certain convulsive distempers, which by their suddenness, their violence, and their unaccountable symptoms, have been ever considered as supernatural The epilepsy was by the Romans for that reason called Morbus
Sacer, and all other nations have regarded it in the same

> flyserpents reat pillars · serpent by terror, by

his annual renewals, to raise admiration, by his make, easily susceptible of many figures, to serve for a variety of symbols, and by all, to be an object of religious observance accordingly no object of idolatry has been more universal?

<sup>1</sup> See this point in the Divine Legation of Moses

<sup>1</sup> Περι παντι νομιζομενων πορ ι μιν θεων οφις σι μβολον μεγα επι μισ -notor avaypaperat Justin Martyr in Stillingfleet & Origines Sacre

And this is so natural, that serpent-veneration seems to be

rising again even in the bosom of Mahometanism.

The great stones, it has been supposed, were originally monuments of illustrious men, or the memorials of considerable actions; or they were landmarks for deciding the bounds of fixed property. In time, the memory of the persons or facts which these stones were erected to perpetuate wore away; but the reverence which custom, and probably certain periodical ceremonies, had preserved for those places was not so soon obliterated. The monuments themselves then came to be venerated; and not the less because the reason for venerating them was no longer known. The land-mark was in those times held sacred on account of its great uses, and easily passed into an object of worship. Hence the god Terminus amongst the Romans. This religious observance towards fude stones is one of the most ancient and universal of all customs. Traces of it are to be found in almost all, and especially in these northern nations; and to this day in Lapland, where heathenism is not yet entirely extirpated, their chief divinity, which they call Stor Junkare, 2 is nothing more than a rude stone.

Some writers among the moderns, because the Druids ordinarily made no use of images in their worship, have given in to an opinion, that their religion was founded on the unity of the Godhead. But this is no just consequence. The spirituality of the idea, admitting their idea to have been spiritual, does not infer the unity of the object. All the ancient authors who speak of this order agree, that, besides those great and more distinguishing objects of their worship already mentioned, they had gods answerable to those adored by the Romans. And we know that the northern nations, who overran the Roman empire, had in fact a great plurality of gods, whose attributes, though not their names, bore a close analogy to the idols of the southern world.

The Druids performed the highest act of religion by sacrifice, agreeably to the custom of all other nations. They not only offered up beasts, but even human victims; a barbarity almost universal in the heathen world, but exercised more uniformly, and with circumstances of peculiar cruelty,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Norden's Travels.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Scheffer's Lapland, p. 92, the translation.

many have traced between this and the Jewish priesthood, the Persian Magi, and the Indian Brachmans, it did not so greatly differ from the Roman priesthood either in the original objects, or in the general mode of worship, or in the constitution of their hierarchy In the original institution neither of these nations had the use of images, the rules of the Sahan as well as Druid discipline were delivered in verse, both orders were under an elective head, and both were for a long time the lawyers of their country So that when the order of Druids was suppressed by the emperors, it was rather from a dread of an influence incompatible with the Roman government than from any dislike of their religious oninions

## CHAPTER III

THE death of Cæsar, and the civil wars which ensued, afforded foreign nations some respite from the Roman ambition Augustus, having restored made it a settled maxim of

pire He found himself £ and he was more solicitous to confirm it by the institutions of sound policy than to extend the bounds of its dominion In consequence of this plan Britain was neglected

Therius came a regular successor to an established go-

vernment But his politics were dictated rather by his

his own person, nor cared to commit the tone co another There was little in a British triumph that could affect a temper like that of Tiberius

His successor Caligula was not influenced by this, nor indeed by any regular system, for having undertaken an expedition to Britain without any determined view, le al undoned it on the point of execution without reason. And adding ridicule to his disgrace, his soldiers returned to Rome loaded with shells. These spoils he displayed as the ornaments of a triumph which he celebrated over the ocean, if in all these particulars we may trust to the historians of that time, who relate things almost incredible of the folly of their

masters, and the patience of the Roman people. But the Roman people, however degenerate, still retained much of their martial spirit; and as the emperors held their power almost entirely by the affection of the soldiery, they found themselves often obliged to such enterprises as might prove them no improper heads of a military constitution. An expedition to Britain was well adapted to answer all the purposes of this ostentatious policy. The country was remote, and little known; so that every exploit there, as if achieved in another world, appeared at Rome with double pomp and lustre; whilst the sea, which divided Britain from the continent, prevented a failure in that island from being followed by any consequences alarming to the body of the empire. A pretext was not wanting to this war. The maritime Britons, while the terror of the Roman arms remained fresh upon their minds, continued regularly to pay the tribute imposed by Cæsar. But the generation which experienced that war having passed away, that which succeeded felt the burden, but knew from rumour only the superiority which had imposed it. And being very ignorant, as of all things else, so of the true extent of the Roman power, they were not afraid to provoke it by discontinuing the payment of the tribute.

This gave occasion to the emperor Claudius, ninety-seven years after the first expedition of Cæsar, to invade Britain in person, and with a great army. But he, having rather surveyed than conducted the war, left in a short time the management of it to his legate Plautius, who subdued without much difficulty those countries which lay to the southward of the Thames, the best cultivated and most accessible part of the island. But the inhabitants of the rough inland countries, the people called Cattivellauni, made a more strenuous opposition. They were under the command of Caractacus, a chief of great and just renown amongst all the British nations. This leader wisely adjusted

his conduct of the war to the circumstances of his savage subjects and his rude country Plantins obtained no decisive advantages over him He opposed Ostorius Scapuls, who succeeded that general, with the same bravery, but with unequal success For he was, after various turns of fortune, obliged to abandon his dominions, which Ostorius at length subdued and disarmed

This bulwark of the British freedom being overturned, Ostorius was not afraid to enlarge his plan Not content with disarming the enemies of Rome, he proceeded to the same extremities with those nations who had been always quiet, and who, under the name of an alliance, lay ripening for subjection This fierce people, who looked upon their arms as their only valuable possessions, refused to submit to terms as severe as the most absolute conquest could impose They unanimously

But their confeder

fortunate to resist

him an opportunity, from a more comprehensive victors, to extend the Roman province a considerable way to the northern and western parts of the island The frontiers of this acquisition, which extended along the rivers Severn and Nen. he secured by a chain of forts and stations the inland parts he quieted by the settlement of colonies of his veteran troops at Maldon and Verulam, and such was the beginning of those establishments which afterwards became so numerous in Britain This commander was the first who traced in this island a plan of settlement and civil policy to concur

with his military operation colonies, considering with

an uncivilized, people are government, he imposed it

the British nations in a more indirect manner He placed

the beginning, so he depended for the duration, of his author-

ity wholly upon their favour Such was the dignity and extent of the Roman policy, that they could number even royalty itself amongst their instruments of servicide Ostorius did not confine himself within the boundaries of

these rivers. He observed, that the Silures, inhabitants of South Wales, one of the most martial tribes in Britain, were yet unhurt and almost untouched by the war. He could expect to make no progress to the northward, whilst an enemy of such importance hung upon his rear; especially as they were now commanded by Caractacus, who preserved the spirit of a prince, though he had lost his dominions; and fled from nation to nation, wherever he could find a banner erected against the Romans. His character obtained him reception and command.

Though the Silures, thus headed, did everything that became their martial reputation, both in the choice and defence of their posts, the Romans, by their discipline, and the weight and excellence of their arms, prevailed over the naked bravery of this gallant people and defeated them in a great battle. Caractaeus was soon after betrayed

into their hands, and conveyed to Rome. The merit of the prisoner was the sole ornament of a triumph, celebrated over an indigent people by a gallant chief. The Romans crowded eagerly to behold the man, who with inferior forces, and in an obscure corner of the world, had so many years stood up

against the weight of their empire.

As the arts of adulation improved in proportion as the real grandeur of Rome declined, this advantage was compared to the greatest conquests in the most flourishing times of the republic; and so far as regarded the personal merit of Caractacus, it could not be too highly rated. Being brought before the emperor he behaved with such manly fortitude, and spoke of his former actions and his present condition with so much plain sense and unaffected dignity, that he moved the compassion of the emperor, who remitted much of that severity which the Romans formerly exercised upon their captives. Rome was now a monarchy, and that fierce republican spirit was abated, which had neither feeling nor respect for the character of unfortunate sovereigns.

The Silures were not reduced by the loss of Caractacus, and the great defeat they had suffered. They resisted every measure of force or artifice that could be employed against them, with the most generous obstinacy; a resolution in which they were confirmed by some imprudent words of the legate, threatening to extirpate, or what appeared to them

scarcely less dreadful, to transplant, their nation Their natural bravery thus hardened into despair, and inhabiting a country very difficult of access, they presented an impentiable barrier to the progress of that commander. Insomuch that, wasted with continual cares, and with the mortification to find the end of his affairs so hittle answerable to the splendour of their beginning, Ostorius died of grief, and left all thures in confusion

The legates who succeeded to his charge did little more, for about sixty years than secure the frontiers of the Roman province. But in the beginning of Nero's reign the command in Britain was devolved on Suctonius Paulinus, a soldier of merit and experience, who, when he came to rive the theatre of his future operations, and had well considered the nature of the country, discerned evidently that the war must of necessity be protracted to a great length, if he should be obliged to penetrate into every fastness to which the

head, as
hat time
re their

roposed,

oubled order,

cating order and energy to their operations

Whilst the Roman troops were passing that strut which divides this island from the continent of Britain, they halted on a sudden, not checked by the resistance of the enemy, but suspended by a spectacle of an unusual and altogether surprising nature. On every side of the British army were seen bands of Druids in their most seried hibits, surrounding the troops, lifting their hands to heaven, devoting to death their enemies, and animating their disciples to remain their disciples to remain their disciples to remain the disciples to remain their disciples thei



د پ

212

prince a prospect of one day sharing in all the great estates, whenever he was urged by avarice or necessity, naturally pointed out a resource by an anticipation always in his power. This practice extended into the provinces A king of the Icenti had devised a considerable part of his substance to the emperor. But the Roman procurator, not satisfied with the property of the substance of the procurator of t

discretion, extorted without mercy, and even, when respited, made utterly ruinous by exorbitant usury, the further mischiefs they had to dread when more completely reduced, all these, with the absence of the legate and the army on a remote expedition, provoked all the tribes of the Britons, pro-

The comlicea, as the y begin by

seventy thousand

An end had been now put to the Roman power in this island, if Paulinus, with unexampled vigour and prudence, hid not conducted his army through the midst of the enemy's country from Anglesey to London. There uniting the soldiers that remained dispersed in different garrisons, he formed an army of ten thousand men and marched to attack the enemy in the height of their success and security. The army of the Britons is said to have amounted to two hundred and thirty thousand, but it was ill composed, and without choice or order, women, boys, old men priests, full of presumption tunult and confusion. Bondiece was at their head, a womin of masculine spirit, but precipitant, and without any mulitary lavoriedite.

The event was such as might have been expected Paulinus, having chosen a situation invourable to the smallness of his numbers, and encouraged his troops not to dread a multitude

Inhabitants of Norfolk and Suffolk.

whose weight was dangerous only to themselves, piercing into the midst of that disorderly crowd, after a blind and furious resistance, obtained a complete victory. Eighty thousand Britons fell in this battle.

Paulinus improved the terror this slaughter had produced by the unparalleled severities which he exercised. This method would probably have succeeded to subdue, but at the same time to depopulate, the nation, if such loud complaints had not been made at Rome of the

legate's cruelty as procured his recall.

Three successive legates carried on the affairs of Britain during the latter part of Nero's reign, and during the troubles occasioned by the disputed succession. But they were all of an inactive character. The victory obtained by Paulinus had disabled the Britons from any new attempt. Content, therefore, with recovering the Roman province, these generals compounded, as it were, with the enemy for the rest of the They caressed the troops; they indulged them in their licentiousness; and, not being of a character to repress the seditions that continually arose, they submitted to preserve their ease and some shadow of authority, by sacrificing the most material parts of it. And thus they continued, soldiers and commanders, by a sort of compact, in a common neglect of all duty on the frontiers of the empire in the face of a bold and incensed enemy.

But when Vespasian arrived to the head of affairs, he caused the vigour of his government to be felt in Britain, as he had done in all the other parts of the empire. He was not afraid to receive great services. His legates Cerealis and Frontinus reduced the Silures and Brigantes; one the most warlike, the other the most numerous, people in the island. But its final reduction and perfect settlement were reserved for Julius Agricola, a man by whom it was a happiness for the Britons to be conquered. He was endued with all those bold and popular virtues which would have given him the first place

in the times of the free republic; and he joined to them all that reserve and moderation which enabled him to fill great offices with safety, and made him a good subject under a jealous despotism.

Though the summer was almost spent when he arrived in

Britain, knowing how much the vigour and success of the first stroke influences all subsequent measures, he entered became th

vages of . tity, which the Britons, by a long course of hereditary reverence, had annexed to that island It became once more a place of consideration by the return of the Druids

Here Agricola observed a conduct very different from that of his predecessor Paulinus, the island, when he had reduced it, was treated with great lenity Agricola was a man of humanity and virtue, he pitied the condition, and respected the prejudices, of the conquered This behaviour facilitated the progress of his arms, insomuch that, in less than two campaigns, all the British nations, comprehended in what we now call England, yielded themselves to the Roman government, as soon as they found that peace was no longer to be considerer the obedie stations i

Having to vanced no

the river '

tween the two artus, which are in that place no a co ... twenty miles asunder The enemy, says Tacitus, was removed,

thought to the root or the Gran plan on defeated a confederate army of the Caledonians, headed by Galgacus, one of their most famous chiefs, yet he built no fort to the northward of this line, a measure which he never omitted when he intended to preserve his conquests expedition of that summer was probably designed only to disable the Caledonians from attempting anything against his harrier their liberty. able a comman

the conquest of the whole island by means of the neet, winer he equipped to cooperate with his land forces in that expedition This fleet sailed quite round Britain, which had not been before, by any certain proof, known to be an island; a circumnavigation, in that immature state of naval skill, of little less fame than a voyage round the globe in the pre-

sent age.

In the interval between his campaigns Agricola was employed in the great labours of peace. He knew that the general must be perfected by the legislator; and that the conquest is neither permanent nor honourable, which is only an introduction to tyranny. His first care was the regulation of his household; which, under former legates, had been always full of faction and intrigue, lay heavy on the province, and was as difficult to govern. He never suffered his private partialities to intrude into the conduct of public business; nor in appointing to employments did he permit solicitation to supply the place of merit, wisely sensible that a proper choice of officers is almost the whole of government. He eased the tribute of the province, not so much by reducing it in quantity, as by cutting off all those vexatious practices which attended the levying of it, far more grievous than the imposition itself. Every step in securing the subjection of the conquered country was attended with the utmost care in providing for its peace and internal order. Agricola reconciled the Britons to the Roman government by reconciling them to the Roman manners. that fierce nation by degrees to soft and social customs; leading them imperceptibly into a fondness for baths, for gardens, for grand houses, and all the commbdious elegancies of a cultivated life. He diffused a grace and dignity over this new luxury by the introduction of literature. He invited instructors in all the arts and sciences from Rome; and he sent the principal youth of Britain to that city to be educated at his own expense. In short, he subdued the Britans by civilizing them; and made them exchange a savage liberty for a polite and easy subjection. His conduct is the most perfect model for those employed in the unhappy, but sometimes necessary, task of subduing a rude and free people.

Thus was Britain, after a struggle of fifty-four years, entirely bent under the yoke, and moulded into the been so empire. How so etablished empire. How so stubborn an opposition could have been so long maintained against the long maintained against the greatest power on earth, by a people ill armed. worse and greatest power on without without

people ill armed, worse united, with

discipline, has justly been deemed an object of wonder Authors are generally contented with attributing it to the extraordinary bravery of the ancient Britons. But certainly the Britons fought with armies as brave as the world ever saw, with superior discipline, and more plentful resources. To account for this opposition we must have recourse to

the general character of the Roman politics at this time War, during this period, was carried on upon principles very different from those that actuated the republic Then one uniform spirit animated one body through whole ages With whatever state they were engaged, the war was so prosecuted as if the republic could not subsist unless that particular enemy were totally destroyed But when the Roman dominion had arrived to as great an extent as could well be managed, and that the ruling power had more to fear from disaffection to the government than from enmity to the empire, with regard to foreign affairs common rules and a moderate policy took place War became no more than a sort of exercise for the Roman forces Leven whilst they were declaring war they looked towards an accommodation, and were satisfied with reasonable terms when they concluded it Their politics were more like those of the present powers of Europe, where Lingdoms seek rather to spread their influence, than to extend their dominion, to awe and weaken, rather than to destroy Under unactive and jealous princes the Roman legates seldom dared to push the advanreputation. They wisely stopped when they came to the verge of popularity. And these emperors fearing as much from the generals as their generals from them, such frequent changes were made in the command, that the war was never systematically carried on Besides, the change of emperors

which followed the death of Nero, the contest for the purpo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Rem Romanam huc, satietate gloriæ provectam, ut cæteris quoque nationibus quietem velit Tacit Annal Xii

<sup>3</sup> Nam duces, ubs impetrando triumphalium insigns sufficere res suas crediderant, hostem omittebant. Tact w c 23

turned the eyes of the world from every other object. All persons of consequence interested themselves in the success of some of the contending parties; and the legates in Britain, suspended in expectation of the issue of such mighty quarrels, remained inactive till it could be determined for what master they were to conquer.

On the side of the Roman government these seem to have been some of the causes which so long protracted the fate of Britain. Others arose from the nature of the country itself, and from the manners of its inhabitants. The country was then extremely woody and full of morasses. There were originally no roads. The motion of armies was, therefore, difficult, and communication in many cases impracticable. There were no cities, no towns, no places of cantonment for soldiers; so that the Roman forces were obliged to come into the field late and to leave it early in the season. They had no means to awe the enemy, and to prevent their machinations during the winter. Every campaign they had nearly the same work to begin. When a civilized nation suffers some great defeat, and loses some place critically situated, such is the mutual dependence of the several parts by commerce, and by the orders of a well-regulated community, that the whole is easily secured. A long-continued state of war is unnatural to such a nation. They abound with artisans, with traders, and a number of settled and unwarlike people, who are less disturbed in their ordinary course by submitting to almost any power, than in a long opposition; and as this character diffuses itself through the whole nation, they find it impossible to carry on a war when they are deprived of the usual resources.

But in a country like ancient Britain there are as many soldiers as inhabitants. They unite and disperse with ease. They require no pay nor formal subsistence; and the hardships of an irregular war are not very remote from their ordinary course of life. Victories are easily obtained over such a rude people, but they are rarely decisive; and the final conquest becomes a work of time and patience. All that can be done is to facilitate communication by roads; and to secure the principal avenues, and the most remarkable posts on the navigable rivers, by forts and stations. To conquer the people you must subdue the nature of the

hands of the legate, the policy of the emperors, in order to balance this dangerous authority, thought too much weight could not be thrown into the scale of the procurator, secondly, as the government was now entirely despotical, a connexion between the inferior officers of the empire and the senate I was found to shock the reason of that absolute mode of government, which extends the sovereign power in all its fulness to every officer in his own district, and renders him accountable to his master alone for the abuse of it

The veteran soldiers were always thought entitled to a settlement in the country which had been subdued by their The whole legion, with the tribunes, and centurions, and all the subordinate officers, were seated on an allotted portion of the conquered lands, which were distributed among them according to their rank These colonies were disposed throughout the conquered country, so as to sustain each other, to surround the possessions that were left to the conquered, to mix with the municipia or free towns, and to overawe the allies Rome extended herself by her colonies into every part of her empire, and was everywhere present I speak here only of the military colonies, because no other. I imagine, were ever settled in Britain

There were few countries of any considerable extent, in which all these different modes of government, and different shades and gradations of servitude, did not exist together There were allies, municipia, provinces, and colonies in this island as elsewhere, and those dissimilar parts, far from being discordant, united to make a firm and compact body, the motion of any member of which could only serve to confirm and establish the whole, and when time was given to

this structure to coalesce and settle, it was found impossible to break any part of it from the empire

By degrees the several

another And as the re hand wore away by time,

Roman citizens at length became less valuable thing throughout so vast an extent of the globe was of con-sideration but a single man, there was no reason to make any

Ae principalus vim resolveret cuncta ad senatum vocando, eam con ditionem esse imperandi, ut non aliter ratio constet, quam si uni reddatur Tacit Annal L

distinction amongst his subjects. Claudius first gave the full rights of the city to all the Gauls. Under Autoninus Rome opened her gates still wider. All the subjects of the empire were made partakers of the same common rights. The provincials flocked in; even slaves were no sooner enfranchised than they were advanced to the highest posts; and the plan of comprehension, which had overturned the

republic, strengthened the monarchy.

· Before the partitions were thus broken down, in order to support the empire, and to prevent commotions, they had a custom of sending spies into all the provinces; where, if they discovered any provincial laying himself out for popularity, they were sure of finding means, for they scrupled none, to repress him. It was not only the prator with his train of lictors and apparitors, the rods and the axes, and all the insolent parade of a conqueror's jurisdiction; every private Roman seemed a kind of magistrate; they took cognizance of all their words and actions; and hourly reminded them of that jealous and stern authority, so vigilant to discover, and so severe to punish, the slightest deviations from obedience.

As they had framed the action de pecuniis repetundis against the avarice and rapacity of the provincial governors, they made at length a law, which, one may say, was against their virtues. For they prohibited them from receiving addresses of thanks on their administration, or any other public mark of acknowledgment, lest they should come to think that their merit or demerit consisted in the good or ill opinion of the people over whom they ruled. They dreaded either a relaxation of government, or a dangerous influence in the legate, from the exertion of a humanity too popular.

These are some of the civil and political methods by which the Romans held their dominion over conquered nations; but even in peace they kept up a great military establishment. They looked upon the interior country to be sufficiently secured by the colonies; their forces were therefore generally quartered on the frontiers. There they had their Stativa, or stations, which were strong intrenched camps, many of them fitted even for a winter residence. The communication between these camps, the colonies, and the municipal towns, was formed by great roads, which they called military

<sup>1</sup> Tacit. Annal. l. xv. c. 21, 22.

ways The two principal of these ran, in almost straight lines, the whole length of England from north to south. Two others intersected them from east to west. The remains show them to have been in their perfection noble works, in all respects worthy the Roman military prudence, and the majesty of the empire. The Anglo-Saxons called them streets? Of all the Roman works they respected and kept up these alone. They regarded them with a sort of sacred reverence, granting them a peculiar protection and great immunities. Those who travelled on them were privileged from arrests in all cril suits.

As the general character of the Roman government was hard and austere, it was particularly so in what regarded the revenue This revenue was either fixed or occasional The fixed consisted, first, of an annual tax on persons and lands, but in what proportion to the fortunes of the one, or the value of the other, I have not been able to ascertain. Next was the imposition called decuma, which consisted of a tenth, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion, of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion of the corn of the province, and often a greater portion of the corn of the province, and the province province are provinced to the province and the province province and the province province are provinced to the province and the province province are provinced to the province province province are provinced to the province p

d on the nore con-

m the same manner, and at the pleasure of the same magistrate, who, independent of these taxes and purchases, rejected for the use of his household a large portion of the corn of the province. The most valuable of the pasture grounds were also reserved to the public, and a considerable revenue was thence derived, which they called Scripture. The state made a monopoly of almost the whole produce of the land, which paid several taxes, and was further enhanced by passing through several hands, before it came to popular consumption.

The third great branch of the Roman revenue was the Portorum, which did not differ from those impositions which we now call customs and duties of export and import

we now call customs and duties of export and import
This was the ordinary revenue, besides which there were
occasional impositions for shipping, for military stores and

<sup>1</sup> The four roads they called Watling Street, Ikenild Street, Ermin Street, and the Fosseway

provisions, and for defraying the expense of the prætor and his legates on the various circuits they made for the administration of the province. This last charge became frequently a means of great oppression; and several ways were from time to time attempted, but with little effect, to confine it within reasonable bounds.\(^1\) Amongst the extraordinary impositions must be reckoned the obligation they laid on the provincials to labour at the public works, after the manner of what the French call the correc, and we term statute-labour.

As the provinces, burdened by the ordinary charges, were often in no condition of levying these occasional taxes, they were obliged to borrow at interest. Interest was then to communities at the same exorbitant rate as to individuals. No province was free from a most onerous public debt; and that debt was far from operating like the same engagement contracted in modern states, by which, as the creditor is thrown into the power of the debtor, they often add considerably to their strength, and to the number and attachment of their dependents. The prince in this latter case borrows from the subject, or from a stranger. The one becomes more a subject, and the other less a stranger. But in the Roman provinces the subject borrowed from his master, and he thereby doubled his slavery. The overgrown favourites and wealthy nobility of Rome advanced money to the provincials: and they were in a condition both to prescribe the terms of the loan, and to enforce the payment. The provinces grouned at once under all the severity of public imposition, and the rapaciousness of private usury. They were overrun by publicans, farmers of the taxes, agents, confiscators, usurers, bankers, those numerous and insatiable bodies which always flourished in a burdened and complicated revenue. In a word, the taxes in the Roman empire were so heavy, and in many respects so injudiciously laid on, that they have been not improperly considered as one cause of its decay and ruin. The Roman government, to the very last, carried something of the spirit of conquest in it; and this system of taxes seems rather calculated for the utter impoverishment of nations, in whom a long subjection had not worn away the remembrance of enmity, than for the support of a just commonwealth.

1 Cod. lib. XII. tit. LXII.

## THE FALL OF THE ROMAN POWER IN ERITAIN

A D 117

AFFE the period which we have just closed, no mention is made of the affairs of Britain until the reign of Adran At that time was wrought the first remarkable change in the exterior policy of Rome Although some of the emperors contented themselves with those limits which they found at their accession, none before this prince had actually contracted the bounds of the empire For being more perfectly acquainted with all the countries that composed it than any of his predecessors, what was strong and what weak, and having formed to himself a plan wholly defensive, he purposely abandoned several large tracts of terratory, that he might render what remained more solid and compact

A.D 121 This plan particularly affected Britain All
Tyne were relinquished, and a strong rampart was built
from the mouth of that river, on the east, to Solway Firth on
the Irish Sea, a length of about eighty miles But

A D 140 in the reign of his successor, Antoninus Pius,

in Britain, concluding

Adrian into fear, that they would naturally grow more nu-

١

lished by that general were connected with a continued wan. From this time those walls become the principal object in the British history. The Caledonians, or (as they are called) the Picts, made very frequent and sometimes successful attempt of e.

than the motions of an enemy In this dubious state of unquet peace and unpresecuted war the province continued, until Severus came to the purple, who, finding that Britain had grown into one of the most considerable provinces of the empire, and was at the same time in a dangerous situation, resolved to visit that island in person, and to provide for its security. He led a vast army into the wilds of Caledonia, and was the first of the Romans who penetrated to the most northern boundary of this island. The natives, defeated in some engagements, and wholly unable to resist so great and determined a power, were obliged to submit to such a peace as the emperor thought proper to impose. Contenting himself with a submission, always cheaply won from a barbarous people, and never long regarded, Severus made no sort of military establishment in that country. On the contrary, he abandoned the advanced work which had been raised in the reign of Antoninus; and, limiting himself by the plan of Adrian, he either built a new wall near the former, or he added to the work of that emperor such considerable improvements and repairs, that it has since been called the wall of Severus.

Severus with great labour and charge terrified the Caledonians; but he did not subdue them. He neglected those easy and assured means of subjection which the nature of that part of Britain affords to a power, master of the sea, by the bays, friths, and lakes, with which it is everywhere pierced, and in some places almost cut through. A few garrisons at the necks of land, and a fleet to connect them and to awe the coast, must at any time have been sufficient irrecoverably to subdue that part of Britain. This was a neglect in Agricola occasioned probably by a limited command; and it was not rectified by boundless authority in Severus. The Caledonians again resumed their arms, and renewed their ravages on the Roman frontier. Severus died before he could take any new measures; and from his death there is an almost total silence concerning the affairs of Britain until the division of the empire.

Had the unwieldy mass of that overgrown dominion been effectively divided, and divided into large portions, each forming a state separate, and absolutely independent, the scheme had been far more perfect. Though the empire had perished, these states might have subsisted; and they might have made a far better opposition to the inroads of the barbarians, even

than the whole united, since each nation would have its own strength solely employed in resisting its own particular ene miss. For, notwithstanding the resources which might have been expected from the entireness of so great a body, it is clear from history that the Romans were never able to employ with effect and at the same time above two armies, and that on the whole they were very unequal to the defence of a frontier of many thousand miles in circuit

But the scheme which was pursued, the scheme of joint emperors holding by a common title each governing his proper territory, but not wholly without authority in the other portions, this formed a species of government, of which it is hard to conceive any just idea. It was a government in continual fluctuation from one to many and from many again to a single hand. Each state did not subsist long enough in dependent to fall into those orders and connected classes of

tions, and will show the reason of introducing them in this place, with regard to the empire in general, and to Britain more particularly

In the division which Dioclesian first made of the Roman territory, the western provinces, in which Britain was included, fell to Maximian It was during his reign that Britain, by an extraordinary revolution, was for some time entirely separated from the body of the empire Carausius

not so much to suppress the pirates, as to aggrandize himself. He even permitted their depredations, that he might intercept them on their return, and enrich himself with the retaken plunder. By such methods he acquired immense wealth, which he distributed with so politic a bounty among the seamen of his fleet, and the legions in Britain, that by degrees he disposed both the one and the other to a revolt in his favour.

As there were then no settled principles either of succession or election in the empire, and all depended on the uncertain faith of the army, Carausius made his attempt, perhaps, with the less guilt, and found the less difficulty in prevailing upon the provincial Britons to submit to a sovereignty, which seemed to reflect a sort of dignity on themselves. In this island he established the seat of his new dominion, but he kept up and augmented his fleet, by which he preserved his communication with his old government, and commanded the intermediate seas. He entered into a close alliance with the Saxons and Frisians, by which he at once preserved his own island from their depredations, and rendered his maritime power irresistible. He humbled the Piets by several defeats; he repaired the frontier wall, and supplied it with good garrisons. several roads equal to the works of the greatest emperors. He cut canals with vast labour and expense through all the low eastern parts of Britain; at the same time draining those fenny countries, and promoting communication and commerce. On these canals he built several cities. Whilst he thus laboured to promote the internal strength and happiness of his kingdom, he contended with so much success against his former masters, that they were at length obliged not only to relinquish their right to his acquisition, but to admit him to a participation of the imperial titles. He reigned after this for seven years prosperously, and with great glory, because he wisely set bounds to his ambition, and contented himself with the possession of a great country, detached from the rest of the world, and therefore easily defended. Had he lived long enough, and pursued this plan with consistency, Britain, in all probability, might then have become, and might have afterwards been, an independent and powerful kingdom, instructed in the Roman arts, and freed from their dominion. But the same distem-per of the state which had raised Carausius to power, did not suffer him long to enjoy it. The Roman soldiery at that time was wholly destitute of military principle. That religious regard to their oath, the great bond of ancient discipline,

228AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY.

had long worn out, and the want of it was not supplied by that punctilio of honour and loyalty which is the support of modern armies Carausius was assassinated, and succeeded in his kingdom by Alectus, the captain of his guards But the murderer, who did not possess abilities to support the power he had acquired by his crimes,

was in a short time defeated, and in his turn put to death by Constantius Clorus In about three years from the death of Carausius, Britain, after a short experiment of independency, was again united to the body of the empire

Constantius, after he came to the purple, chose this island for his residence Many authors affirm that his wife Helena was a Briton It is more certain that his son Constantine the Great was born here, and

enabled to succeed his father principally by the helps which he derived from Britain Under the reign of this great prince there was

an almost total revolution in the internal policy of the empire This was the third remarkable change in the Roman government since the dissolution of the common-The first was that by which Antoninus had taken

the whole government was cast into a more uniform and simple frame, and every mark of conquest was finally effaced The second alteration was the division of the empire by Dioclesian The third was the change made in the great offices of the state, and the revolution in religion under Constantine

The prafects pratorso, who, like the commanders of the Janizaries of the Porte, by their ambition and turbulence had --- ' -ment, were reduced by 1 -4 41 number, only two ori-

thich their power was balanced and broken Their authority was not lessened, but its nature was totally changed, for it became from that time

pratorio of Gaul. The military was divided nearly in the same manner; and it was placed under officers also of a new creation, the magistri militiæ. Immediately under these were the duces, and under those the comites, dukes and counts, titles unknown in the time of the republic, or in the higher empire; but afterwards they extended beyond the Roman territory, and having been conferred by the northern nations upon their leaders, they subsist to this day, and contribute

to the dignity of the modern courts of Europe.

But Constantine made a much greater change with regard to religion by the establishment of Christianity. At what time the gospel was first preached in this island, I believe it impossible to ascertain; as it came in gradually, and without, or rather contrary to, public authority. It was most probably first introduced among the legionary soldiers; for we find St. Alban, the British martyr, to have been of that body. As it was introduced privately, so its growth was for a long time insensible; but it shot up at length with great vigour, and spread itself widely at first under the favour of Constantius, and the protection of Helena, and at length under the establishment of Constantine. From this time it is to be considered as the ruling religion; though heathenism subsisted long after, and at last expired imperceptibly, and with as little noise as Christianity had been at first introduced.

In this state, with regard to the civil, military, and religious establishment, Britain remained without any change, and at intervals in a tolerable state of repose, until the reign of Valentinian. Then it was attacked all at once with incredible fury and success, and as it were in concert, by a number of barbarous nations. The principal of these were the Scots, a people of ancient settlement in Ireland, and who had then been transplanted into the northern part of Britain, which afterwards derived its name from that colony. The Scots of both nations united with the Picts to fall upon the Roman province. To these were added the piratical Saxons, who issued from the mouths of the Rhine. For some years they met but slight resistance, and made a most miserable havoc, until the famous Count Theodosius was sent to the relief of Britain; who, by an admirable conduct in war, and as vigorous application to the cure of domestic disorders, for a time freed the country from its enemies and oppressors, and having driven the Piets and Scots into the barren extremity of the island, he shut and barred them in with a new wall, advanced as far as the re-

motest of the former, and, what had hthereto been impru dently neglected, he erected the intermediate space into a Roman province, and a regular government, under the name of Valentia But this was only a momentary relief The empire was perishing by the vices

of its constitution

230

Each province was then possessed by the inconsiderate ambition of appointing a head to the whole, although when

Maximus, whom they had raised to the imperial titles, into Gaul They were there defeated and from their A D 588 defeat, as it is said, arose a new people They are supposed to have settled in Armorica, which was then like many other parts of the sickly empire, become a mere desert, and that country, from this accident, has been since

called Bretagne

The Roman province thus weakened afforded opportunity and encouragement to the burbarians again to invade and

procured a short he empire on the

continent was now attacked on all sides, and staggered under the innumerable shocks which it received that minister ventured to recall the Roman forces from Britain, in order to sustain those parts which he judged of more importance, and ın greater danger

On the intelligence of this desertion their barbarous enemies break in upon the Britons, and are no longer resisted. Their ancient protection withdrawn, the people became stupified with terror and despuir They petition the emperor for succour in the most moving terms. The emperor, protesting his weakness commits them to their own defence, absolves their from their allegimee and confers on them a freedom, which they have no fonger the sense to value, nor the virtue to defend. The princes, whom after this desertion they raised and deposed with a stupid inco..stancy, were styled emperors. So hard it is to change ideas to which men have been long accustomed, especially in government, that the Britons had no notion of a sovereign who was not to be emperor, nor of an emperor who was not to be master of the western world. This single idea ruined Britain. Constantine, a native of this island, one of those shadows of imperial majesty, no sooner found himself established at home, than, fatally for himself and his country, he turned his eyes towards the continent. Thither he carried the flower of the British youth; all who were any ways eminent for birth, for courage, for their skill in the military or mechanic arts: but his success was not equal to his hopes or his forces. The remains of his routed army joined their countrymen in Armorica, and a baffled attempt upon the empire a second time recruited Gaul and exhausted Britain.

The Scots and Picts, attentive to every advantage, rushed with redoubled violence into this vacuity. The Britons, who could find no protection but in slavery, again implore the assistance of their former masters. At that time Ætius commanded the imperial forces in Gaul, and, with the virtue and military skill of the ancient Romans, supported the empire, tottering with age and weakness. Though he was then hard pressed by the vast armies of Attila, which like a deluge had overspread Gaul, he afforded them a small and temporary succour. This detachment of Romans repelled the Scots; they repaired the walls; and, animating the Britons by their example and instructions to maintain their freedom, they departed. But the Scots easily perceived and took advantage of their departure. Whilst they ravaged the country, the Britons renewed their supplications to Ætius. They once more obtained a reinforcement, which again re-established their affairs. They were, however, given to understand that this was to be their last relief. The Roman auxiliaries were recalled, and the Britons abandoned to their own fortune for ever.

When the Romans deserted this island they left a country, with regard to the arts of war or government, in a manner barbarous, but destitute of that spirit, or those advantages, with which sometimes a state of barbarism is attended. They carried out of each province its proper and natural strength, and supplied by that of some

other, which had no connexion with the country The troops raised in Britain often served in Egypt, and those which were employed for the protection of this island were sometimes from Batavia or Germany, sometimes from provinces far to the east Whenever the strangers were withdrawn, as they were very easily, the province was left in the hands of men wholly unpractised in war After a peaceable possession of more than three hundred years, the Britons derived but very few benefits from their subjection to the conquerors and civilizers of mankind Neither does it appear that the Roman people were at any time extremely numerous in this island, or had spread themselves, their manners, or their language, as extensively in Britain as they had done in the other parts of their empire The Welsh and the Anglo-Saxon languages retain much less of Latin than the French, the Spanish, or the Italian The Romans subdued Britain at a later period, at a time when Italy herself was not sufficently populous to supply so remote a province, she was rather supplied from her provinces. The military colonies, though in some respects they were admirably fitted for their purposes, had, however, one essential defect the lands granted to the soldiers did not pass to their posterity, so that the Roman people must have multiplied poorly in this island, when their increase principally depended on a succession of superannuated soldiers From this defect the colonies were continually falling to decay They had also in many respects degenerated from their primitive institution! We must add, that in the decline of the empire a great part of the troops in Britain were barbarians, Batavians, or Germans Thus, at the close of this period, this unhappy country, desolated of its inhabitants, abandoned by its masters, stripped of its artisans, and deprived of all its spirit, was in a condition the most wretched and forlorn

ınıum collecti, numerus magıs quam colonia lacit Annal xiv 41.

## BOOK IL-CHAPTER L

THE UNTRY AND SPITTLIMENT OF THE SANONS, AND THEIR COR-

Arren having been so long subject to a foreign dominion, there was among the Britons no royal family, no respected order in the state, none of those titles to government confirmed by opinion and long use, more efficacious than the wisest schemes for the settlement of the nation. Mere personal merit was then the only pretence to power. But this circumstance only added to the misfortunes of a people, who had no orderly method of election, and little experience of merit in any of the candidates. During this anarchy, whilst they suffered the most dreadful calamities from the fury of barbarous nations which invaded them, they fell into that disregard of religion, and those loose, disorderly manners, which are sometimes the consequence of desperate and hardened wretchedness, as well as the common

distempers of ease and prosperity.

At length, after frequent elections and deposings, rather wearied out by their own inconstancy than fixed by the merit of their choice, they suffered Vortigern to reign over This leader had made some figure in the conduct of their wars and factions. But he was no sooner settled on the throne than he showed himself rather like a prince born of an exhausted stock of royalty in the decline of empire, than one of those bold and active spirits, whose manly talents obtain them the first place in their country, and stamp upon it that character of vigour essential to the prosperity of a new commonwealth. However, the mere settlement, in spite of the ill administration of government, procured the Britons some internal repose, and some temporary advantages over their enemies the Picts. But having been long habituated to defeats, neither relying on their king nor on themselves, and fatigued with the obstinate attacks of an enemy whom they sometimes checked, but could never remove, in one of their national assemblies it was resolved to call in the mercenary aid of the Saxons, a powerful nation of Germany, which had been long by their piratical incursions terrible not only to them but to all the adjacent countries.

tion has been generally condemned. It has been said that they seem to have through mere cowardice distrusted a strength not yet worn down, and a fortune sufficiently prosperous. But as it was taken by general counsel and consent, we must believe that the necessity of such a step was felt, though the event was dubous. The event, indeed, might be dubious, in a state radically week, every measure vigorous enough for its I are the constant of the

rthern nations of Euro world had suffered a great and general revolution by a migration from Asiatic Tartary of a people whom they call Asers These everywhere expelled or subdued the ancient inhabitants of the Celtic and Cimbric original The leader of this Asiatic army was called Odin, or Wodin, first their general, afterwards their tutelar deity The time of this great change 14 lost in the imperfection of traditionary history, and the attempts to supply it by fable It is however certain that the Saxon nation believed themselves the descendants of those conquerors, and they had as good a title to that descent as any other of the northern tribes, for they used the same language which then was, and is still, spoken with small variation of the dialects in all the countries which ex tend from the polar circle to the Danube This people most probably derived their name, as well as their origin, from the Sace, a nation of the Asiatic Scythia At the time of which ve write, they had seated themselves in the Cimbric Chersonesus, or Jutland, in the countries of Holstein and Sleswick, and thence extended along the Fibe and Weser to the coast of the German Ocean, as far as the mouths of the Rhine In that tract they lived in a sort of military commonwealth of the ordinary ^ emment of wh

that the Briton a promise of ample pay for his troops, a large share of their common plunder, and the Isle of Thanet for a settlement

great conductor

common punner, and the Islo of Tanant for a securious.

The army which came over under Hengist did not exceed fifteen hundred men. The opinion which the Britons had entertained of the Saxon prowess was well founded, for they had the principal share in a decisive victory which was

obtained over the Piets soon after their arrival—a victory, which for ever freed the Britons from all terror of the Piets and Scots, but in the same moment exposed them to an enemy no less dangerous.

Hengist and his Saxons, who had obtained by the free vote of the Britons that introduction into this island they had so long in vain attempted by arms, saw that by being necessary they were superior to their allies. They discovered the character of the king; they were eye-witnesses of the internal weakness and distraction of the kingdom. This state of Britain was represented with so much effect to the Saxons in Germany, that another and much greater embarkation followed the first; new bodies daily crowded in. the Saxons began to be sensible of their strength, they found it their interest to be discontented; they complained of breaches of a contract which they construed according to their own designs; and then fell rudely upon their unprepared and feeble allies, who, as they had not been able to resist the Picts and Scots, were still less in a condition to oppose that force by which they had been protected against those enemies, when turned unexpectedly upon themselves. Hengist with very little opposition subdued the province of Kent, and there laid the foundation of the first Saxon kingdom. Every battle the Britons fought only prepared them for a new defeat by weakening their strength, and displaying the inferiority of their courage. Vortigern, instead of a steady and regular resistance, opposed a mixture of timid war and unable negotiation. In one of their meetings, wherein the business according to the German mode was carried on amidst feasting and riot, Vortigern was struck with the beauty of a Saxon virgin, a kinswoman of Hengist, and entirely under his influence. Having married her, he delivered himself over to her counsels.

His people, harassed by their enemies, betrayed by their prince, and indignant at the feeble tyranny that oppressed them, deposed him and set his son Vortimer in his place. But the change of the king proved no remedy for the exhausted state of the nation, and the constitutional infirmity of the government. For even if the Britons could have supported themselves against the superior abilities and efforts of Hengist, it might have added

to their honour, but would have contributed little to their safety The news of his success had roused all Saxony Five great bodies of that adventurous people, under different and independent commanders, very nearly at the same time broke in upon as many different parts of the island They came no longer as pirates, but as invaders Whilst the Britons contended with one body of their fierce enemies, another gamed ground, and filled with slaughter the whole country from sea to sea A devouring war, a dreadful famme, a plague, the most wasteful of any recorded in our history, united to consummate the ruin of Britain The ecclestastical writers of that age, confounded at the view of those complicated calamities, saw nothing but the arm of God stretched out for the punishment of a sinful and disobedient nation And truly, when we set before us in one point of view the condition of almost all the parts which had lately composed the western empire of Britain, of Gul, of Italy,

nations were afflicted, we are almost driven out of the circle of political inquiry we are in a manner compelled to acknowledge the hand of God in those immense revolutions by which at certain periods he so signally asserts his supreme dominion, and brings about that great system of change, which is, perhaps, as necessary to the moral as it is found to be in the natural world

be in the natural world

But whatever was the condition of the other parts of
Europe, it is generally agreed that the state of Britain was
the worst of all Some writers have asserted that except those
who took refuge in the mountains of Wales and Cornwall,
or fled into Armorica, the British race was in a manner destroyed. What is extraordinary, we find England in a very
tolerable state of population in less than two centuries after
the first invasion of the Saxons, and it is hard to imagine
either the transplantation, or the increace, of that single people
to have been, in so short a time, sufficient for the settlement
of so great an extent of country. Others speck of the Britons,
not as extrepted but as a duced to a state of slavery, and
here these writers fix the origin of personal and predial servitude in England.

I shall lay fairly before the reader all I have been able to discover concerning the existence or condition of this unhappy people. That they were much more broken and reduced than any other nation which had fallen under the German power, I think may be inferred from two considerations: first, that in all other parts of Europe the ancient language subsisted after the conquest, and at length incorporated with that of the conquerors: whereas in England the Saxon language received little or no tincture from the Welsh; and it seems, even among the lowest people, to have continued a dialect of pure Teutonic to the time in which it was itself blended with the Norman. Secondly, that on the continent the Christian religion, after the northern irruptions, not only remained, but flourished. It was very early and universally adopted by the ruling people. In England it was so entirely extinguished, that, when Augustin undertook his mission, it does not appear that among all the Saxons there was a single person professing Christianity.

The sudden extinction of the ancient religion and language appears sufficient to show that Britain must have suffered more than any of the neighbouring nations on the continent. But it must not be concealed that there are likewise proofs, that the British race, though much diminished, was not wholly extirpated; and that those who remained were not, merely as Britons, reduced to servitude. For they are mentioned as existing in some of the earlier Saxon

laws. In these laws they are allowed a compensation on the footing of the meaner kind of English; and they are even permitted, as well as the English, to emerge out of that low rank into a more liberal condition. This is degradation, but not slavery.\(^1\) The affairs of that whole period are, however, covered with an obscurity not to be dissipated. The Britons had little leisure, or ability, to write a just account of a war by which they were ruined. And the Anglo-Saxons who succeeded them, attentive only to arms, were, until their conversion, ignorant of the use of letters.

It is on this darkened theatre that some old writers have introduced those characters and actions which have afforded such ample matter to poets, and so much perplexity to historians. This is the fabulous and heroic age of our nation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Leges Inæ 32 de Cambrico homine agrum possidente. Id. 54.



238

The

After the natural and just representations of the Roman scene, the stage is again crowded with enchanters, grant, and all the extravagant images of the wildest and most remote antiquity No personage makes so conspicuous a figure in these stores as Kimg Arthur, a prince whether of British or Roman origin, whether born on this island or in Armorica, is uncertain, but it appears that he opposed the Saxons with remarkable virtue, and no small degree of success, which has rendered him and his exploits so large an argument of romance, that both are almost disclaimed by history. Light scarce begins to dawn until the introduction of Christianity; which, bringing with it the use of letters and the arts of civil life, affords at once a juster account of things and facts that are more worthy of relation, nor is there, indeed, any revolution so

induced Pope Gregory to commission Augustin, a mons of Rheims, and a man of distinguished piety, to undertake this arduous enterprise

arduous enterprise

It was in the year of Christ 600, and 150

A D 600 years after the coming of the first Saxon colonies into England, that Ethelbert, larg of Kent, received intelligence of the arrival in his dominions of a number of men in a foreign garb, practising several strange and unusual cere-

municate to him and to their eternal welthe associates of his

the associates of his mission, who now landed in the Isle of Thanet, the same place by which the Saxons had before entered, when they extirpated Christianity

The king heard them in the open air, in order to defeat, upon each

1 Veters usus augurso, says Henry of Huntingdon, p 321.

that time the same language. He was favourably received; and a place in the city of Canterbury, the capital of Kent, was allotted for the residence of him and his companions. They entered Canterbury in procession, preceded by two persons who bore a silver cross and the figure of Christ painted on a board; singing as they went litanies to avert the wrath of God from that city and people.

The king was among their first converts. The principal of his nobility, as usual, followed that example; moved, as it is related, by many signal miracles, but undoubtedly by the extraordinary zeal of the missionaries, and the pious austerity of their lives. The new religion, by the protection of so respected a prince, who held under his dominion or influence all the countries to the southward of the Humber, spread itself with great rapidity. Paganism, after a faint resistance, everywhere gave way. And, indeed, the chief difficulties which Christianity had to encounter, did not arise so much from the struggles of opposite religious prejudices, as from the gross and licentious manners of a barbarous people. One of the Saxon princes expelled the Christians from his territory, because the priest refused to give him some of that white bread which he saw distributed to his congregation.

It is probable that the order of Druids either did not at all subsist amongst the Anglo-Saxons, or that at this time it had declined not a little from its ancient authority and reputation; else it is not easy to conceive how they admitted so readily a new system, which at one stroke cut off from their character its whole importance. We even find some chiefs of the pagan priesthood amongst the foremost in submitting to the new doctrine. On the first preaching of the gospel in Northumberland, the heathen pontiff of that territory immediately mounted a horse, which to those of his order was unlawful, and, breaking into the sacred enclosure, hewed to pieces the idol he had so long served.

If the order of the Druids did not subsist amongst the Saxons, yet the chief objects of their religion appear to have been derived from that fountain. They indeed worshipped several idols under various forms of men and beasts; and those gods to whom they dedicated the days of the week,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bede Hist. Eccl. l. ii. c. 13.

240 AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY

bore in their attributes, and in the particular days that were consecrated to them, though not in their names, a near resemblance to the divinities of ancient Rome. But still the great and capital objects of their worship were taken from Druidism, trees, stones, the elements, and the heavenly bodies 1 These were their principal devotions, laid the strongest hold upon their minds, and resisted the progress of the Christian religion with the greatest obstinacy For we find these superstitions forbidden amongst the latest Saxon laws A worship which stands in need of the memorial destroyed But when a superstition is established upon those great objects of nature, which continually solicit the

planet which the Greeks and Romans worshipped under the names of Lucifer and Venus It is from this goddess that in England the Paschal festival has been called Easter 2 To these they joined the reverence of various subordinate genu, or demons, fairies, and goblins, fantastical ideas, which in a state of unmatructed nature grow spontaneously out of the wild fancies or fears of men Thus they worshipped a sort

goddess whom they called Mara, formed from those frightful appearances that oppress men in their sleep, and the name is still retained among us

As to the manners of the Anglo-Saxons, they were such as might be expected in a rude people, fierce, and of a gross amplicity. Their clothes were short. As all bribarians are much taken with exterior form, and the advantages and distinctions which are conferred by nature, the Saxons set a - nd studied much to im-

of King Ina orders the ) be regulated by their

Gentiles Deos, et solem vel lunam, synem vel fluccum, torrentem vel saza, vel alicujus generus arborum ligna L. Cnut 5 Supersitiiosus ille conventus, qui Frithgear dicitur, circa lapidem, arborem, fontem Lez Presb Northumb

Spelman's Glossary, Tit. eod

<sup>\*</sup> The Night more

beauty.¹ They cherished their hair to a great length, and were extremely proud and jealous of this natural ornament. Some of their great men were distinguished by an appellative taken from the length of their hair.² To pull the hair was punishable;³ and foreibly to cut or injure it was considered in the same criminal light with cutting off the nose, or thrusting out the eyes. In the same design of barbarous ornament, their faces were generally painted and scarred. They were so fond of chains and bracelets, that they have given a surname to some of their kings from their generosity in bestowing such marks of favour.⁴

Few things discover the state of the arts amongst people more certainly than the presents that are made to them by foreigners. The pope, on his first mission into Northumberland, sent to the queen of that country some stuffs with ornaments of gold, an ivory comb inlaid with the same metal, and a silver mirror. A queen's want of such female ornaments and utensils shows that the arts were at this time little cultivated amongst the Saxons. These are the sort of

presents commonly sent to a barbarous people.

Thus ignorant in sciences and arts, and unpractised in trade or manufacture, military exercises, war, and the preparation for war, was their employment, hunting their pleasure. They dwelt in cottages of wicker-work, plastered with clay, and thatched with rushes, where they sat with their families, their officers and domestics, round a fire made in the middle of the house. In this manner their greatest princes lived amidst the ruins of Roman magnificence. But the introduction of Christianity, which, under whatever form, always confers such inestimable benefits on mankind, soon made a sensible change in these rude and fierce manners.

It is by no means impossible, that, for an end so worthy, Providence on some occasions might directly have interposed. The books which contain the history of this time and change are little else than a narrative of miracles; frequently, however, with such apparent marks of weakness or design, that they afford little encouragement to insist on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> L. Inæ 19. <sup>2</sup> Oslacus promissa casarie heros. Chron. Saxon. 123.

L. Elfred. 42, L. Cnut. apud Brompt. 21.

\* Edgarus nobilibus torquium largitor. Chron. Sax. 123. Bed. Hist. Eccl. 1. iv. c. 29.

them have

But :

my p sufficient to observe that the reality or opinion of such mirroles was the principal cruse of the early acceptance and rapid progress of Christianity in this island. Other causes undoubtedly concurred and it will be more to our purpose to consider some of the human and politic ways, by which religion was advanced in this nation, and those more particularly, by which the monastic institution, then interwoven with Christianity, and making an equal progress with it, attained to so high a pitch of property and power, so as, in a time extremely short, to form a kind of order, and that not the least considerable, in the state

## CHAPTER II

ESTABLISHMENT OF CHRISTIANITY—OF MOVASTIC INSTITUTIONS
—AND OF THEIR EFFECTS

The marriage of Ethelbert to a Christian princess was,

nto his

. which

ncient tonly of its natural and common ascendant, but it was believed

poculiarly sacred, and favoured with more frequent revelutions of the Divine will, women were, therefore, heard with the constant of the distributions and particularly superstition of

superstition of iple, which con-

In the change of religion, care was taken to render the transaction from falsehood to truth as little violent as possible. Though the first proselytes were kings, it does not ap-

<sup>1</sup> Inesse quinetiam sanctum aliquid et providum pulant, nec aut consilia earum aspernantur aut responsa negliqunt. Tacit de Mor Get c 8

pear that there was any persecution. It was a precept of Pope Gregory, under whose auspices this mission was conducted, that the heathen temples should not be destroyed, especially where they were well built; but that, first removing the idols, they should be consecrated anew by holier rites and to better purposes, in order that the prejudices of the people might not be too rudely shocked by a declared profanation of what they had so long held sacred; and that everywhere beholding the same places to which they had formerly resorted for religious comfort, they might be gradually reconciled to the new doctrines and ceremonies which were there introduced; and as the sacrifices used in the pagan worship were always attended with feasting, and consequently were highly grateful to the multitude, the pope ordered that oxen should as usual be slaughtered near the church, and the people indulged in their ancient festivity.2 Whatever popular customs of heathenism were found to be absolutely not incompatible with Christianity were retained; and some of them were continued to a very late period. Deer were at a certain season brought into St. Paul's church in London, and laid on the altar; 3 and this custom subsisted until the Reformation. The names of some of the church festivals were, with a similar design, taken from those of the heathen, which had been celebrated at the same time of the year. Nothing could have been more prudent than these regulations; they were indeed formed from a perfect understanding of human nature.

Whilst the inferior people were thus insensibly led into a better order, the example and countenance of the great completed the work. For the Saxon kings and ruling men embraced religion with so signal, and in their rank so unusual, a zeal, that in many instances they even sacrificed to its advancement the prime objects of their ambition. Wulfere, king of the West Saxons, bestowed the Isle of Wight on the king of Sussex, to persuade him to embrace Christianity. This zeal operated in the same manner in favour of their instructors. The greatest kings and conquerors frequently resigned their crowns, and shut themselves up in monasteries. When kings became monks, a high lustre was reflected upon the monastic state, and great 'credit accrued to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bed. Hist. Eccl. l. i. c. 30.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Id. c. eod.

Dugdale's History of St. Paul's. Bed. Hist. Eccl. l. iv. c. 13.

power of their doctrine, which was able to produce such extraordinary effects upon persons over whom religion has commonly the slightest influence

The zeal of the missionaries was also much assisted by their superiority in the arts of civil life At their first preaching in Sussex, that country was reduced to the greatest distress from a drought which had continued for three years The barbarous inhabitants, destitute of any means to alleviate the famine, in an epidemic transport of despair frequently united forty and fifty in a body, and joining their hands, precepitated themselves from the cliffs, and were either drowned or dashed to pieces on the rocks. Though a maritime people they knew not how to fish, and this ignorance probably arose from a remnant of Druidical superstition, which had forbidden the use of that sort of diet In this calamity, Bishop Wilfred, their first preacher, collecting nets. at the head of his attendants plunged into the sea, and having opened this great resource of food, he reconciled the desperate people to life, and their minds to the spiritual care of those, who had shown themselves so attentive to their temporal preservation 1

nations to the church of lands conquered from their heathen enemies The clergy immediately baptized and manumitted their new vassals Thus they endeared to all sorts of men doctrines and teachers. of conquest, and they advancing with an equa this time in anything more worthy of praise than in their zeal for personal freedom In the canon, wherein they provided against the alienation of their lands, among other charitable exceptions to this restraint they particularize the curricage exceptions to this restraint the particularite the purchase of liberty? In their transactions with the great the same point was always strenuously laboured. When they imposed penance, they were remarkably indulgent to persons of that rank. But they always made them purchase the remission of corporal austerity by acts of beneficence. They urged their powerful penitents to the enfranchisment of their own slaves, and to the redemption of those which

The same regard to the welfare of the people appeared in all their actions The Christian kings sometimes inide do-

Bed Hist Eccl l ir c 13

<sup>2</sup> Spelm Concil p 329

belonged to others; they directed them to the repair of highways, and to the construction of churches, bridges, and other works of general utility.\(^1\) They extracted the fruits of virtue even from crimes, and whenever a great man expiated his private offences, he provided in the same act for the public happiness. The monasteries were then the only bodies corporate in the kingdom; and if any persons were desirous to perpetuate their charity by a fund for the relief of the sick or indigent, there was no other way than to confide this trust to some monastery. The monks were the sole channel through which the bounty of the rich could pass in any continued stream to the poor; and the people turned their eyes towards them in all their distresses.

We must observe, that the monks of that time, especially those from Ireland,2 who had a considerable share in the conversion of all the northern parts, did not show that rapacious desire of riches, which long disgraced, and finally ruined, their successors. Not only did they not seek, but seemed even to shun, such donations. This prevented that alarm which might have arisen from an early and declared avarice. At this time the most fervent and holy anchorites retired to places the furthest that could be found from human concourse and help, to the most desolate and barren situations, which even from their horror seemed particularly adapted to men who had renounced the world. Many persons followed them in order to partake of their instructions and prayers, or to form themselves upon their example. An opinion of their miracles after their death drew still greater numbers. Establishments were gradually made. The monastic life was frugal, and the government moderate. These causes drew a con-Sanctified deserts assumed a new face; the stant concourse. marshes were drained, and the lands cultivated. And as this revolution seemed rather the effect of the holiness of the place than of any natural causes, it increased their credit;

Adeo enim sacerdotes crant illius temporis ab avaritiâ immunes, ut nec territoria nisi coacti acciperent. Hen. Hunting. apud Decem. 1. iii. p. 333.

Bed. Hist. Eccl. l. iii. c. 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Instauret etiam Dei ecclesiam; et instauret vias publicas, pontibus super aquas profundas et super cænosas vias; et manumittat servos suos proprios, et redimat ab aliis hominibus servos suos ad libertatem. L. Eccl. Edgari 14.

and every improvement drew with it a new donation In this manner the great abbeys of Croyland and Glastonbury, and many others, from the most obscure beginnings, were advanced to a degree of wealth and splendour little less than roval

In these rude ages government was not yet fixed upon solid principles, and everything was full of tumult and distraction. As the monasteries were better secured from violence by their character, than any other places by law, several great men, and even sovereign princes, were obliged to take refuge in convents, who, when by a more happy revolution in their fortunes they were reinstated in their former dignities, thought they could never make a sufficient return for the safety they had enjoyed under the sacred hopitality of these roofs. Not content to enrich them with

ine pro-

that all througed to that refuge who were rendered unquiet by their crimes, their misfortunes, or the severity of their lords

maste

myrolable attachment

The monastery was always the place of sepulture for the lords and kings. This added to the other causes of reverence a sort of sanchity, which, in universal opinion, always attends the repositories of the dead, and they acquired also thereby a more particular protection against the great and powerful, for who would violate the tomb of his ancestors or his own? It was not an unnatural weakness to think that some advantage might be derived from lying in holy places, and amongst holy persons, and this superstition was iomented with the greatest industry and art. The monks of (Hastonburg spread a notion, that it was almost impossible any person should be damned, whose body lay in their cemetery. This must be considered as coming in aid of the amplest of their resources, prayer for the dead

But there was no part of their policy, of whatever nature, that procured to them a greater or juster credit, than their cultivation of learning and useful arts. For if the monks contributed to the fall of science in the Roman empire, it is certain that the introduction of learning and civility into this northern world is entirely owing to their labours. It is true that they cultivated letters only in a secondary way, and as subsidiary to religion. But the scheme of Christianity is such, that it almost necessitates an attention to many kinds of learning. For the Scripture is by no means an irrelative system of moral and divine truths; but it stands connected with so many histories, and with the laws, opinions, and manners of so many various sorts of people, and in such different times, that it is altogether impossible to arrive to any tolerable knowledge of it, without having recourse to much exterior inquiry. For which reason the progress of this religion has always been marked by that of letters. There were two other circumstances at this time that contributed no less to the revival of learning. The sacred writings had not been translated into any vernacular language, and even the ordinary service of the church was still continued in the Latin tongue; all, therefore, who formed themselves for the ministry, and hoped to make any figure in it, were in a manner driven to the study of the writers of polite antiquity, in order to qualify themselves for their most ordinary functions. By this means a practice, liable in itself to great objections, had a considerable share in preserving the wrecks of literature; and was one means of conveying down to our times those inestimable monuments, which otherwise, in the tumult of barbarous confusion on one hand, and untaught piety on the other, must inevitably have perished. The second circumstance,the pilgrimages of that age,-if considered in itself, was as liable to objection as the former; but it proved of equal advantage to the cause of literature. A principal object of these pious journeys was Rome, which contained all the little that was left in the Western world of ancient learning and taste. The other great object of those pilgrimages was Jerusalem; this led them into the Grecian empire, which still subsisted in the East with great majesty and power. Here the Greeks had not only not discontinued the ancient studies, but they added to the stock of arts many inventions of curiosity and convenience, that were unknown to antiquity. When, afterwards, the Saracens prevailed in that part of the

world, the pilgrims had also, by the same means, an opportumty of profiting from the improvements of that laborious people, and however little the majority of these pious travellers might have had such objects in their view, something useful must unavoidably have stuck to them, a few certainly saw with more discernment, and rendered their travels serviceable to their country by importing other things besides miracles and legends Thus a communication was opened between this remote island and countries of which it otherwise could then scarcely have heard mention made, and pilgrimages thus preserved that intercourse amongst manand, which is now formed by politics, commerce, and learned curiosity

It is not wholly unworthy of observation, that Providence, which strongly appears to have intended the continual in termixture of mankind, never leaves the human mind destitute of a principle to effect it. This purpose is sometimes carried on by a sort of migratory instinct, sometimes by the spirit of conquest, at one time avarice drives men from their homes, at another they are actuated by a thirst of knowledge, where none of these cruses can operate, the sanctity of particular places attracts men from the most distant quarters It was this motive which sent thousands in those ages to Jerusalem and Rome, and now, in a full tide, impels , half the world annually to Mecca

By those voyages the seeds of various kinds of knowledge and improvement were at different times imported into England They were cultivated in the lessure and retirement of monasteries, otherwise they could not have been cultivated at all for it was altogether necessary to draw certain men from the general rude and fierce society, and wholly to set a bar between them and the barbarous life of the rest of the world, in order to fit them for study, and the cultivation of arts and science Accordingly, we find everywhere, in the first institutions for the propagation of knowledge amongst any people, that those who followed it were set apart and secluded from the mass of the community

The great ecclesiastical chair of this kingdom, for near a century, was filled by foreigners, they were nominated by the popes, who were in that age just or politic enough to appoint persons of a ment in some degree adequate to that

important charge. Through this e-vies of foreign and learns ed prolates, continual assessions were made to the originally slepder stock of English literature. The greatest and most valuable of these are scious was made in the time and by the care of Theodorus, the seventh archiishop of Canterbury. He was a Greek by birth; a man of a high, ambitions spirit, and of a mind more liberal, and telent better cultivated, than renerally fell to the let of the western prelates. He first introduced the study of his native language into this island. He brought with him a number of valuable backs in many faculties; and amongst them a magnificent copy of the works of Homer, the most ancient and best of poets, and the best chosen to inspire a people, just initiated into letters, with an ardent love, and with a true taste, for the sciences. Under his influence as chool was formed at Canterbury; and thus the other great fountain of knowledge, the Greek tongue, was opened in England in the year of our Lord 669.

The southern parts of England reserved their improvements directly through the channel of Rome. The hingdom of Northumberland, as soon as it was converted, began to contend with the southern provinces in an emulation of picty and learning. The ecclesiastics then also kept up and profited by their intercourse with Rome; but they found their principal resources of knowledge from another and a more extraordinary quarter.1 The island of Hii, or Columkill, is a small and barren rock in the Western ocean. But in those days it was high in reputation as the site of a monastery, which had acquired great renown for the rigour of its studies, and the severity of its ascetic discipline. Its authority was extended over all the northern parts of Britain and Ireland; and the monks of Hii even exercised episcopal jurisdiction over all those regions. They had a considerable share both in the religious and literate institution of the Northumbrians. Another island of still less importance, in the mouth of the Tees, and called Landisforn, was about this time sanctified by the austerities of a hermit called Cuthbert. It soon became also a very celebrated monastery. It was, from a dread of the ravages of pirates, removed first to the adjacent part of the continent, and on the same account finally to Durham. The heads of this monastery omitted nothing which could

<sup>1</sup> St. Columbus, or Icolmkill or Iona.

contribute to the glory of their founder and to the dignity of their house, which became in a very short time, by their assiduous endeavours, the most considerable school, perhaps, in Europe The great and justest boast of this monastery is the venerable Beda, who was educated and spent his whole life there An account of his writings is an account of the English learning in that age, taken in its most advantageous

view Many of his works remain, and he wrote both in prose and verse, and upon all sorts of subjects His theology forms the most considerable part of his writings He wrote comments upon almost the whole Scripture, and several homilies on the principal festivals of the church Both the comments and sermons are generally allegorical in the con-

τ

250

fathers, from whom the greatest part of his divinity is not so much imitated as extracted The systematic and logical method, which seems to have been first introduced into theology by John of Damascus, and which afterwards was known by the name of school divinity, was not then in use, at least in the Western church, though soon after it made an amaring progress. In this scheme the allegorical give way to the literal explication the imagination had less scope, and the affections were less touched But it prevailed by an appearance more solid and philosophical, by an order more scientific, and by a readiness of application, either for the solution or the exciting of doubts and difficulties

They also cultivated in this monastery the study of natural philosophy and astronomy There remain of Beda one en-

tire book and some scattered essays on these subjects This

shich Onie

\* 1525 supported by the dotage of the Roman and that even the spring head from whence they drew their instructions was itself corrupted However, the works of the great masters of the ancient science still remained, but in natural philosophy the worst was the most fashionable The Fpicure an physics the most approaching to rational, had long lost all credit by

being made the support of an impious theology and a loose morality. The fine visions of Plato fell into some discredit by the abuse which heretics had made of them; and the writings of Aristotle seem to have been then the only ones much regarded, even in natural philosophy, in which branch of science alone they are unworthy of him. Beda entirely follows this system. The appearances of nature are explained by matter and form, and by the four vulgar elements; acted upon by the four supposed qualities of hot, dry, moist, and cold. His astronomy is on the common system of the ancients; sufficient for the few purposes to which they applied it, but otherwise imperfect and grossly erroneous. He makes the moon larger than the earth; though a reflection on the nature of eclipses, which he understood, might have satisfied him of the contrary. But he had so much to copy, that he had little time to examine. These speculations, however erroneous, were still useful; for though men err in assigning the causes of natural operations, the works of nature are by this means brought under their consideration; which cannot be done without enlarging the mind. The science may be false, or frivolous; the improvement will be real. It may here be remarked, that soon afterwards the monks began to apply themselves to astronomy and chronology from the disputes which were carried on with so much heat, and so little effect, concerning the proper time of celebrating Easter; and the English owed the cultivation of these noble sciences to one of the most trivial controversies of ecclesiastic discipline. Beda did not confine his attention to those superior sciences: He treated of music, and of rhetoric, of grammar, and the art of versification, and of arithmetic, both by letters and on the fingers: and his work on this last subject is the only one in which that piece of antique curiosity has been preserved to us. All these are short pieces; some of them are in the catechetical method; and seemed designed for the immediate use of the pupils in his monastery, in order to furnish them with some leading ideas in the rudiments of these arts, then newly introduced into his country. He likewise made, and probably for the same purpose, a very ample and valuable collection of short philosophical, political, and moral maxims from Aristotle, Plato, Seneca, and other sages of heathen antiquity. He made a separate book of shining

common places and remarkable passages, extracted from the works of Cicero, of whom he was a great admirer, though he seems to have been not a happy or diligent imitator in his style From a view of these pieces, we may form an idea of what stock in the science the English at that time possessed, and what advances they had made That work of Beda, which is the best known and most esteemed, is the Ecclesiastical History of the English Nation by a want of choice, and frequently by a confused ill disposition of his matter, and blemished with a degree of credulity next to infantine, it is still a valuable, and for the time a surprising, performance The book opens with a description of this island, which would not have disgraced a classical nuthor, and he has prefixed to it a chronological abridgment of sacred and profane history, connected from the beginming of the world, which, though not critically adapted to his main design, is of far more intrinsic value, and indeed displays a vast fund of historical erudition On the whole, though this father of the Puglish learning seems to have been but a genius of the middle class, neither elevated nor subtil, and one who wrote in a low style, simple, but not elegant, yet when we reflect upon the time in which he lived, the place in which he spent his whole life, within the walls of a monastery, in so remote and wild a country, it is impossible to refuse him the praise of an incredible industry and a generous thirst of knowledge

That a nation, who not fifty years before had but just begun to emerge from a barbarism so perfect that they were uniurnished even with an alphabet, should, in so short a time, have established so flourishing a seminary of learning, and have produced so eminent a teacher, is a circumstance which

I imagine no other nation besides England can boast

Hitherto we have spoken only of their Latin and Greek literature They cultivated also their native language, which, according to the opinions of the most adequate judges, was deficient neither in energy nor beauty, and was possessed of such a happy flexibility, as to be capable of expressing with grace and effect every new technical idea introduced either by theology or science. They were found of poetry, they sung at all their fasts, and it was counted extremely disgraceful not to be able to take a part in these performances,

even when they challenged each other to a sudden exertion of the poetic spirit. Caedmon, afterwards one of the most eminent of their poets, was disgraced in this manner into an exertion of a latent genius. He was desired in his turn to sing, but, being ignorant, and full of natural sensibility, retired in confusion from the company; and by instant and strenuous application soon became a distinguished proficient in the art.

## CHAPTER III.

SERIES OF ANGLO-SAXON KINGS FROM ETHELBERT TO ALFRED; WITH THE INVASION OF THE DANES.

THE Christian religion having once taken root in Kent, spread itself with great rapidity throughout all the other Saxon kingdoms in England. The manners of the Saxons underwent a notable alteration by this change in their religion; their ferocity was much abated; they became more mild and sociable; and their laws began to partake of the softness of their manners, everywhere recommending mercy, and a tenderness for Christian blood. There never was any people who embraced religion with a more fervent zeal than the Anglo-Saxons, nor with more simplicity of spirit. Their history for a long time shows us a remarkable conflict between their dispositions and their principles. This conflict produced no medium, because they were absolutely contrary; and both operated with almost equal violence. Great crimes, and extravagant penances; rapine, and an entire resignation of worldly goods; rapes, and vows of perpetual chastity,-succeeded each other in the same persons. There was nothing which the violence of their passions could not induce them to commit; nothing to which they did not submit, to atone for their offences, when reflection gave an opportunity to repent. by degrees the sanctions of religion began to preponderate; and as the monks at this time attracted all the religious veneration, religion everywhere began to relish of the cloister; an inactive spirit, and a spirit of scruples, prevailed; they dreaded to put the greatest criminal to death; they scrupled to engage in any worldly functions. A king of the Saxons dreaded that God would call him to an account for the time

which he spent in his temporal affairs, and had stolen from prayer It was frequent for kings to go on pilgrimages to Rome or to Jerusalem, on foot, and under circumstances of great hardship Several kings resigned their crowns to devote themselves to religious contemplation in monasteriesmore at that time, and in this nation, than in all other nations, and in all times This, as it introduced great mildness into the tempers of the people, made them less warlike, and consequently prepared the way to their forming one body under

people of Wessex naturally came to engross the little trade which then fed the revenues of England, and their minds were somewhat opened by a foreign communication, by which they became more civilized, and better acquainted with the

í

called to the which for some time prevailed, had driven this prince early in life into a useful banishment He was honourably received at the court of Charlemagne, where he had an opportunity of studying government in the best school, and of forming himself after the most perfect model Whilst Charlemagne was reducing the continent of Europe into one empire, Egbert reduced England into one kingdom The state of his own dominions perfectly united under him, with the

a governments, made this re Wessex, there were but two

kingdoms of consideration in England,—Mercia and North-umberland They were powerful enough in the advantages of nature, but reduced to great weakness by their divisions As there is nothing of more moment to any country than to settle the succession of its government on clear and invariable principles, the Saxon monarchies, which were supported

by no such principles, were continually tottering. The right of government sometimes was considered as in the eldest son, sometimes in all; sometimes the will of the deceased prince disposed of the crown; sometimes a popular election bestowed it. The consequence of this was the frequent division and frequent reunion of the same territory, which were productive of infinite mischief: many various principles of succession gave titles to some, pretensions to more; and plots, cabals, and crimes could not be wanting to all the pretend-Thus was Mercia torn to pieces; and the kingdom of Northumberland, assaulted on one side by the Scots, and ravaged on the other by the Danish incursions, could not recover from a long anarchy, into which its intestine divisions had plunged it. Egbert knew how to make advantage of these divisions; fomenting them by his policy at first, and quelling them afterwards by his sword, he reduced these two kingdoms under his government. The same power which conquered Mercia and Northumberland made the reduction of Kent and Essex easy: the people on all hands the more readily submitting, because there was no change made in their laws, manners, or the form of their government.

Egbert, when he had brought all England Egbert. under his dominion, made the Welsh tributary, A. D. 827. and carried his arms with success into Scotland, assumed the title of Monarch of all Britain. The southern part of the island was now for the first time authentically known by the name of England, and by every appearance promised to have arrived at the fortunate moment for forming a permanent and splendid monarchy. But Egbert had not reigned seven years in peace, when the Danes, who had before showed themselves in some scattered parties, and made some inconsiderable descents, entered the kingdom in a A. D. 832. formidable body. This people came from the

same place whence the English themselves were derived, and they differed from them in little else, than that they still retained their original barbarity and heathenism. These, assisted by the Norwegians and other people of Scandinavia, were the last torrent of the northern ravagers which overflowed Europe. What is remarkable, they attacked England

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No Saxon monarch until Athelstan.

and consister what find and an rate hopes and a but he was not

led away by the ambition of a young warrior He neglected no measures to procure peace for his country, which wanted a respite from the calamities which had long oppressed it A peace was concluded for Wessex Then the Danes turned their faces once more towards Mercia and East Anglia They had before stripped the inhabitants of all their movable substance, and now they proceeded without resistance to seize upon their lands Their success encouraged new swarms of Danes to crowd over, who, finding all the northern parts of England possessed by their friends, rushed into Wessex They were adventurers under different and independent leaders, and a peace, little regarded by the particu-

lar party that made it, had no influence at all upon the others Alfred opposed this shock with so much firmness, that the barbarians had recourse to a stratagem they pretended to treat, but taking advantage of the truce, they routed a body of the West Saxon cavalry that were off their guard, mounted their horses, and, crossing the country with amazing celerity, surprised the city of Exeter This was an acquisition of infinite advantage to

their affairs, as it secured them a port in the midst of Wessex Alfred, mortified at this series of misfortunes, perceived clearly that nothing could dislodge the Danes, or redress their

might interce

258

gave rise to t...

gave rise to t...
to land and ravage a part of the country, when a force opposed them, they retired to their ships, and passed to some other part, which in a like manner they ravaged, and then retired as before, until the country, entirely harassed, pil laged, and

resist them

and dishear These considerations made Alfred resolve upon equipping fleet, in this enterprise nothing but difficulties presented themselves, his revenue was scarty, and his subjects altogether unskilled in maritime affairs, either as to the construction or the navagation of ships. He did not therefore despair. With great promises attending a little money, he engaged in his service a number of Frisian seamen, neighbours to the Danes, and pirates, as they were. He brought, by the same means, shipwrights from the continent. was himself present to everything; and having performed the part of a king in drawing together supplies of every kind, he descended with no less dignity into the artist; improving on the construction; inventing new machines; and supplying by the greatness of his genius the defects and imperfections of the arts in that rude period. By his indefatigable application the first English navy was in a very short time in readiness to put to sea. At that time the Danish fleet of 125 ships stood with full sail for Exeter; they met; but, with an omen prosperous to the new naval power, the Danish fleet was entirely vanquished and dispersed. This success drew on the surrender of Exeter, and a peace, which Alfred much wanted, to put the affairs of his kingdom in order. This peace, however, did not last long. As the Danes were continually pouring into some part of England, they found most parts already in Danish hands; so that all these parties naturally directed their course to the only English kingdom. All the Danes conspired to put them in possession of it; and, bursting unexpectedly with the united force of their whole body upon Wessex, Alfred was entirely overwhelmed, and obliged to drive before the storm of his fortune. He fled in disguise into a fastness in the Isle of Athelney, where he remained four months in the lowest state of indigence, supported by an heroic humility, and that spirit of piety, which neither adverse fortune nor prosperity could overcome. It is much to be lamented, that a character, so formed to interest all men, involved in reverses of fortune, that make the most agreeable and useful part of history, should be only celebrated by pens so little suitable to the dignity of the subject. These revolutions are so little prepared, that we neither can perceive distinctly the causes which sunk him, nor those which again raised him to power. A few naked facts are all our stock. From thèse we see Alfred, assisted by the casual success of one of his nobles, issuing from his retreat; he heads a powerful army once more, defeats the Danes, drives them out of Wessex, follows his blow, expels them from Mercia, subdues them in North-

umberland, and makes them tributary in East Anglia, and thus established by a number of victories in a full peace, he is presented to us i able to posterity such a gloomy waste of ion so

fair and cultivated a spot

When Alfred had once more reunited the kingdoms of his ancestors, he found the whole face of things in the most desperate condition, there was no observance of law and order, religion had no force, there was no honest industry, the most squaled poverty and the grossest ignorance had overspread the whole kingdom Alfred at once enterprised the cure of all these evils

remedy the disorders in the government, he revived, improved, and digested all the Saxon in stitutions, insomuch that he is generally honoured as the founder of our laws and constitution 1

The shire he divided into hundreds, the hundreds into

tithings tithing, each nding other fo ct, he theft and

introduced the method of giving bail, the most certain fence against the abuses of power It has been observed, that the reigns of weak princes are times favourable to liberty, but L - and avamining little have atan institution which

> hey have likewise at shires, hundreds and ions But it is very regular plan, nor are

the wisest and bravest of all the English princes is the father of their freedom. This great man was even jealous of the privileges of his subjects; and as his whole life was spent in protecting them, his last will breathes the same spirit, declaring that he had left his people as free as their own thoughts. He not only collected with great care a complete body of laws, but he wrote comments on them for the instruction of his judges, who were in general by the misfortune of the time ignorant; and if he took care to correct their ignorance, he was rigorous towards their corruption. He inquired strictly into their conduct; he heard appeals in person; he held his Wittena-Gemotes, or parliaments, frequently; and kept every part of his government in health and vigour.

Nor was he less solicitous for the defence, than he had shown himself for the regulation, of his kingdom. He nourished with particular care the new naval strength, which he had established; he built forts and castles in the most important posts; he settled beacons to spread an alarm on the arrival of an enemy; and ordered his militia in such a manner, that there was always a great power in readiness to march, well appointed and well disciplined. But that a suitable revenue might not be wanting for the support of his fleets and fortifications, he gave great encouragement to trade; which, by the piracies on the coast, and the rapine and injustice exercised by the people within, had long become a

stranger to this island.

In the midst of these various and important cares, he gave a peculiar attention to learning, which, by the rage of the late wars, had been entirely extinguished in his kingdom. "Very few there were (says this monarch) on this side the Humber, that understood their ordinary prayers, or that were able to translate any Latin book into English; so few, that I do not remember even one qualified to the southward of the Thames when I began my reign." To cure this deplorable ignorance, he was indefatigable in his endeavours to bring into England men of learning in all branches from every part of Europe; and unbounded in his liberality to them. He enacted by a law, that every person possessed of two hides of land should send their children to school until sixteen. Wisely considering where to put a stop to his love even of the liberal arts,

which are only suited to a liberal condition, he enterprised yet a greater design than that of forming the growing gener ation,—to instruct even the grown, enjoining all his earl dormen and sheriffs immediately to apply themselves to learning or to quit their offices. To facilitate these great purposes, he made a regular foundation of an University, which with great reason is believed to have been at Oxford Whatever trouble he took to extend the benefits of learning amongst his subjects, he showed the example himself, and applied to the cultivation of his mind with unparalleled diligence and success He could neither read nor write at twelve years old, but he improved his time in such a man ner, that he became one of the most knowing men of his age, in geometry, in philosophy, in architecture, and in music He applied himself to the improvement of his native language, he translated several valuable works from Latin, and wrote a vast number of poems in the Saxon tongue with a wonderful facility and happiness. He not only excelled in the

making pricks, most of the pundings having been of wood - his time, in a word, he comprehended in the greatof his mind the whole of government and all its parts at

once, and what is most difficult to human frailty, was at the

same time sublime and minute

Religion, which in Alfred's father was so prejudicial to affairs, without being in him at all inferior in its zeal and fervour, was of a more enlarged and noble kind, far from being a prejudice to his government, it seems to have been the principle that supported him in so many fatigues, and fed like an abundant source his civil and military virtues To his religious exercises and studies he devoted a full third part of his time It is pleasant to trace a genius even in its smallest exertions, in measuring and allotting his time for the variety of business he was engaged in According to his severe and methodical custom he had a sort of wax candles, made of different colours, in different proportions, according to the time he allotted to each particular affair, as he carried these about with him wherever he went, to make them burn

evenly, he invented horn lanthorns. One cannot help being amazed, that a prince, who lived in such turbulent times, who commanded personally in fifty-four pitched battles, who had so disordered a province to regulate, who was not only a legislator but a judge, and who was continually superintending his armies, his navies, the traffic of his kingdom, his revenues, and the conduct of all his officers, -could have bestaved so much of his time on religious exercises and speculatve knowledge; but the exertion of all his faculties and virues seemed to have given a mutual strength to all of them. This all historians speak of this prince, whose whole history was me panegyric; and whatever dark spots of human frailty mayhave adhered to such a character, they are entirely hid in the splendour of his many shining qualities and grand virtus, that throw a glory over the obscure period in which he lied, and which is for no other reason worthy of our knowedge. The latter part of his reign was molested with new ad formidable attempts from the Danes; but they no longerfound the country in its former condition; their fleets were ttacked; and those that landed found a strong and regular opposition. There were now fortresses, which restraine their ravages, and armies well appointed to oppose them is the field; they were defeated in a pitched battle; and aftr several desperate marches from one part of the country to the other, everywhere harassecand hunted, they were glad to return with half their number, and to leave Alfred in quiet to accomplish the great things h had projected. This prince reigned twenty-seven years, and died at last of a disorder in his bowels, which had afflicted im, without interrupting his designs, or souring his temper, oring the greatest part of his life.

## CHAPTER V.

SICESSION OF KINGS FROM ALTRED TO HAROLD.

'His sonEdward succeeded; though of less Edward. learning the his father, he equalled him in his A. D. 900. political vives; he made war with success on the Welsh,

:

the crown was set on the head of his illegitimate of the princes of this time, was molested by the continual incursions of the Danes, and nothing but a succession of

product communa, no reigned five years jith Edmund great reputation, but was at length, by an ob-A. D 942 scure ruffian, assassinated in his own palace Edred his brother, succeeded to the late monarchy, thugh he had left two sons, Edwin and Edgar both A D 947 were passed by on account of their minority. But of this prince's death, which happened after a troublesome regn of ten years, valuantly supported against continual inrids of

the Danes, the crown devolved on Edwn whom little can be said, because his rein was short, and he was so embroiled with his clergy, that we can take his character only from the monks, who in sucla case are suspicious authority Edgar, the seemd son

celebrated Dunstan influence over all his abroad, and had see great power at court by the superior wisdom of his ounsels, so by the sanctity of his life he had great credit th the people, which gave a firmness to the government ohis master, whose private character was in many respects stremely exceptionable It was in his reign, and chiefly byhe means of his minister Dunstan, that the monks, who hadong prevailed in the opinion of the generality of the pede, gare a total carefular. socular clergy were at this time for the most par married, and were therefore too near the common modes | manhind to draw a great deal of their respect, their chacter was supported by a very small portion of learning, an their lives

were not such as people wish to see in the clergy. But the monks were unmarried; austere in their lives; regular in their duties; possessed of the learning of the times; well united under a proper subordination; full of art, and implacable towards their enemics. These circumstances, concurring with the dispositions of the king, and the designs of Dunstan, prevailed so far, that it was agreed in a council, convened for that purpose, to expel the secular clergy from their livings, and to supply their places with monks throughout the kingdom. Although the partisans of the secular priests were not a few, nor of the lowest class, yet they were unable to withstand the current of the popular desire, strengthened by the authority of a potent and respected monarch. However, there was a seed of discontent sown on this occasion, which grew up afterwards to the mutual destruction of all the parties. During the whole reign of Edgar, as he had secured the most popular part of the clergy, and with them the people, in his interests, there was no internal disturbance; there was no foreign war, because this prince was always ready for war. But he principally owed his security to the care he took of his naval power, which was much greater, and better regulated, than that of any English monarch before him. He had three fleets always equipped, one of which annually sailed round the island. Thus the Danes, the Scots, the Irish, and the Welsh were kept in awe. He assumed the title of King of all Albion. His court was magnificent, and much frequented by strangers. His revenues were in excellent order, and no prince of his time supported the royal character with more dignity.

Edgar had two wives,—Elflada and Elfrida; by the first he had a son called Edward. The second bore him one, called Etheldred. On Edgar's death Edward, in the Edward. usual order of succession, was called to the A.D. 975. throne; but Elfrida caballed in favour of her son; and finding it impossible to set him up in the life of his brother, she murdered him with her own hands in her castle of Corfe, whither he had retired to refresh himself, wearied with hunting. Etheldred, who by the crimes of his mother Etheldred. ascended a throne sprinkled with his brother's A.D 979. blood, had a part to act, which exceeded the capacity that could be expected in one of his youth and inexperience.

The partisans of the secular clergy, who were kept down by the vigour of Edgar's government, thought this a fit time to renow their pretensions. The monks defended themselves in their possession, there was no moderation on either side, and the whole nation joined in these parties. The murder of Edward threw an odious stam on the king, though he was wholly innocent of that crime. There was a general discontent, and every corner was full of murmurs and cabals. In this state of the kingdom it was equally dangerous to exert the fulness of the sovereign authority, or to suffer it to relax. The temper of the king was most inclined to the latter method, which is of all things the worst. A weak government, a makes the most the suffer the suffer in the suff

Through an ex-

the condition of Etheldred's nobility, who, by being permitted everything, were never contented

Thus all the principal men held a sort of factious and independent authority, they despised the king, they oppressed
the people, and they hated one another The Danes, in
every part of England but Wessex, as numerous as the English themselves, and in many parts more numerous, were
ready to take advantage of these disorders, and waited with
j impatience some new attempt from abroad, that they might
rise in favour of the invaders They were not long without
such an occasion, the Danes pour in almost upon every part
at once, and distract the defence which the weak prince was
preparing to make

In those days of wretchedness and ignorance, when all the maritime parts of Europe were attacked by these formidable enemies at once, they never thought of entering into any alliance against them, they equally neglected the other obvious method to prevent their incursions, which was to carry

the war into the invaders' country

What aggravated these calamities, the nobility, mostly disaffected to the king, and entertuning very little regard to their country, made, some of them, a weak and cowardly op

betrayed their trust, the trade of piracy t

that Edric, Duke of Mercia, a man of some ability, but light,

inconstant, and utterly devoid of all principle, proposed to buy a peace from the Danes. The general weakness and consternation disposed the king and people to take this pernicious advice. At first, £10,000 was given to the Dancs. who retired with this money and the rest of their plunder. The English were now, for the first time, taxed to supply this payment. The imposition was called Danegelt, not more burthensome in the thing than scandalous in the name. The scheme of purchasing peace not only gave rise to many internal hardships, but, whilst it weakened the kingdom, it inspired such a desire of invading it to the enemy, that Sweyn, king of Denmark, came in person soon after with a prodigious fleet and army. The English, having once found the method of diverting the storm by an inglorious bargain, could not bear to think of any other way of resistance. greater sum, £45,000, was now paid, which the Danes accepted with pleasure, as they could by this means exhaust their enemics and enrich themselves with little danger or With very short intermissions they still returned, continually increasing in their demands. In a few years they extorted upwards of £160,000 from the English, besides an annual tribute of £18,000. The country was wholly exhausted both of money and spirit. The Danes in England, under the protection of the foreign Danes, committed a thousand insolencies; and so infatuated with stupidity and baseness were the English at this time, that they employed hardlyany other soldiers for their defence.

In this state of shame and misery their suffer- A. D. 1002. ings suggested to them a design rather desperate than brave. They resolved on a massacre of the Danes; some authors say, that in one night the whole race was cut off. Many, probably all the military men, were so destroyed. But this massacre, injudicious as it was cruel, was certainly not universal; nor did it serve any other or better end than to exasperate those of the same nation abroad; who the next year landed in England with a powerful army to revenge it, and committed outrages even beyond the usual tenor of the Danish cruelty. There was in England no money left to purchase a peace, nor courage to wage a successful war; and the king of Denmark, Sweyn, a prince of capacity, at the head of a large body of brave and enter-

prising men, soon mastered the whole kingdom, except London Etheldred, abandoned by fortune and his subjects, was forced to fly into Normandy

As there was no good order in the English affairs, though continually alarmed, they were always surprised, they were only roused to arms by the cruelty of the enemy, and they were only roused to arms by the cruelty of the enemy, and they were only formed into a body by being driven from their homes, so that they never made a resistance until they seemed to be entirely conquered. This may serve to account for the frequent sudden reductions of the island, and the frequent renewals of their fortime when it seemed the most desperate. Swepn, in the midst of his victories, dies, and, though succeeded by his son Canute, who inherited his father's resolution their affairs were thrown into some disorder by this accident. The English were encouraged by it Etheldred was recalled and the Danes retired out of the kingdom, but it was only to return the next year with a

mund, the eldest son of Etheldred, supported, however, the declining hopes of the English for some time, in three months he fought three victorous battles, he attempted a fourth, but lost it by the base desertion of Edric, the principal author of all these troubles. It is common

fortunes to

to be thou, rather than the superior bravery of their enemies All the old historians talk in this strain, and it must be acknowledged, that all adherents to a declining party have many temptations to infidely.

Edmund, defeated but not discouraged, retreated to the Severn, where he recruited his forces Canute followed at his heels And now the two armies were drawn up, which

greatness of his strength, Canute by his address, for when Edmund had so far prevailed as to disarm him, he proposed a parley, in which he persuaded Edmund to a peace, and to a division of the kingdom. Their armies accepted the agreement; and both kings departed in a seeming friendship. But Edmund died soon after, with a probable The Danish suspicion of being murdered by the instruments

of his associate in the empire.

Canute on this event assembled the states of Canute. the kingdom, by whom he was acknowledged king of all England. He was a prince truly great; for having acquired the kingdom by his valour, he maintained and improved it by his justice and elemency. Choosing rather to rule by the inclination of his subjects than the right of conquest, he dismissed his Danish army, and committed his safety to the laws. He re-established the order and tranquillity, which so long a series of bloody wars had banished. He revived the ancient statutes of the Saxon princes; and governed through his whole reign with such steadiness and moderation, that the English were much happier under this foreign prince than they had been under their natural kings. Canute, though the beginning of his life was stained with those marks of violence and injustice which attend conquest, was remarkable in his latter end for his piety. According to the mode of that time, he made a pilgrimage to Rome, with a view to expiate the crimes which paved his way to the throne; but he made a good use of this peregrination, and returned full of the observations he had made in the country through which he passed, which he turned to the benefit of his extensive dominions. They comprehended England, Denmark, Norway, and many of the countries which lie upon the Baltic. Those he left, established in peace and security, to his children. The fate of his northern possessions is not of this place. England fell to his son Harold, though not without much competition in favour of the sons of Edmund Ironside; while some contended for the right of the sons of Etheldred, Alfred and Edward. Harold inherited none of the virtues of Canute; he banished his mother Emma, murdered his half brother Alfred, and died without issue after a short reign, full of violence, weakness, and cruelty.

His brother Hardicanute, who succeeded him, Hardicanute. resembled him in his character; he committed 1039.

new cruelties and injustices in revenging those which his brother had committed, and he died after a yet shorter reign The Saxon line restored The Danish power, established with so much blood, expired of itself, and Edward, the only surviving son of Etheldred, then an exile in Normandy, was called to the throne by the unanimous voice of the kingdom

This prince was educated in a monastery, where Edward the he learned piety, continence, and humility, but Confessor nothing of the art of government He was in nocent and artless, but his views were narrow, and his genius contemptible The character of such a prince is not, therefore, what influences the government any further than as it puts it in the hands of others When he came to the throne, Goodwin, Earl of Kent was the most popular man in Eng land, he possessed a very great estate, an enterprising dis position, and an eloquence beyond the age he lived in, he was arrogant, imperious assuming, and of a conscience which never put itself in the way of his interest He had a con siderable share in restoring Edward to the throne of his an cestors, and by this ment joined to his popularity, he for some time directed everything according to his pleasure intended to fortify his interest by giving in marriage to the king his daughter, a lady of great beauty, great virtue, and an education beyond he

ful rivals in the king's ed many of the private of his favourable recep people of that country, and promoted several to the first places, ecclesiastical and civil, in his kingdom This begot an uneasiness in all the English, but Earl Goodwin was par ticularly offended The Normans, on the other hand ac cused Goodwin of a design on the Crown, the justice of which imputation the whole tenour of his conduct evinced sufficiently But as his cabils began to break into action before they were in perfect ripeness for it, the Norman party prevailed and Goodwin was banished This man was not only sts he i

Balo pet-Br ent force, sailed to England, and having near Sandwich de-

1011

ceived the king's navy, he presented himself at London before he was expected. The king made ready as great a force as the time would admit, to oppose him. The galleys of Edward and Goodwin met on the Thames; but such was the general favour to Goodwin, such the popularity of his cause, that the king's men threw down their arms, and refused to fight against their countrymen in favour of strangers. Edward was obliged to treat with his own subjects; and in consequence of this treaty, to dismiss the Normans, whom he believed to be the best attached to his interests. Goodwin used the power to which he was restored to gratify his personal revenge; showing no mercy to his enemies. Some of his sons behaved in the most tyrannical manner. The great lords of the kingdom envied and hated a greatness, which annihilated the royal authority, eclipsed them, and oppressed the people; but Goodwin's death soon after quieted for a while their murmurs. The king, who had the least share in the transactions of his own reign, and who was of a temper not to perceive his own insignificance, begun in his old age to think of a successor. He had no children; for some weak reasons of religion, or personal dislike, he had never cohabited with his wife. He sent for his nephew Edward, the son of Edmund Ironside, out of Hungary, where he had taken refuge; but he died soon after he came to England, leaving a son called Edgar Atheling. The king himself, irresolute in so momentous an affair, died without making any settlement. His reign was properly that of his great men, or rather of their factions. All of it that was his own was good. He was careful of the privileges of his subjects; and took care to have a body of the Saxon laws, very favourable to them, digested and enforced. He remitted the heavy imposition called Danegelt, amounting to £40,000 a year, which had been constantly collected after the occasion had ceased; he even repaid to his subjects what he found in the treasury at his accession. In short there is little in his life that can call his title to sanctity in question; though he can never be reckoned among the great kings.

## CHAPTER VI

HAROLD II.-INVASION OF THE NORMANS-ACCOUNT OF THAT PEOPLE, AND OF THE STATE OF ENGLAND AT THE TIME OF THE INVASION

Harold II TROUGH Edgar Athching had the best title to

to fall upon his country after the conquest of the

over the coasts of Englan much as the pretensions William duke of Normandy, one of the most able, ambitious.

and enterprising men of that age. We have mentioned the

7, to

England, and neglected no means to improve these disposi-

nstruction So that whether we believe, or not, that whilm had engaged Harold by a solemn oath to secure him the kingdom, we know that he afterwards set up a will of King Edward in his favour, which however he never produced, and probably never had to produce In these delicate circumstances Harold was not wanting to himself By the most equitable laws, and the most popular behaviour, he sought to secure the affections of his subjects, and he succeeded so well, that when he marched against the king of Norway, who had in

vaded his kingdom and taken York, without difficulty he raised a numerous army of gallant men, zealous for his cause and their country. He obtained a signal and decisive victory over the Norwegians. The king Harfager, and the traitor Tosti, who had joined him, were slain in the battle; and the Norwegians were forced to evacuate the country. Harold had however but little time to enjoy the fruits of his victory.

Scarce had the Norwegians departed, when William Duke of Normandy landed in the southern part of the kingdom with an army of 60,000 chosen men, and struck a general terror through all the nation, which was well acquainted with the character of the commander, and the courage and

discipline of his troops.

The Normans were the posterity of those Danes, who had so long and so cruelly harassed the British islands, and the shore of the adjoining continent. In the days of King Alfred a body of these adventurers, under their leader Rollo, made an attempt upon England; but so well did they find every spot defended by the vigilance and bravery of that great monarch, that they were compelled to retire. Beaten from these shores, the stream of their impetuosity bore towards the northern parts of France, which had been reduced to the most deplorable condition by their former ravages. the Simple then sat on the throne of that kingdom; unable to resist this torrent of barbarians, he was obliged to yield to it; he agreed to give up to Rollo the large and fertile province of Neustria, to hold of him as his feudatory. province, from the new inhabitants, was called Normandy. Five princes succeeded Rollo, who maintained with great bravery, and cultivated with equal wisdom, his conquests. The ancient ferocity of this people was a little softened by their settlement; but the bravery, which had made the Danes so formidable, was not extinguished in the Normans, nor the spirit of enterprise. Not long before this period, a private gentleman of Normandy, by his personal bravery, had acquired the kingdom of Naples. Several others followed his fortunes, who added Sicily to it. From one end of Europe to the other the Norman name was known, respected, and feared. Robert, the sixth Duke of Normandy, to expiate some crime, which lay heavy upon his conscience, resolved, according to the ideas of that time, upon a pil-

1. 1

grimage to Jerusalem It was in vain that his nobility. whom he had assembled to notify this resolution to them, represented to him the miserable state to which his country

ice, and uncertain of be moved from his re mentorious from e presented to the

an obscure woman, ubted not to be his son, him he recommended to their vir-

plemnly resigning the govern ment in his favour, he departed on the pilgrimage, from whence he never returned The states, hesitating some time between the mischiefs that attend the allowing an illeestimate succession and those which might arise from admitting foreign pretensions, thought the former the least prejudicial, and accordingly swore allegiance to William,

of all these disquieted the reign of the young prince with perpetual troubles In these troubles he was formed early notate common and a conquest over all

struct the way neighbouring

and unfaithful nobility, and the treacherous protection of his feudal lord the king of France All of these in their turns sometimes all of these together, distressed him But with the most un paralleled good fortune and conduct he overcame all opposition, and triumphed over every enemy raising his power and reputation above that of all his ancestors, as much as he was exalted by his bravery above the princes of his own time Such was the prince, who, on a pretended claim from the

nces.

with Maroid Maroid Had Ho sponer at the

he advanced to meet him with all possible diligence, but there did not appear in his army, upon this occasion, the same unanimity and satisfaction which animated it on its march against the Norwegians. An ill-timed economy in Harold, which made him refuse to his soldiers the plunder of the Norwegian camp, had created a general discontent. Several deserted, and the soldiers who remained followed heavily a leader under whom there was no hope of plunder,the greatest incitement of the soldiery. Notwithstanding this ill disposition, Harold still urged forward, and by forced marches advanced within seven miles of the enemy. The Norman, on his landing, is said to have sent away his ships, that his army might have no way of safety but in conquest; vet had he fortified his camp, and taken every prudent precaution, that so considerable an enterprise should not be reduced to a single effort of despair. When the armies, charged with the decision of so mighty a contest, had approached each other, Harold paused awhile. A great deal depended on his conduct at this critical time. The most experienced in the council of war, who knew the condition of their troops, were of opinion, that the engagement ought to be deferred; that the country ought to be wasted; that, as the winter approached, the Normans would in all probability be obliged to retire of themselves; that, if this should not happen, the Norman army was without resources; whilst the English would be every day considerably augmented, and might attack their enemy at a time and manner, which might make their success certain. To all these reasons nothing was opposed but a false point of honour, and a mistaken courage in Harold; who urged his fate, and resolved on an engagement. The Norman, as soon as he perceived that the English were determined on a battle, left his camp to post himself in an advantageous situation, in which his whole army remained the night which preceded the action.

This night was spent in a manner which prognosticated the event of the following day. On the part of the Normans it was spent in prayer, and in a cool and steady preparation for the engagement; on the side of the English in riot, and a vain confidence, that neglected all the necessary preparations. The two armies met in the morning; from seven to five the battle was fought with equal vigour; until at last the Norman army pretending to break in confusion, a stratagem to which they had been regularly formed, the English, elated with success, suffered that firm order, in which their

AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY

security consisted, to dissipate, which when William ob-

engagement, but whilst he flew from place to place, and in all places restored the battle, an arrow pierced his brain, and he died a king in a manner worthy of a warror. The English immediately fled, the rout was total, and the slaughter

The consternation which this defeat and the death of Harold produced over the kingdom, was more fatal than the defeat itself If William had marched directly to London, all contest had probably been at an end, but he judged it more prudent to secure the ser coast, to make way for rem forcements, distrusting his fortune in his success more than he had done in his first attempts He marched to Dover, where the effect of his victory was such, that the strong castle there surrendered without resistance Had this fort ress made any tolerable defence, the English would have had leisure to rouse from their consternation and plan some rational method for continuing the war, but now the con queror was on full march to London, whilst the English were debating concerning the measures they should take, and doubtful in what manner they should fill the vacant throne However, in this emergency it was necessary to take some resolution The party of Edgar Atheling prevailed, and he was owned king by the city of London, which even at this time was exceedingly powerful, and by the greatest part of the nobility then present But his reign was of a short duration William advanced by hasty marches, and as he approached, the perplexity of the English redoubled, they had done nothing for the defence of the city. They had no reliance on their new king, they suspected one another there was no authority, no order, no counsel, a confused and ill sorted assembly of unwarlike people, of priests

Were unable to plan ally mor outer a when he had passed the Thames and drew near to London,

the clergy, the citizens, and the greater part of the nobles, who had so lately set the crown on the head of Edgar, went out to meet him: they submitted to him, and having brought him in triumph to Westminster, he was there solemnly crowned King of England. The whole nation followed the example of London; and one battle gave England to the Normans, which had cost the Romans, the Saxons, and Danes, so much time and blood to acquire.

At first view it is very difficult to conceive how this could have happened to a powerful nation, in which it does not appear that the conqueror had one partisan. It stands a single event in history, unless, perhaps, we may compare it with the reduction of Ireland some time after by Henry the Second. An attentive consideration of the state of the kingdom at that critical time may, perhaps, in some measure lay open to us the cause of this extraordinary revolution.

The nobility of England, in which its strength consisted, was much decayed. Wars and confiscations, but above all the custom of Gavelkind, had reduced that body very low. At the same time some few families had been raised to a degree of power unknown in the ancient Saxon times, and dangerous in all. Large possessions, and a larger authority, were annexed to the offices of the Saxon magistrates, whom they called Aldermen. This authority, in their long and bloody wars with the Danes, it was found necessary to increase, and often to increase beyond the ancient limits. Aldermen were created for life; they were then frequently made hereditary; some were vested with a power over others; and at this period we begin to hear of dukes, who governed over several shires, and had many aldermen subject to them. These officers found means to turn the royal bounty into an instrument of becoming independent of its authority. great to obey, and too little to protect, they were a dead weight upon the country. They began to cast an eye on the Crown, and distracted the nation by cabals to compass their designs. At the same time they nourished the most terrible feuds amongst themselves. The feeble government of Edward established these abuses. He could find no method of humbling one subject grown too great, but by aggrandizing in the same excessive degree some others. Thus he endeavoured to balance the power of Earl Goodwin by exalting

Leofric Duke of Mercia, and Seward Duke of Northumberland, to an extravagant greatness The consequence was this, he did not humble Goodwin, but raised him potent rivals When, therefore, this prince died, the lawful successor to the Crown, who had nothing but right in his favour, was totally eclipsed by the splendour of the great men, who had adorned themselves with the spoils of royalty The throne was now the prize of faction, and Harold, the son of Goodwin, having the strongest faction, carried it By this success the op posite parties were inflamed with a new occasion of rancour and animosity, and an incurable discontent was raised in the minds of Edwin and Morcar, the sons of Duke Leofric, who inherited their father s power and popularity, but this animosity operated nothing in favour of the legitimate heir,

The death these evils.

quences of tl and Morear set on foot once more their practices to obtain the Crown, and when they found themselves baffled, they retired in discontent from the councils of the nation, withdrawing thereby a very large part of its strength and author-The council of the nation, which was formed of the clashing factions of a few great men, (for the rest were nothing,)-divided, disheartened, weakened, without head, without direction, dismayed by a terrible defeat,-submitted, because they saw no other course, to a conqueror, whose valour they had experienced, and who had hitherto behaved with great appearance of equity and moderation. As for the grandees, they were contented rather to submit to this foreign prince, than to those whom they regarded as their equals and enemies

With these causes other strong ones concurred For near two centuries the continual and bloody wars with the Danes had exhausted the nation, the peace, which for a long time they were obliged to buy dearly, exhausted it yet more, and it had not sufficient leisure, nor sufficient means of acquiring wealth, to yield at this time any extraordinary resources The new people, which after so long a struggle had mixed with the English, had not yet so thoroughly incorporated with the ancient inhabitants, that a perfect union might be expected

between them; or that any strong uniform national effort might have resulted from it. Besides, the people of England were the most backward in Europe in all improvements, whether in military or in civil life. Their towns were meanly built, and more meanly fortified; there was scarcely anything that deserved the name of a strong place in the kingdom; there was no fortress, which, by retarding the progress of a conqueror, might give the people an opportunity of recalling their spirits and collecting their strength. To these we may add, that the pope's approbation of William's pretensions gave them great weight, especially amongst the clergy; and that this disposed and reconciled to submission a people, whom the circumstances we have mentioned had before driven to it.

## CHAPTER VII.

OF THE LAWS AND INSTITUTIONS OF THE SAXONS.

Before we begin to consider the laws and institutions of the Saxons, let us take a view of the state of the country from whence they are derived, as it is portrayed in ancient This view will be the best comment on their instiwriters. tutions. Let us represent to ourselves a people without learning, without arts, without industry, solely pleased and occupied with war, neglecting agriculture, abhorring cities, and seeking their livelihood only from pasturage and hunting, through a boundless range of morasses and forests. Such a people must necessarily be united to each other by very feeble bonds; their ideas of government will necessarily be imperfect, their freedom and their love of freedom great. From these dispositions it must happen of course, that the intention of investing one person, or a few, with the whole powers of government, and the notion of deputed authority or representation, are ideas that never could have entered their imaginations. When, therefore, amongst such a people any resolution of consequence was to be taken, there was no way of effecting it but by bringing together the whole body of the nation, that every individual might consent to the law, and each reciprocally bind the other to the observation of it This polity, if so it may be called, subsists still

in all its simplicity in Poland But, as in such a society as we have mentioned, the people cannot be classed according to any political regulations, great

talents have a more ample sphere in which to exert them selves, than in a close and better formed society. These talents must therefore have attracted a great share of the public veneration, and drawn a numerous train after the person distinguished by them, of those who sought his protection, or feared his power, or admired his qualifications, or wished to form themselves after his example, or, in fine, of whoever desired to partake of his importance by being men tioned along with him These the ancient Gauls who nearly resembled the Germans in their customs, called Ambacti. the Romans called them Comites Over these their chief had a considerable power, and the more considerable, because it depended upon influence rather than institution, influence amongst so free a people being the principal source of power But this authority, great as it was, never could by its very nature be stretched to despotism, because any despotic act would have shocked the only principle by which that authority was supported, the general good opinion On the other hand, it could not have been bounded by any positive laws, because laws can hardly subsist amongst a people who have not the use of letters. It was a species of arbitrary power, softened by the popularity from whence it arose It came from popular opinion, and by popular opinion it was corrected

If people so barbarous as the Germans have no laws, they have yet customs, that serve in their room, and these cus-

toms operate amongst them better than laws, because they become a sort of nature both to the governors and the governed This circumstance in some measure removed all of a thouter and and the Germans to nent.

res These chiefs were a sort of judges, but not legislators, nor do they appear to have had a share in the superior branches of the executive part of government, the business

<sup>1</sup> They had no other nobility, yet several families amongst them were considered as noble

of peace and war, and everything of a public nature, being determined, as we have before remarked, by the whole body of the people, according to a maxim general among the Germans, that what concerned all ought to be handled by all. Thus were delineated the faint and incorrect outlines of our constitution, which has since been so nobly fashioned and so highly finished. This fine system, says Montesquieu, was invented in the woods; but whilst it remained in the woods, and for a long time after, it was far from being a fine one; no more indeed than a very imperfect attempt at government, a system for a rude and barbarous people, calculated to maintain them in their barbarity.

The ancient state of the Germans was military; so that the orders into which they were distributed, their subordination, their courts, and every part of their government, must

be deduced from an attention to a military principle.

The ancient German people, as all the other northern tribes, consisted of freemen and slaves; the freemen professed arms, the slaves cultivated the ground: But men were not allowed to profess arms at their own will, nor until they were admitted to that dignity by an established order, which at a certain age separated the boys from men. For when a young man approached to virility,1 he was not yet admitted as a member of the state, which was quite military, until he had been invested with a spear in the public assembly of his tribe; and then he was adjudged proper to carry arms, and also to assist in the public deliberations, which were always held armed.2 This spear he generally received from the hand of some old and respected chief, under whom he commonly entered himself, and was admitted among his followers. man could stand out as an independent individual, but must have enlisted in one of these military fraternities; and as soon as he had so enlisted, immediately he became bound to his leader in the strictest dependence, which was confirmed by an oath,4 and to his brethren in a common vow for their

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Arma sumere non ante cuiquam moris, quám civitas suffecturum probaverit.—Tacitus de Mor. Germ. 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nihil autem neque publicæ neque privatæ rei nisi armati agunt. Id. ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cateri robustioribus ac jam pridem probatis aggregantur. Id. ibid.

<sup>4</sup> Illum defendere, tueri, sua quoque fortia facta ejus gloriæ assignare præcipuum sacramentum est. Id. 14.

282

mutual support in all dangers, and for the advancement and the honour of their common chief This chief was styled senior, lord, and the like terms, which marked out a supemority in age and merit, the followers were called ambacti, comites, leuds, vassals, and other terms, marking submission and dependence This was the very first origin of civil, or rather military, government amongst the ancient people of Europe, and it arose from the connexion that necessarily was created between the person who gave the arms, or knighted the young man, and him that received them, which implied, that they were to be occupied in his service who originally gave them These principles it is necessary strictly to attend to, because they will serve much to explain the whole course both of government and real property, wherever the German nations obtained a settlement, the whole of their government depending for the most part upon two principles in our nature,-ambition, that makes one man desirous, at any hazard or expense, of taking the lead amongst others . and admiration, which makes others equally desirous of following him from the mere pleasure of admiration, and a sort of secondary ambition, one of the most universal passions among men These two principles, strong both of them in our nature, create a voluntary inequality and dependence But amongst equals in condition, there could be no such bond, and this was supplied by confederacy, and as the first of these principles created the senior and the knight, the second produced the conjurate fratres, which, sometimes as a more extensive, sometimes as a stricter bond, is perpetually mentioned in the old laws and histories

The relation between the lord and the vassal produced another effect,—that the leader was obliged to find sustenance for his followers, and to maintain them at his table, or give them some equivalent in order to their maintenance. It is plain from these principles, that this service on one hand, and this obligation to support on the other, could not have originally been hereditary, but must have been entirely in the free choice of the parties.

But it is impossible that such a polity could long have subsisted by election alone For in the first place, that natural love, which every man has to his own kindred, would make the chief willing to perpetuate the power and dignity

Ho.

he acquired in his own blood; and for that purpose, even during his own life, would raise his son, if grown up, or his collaterals, to such a rank, as they should find it only necessary to continue their possession upon his death. On the other hand, if a follower was cut off in war, or fell by natural course, leaving his offspring destitute, the lord could not so far forget the services of his vassal as not to continue his allowance to his children; and these again growing up, from reason and gratitude, could only take their knighthood at his hands from whom they had received their education; and thus, as it could seldom happen but that the bond, either on the side of the lord or dependant, was perpetuated, some families must have been distinguished by a long continuance of this relation, and have been therefore looked upon in an honourable light from that only circumstance, from whence honour was derived in the northern world. Thus nobility was seen in Germany; and in the earliest Anglo-Saxon times, some families were distinguished by the title of Ethelings, or of noble descent. But this nobility of birth was rather a qualification for the dignities of the state than an actual designation to them. The Saxon ranks are chiefly designed to ascertain the quantity of the composition for personal injuries against them.

. But though this hereditary relation was created very early, it must not be mistaken for such a regular inheritance as we see at this day: it was an inheritance only according to the principles from whence it was derived; by them it was modified. It was originally a military connexion; and if a father left his son under a military age, so as that he could neither lead nor judge his people, nor qualify the young men, who came up under him, to take arms;—in order to continue the cliental bond, and not to break up an old and strong confederacy, and thereby disperse the tribe; who should be pitched upon to head the whole, but the worthiest of blood of the deceased leader? he, that ranked next to him in his life: and this is Tanistry, which is a succession made up of ' inheritance and election; a succession, in which blood is inviolably regarded, so far as it was consistent with military purposes. It was thus that our kings succeeded to the

<sup>1</sup> Deputed authority, guardianship, &c. not known to the northern nations; they gained this idea by intercourse with the Romans.

throne throughout the whole time of the Anglo Saxon empire The first kings of the Franks succeeded in the same manner, and without all doubt the succession of all the inferior chief tains was regulated by a similar law Very frequent ex amples occur in the Saxon times, where the son of the deceased king, if under age, was entirely passed over, and his uncle, or some remoter relation, raised to the Crown, but there is not a single instance where the election has carried it out of the blood So that in truth the controversy, which has been managed with such heat, whether in the Saxon times the Crown was hereditary or elective, must be determined. in some degree, favourably for the litigants on either side. for it was certainly both hereditary and elective within the bounds which we have mentioned This order prevuled in Ireland, where the northern customs were retuned some hundreds of years after the rest of Europe had in a great measure receded from them Tanistry continued in force there until the beginning of the last century And we have greatly to regret the narrow notions of our lawyers, who abolished the authority of the Brehon law, and at the same

, manners of this and other countries, had been preserved, that

hat of the immediate possessor, as being, in case of an accident arising to the chief, the presumptive heir, and him on whom the hope of the family was fixed. And this is upon the principles of Tanistry, and the rule seems to have taken such deep root, as to have much influenced a considerable article of our feudal law. For what is very singular, and I take it, otherwise unaccountable, a collateral warranty bound even without any descending assets, where the lineal did not, unless something descended, and this subsisted invariably in the

law until this century

Thus we have seen the foundation of the northern government, and the orders of their people, which consisted of dependence and confederacy—that the principal end of both was military, that protection and maintenance were due on

the part of the chief, obedience on that of the follower: that the followers should be bound to each other, as well as to the chief: that this headship was not at first hereditary, but that it continued in the blood by an order of its own, called Tanistry.

All these unconnected and independent parts were only linked together by a common council; and here religion interposed. Their priests, the Druids, having a connexion throughout each state, united it. They called the assembly of the people; and here their general resolutions were taken; and the whole might rather be called a general confederacy, than a government. In no other bonds, I conceive, were they united before they quitted Germany. In this ancient state we know them from Tacitus. Then follows an immense gap, in which undoubtedly some changes were made by time; and we hear little more of them until we find them Christians, and makers of written laws.

In this interval of time the origin of kings may be traced out. When the Saxons left their own country in search of new habitations, it must be supposed that they followed their leaders, whom they so much venerated at home; but as the wars, which made way for their establishment, continued for a long time, military obedience made them familiar with a stricter authority. A subordination too became necessary among the leaders of each band of adventurers: and being habituated to yield an obedience to a single person in the field, the lustre of his command, and the utility of the institution, easily prevailed upon them to suffer him to form the band of their union, in time of peace, under the name of king. But the leader neither knew the extent of the power he received, nor the people of that which they bestowed. Equally unresolved were they about the method of perpetuating it; sometimes filling the vacant throne by election without regard to, but more frequently regarding, the blood of the deceased prince; but it was late before they fell into any regular plan of succession, if ever the Anglo-Saxons attained it. their polity was formed slowly; the prospect clears up by little and little; and this species of an irregular republic we see turned into a monarchy as irregular. It is no wonder that the advocates for the several parties among us find something to favour their several notions in the Saxon government, which was never supported by any fixed or uniform principle

To comprehend the other parts of the government of our ancestors, we must take notice of the orders into which they were classed. As well as we can judge in so obscure a matter, they were divided into nobles or gentlemen; freeholders, freemen that were not freeholders, and slaves. Of these last we have little to say, as they were nothing in the state The nobles were called Thanes, or servants It must be remembered, that the German chiefs were raised to that honourable rank by those qualifications, which drew after them a numerous train of followers and dependants <sup>1</sup> If it was honourable to be followed by a numerous train, so it was

tendance on his person When a monarchy was formed, the

suitable attendance and sway amongst the people To sup-

him in both of these, it was necessary that he should have a competent estate Therefore, in this service of the king, this attendance on himself, and this estate to support

he either gave for life or lives to his followers, men of a liberal condition, who served the greater thane, as he himself served the king They were called Under Thanes, or according to the

Jud Civ Lond apud Wilk, post, p 68

language of that time, Theoden.1 They served their lord in all public business; they followed him in war; and they sought justice in his court in all their private differences. These may be considered as freeholders of the better sort, or indeed a sort of lesser gentry; therefore as they were not the absolute dependants, but in some measure the peers, of their lord, when they sued in his court they claimed the privilege of all the German freemen, the right of judging one another; the lord's steward was only the register. This domestic court, which continued in full vigour for many ages, the Hallmote or Saxons called Hallmote, from the place in which Court-Baron. it was held; the Normans, who adopted it, named it a Court-Baron. This court had another department, in which the power of the lord was more absolute. From the most ancient times the German nobility considered themselves as the natural judges of those who were employed in the cultivation of their lands; looking on husbandmen with contempt, and only as a parcel of the soil which they tilled; to these the Saxons commonly allotted some part of their out-lands to hold as tenants-at-will, and to perform very low services for them. The differences of these inferior tenants were decided in the lord's court, in which his steward sat as judge; and this manner of tenure probably gave an origin to copyholders.<sup>2</sup> Their estates were at will, but their persons were free; nor can we suppose that villains, if we consider villains as synonymous to slaves, could ever by any natural course have risen to copyholders; because the servile condition of the villain's person would always have prevented that stable tenure in the lands, which the copyholders came to in very early time. The merely servile part of the nation seems never to have been known by the name of villains or Ceorles; but by those of Bordars, Esnes, and Theowes.

As there were large tracts throughout the country not subject to the jurisdiction of any thane, the inhabitants of which were probably some remains of the ancient Britons not reduced to absolute slavery, and such Saxons as had not attached themselves to the fortunes of any leading man, it

<sup>1</sup> Spelman of Feuds, ch. 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fuerunt etiam in conquestu liberi homines, qui liberè tenuerunt tenementa sua per libera servitia vel per liberas consuetudines. For the original of Copyholds, see Bracton, I. i. fo. 7.

was proper to find some method of uniting and governing these detached parts of the nation, which had not been brought into order by any private dependence. To answer this end, the whole kingdom was divided into shires, these into lunture deeds, and the hundreds into tithings. This

ed, by King Alfred,

regulations concerni wherever the northern nations had obtained a settlement, and it is a species of order extremely obvious to all who use the decimal notation, when for the purposes of government they divide a county, tens and hundreds are the first modes of division which occur The tithing, which was the smallest of these divisions, consisted of ten heads of families, free, and of some consideration These held a court every fortnight, which they called the Folkmote, or Leet, and there became reciprocally bound to each other, and to the public, for their own peaceable behaviour and that of their families and dependants Every man in the kingdom, except those who belonged to the seigneurial courts we have mentioned, was obliged to enter himself into some tithing, to this he was inseparably attached, nor could be by any means quit it without heence from the head of the tithing, because, if he was guilty of any medemeanor, his district was obliged to produce him, or pay his fine In this manner was the whole nation, as it were, held under sureties, a species of regulation undoubtedly very wise with regard to the preservation of peace and order, but equally prejudical to all improve-ment in the minds or the fortunes of the people, who, fixed invariably to the spot, were depressed with all the ideas of their original littleness, and by all that envy, which is sure

illi ut domino suo regi intra et extra regnum universum Britanniæ fideles esse volunt. LL Ed Conf. c. 35. Of Heretoches and their election, vide Id eodem.

Prohibitum erat et am in eadem lege no quis emeret virum animal rel pannum usitatum sino plegus et bonis testibus Of other particulars of buying and selling, vide Leges Ed Conf 38 to arise in those, who see their equals attempting to mount over them. This rigid order deadened by degrees the spirit of the English, and narrowed their conceptions. Everything was new to them, and therefore everything was terrible; all activity, boldness, enterprise, and invention died away. There may be a danger in straining too strongly the bonds of government; as a life of absolute licence tends to turn men into savages. The other extreme of constraint operates much in the same manner; it reduces them to the same ignorance, but leaves them nothing of the savage spirit. These regulations helped to keep the people of England the most backward in Europe; for though the division into shires, and hundreds, and tithings, was common to them with the neighbouring nations, yet the Frankplege seems to be a peculiarity in the English constitution; and for good reasons they have fallen into disuse, though still some traces of them are to be found in our laws.

Ten of these tithings made an hundred; here Hundred court. in ordinary course they held a monthly court for the centenary, when all the suitors of the subordinate tithings attended. Here were determined causes concerning breaches of the peace, small debts, and such matters as rather

required a speedy than a refined justice.

There was in the Saxon constitution a great simplicity. The higher order of courts were but the transcript of the lower, somewhat more extended in their objects and in their power; and their power over the inferior courts proceeded only from their being a collection of them all. The county or shire court was the great resort (for the four great courts of record did not then exist). It served to unite all the inferior districts with one another, and those with the private jurisdiction of the thanes. had no fixed place. The alderman of the shire appointed it. Hither came to account for their own conduct, and that of those beneath them, the bailiffs of hundreds, and tithings, and boroughs, with their people; the thanes of either rank, with their dependents; a vast concourse of the clergy of all orders; in a word, of all who sought or distributed justice. this mixed assembly the obligations contracted in the inferior courts were renewed; a general oath of allegiance to the king was taken; and all debates between the several infe290

rior co-ordinate jurisdictions, as well as the causes of too much weight for them, finally determined In this court

sat the bishop, to decide in whatever related to the church, and to mitigate the rigour of the law by the in terposition of equity, according to the species of mild justice that suited the ecclesiastical character. It appears by the ancient Saxon laws, that the bishop was the chief acting per son in this court The reverence in which the clergy were then held, th

to the powe ruse him fa

his court

lenity of the Saxon laws The canons forbade the hishons to meddle in cases of blood Amongst the ancient Gauls and Germans the Druid could alone condemn to death that on the introduction of Christianity there was none, who could, in ordinary course, sentence a man to capital punishment Necessity alone forced it in a few cases

ntly

the monty met i suscems equally come to a the A conjecture of Spelman

He conceives that there

- - c whom king

> nature cracv. myer ounty

court, wherein all the ordinary business of the matter was then transacted, the state would have hardly differed from 1 - 41 a 1 no lad in every county a pure - to reward large

uch worse and p n destitute condit of a magistrate to take care of his rights and to do justice to

his numerous vassals It appears, as well as we can judge in

so obscure a matter, that the popular alderman was elected for a year only; and that the royal alderman held his place at the king's pleasure. This latter office, however, in process of time, was granted for life; and it grew afterwards to be hereditary in many shires.

We cannot pretend to say when the sheriff came to be substituted in the place of the ealdorman; some authors think King Alfred the contriver of this regulation. It might have arisen from the nature of the thing itself. As several persons, of consequence enough to obtain by their interest or power the place of alderman, were not sufficiently qualified to perform the duty of the office, they contented themselves with the honorary part, and left the judicial province to their substitute. The business of the robe to a rude martial people was contemptible and disgusting. The thanes, in their private jurisdictions, had delegated their power of judging to their Reeves or stewards; and the earl, or alderman, who was in the shire what the thane was in his manor, for the same reasons officiated by his deputy, the Shire-reeve. This is the origin of the Sheriff's Tourn, which decided in all affairs, civil and criminal, of whatever importance; and from which there lay no appeal but to the Wittenagemote. Now there scarce remains the shadow of a body, formerly so great; the judge being reduced almost wholly to a ministerial officer; and to the court there being left nothing more than the cognizance of pleas under forty shillings, unless by a particular writ or special commission. But by what steps such a revolution came on, it will be our business hereafter to inquire.

The Wittenagemote or Saxon parliament, the wittenagesupreme court, had authority over all the rest, mote upon any principle of subordination, but because it was formed of all the rest. In this assembly, which was held annually, and sometimes twice a year, sat the earls and bishops, and greater thanes, with the other officers of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Sheriff in the Norman times was merely the king's officer; not the earl's. The earl retained his ancient fee without jurisdiction; the sheriff did all the business. The elective sheriff must have disappeared on the conquest, for then all land was the king's, either immediately or mediately, and therefore his officer-governed.

Crown 1 So far as we can judge by the style of the Saxon laws, none but the thanes, or nobility, were considered as necessary constituent parts of this assembly, at least whilst

rather in the way of acclamation, than by the exercise of a deliberative This may be explained inferior as semblies A ded at the county courts, but they did not go there as judges, they went to sue for justice, to be informed of their duty, and to be bound to the performance of it Thus all sorts of people attended at the Wittenagemotes not to make laws, but to attend at the promulgation of the laws,2 as among so free a people every institution must have wanted much of its necessary authority, if not confirmed by the general approbation Lambard is of opinion that in these early times the commons sat, as they do at this day, by representation from shires and boroughs, and he supports his opinion by very plausible reasons A notion of this kind, so contrary to the simplicity of the Saxon ideas of government, and to the

genius of that people, who held the arts and commerce in so

offering the king a sum, Irom itizens were not at liberty to

recede, and in either case the demand was exacted with severity, and even cruelty A great difference is made between taxing them, and those who cultivate lands, because, says my author, their property is easily concealed, they live penuriously, are intent by all methods to increase their substance, and their immense wealth is not easily exhausted

\* Hence perhaps, all men are supposed cognizant of the law

<sup>1</sup> How this assembly was composed or by what right the members sat m it I cannot by any means satisfy myself What is here said is I beheve nearest to the truth

Such was their barbarous notion of trade, and its importance. The same author, speaking of the severe taxation, and violent method of extorting it, observes, that it is a very proper method; and that it is very just, that a degenerate officer or other freeman, rejecting his condition for sordid gain, should

be punished beyond the common law of freemen.

I take it, that those, who held by ancient demesne, did not prescribe simply not to contribute to the expenses of the knight of the shire; but they prescribed, as they did in all cases, upon a general principle, to pay no tax, nor to attend any duty of whatever species, because they were the king's villains. The argument is drawn from the poverty of the boroughs, which ever since the conquest have been of no cosideration, and yet send members to parliament; which they could not do but by some privileges inherent in them, on account of a practice of the same kind in the Saxon times, when they were of more repute. It is certain, that many places now called boroughs were formerly towns or villages in ancient demesne of the king; and had, as such, writs directed to them to appear in parliament, that they might make a free gift or benevolence as the boroughs did; and from thence arose the custom of summoning them. This appears by sufficient records. And it appears by records also, that it was much at the discretion of the sheriff what boroughs he should return; a general writ was directed to him to return for all the boroughs in a shire; sometimes boroughs which had formerly sent members to parliament were quite passed over, and others never considered as such before were returned. What is called the prescription on this occasion was rather a sort of rule to direct the sheriff in the execution of his general power, than a right inherent in any boroughs. But this was long after the time of which we speak. In whatever manner we consider it, we must own that this subject, during the Saxon times, is extremely dark. One thing however is, I think, clear from the whole tenour of their government, and even from the tenour of the Norman constitution long after,—that their Wittenagemotes, or parliaments, were unformed, and that the rights, by which the members held their seats, were far from being exactly ascertained. The Judicia Civitat. Lond. afford a tolerable insight into the Saxon method of making and executing laws:—1st,

マン・

The king called together his bishops, and such other persons

means of mutual strength and common charge, to prosecute N - 1 1 41 - 5441

equal uncertainty i

the assent of his wiestimation of royalty

sembly 'That order is now reversed. An these things are, I think, sufficient to show of what a visionary nature those systems are, which would settle the ancient constitution in the most remote times exactly in the same form in which we enjoy it at this day, not considering that such mighty changes in manners, during so many ages, always must produce a considerable change in laws, and in the forms as well as the

> he laws passed in of proceeding in

·nore to the strictsimple manners of

their people, for the preservation of peace and order, than to accuracy or exquisite digestion of their laws, or to the severity

i Dobet enim rez omnia facere in regno et per judusum procerum gni. Debet justiliam per consilium procerum regni sui tenere. Leges

of the punishments which they inflicted.1 The laws which remain to us of that people seem almost to regard two points only, the suppressing of riots and affrays, and the regulation of the several ranks of men in order to adjust the fines for delinquencies according to the dignity of the person offended, or to the quantity of the offence. In all other respects their laws seem very imperfect. They often speak in the style of counsel as well as that of command. In the collection of laws attributed to Alfred we have the decalogue transcribed, with no small part of the Levitical law; in the same code are inserted many of the Saxon institutions, though these two laws were in all respects as opposite as could possibly be imagined. These indisputable monuments of our ancient rudeness are a very sufficient confutation of the panegyrical declamations, in which some persons would persuade us that the crude institutions of an unlettered people had attained a height, which the united efforts of necessity, learning, inquiry, and experience, can hardly reach to in many ages. We must add, that, although as one people under one head there was some resemblance in the laws and customs of our Saxon ancestors throughout the kingdom, yet there was a considerable difference, in many material points, between the customs of the several shires; nay, that in different manors subsisted a variety of laws, not reconcilable with each other, some of which custom, that caused them, has abrogated; others have been overruled by laws, or public judgment, to the contrary; not a few subsist to this time.

The Saxon laws, imperfect and various as they were, served in some tolerable degree a people, who had by their constitution an eye on each other's concerns, and decided almost all matters of any doubt amongst them by methods, which, however inadequate, were extremely simple. They judged every controversy either by the conscience of the parties, or by the country's opinion of it, or what they judged an appeal to Providence. They were unwilling to submit to the trouble of weighing contradictory testimonies; and they were desti-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The non-observance of a regulation of police was always heavily punished by barbarous nations. A slighter punishment was inflicted upon the commission of crimes. Among the Saxons most crimes were punished by fine: wandering from the highway, without sounding a horn, was death. So among the Druids, to enforce exactness in time at their meetings, he that came last after the time appointed was punished with death.

The king called together his bishops, and such other persons

delinquents against them. Nor did there at that time seem to be any other method of enforcing new laws or old. For as the very form of their government subsisted by a confederacy continually renewed, so when a law was made, it was necessary for its execution to have again recourse to confederacy, which was the great, and I should almost say the only, principle of the Anglo-Saxon government

What rights the king had in this assembly is a matter of equal uncertainty. The laws generally run in his name, with the assent of his wise men, &c. But considering the low estimation of royalty in those days this may rither he constitution.

estimation of royalty in those days, this may rather be con-

from his s digest-

neral asngs are, e those systems are, which would settle the ancient constitution in

of settles are, which would settle the antical constitution in which we

siderable change in laws, and in the forms as well as the powers of all governments

We shall next consider the nature of the laws passed in these assemblies, and the judicious manner of proceeding in these several courts, which we have described

Saxon Laws The Anglo-Saxons trusted more to the strictness of their police, and to the simple manners of their people, for the preservation of peace and order, than to accuracy or exquisite digestion of their laws, or to the severity

1 Debet enım rex omnıa fücere in regno et per juducum procerum regni Debet justitiam per consilium procerum regni sui tenere Leges VA 7 of the punishments which they inflicted.\(^1\) The laws which remain to us of that people seem almost to regard two points only, the suppressing of riots and affrays, and the regulation of the several ranks of men in order to adjust the fines for delinquencies according to the dignity of the person offended, or to the quantity of the offence. In all other respects their laws seem very imperfect. They often speak in the style of counsel as well as that of command. In the collection of laws attributed to Alfred we have the decalogue transcribed, with no small part of the Levitical law; in the same code are inserted many of the Saxon institutions, though these two laws were in all respects as opposite as could possibly be imagined. These indisputable monuments of our ancient rudeness are a very sufficient confutation of the panegyrical declamations, in which some persons would persuade us that the crude institutions of an unlettered people had attained a height, which the united efforts of necessity, learning, inquiry, and experience, can hardly reach to in many ages. We must add, that, although as one people under one head there was some resemblance in the laws and customs of our Saxon ancestors throughout the kingdom, yet there was a considerable difference, in many material points, between the customs of the several shires; nay, that in different manors subsisted a variety of laws, not reconcilable with each other, some of which custom, that caused them, has abrogated; others have been overruled by laws, or public judgment, to the contrary; not a few subsist to this time.

The Saxon laws, imperfect and various as they were, served in some tolerable degree a people, who had by their constitution an eye on each other's concerns, and decided almost all matters of any doubt amongst them by methods, which, however inadequate, were extremely simple. They judged every controversy either by the conscience of the parties, or by the country's opinion of it, or what they judged an appeal to Providence. They were unwilling to submit to the trouble of weighing contradictory testimonies; and they were desti-

The non-observance of a regulation of police was always heavily punished by barbarous nations. A slighter punishment was inflicted upon the commission of crimes. Among the Saxons most crimes were punished by fine: wandering from the highway, without sounding a horn, was death. So among the Druids, to enforce exactness in time at their meetings, he that came last after the time appointed was punished with death.

tute of those critical rules, by which evidence is sifted, the

298

in the

he demed the debt, or the crime, with which he was charged, he was of course acquitted But when the first ferrours of re

ate judgment of God Their trials were so many conjura

. . .

language signifies the Great Trial This trial was made either by fire or water, that by fire was principally reserved for persons of rank, that by water decided the fate of the vulgar, sometimes it was at the choice of the party A piece of iron, kept with a religious veneration in some monastery, which claimed this privilege as an honour, was brought forth into the church upon the day of trial, and it was there again con secrated to this awful purpose by a form of service still ex tant A solemn mass was performed, and then the party accused appeared surrounded by the clergy, by his judges, and a vast concourse of people, suspended and anxious for the event, all that assisted purified themselves by a fast of three days, and the accused, who had undergone the same fast, and received the sacrament, took the consecrated iron of about a pound weight, heated red, in his naked hand and in that manner carried it nine feet. This done, the hand was wrapped up, and sealed in the presence of the whole assembly Three nights being passed the seals were opened before all the people, if the hand was found without any sore inflicted by the fire, the party was cleared with universal acclamation, of on the contrary a raw sore appeared the party, condemned by the judgment of Heaven, had no further plea or appeal Sometimes the accused walked over nine hot irons, some times boiling water was used, into this the man dipped his hand to the arm The judgment by water was accompanied by the solemnity of the same ceremonies The culprit was thrown into a pool of water, in which if he did not sink he

was adjudged guilty, as though the element (they said) to which they had committed the trial of his innocency had rejected him.

Both these species of ordeal, though they equally appealed to God, yet went on different principles. In the fire ordeal a miracle must be wrought to acquit the party; in the water, a miracle was necessary to convict him. Is there any reason for this extraordinary distinction, or must we resolve it solely into the irregular caprices of the human mind? The greatest genius which has enlightened this age, seems in this affair to have been carried by the sharpness of his wit into a subtilty hardly to be justified by the way of thinking of that unpolished period. Speaking of the reasons for introducing this method of trial, "Qui ne voit," says he, "que chez un peuple exercé à manier des armes la peau rude et calleuse ne devoit pas recevoir assez l'impression du fer chaud pour qu'il y paroissoit trois jours après; et s'il y paroissoit c'est une marque que celui qui faisoit l'épreuve, étoit un esséminé." And this mark of esseminacy, he observes, in those warlike times, supposed that the man has resisted the principles of his education, that he is insensible to honour, and regardless of the opinion of his country. But supposing the effect of hot iron to be so slight even on the most callous hands, of which however there is reason to doubt, yet we can hardly admit this reasoning, when we consider that women were subjected to this fire ordeal, and that no other women than those of condition could be subjected to it. Montesquieu answers the objection, which he foresaw would be made, by remarking, that women might have avoided this proof, if they could find a champion to combat in their favour; and he thinks a just presumption might be formed against a woman of rank, who was so destitute of friends as to find no protector. It must be owned that the barbarous people all over Europe were much guided by presumptions in all their judicial proceedings; but how shall we reconcile all this with the custom of the Anglo-Saxons, among whom the ordeal was in constant use, and even for women, without the alternative of the combat, to which it appears this people were entire strangers? What presumption can arise from the event of the water ordeal, in which no callosity of hands, no bravery, no skill in arms, could be in any degree serviceable?

The causes of both may, with more success, be sought amongst the superstitious ideas of the ancient northern world Amongst the Germans the administration of the law was in the hands of the priests or Druids 1 And as the Druid worship paid the highest respect to the elements of fire and water, it was very natural that they, who abounded with so many conjurations for the discovery of doubtful facts, or future events, should make use of these elements in their divination It may appear the greater wonder how the people came to continue so long, and with such obstinacy, after the introduction of Christianity, and in spite of the frequent injunctions of the pope, whose authority was then much venerated, in the use of a species of proof, the insufficiency of which a thousand examples might have detected But this is perhaps not so unaccountable Persons were not put to this trial unless there was pretty strong evidence against them something sufficient to form what is equivalent to a Corpus Delicti, they must have been actually found guilty by the duodecemi irale judicium, before they could be subjected in any sort to the ordeal It was in effect showing the accused an indulgence to give him this chance, even such a chance as it was, of an acquittal, and it was certainly much milder than the torture, which is used with full as I little certainty of producing its end among the most civilized nations And the ordeal without question frequently operated by the mere terror Many persons, from a dread of the event, chose to discover rather than to endure the trial Of those that did endure it many must certainly have been guilty The innocency of some who suffered could never be known with certainty Others by accident might have esca How long weig

weig did How long withcraft, though experience might, to thinking persons, have fre quently discovered the minstice of that proceeding whilst to the generality a thousand equivocal appearances, confessions from fear of weakness, in fine, the torrent of popular



the se . fact If the matte mote. ended

300

the full Shireandemned, dein the cause

But then it was necessary that all should agree, for it does not appear that our ancestors, in those days, conceived how any assembly could be supposed to give an assent to a point concerning which several, who composed that assembly, thought differently. They had no idea that a body, composed of several, could not by the opinion of a small majority But experience having shown that this method of trial was tumultuary and uncertain, they corrected it by the idea of The party concerned was no longer put to compurgation his oath, he simply pleaded, the compurgators swore as before in ancient times, therefore the jury were strictly from the neighbourhood, and were supposed to have a personal knowledge of the man and the fact They were rather a sort of evidence than judges, and from hence is derived that singularity in our laws, that most of our judgments are given upon verdict, and not upon evidence, contrary to the laws of most other countries Neither are our juries bound, except by one particular statute, and in particular cases, to observe any positive testimony, but are at liberty to judge upon preons These are the first rude chalkings out of our

The Saxons were extremely imperfect in ideas of law, the civil institutions of the Romans, who

were the legislators of mankind, having never reached them The order of our courts, the discipline of our jury, by which it is become so elaborate a contrivance, and the introduction of a sort of scientific reason in the law, have been the work of ages

As the Saxon laws did not suffer any transaction, whether of the sale of land or goods, to pass but in the shire, and be fore witnesses, so all controversies of them were concluded by what they called the scyre witness 1 This was tried by the oaths of the parties, by in a roce testimony, and the producing of charters and records Then the people, luty and clergy, whether by plurality of votes, or by what other means is not very certain, affirmed the testimony in favour

1 Si quis terram defenderit testimonio provincia &c Leges Canuti,

&c , and sethe land gewerod hebbe be soyre gewitnesse

of one of the claimants. Then the proceeding was signed, first by those who held the court, and then by the persons who affirmed the judgment, who also swore to it in the same manner.<sup>1</sup>

The Saxons were extremely moderate in their punishments; murder and treason were compounded; and a fine set for every offence. Forfeiture for felony was incurred only by those that fled. The punishment with death was very rare; with torture unknown. In all ancient nations, the punishment of crimes was in the family injured by them; particularly in case of murder. This brought deadly feuds amongst the people, which, in the German nations particularly, subsisted through several generations. But as a fruitless revenge could answer little purpose to the parties injured, and was ruinous to the public peace, by the interposal of good offices they were prevailed upon to accept some composition in lieu of the blood of the aggressor, and peace was restored. The Saxon government did little more than act the part of arbitrator between the contending parties, exacted the payment of this composition, and reduced it to a certainty. However, the king, as the sovereign of all, and the sheriff, as the judicial officer, had their share in those fines. This unwillingness to shed blood, which the Saxon customs gave rise to, the Christian religion confirmed. Yet was it not altogether so imperfect as to have no punishment adequate to those great delinquencies which tend entirely to overturn a state,—public robbery, murder of the lord.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See in Madox, the case in Bishop of Bathes court. See also Brady, 272, where the witnesses on one side offer to swear, or join battle with the other.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Parentibus occisi fiat emendatio vel guerra eorum portetur, unde Anglicè proverbium habetur, Bige spere of side, oththe bær. Eme lanceam à latere, aut fer. Leg. Edward. 12.

The fines on the town or hundred.

Parentes murdrati sex Marcas haberent. Rex quadraginta. [This different from the ancient usage, where the king had half.] Si parentes deessent, dominus ejus reciperet. Si dominum non haberet, filagus ejus, id est, fide cum eo ligatus. LL. Inæ, 75.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Purveyance, vide Leges Canuti, 67.

Si quis intestatus ex hac vita decedat, sive sit per negligentiam ejus, sive per mortem subitaneam, tunc non assumat sibi dominus plus possessionis (æhta) ipsius quam justum armamentum; sed post mortem possessio (æht-

As amongst the Anglo-Saxons government deerttion pended in some measure upon land property, it will not be amiss to say something upon their manner of holding and inheriting their lands. It must not be forgot, that the Germans were of Scythian original, and had preserved that way of life, and those peculiar manners, which distinguished the parent nation. As the Scythians lived principally by pasturage and hunting, from the nature of that way of employment they were continually changing their habitations. But even in this case some small degree of agri

culture was carried on and therefore some sort of division of property became necessary division was made among each tribe by its proper chief. But their shares were allotted to the several individuals only for a year, lest they should come to attach themselves to any certain habitation, a settlement being wholly contrary to the genius of the Seythian manners

Campestres melius Scythæ, Q torum plaustra rayas rite trahunt domos Lieur Let rigidi Cetæ Immetata quibus jugera liberas Fruges et Cererem ferunt Nec cultura placet longior annua

This custom of an annual property probably Estates for life continued amongst the Germans as long as they remained in their own country, but when their conquests

carried them into other parts, another object besides the pos session of the land arose, which obliged them to make a change in this particular In the distribution of the con quered lands, the ancient possessors of them became an object of consideration, and the management of these became one of the principal branches of their polity dient towards holding them in perfect subjection, that they should be habituated to obey one person, and that a kind of cliental relation should be created between them, therefore the land with the slaves, and the people in a state next to slavery annexed to it, were bestowed for life in the general dis-

tribution When life-estates were once granted, Inheritance it seemed a natural consequence, that inheritances

gescyft) ejus quam justissime distribuatur uzori et liberis et propinquis cognatis, cuilibet pro dignitate que ad eum pertinet Leges Canuti 68

should immediately supervene. When a durable connexion is created between a certain man and a certain portion of land by a possession for his whole life, and when his children have grown up, and have been supported on that land, it seems so great a hardship to separate them, and to deprive thereby the family of all means of subsisting, that nothing could be more generally desired, nor more reasonably allowed, than an inheritance; and this reasonableness was strongly enforced by the great change wrought in their affairs when life-estates were granted. Whilst, according to the ancient custom, lands were only given for a year, there was a rotation so quick, that every family came in its turn to be easily provided for, and had not long to wait; but the children of a tenant for life, when they lost the benefit of their father's possession, saw themselves as it were immured upon every side by the life-estates, and perceived no reasonable hope of a provision from any new arrangement. These inheritances began very early in England. By a law of King Alfred it appears that they were then of a very ancient establishment; and as such inheritances were intended for great stability, they fortified them by charters; and therefore they were called Book-land. This was done with regard to the possessions of the better sort; the meaner, who were called Ceorles, if they did not live in a dependence on some thane, held their small portions of land as an inheritance likewise; not by charter, but by a sort of prescription. This was called Folk-land. These estates of inheritance, both the greater and the meaner, were not fiefs; they were to all purposes allodial, and had hardly a single property of a feud; they descended equally to all the children, males and females, according to the custom of Gavelkind, a custom absolutely contrary to the genius of the feudal tenure; and whenever estates were granted in the later Saxon times by the bounty of the Crown, with an intent that they should be inheritable, so far were they from being granted with the complicated load of all the feudal services annexed, that in all the charters of that kind which subsist, they are bestowed with a full power of alienation, et liberi ab omni seculari gravamine. This was the general condition of those inheritances, which were derived from the right of original conquest, as well to all the soldiers as to the

301

leader, and these estates, as it is said, were not even forfeitable, no not for filony, as if that were in some sort the necessary consequence of an inheritable estate. So far were supported they from resembling a field But there were

other possessions, which bore a nearer resemblance to fiefs, at least in their first feeble and infantile state of the tenure, than those inheritances which were held by an absolute right in the proprietor The great officers, who attended the court, commanded armies, or distributed justice, must necessarily be paid and supported, but in what manner could they be paid? In money they could not, because there was very little money then in Europe, and scarce any part of that little came into the prince's coffers The only method of paying them was by allotting lands for their subsistence whilst they remained in his service | For this reason, in the original distribution, tast tracts of land were left in the hands of the king If any served the king in a military command, his land may be said to have been in some sort held by knight service If the tenant was in an office about the king's person, this gave rise to sergeantry, the persons who cultivated his lands may be considered as holding by soccage But the long train of services, that made afterwards the learning of the tenures, were then not thought of, because these fouds, if we may so call them, had not then come to be inheritances, which circumstance of inheritance gave rise to the whole foudal system With the Anglo-Saxons the fends continued to the last but a sort of pay or salary of office The Trinoda necessitas, so much spoken of, which was to attend the king in his expeditions, and to contribute to the building of bridges, and repair of highways, never bound the lands by way of tenure, but as a political regulation, which equally affected every class and condition of men,

and every species of possession

The manner of succeeding to lands in England at this
period was, as we have observed, by Gavelkind,

perty of the land was in all respects similar to that of goods, and had nothing of jurisdiction annexed to it; the government there was a merely political institution. Amongst such a people the custom of distribution could be of no ill consequence, because it only affected property. But Gavelkind amongst the Saxons was very prejudicial; for as government was annexed to a certain possession in land, this possession, which was continually changing, kept the government in a very fluctuating state; so that their civil polity had in it an essential evil, which contributed to the sickly condition in which the Anglo-Saxon state always remained, as well as to its final dissolution.

## BOOK III.—CHAPTER I.

VIEW OF THE STATE OF EUROPE AT THE TIME OF THE NORMAN INVASION.

Before the period of which we are going to treat, England was little known or considered in Europe. Their situation, their domestic calamities, and their ignorance, circumscribed the views and politics of the English within the bounds of their own island. But the Norman conqueror threw down all these barriers. The English laws, manners, and maxims, were suddenly changed; the scene was enlarged; and the communication with the rest of Europe being thus opened, has been preserved ever since in a continued series of wars and negotiations. That we may therefore enter more fully into the matters which lie before us, it is necessary that we understand the state of the neighbouring continent at the time when this island first came to be interested in its affairs.

The northern nations, who had overran the Roman empire, were at first rather actuated by avarice than ambition, and were more intent upon plunder than conquest; they were carried beyond their original purposes, when they began to form regular governments, for which they had been prepared by no just ideas of legislation. For a long time, therefore, there was little of order in their affairs, or foresight in their The Goths, the Burgundians, the Franks, the VOL. VI.

Variate, the total after they had pressuled over the Homan energy, by turned travailed ever each offermice at mad war, with which carried on upon to plant plant of determinate plant, externed unto upon returned to tablety and capture, as for had a fettine and rules a brone chanced to pressl. To make a sarely cool to mercaps and the face of Proper. and an about ty mate siven the transactions of that time. which a ffers us to discours suthing but its extreme bar 1 20 \*\*

Ikino the elections the depend the Permone, another touls of basham no form the south an mated by a fury not to the that which pase attempth to the cottlern trugitors, has be absented by ently again and populated by subordinato manish form for my tomas to entry their arms their man tires and relim in this every part of the universe. Spain was entirely overwhelmed by the terrent of their armies, Itale, and the utants were farmed by their fleets and all I in waterried by their sim rous and Irregiont enters rises Italy, who hal so I ng eat the mutress of the world, was by turn the stare of all rate on The possession of that fine counter was I the disputed between the Greek emperor and the Lord and and it suffered infinitely by that contintion Germany, the parent of sor my nations, was exhausted by the amore and e half sont of road

However, in the rulet of this chaos there were principles at work which reduced things to a certain form, and gradually ur fided a system, in which the chief movers and main springs were the papaland the imperial powers, the aggrandist ment or distinction of which have been the drift of all most all the politics intrigues and wars, which have employed and districted I trope to this day

I ron Rome the whole western world had received its Clristianity She was the asylum of what learning had cicaped the general desolation, and eren in her runs she

looked but a little war into the consequences of their actions The rudeness of the world was very favourable for the establishment of an empire of opinion. The moderation, with which the pores at first exerted this empire, made its growth unfelt until it could no longer be opposed. And the policy of later popes, building on the piety of the first, continually increased it; and they made use of every instrument but that of force. They employed equally the virtues and the crimes of the great; they favoured the lust of kings for absolute authority, and the desire of subjects for liberty; they provoked war, mediated peace; and took advantage of every turn in the minds of men, whether of a public or private nature, to extend their influence, and push their power from ecclesiastical to civil; from subjection to independency; from

independency to empire.

France had many advantages over the other parts of Europe. The Saracens had no permanent success in that country. The same hand, which expelled those invaders, deposed the last of a race of heavy and degenerate princes, more like eastern monarchs than German leaders, and who had neither the force to repel the enemies of their kingdom, nor to assert their own sovereignty. This usurpation placed on the throne princes of another character; princes who were obliged to supply their want of title by the vigour of their administration. The French monarch had need of some great and respected authority to throw a veil over his usurpation, and to sanctify his newly-acquired power by those names and appearances, which are necessary to make it respectable to the people. On the other hand, the pope, who hated the Grecian empire, and equally feared the success of the Lombards, saw with joy this new star arise in the north, and gave it the sanction of his authority. Presently after he called it to his assistance. Pepin passed the Alps, relieved the pope, and invested him with the dominion of a large country in the best part of Italy.

Charlemagne pursued the course which was marked out for him, and put an end to the Lombard kingdom, weakened by the policy of his father and the enmity of the popes, who never willingly saw a strong power in Italy. Then he received from the hand of the pope the imperial crown, sanctified by the authority of the holy see, and with it the title of emperor of the Romans; a name venerable from the fame of the old empire, and which was supposed to carry great and unknown prerogatives; and thus the empire rose again out of its ruins in the West; and what is remarkable, by means

or consolit and the newlook hold below to destroy it. If we take in the every case of Clarker area, it was also very course outcomes as formerly at hough the experiment was as a constitution was as a constant of the new constant of the new cooler of gracement.

Inc. Charlesseed the pope received in internance to support at the end of the row territory. The thought a time the plate it was the plate it in read the row and in a momentum of the amount of the amount of the amount of the control of the property of protections of the production of the protect of the production of the the period of the production of the the period of the process of the production of the the period of the processing of the

The hatte intenst power had its engin in France, it was non direb lint two traveles the Galue an I the Gerrish. The later also supported the till of engine, but the pears being meakered by the driven the papel pre-ters, he had the greater whet. The peop, because he hist respect the propertial dignity, the red a next of disposing of it er at least of giving tali fits to the election of the emperor The enter of on the other land remembering the rights of these spreaming, whose title be bore, and for lately the twore, which is er lend buy with a ich elemands, had arreca In m the bounty of his predecessors chi med the same privileges in the election of a pope. The claims of both were somewhat place the, and they were supported, the one by from of arms and the other by ecclesiastical influence, ponces which in those days were very nearly balanced Italy was the theater upon which this prive was disputed In every city the parties in favour of each of the opponents were not for from an our slity in their numbers and strength While these parties designed in the choice of a master, by or itending for a cho ce in their subjection if ey grew impercertibly into freedom, and passed through the medium of faction and arrachy into regular commonwealths. Thus arms the republics of Venice, of Genoa, of Florence, 5 enna, and Pisa, and several others. These cities, established in and pur-

e was neglected and despised by the rustic gentry of the martial governments, they grive to a considerable degree of wealth, power, and civility The Danes, who in this latter time preserved the spirit and the numbers of the ancient Gothic people, had scated themselves in England, in the Low Countries, and in Normandy. They passed from thence to the southern part of Europe, and in this romantic age gave rise in Sicily and Na-

ples to a new kingdom, and a new line of princes. All the kingdoms on the continent of Europe were governed nearly in the same form; from whence arose a great similitude in the manners of their inhabitants. The feodal discipline extended itself everywhere, and influenced the conduct of the courts, and the manners of the people, with its own irregular martial spirit. Subjects, under the complicated laws of various and rigorous servitude, exercised all the pre-rogatives of sovereign power. They distributed justice, they made war and peace at pleasure. The sovereign, with great pretensions, had but little power; he was only a greater lord among great lords, who profited of the differences of his peers; therefore no steady plan could be well pursued, either in war or peace. This day a prince seemed irresistible at the head of his numerous vassals, because their duty obliged them to war, and they performed this duty with pleasure. The next day saw this formidable power vanish like a dream, because this fierce undisciplined people had no patience, and the time of the feudal service was contained within very narrow limits. It was therefore easy to find a number of persons at all times ready to follow any standard, but it was hard to complete a considerable design, which required a regular and continued movement. This enterprising disposition in the gentry was very general, because they had little occupation or pleasure but in war; and the greatest rewards did then attend personal valour and prowess. All that professed arms became in some sort on an equality. A knight was the peer of a king; and men had been used to see the bravery of private persons opening a road to that dignity. temerity of adventurers was much justified by the ill order of every state, which left it a prey to almost any who should attack it with sufficient vigour. Thus, little checked by any superior power, full of fire, impetuosity, and ignorance, they longed to signalize themselves wherever an honourable danger called them; and wherever that invited, they did not weigh very deliberately the probability of success.

310

tollowed, not only by his bouring potentates Th

thieu, Boulogne, and Poictou, soveregn princes, adventurers from every quarter of France, the Netherlands, and the remotest parts of Germany, laying aside their jealousies and emittes to one another, as well as to William, ran with an meonicevable ardour into this enterprise, captivated with the splendour of the object, which obliterated all thoughts of the uncertainty of the event. William kept up this fervour by promises of large territories to all his alhes and associates in the country to be reduced by their united efforts. But after all it became equally necessary to reconcile to his enterprise the three great powers, of whom we have just spoken, whose disposition must have had the most influence on his afters.

one was

then a minor, and Baldwin, Earl of Flanders, whose daughter William had married, was regent of the kingdom. This circumstance rendered the remonstrance of the French council against his design of no effect, indeed the opposition of the council itself was faint, the idea of hiving a king under vassalage to their Crown might have dazzled the more superficial courtiers; whilst those who thought more deeply were unwilling to discourage an enterprise, which they believed would probably end in the run of the undertaker

that any such idea then subsisted is altogether uncertain, but it is certain that he obtained leave for the vassals of the empire to engage in his service, and that he made use of this permission. The pope's consent was obtained with still less difficulty. William had shown himself in many instances a friend to the church, and a favourer of the clergy. On this

occasion he promised to improve those happy beginnings in proportion to the means he should acquire by the favour of the holy see. It is said, that he even proposed to hold his new kingdom as a fief from Rome. The pope, therefore, entered heartily into his interests; he excommunicated all those that should oppose his enterprise, and sent him, as a means of insuring success, a consecrated banner.

## CHAPTER II.

REIGN OF WILLIAM THE CONQUEROR.

AFTER the battle of Hastings, the taking of Dover, the surrender of London, and the submission of the principal nobility, William had nothing left but to order in the best manner the kingdom he had so happily acquired. Soon after his coronation, fearing the sudden and ungoverned motions of so great a city, new to subjection, he left London until a strong citadel could be raised to overawe the people. This was built where the Tower of London now stands. Not content with this, he built three other strong castles in situations as advantageously chosen, at Norwich, at Winchester, and at Hereford, securing not only the heart of affairs, but binding down the extreme parts of the kingdom. And as he observed from his own experience the want of fortresses in England, he resolved fully to supply that defect, and guard the kingdom both against internal and foreign enemies. But he fortified his throne yet more strongly by the policy of good government. To London he confirmed by charter the liberties it had enjoyed under the Saxon kings; and endeavoured to fix the affections of the English in general by governing them with equity according to their ancient laws, and by treating them on all occasions with the most engaging deportment. He set up no pretences which arose from absolute conquest. He confirmed their estates to all those who had not appeared in arms against him, and seemed not to aim at subjecting the English to the Normans, but to unite the two nations under the wings of a common parental care. If the Normans received estates, and held lucrative offices, and were raised by wealthy

matches in England, some of the English were enriched with lands and dignities, and taken into considerable families in Normandy But the king's principal regards were showed to those by whose bravery he had attained his greatness To some he bestowed the forfeited estates, which were many and great, of Harold's adherents, others he satisfied from the treasures his rival had amassed, and the rest, quartered upon wealthy monasteries, relied patiently on the promises of one whose performances had hitherto gone hand in hand with his power There was another circumstance, which conduced much to the maintaining, as well as to the making, his conquest The posterity of the Danes, who had finally reduced England under Canute the Great, were still very numerous in that kingdom, and in general not well liked by, nor well affected to, the old Anglo Saxon inhabitants liam wisely took advantage of this enmity between the two sorts of inhabitants, and the alliance of blood, which was between them and his subjects In the body of laws which he published he insists strongly on this kindred, and declares that the Normans and Danes ought to be as sworn brothers against all men, a policy which probably united these peoh subsisted between them and the original English, as

> ns by all the ient to visit

> > 3.

his patrimonial territory, which, with regard to its internal state, and the jealousies which his additional greatness revived in many of the bordering princes, was critically situated He appointed to the regency in his absence, his brother Odo, an ecclesiastic, whom he had made bishop of Bayeur in France, and Earl of F ın England, a man

perious, and withou

vigilant and courageous to num ne joinen 1122 .... Auber, his justiciary, a person of consummate prudence and great integrity But not depending on this disposition, to secure his conquest, as well as to display its importance abroad, under a pretence of honour, he carried with him all the chiefs of the English nobility, the popular Earls Edwin

and Morcar, and what was of most importance, Edgar Athel-

ing, the last branch of the royal stock of the Anglo-Saxon

kings, and infinitely dear to all the people.

The king managed his affairs abroad with great address, and covered all his negotiations for the security of his Norman dominions under the magnificence of continual feasting and unremitted diversion, which, without an appearance of design, displayed his wealth and power, and by that means facilitated his measures. But whilst he was thus employed, his absence from England gave an opportunity to several humours to break out, which the late change had bred, but which the amazement likewise produced by that violent change, and the presence of their conqueror, wise, vigilant, and severe, had hitherto repressed. The ancient line of their kings displaced; the only thread on which it hung carried out of the kingdom, and ready to be cut off by the jealousy of a merciless usurper; their liberties none by being precarious, and the daily insolences and rapine of the Normans intolerable: these discontents were increased by the tyranny and rapaciousness of the regent; and they were fomented from abroad by Eustace, Count of Boulogne. But the people, though ready to rise in all parts, were destitute of leaders; and the insurrections actually made were not carried on in concert, nor directed to any determinate object. So that the king, returning speedily, and exerting himself everywhere with great vigour, in a short time dissipated these ill-formed projects. However, so general a dislike to William's government had appeared on this occasion, that he became in his turn disgusted with his subjects, and began to change his maxims of rule to a rigour, which was more conformable to his advanced age, and the sternness of his natural temper. He resolved, since he could not gain the affections of his subjects, to find such matter for their hatred as might weaken them, and fortify his own authority against the enterprises which that hatred might occasion. He revived the tribute of Danegelt, so odious from its original cause, and that of its revival, which he caused to be strictly levied throughout the kingdom. He erected castles at Nottingham, at Warwick, and at York, and filled them with Norman garrisons; he entered into a stricter inquisition for the discovery of the estates forfeited on his coming in; paying no regard to the privileges of the ecclesiastics, he

seized upon the treasures, which, as in an inviolable asylum, the unfortunate adherents to Harold had deposited in monasteries. At the same time he entered into a resolution of deposing all the English bishops, on none of whom he could rely, and filling their places with Normans. But he mitigated the rigour of these proceedings by the wise choice he made in filling the places of those whom he had deposed, and gave by that means these violent changes the air rather of reformation than oppression. He began with Stigand, archibishop of Canterbury A synod was called, in which, for the first time in England, the pope's legate à latere is said to have presided. In this council, Stigand, for simony and for other crimes, of which it is easy to convict those who are out of favour, was solemnly degraded from his dignity. The king filled his place with Lanfranc, an Italian. By his whole conduct he appeared resolved to reduce his subjects of all orders to the most perfect obedence.

The people loaded with new taxes, the nobility degraded and threatened, the clergy deprived of their immunities and influence, joined in one voice of discontent, and stimulated each other to the most desperate resolutions

The king was

unapprized of these motions, nor negligent of them It is the meditated to free himself from much of his siness by seizing those men, on whom the nation in its sees used to cast its eyes for rehef. But whilst he dithese measures, Edgar Atheling, Edwin and Morear, Waltheof, the son of Seward, and several others, cluded his

The Scottish

Edgar, and this match engaged him more closely to the accomplishment of what his gratitude to the Saxon kings, and the rules of good policy, had before inclined him. He entered at last into the cause of his brother in-law and the distressed English, he persuaded the king of Denmark to enter into the same measures, who agreed to invade English with a float of a the send show. The a sm. Trisk king, degoods in the same measures who agreed to invade English with a float of a the send show.

e English

coast in continual alarms

Whilst the forces of this powerful confederacy were collect-

ing on all sides, and prepared to enter England, equal dangers threatened from within the kingdom. Edric, the Forester, a very brave and popular Saxon, took up arms in the counties of Hereford and Salop, the country of the ancient Silures, and inhabited by the same warlike and untamable race of men. The Welsh strengthened him with their forces, and Cheshire joined in the revolt. Hereward le

Wake, one of the most brave and indefatigable soldiers of his time, rushed with a numerous band of fugitives and outlaws from the fens of Lincoln and the Isle of Ely; from whence, protected by the situation of the place, he had for some time carried on an irregular war against the Normans. The sons of Goodwin landed with a strong body in the west; the fire of rebellion ran through the kingdom; Cornwall, Devon, Dorset, at once threw off the yoke. Daily skirmishes were fought in every part of the kingdom with various success, and with great bloodshed. The Normans retreated to their castles, which the English had rarely skill or patience to master; out of these they sallied from time to time, and asserted their dominion. The conquered English for a moment resumed their spirit; the forests and morasses, with which this island then abounded, served them for fortifications, and their hatred to the Normans stood in the place of discipline; each man, exasperated by his own wrongs, avenged them in his own manner: everything was full of blood and violence. Murders, burnings, rapine, and confusion overspread the whole kingdom. During these distractions, several of the Normans quitted the country, and gave up their possessions, which they thought not worth holding in continual horror and danger. In the midst of this scene of disorder the king alone was present to himself and to his affairs. He first collected all the forces on whom he could depend within the kingdom, and called powerful succours from Normandy. Then he sent a strong body to repress the commotions in the west, but he reserved the greatest force and his own presence against the greatest danger, which menaced from the north. The Scots had penetrated as far as Durham; they had taken the castle, and put the garrison to the sword. A like fate attended York from the Danes, who had entered the Humber with a formidable fleet. They put this city into the hands of the English

316

mal-contents, and thereby influenced all the northern counters in their favour. William, when he first perceived the gathering of the storm, endeavoured, and with some success, to break the force of the principal blow by a correspondence at the court of Demank, and now he entirely blunted the weapon by corrupting, with a considerable sum, the Danish general. He was agreed, to gratify that practical nation, that they should plunder some part of the coast, and depart without further disturbance. By this many large of the coast, and depart without further disturbance.

t, and depart without further disturbance By this march with an undisprincipal body of the cots retired into their

own country some of the most obnoxious of the English fled along with them One desperate party, under the brave Waltheof, threw themselves into York, and ventured alone to resist his victorious army William pressed the siege with vigour, and, notwithstanding the prudent dispositions of Waltheof, and the produces of valour he displayed in its de-fence, standing alone in the breach, and maintaining his ground gallantly and successfully, the place was at last reduced by famine The king left his enemies no time to re-cover this disaster, he followed his blow, and drove all, who adhered to Edgar Atheling, out of all the countries northwird of the Humber This tract he resolved entirely to depopulate, influenced by revenge, and by distrust of the inhabitants, and partly with a view of opposing an hideous desert of 60 miles in extent, as an impregnable barrier against all attempts of the Scots in favour of his disaffected subjects The execution of this barbarous project was attended with all the havoc and desolution that it seemed to threaten one hundred thousand are said to have perished by cold, penury, and disease The ground lay untilled throughout that whole space for upwards of nine years Many of the inhabitants both of this and all other parts of England fled into Scotland, but they were so received by King Malcolm as to forget that they had lost their country. This wise monarch gladly seized so fair an opportunity, by the exertion of a benevolent policy, to people his dominions, and to improve his native subjects. He received the English noblity according to their rank, he promoted them to offices according to their ment, and enriched them by considerable estates

from his own demesne. From these noble refugees several considerable families in Scotland are descended.

William, on the other hand, amidst all the excesses which the insolence of victory and the cruel precautions of usurped authority could make him commit, gave many striking examples of moderation and greatness of mind. He pardoned Waltheof, whose bravery he did not the less admire because it was exerted against himself. He restored him to his ancient honours and estates; and thinking his family strengthened by the acquisition of a gallant man, he bestowed upon him his nicce Judith in marriage. On Edric the Forester, who lay under his sword, in the same generous manner he not only bestowed his life, but honoured it with an addition of dignity.

The king, having thus by the most politic and the most courageous measures, by art, by force, by severity, and by elemency, dispelled those clouds, which had gathered from every quarter to overwhelm him, returned triumphant to Winchester; where, as if he had newly acquired the kingdom, he was crowned with great solemnity. After this he proceeded to execute the plan he had long proposed of modelling the state according to his own pleasure, and of fixing

his authority upon an immovable foundation.

There were few of the English who in the late disturbances had not either been active against the Normans, or shown great disinclination to them. Upon some right, or some pretence, the greatest part of their lands were adjudged to be forfeited. William gave these lands to Normans, to be held by the tenure of knight-service, according to the law, which modified that service in all parts of Europe. These people he chose, because he judged they must be faithful to the interest on which they depended; and this tenure he chose, because it raised an army without expense, called it forth at the least warning, and seemed to secure the fidelity of the vassal by the multiplied ties of those services which were inseparably annexed to it. In the establishment of these tenures, William only copied the practice, which was now become very general. One fault, however, he seems to have committed in this distribution; the immediate vassals of the Crown were too few; the tenants in capite at the end

318

of this reign did not exceed seven hundred, the eyes of the subject met too many great objects in the state besides the state itself, and the dependence of the inferior people was weakened by the interposal of another authority between them and the Crown, and this without being it all service able to liberty The ill consequence of this was not so

As there is nothing of more consequence in a state than the ecclesiastical establishment, there was nothing to which this vigilant prince gave more of his attention. If he owed his own power to the influence of the clergy, it convinced him how necessary it was to prevent that engine from being employed in its turn against himself He observed, that, besides the influence they derived from their character, they besides the mineractic deep derived into their character; they had a vast portion of that power which always attends pro-perty Of about 60 000 knights' fees, which England was then judged to contain, 28 000 were in the hands of the clergy, and these they held discharged of all taxes, and free from every burthen of civil or military service, a constitution undoubtedly no less prejudicial to the authority of the state, than detrimental to the strength of the nation, deprived of so much revenue, so many soldiers, and of numberless exer tions of art and industry, which were stifled by holding a third of the soil in dead hands out of all possibility of circulation William in a good measure remedied these evils, but with the great offence of all the ecclesiastic orders At the same time that he subjected the church lands to military service, he obliged each monastery and bishoprick to the support of soldiers, in proportion to the number of knights' fees that they possessed No less jealous was he of the papal pretensions, which having favoured so long as they served him as the instruments of his ambition, he afterwards kept within very narrow bounds He suffered no communication with Rome but by his knowledge and approbation. He had a bold and ambitious pope to deal with, who yet never pro-ceeded to extremities with nor gained one advantage over William during his whole reign, although he had by an express law reserved to himself a sort of right in approving the pope chosen, by forbidding his subjects to yield obedience to any whose right the king had not acknowledged.

To form a just idea of the power and greatness of this king, it will be convenient to take a view of his revenue. And I the rather choose to dwell a little upon this article, as nothing extends to so many objects as the public finances; and consequently nothing puts in a clearer or more decisive light the manners of the people, and the form, as well as the powers,

of government at any period.

The first part of this consisted of the demesne. The lands of the Crown were, even before the conquest, very extensive. The forfeitures consequent to that great change had consider-It appears from the record of Domesably increased them. day, that the king retained in his own hands no fewer than 1400 manors. This alone was a royal revenue. However, great as it really was, it has been exaggerated beyond all reason. Ordericus Vitalis, a writer almost contemporary, asserts that this branch alone produced a thousand pounds a day; 1 which, valuing the pound, as it was then estimated, at a real pound of silver, and then allowing for the difference in value since that time, will make near twelve millions of our money. This account, coming from such an authority, has been copied without examination by all the succeeding historians. were to admit the truth of it, we must entirely change our ideas concerning the quantity of money which then circulated in Europe. And it is a matter altogether monstrous and incredible in an age when there was little traffic in this nation; and the traffic of all nations circulated but little real coin; when the tenants paid the greatest part of their rents in kind; and when it may be greatly doubted whether there was so much current money in the nation as is said to have come into the king's coffers from this one branch of his For it amounts to a twelfth part of all the revenue only. circulating species, which a trade, infinitely more extensive, has derived from sources, infinitely more exuberant, to this wealthy nation, in this improved age. Neither must we think, that the whole revenue of this prince ever rose to such The great fountain which fed his treasury must have

<sup>1</sup> I have known myself great mistakes in calculation by computing, as the produce of every day in the year, that of one extraordinary day.

been Danegelt, which, upon any reasonable calculation, could not possibly exceed 120,000 pounds of our money, if it ever reached that sum William was observed to be a great hoarder, and very avaricious, his army was maintained without any expense to him , his demesne supported his household, neither his necessary nor his voluntary expenses were considerable Yet the effects of many years scraping and hoarding left at his death but 60,000 pounds, not the sixth part of one year's meome, according to this account, of one branch of his revenue, and this was then esteemed a vast treasure Edgar Atheling, on being reconciled to the king, was allowed a mark a day for his expenses, and he was thought to be allowed sufficiently, though he received it in some sort as an equivalent for his right to the Crown I venture on this digression, because writers in an ignorant age, making guesses at random, impose on more enlightened times, and affect by their mistakes many of our reasonings on affairs of consequence, and it is the error of all ignorant people to rate unknown times, distances, and sums, very far beyond their real extent Ther

whimsical in computing has done, at so much a d it so difficult to come at

truth or falsehood of this story

The above mentioned manors are charged with rents, from five to a hundred pounds each The greatest number of those I have seen in print are under fifty, so that we may safely take that number as a just medium and then the whole amount of the demesne rents will be 70,000 pounds, or 210,000 of our money This, though almost a fourth less than the sum stated by Vitalis, still seems a great deal too high, if we should suppose the whole sum, as that author does, to be paid in money, and that money to be reckoned by real pounds of silver But we must observe, that, when

terpre

320

most mone

album, and was only in a certain stipulated proportion to what was rendered in kind, and that proportion generally very low This method of paying rent, though it entirely

overturns the prodigious idea of that monarch's pecuniary wealth, as far from being less conducive to his greatness. It enabled him to feed a multitude of people; one of the surest and largest sources of influence, and which always out-buys money in the traffic of affections. This revenue, which was the chief support of the dignity of our Saxon kings, was considerably increased by the revival of Danegelt, of the imposition of which we have already spoken, and which is supposed to have produced an annual income of 40,000 pounds of

money, as then valued.

The next branch of this king's revenue were the feudal duties, by him first introduced into England, namely, ward, marriage, relief, and aids. By the first, the heir of every tenant, who held immediately from the Crown, during his minority was in ward for his body and his land to the king; so that he had the formation of his mind at that early and ductile age to mould to his own purposes, and the entire profits of his estate, either to augment his demesne, or to gratify his dependants. And as we have already seen how many and how vast estates, or rather princely possessions, were then held immediately of the Crown, we may comprehend how important an article this must have been.

Though the heir had attained his age before the death of his ancestor, yet the king intruded between him and his inheritance, and obliged him to redeem or, as the term then was, to relieve it. The quantity of this relief was generally pretty much at the king's discretion, and often amounted to a very

great sum

But the king's demands on his rents in chief were not yet satisfied. He had a right and interest in the marriage of heirs, both males and females, virgins and widows; and either bestowed them at pleasure on his favourites, or sold them to the best bidder. The king received for the sale of one heiress the sum of £20,000, or £60,000 of our present money; and this at a period when the chief estates were much reduced. And from hence was derived a great source of revenue, if this right were sold; of influence and attachment, if bestowed.

Under the same head of feudal duties were the casual aids to knight his eldest son, and marry his eldest daughter. These duties could be paid but once, and though not considerable and him in these satisfactors.

siderable, eased him in these articles of expenses.

322

After the feudal duties, rather in the order than in point of value, was the profit which arose from the sale of justice No man could then sue in the lang's court by a common or public right, or without paying largely for it, sometimes the third, and sometimes even half, the value of the estate or debt sued for These presents were called oblations, and the records preceding Magna Charta, and for some time after, are full of them And, as the king thought fit, this must have added greatly to his power, or wealth, or indeed to both

The fines and amercements were another branch, and this at a time when disorders abounded, and almost every disorder was punished by a fine, was a much greater article than affirst could readily be imagined, especially when we consider that

offices was not less considerable. It appears that all offices at that time were, or might be, legally and publicly sold, that the king had many and very rich employments in his gift, and, though it may appear strange, not inferior to, if they did not exceed in number and consequence, those of our present establishment. At one time the great seal was sold ior 3000 marks. The office of sheriff was then very lucrative, this charge was almost always sold. Sometimes a

punish his enemies or augment his wealth, as his avarice or

not far reimposition

at such a time, and in such a proportion, as he thought fit This was called a tallage—If the towns did not forthwith pay the sum at which they were rated, it was not unusual, for their punishment, to double their exaction, and to proceed in levying it by nearly the same methods, and in the same manner, now used to raise a contribution in an enemy's country.

But the Jews were a fund almost inechansfible. They were slaves to the king in the strictest sense; insomuch that, besides the various talliages and fines extorted from them, hone succeeded to the inheritance of his father without the king's licence and a heavy composition. He sometimes even made over a wealthy dew as a provision to some of his favourites for life. They were almost the only persons who exercised usury, and thus drew to themselves the odium and wealth of the whole kingdom; but they were only a canal, through which it passed to the royal treasury. And nothing could be more pleasing and popular than such exactions; the prople rejoiced when they saw the Jews plundered, not considering that they were a sort of agents for the Crown, who, in proportion to the heavy taxes they paid, were obliged to advance the terms, and enforce with greater severity the execution, of their usurious contracts. Through them almost the whole body of the nobility were in debt to the king; and when he thought proper to confiscate the effects of the Jews, the securities passed into his hands; and by this means he must have possessed one of the strongest and most terrible instruments of authority that could possibly be devised, and the best calculated to keep the people in an abject and slavish dependence,

The last general head of his revenue were the customs, prisages, and other impositions upon trade. Though the revenue arising from traffic in this rude period was much limited by the then smallness of its object, this was compensated by the weight and variety of the exactions levied, by an occasional exertion of arbitrary power, or the more uniform system of hereditary tyranny. Trade was restrained, or the privilege granted, on the payment of tolls, passages, panages, pontages, and innumerable other vexatious imposts, of which only the barbarous and almost unintelligible names subsist at

this day.

These were the most constant and regular branches of the revenue. But there were other ways innumerable, by which money, or an equivalent in cattle, poultry, horses, hawks, and dogs, accrued to the exchequer. The king's interposition in

324

marriages, even where there was no pretence from tenure, was frequently bought, as well as in other negotiations of less moment, for composing of quarrels, and the like, and indeed some appear on the records of so strange and even ludierous a nature, that it would not be excusable to mention them, if they did not help to show from how many immute sources this revenue was fed, and how the king's power descended to the most inconsiderable actions of private life! It is not easy to penetrate into the true meaning of all these particulars, but they equally suffice to show the character of government in those times A prince, furnished with so many means of distressing enemies and gratifying friends, and possessed of so ample a revenue entirely independent of the affections of his subjects, must have been very absolute in substance and effect, whatever might have been the external forms of government.

For the regulation of all these revenues, and for determining all questions which concerned them, a court was appointed upon the model of a court of the same nature, said to be of

ancient use in Normandy, and called the exchequer
There was nothing in the government of William conceived
in a greater manner, or more to be commended, than the
general surrey he took of his conquest

An inquisition was

A D 1881 made throughout the kingdom concerning the quantity of land which was contained in each county, the name of the deprived and the present proprietor, the stock of slaves, and cattle of every kind, which it contained All these were registered in a book, each article beginning with the king's property, and proceeding downward, according to the rank of the proprietors, in an excellent order, by which inght be kinown at one glance the true state of the royal revenues, the weath, consequence, and natural comexions of every person in the kingdom, in order to ascertain the taxes that might be imposed, and to serie

purposes in the state as well as in civil causes, to be general

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The bushop of Wurchester fined for not putting the king in mind to give a gridle to the Countess of Albemate — Robertus the failable debt guinging options; and in the failable debt wind of High de Nevil fined in two handred heas, that she might be with her husband for one might, —another, that he might rose if y, a third, that he might exit.

nd uncontrollable evidence of property. This book is called Domesday, or the Judgment Book, and still remains a grand nonument of the wisdom of the Conqueror; a work in all

espects useful, and worthy of a better age.

The Conqueror knew very well how much discontent must have arisen from the great revolutions, which his conquest produced in all men's property, and in the general tenor of the government. He, therefore, as much as possible to guard against every sudden attempt, forbade any light or fire to continue in any house after a certain bell, called curfew, had sounded. This bell rung at about eight in the evening.

There was policy in this; and it served to prevent the numberless disorders, which arose from the late civil commotions. For the same purpose of strengthening his authority, he introduced the Norman law, not only in its substance, but in all its forms; and ordered that all proceedings should be had according to that law in the French language.1 The change wrought by the former part of this regulation could not have been very grievous; and it was partly the necessary consequence of the establishment of the new tenures, and which wanted a new law to regulate them. In other respects the Norman institutions were not very different from the English. But to force, against nature, a new language upon a conquered people, to make them strangers in those courts of justice in which they were still to retain a considerable share, to be reminded every time they had recourse to government for protection of the slavery in which it held them, this is one of those acts of superfluous tyranny, from which very few conquering nations or parties have forborne, though no way necessary, but often prejudicial, to their safety. These severities, and affronts more galling than severities, drove the English to another desperate attempt, which was the last convulsive effort of their expiring freedom. Several nobles, prelates, and others whose estates had been confiscated, or who were in daily apprehension of their confiscation, fled into the fens of Lincoln and Ely, where Hereward still maintained his ground. This unadvised step completed the ruin of the little English interest that remained. William hastened to fill up the sees of the bishops, and the estates of the

For some particulars of the condition of the English of this time, vide Eadmer, p. 110.

He pressed the fugitives o cut off all the advantage

ie penetrated into the Isle of Ely by a wooden bridge, two miles in length, and by the greatness of the design, and rapidity of the execution, as much as by the vigour of his charge, compelled them to surrender at discretion Hereward alone escaped, who disdained to surrender, and had cut his way through his enemies, carrying his virtue and his sword, as his passports, wheresoever fortune should conduct him He escaped happily into Scotland, where, as usual, the king was miking some slow movements for the relief of the English William lost no time to oppose him, and had passed with infinite difficulty through a desert of his own making to the frontiers of Scotland Here he found the enemy strongly intrenched The causes of the war being in a good measure spent by William's late successors, and neither of the princes choosing to risk a battle in a country where the consequences of a defeat must be so dreadful, they agreed to an accommodation, which included a pardon for Edgar Atheling on a renunciation of his title to the crown

William on this occasion showed, as he did on all occasions, an honourable and disinterested sense of merit by receiving Hereward to his friendship, and distinguishing him by particular favours and bounties Malcolm, by his whole conduct, never seemed intent upon coming to extremities with William, he was satisfied with keeping this great warrior in some awe, without bringing things to a decision, that might involve his langdom in the same calamitous five that had oppressed England, whilst his wisdom enabled him to reap advantages from the fortunes of the conquered, in drawing so many useful people into his dominions, and from the policy of the Conqueror, in imitating those feudal regulations, which he saw his neighbour force upon the English, and which suppeared so well calculated for the defence of the

30

might now flatter himself with some hope of quiet; but disturbances were preparing for his old age from a new quarter, from whence they were less expected and less tolerable,from the Normans, his companions in victory, and from his family, which he found not less difficulty in governing than his kingdom. Nothing but his absence from England was wanting to make the flame blaze out. The numberless pretensions which the petty lords, his neighbours on the continent, had on each other and on William, together with their restless disposition, and the intrigues of the French court, kept alive a constant dissension, which made the king's presence on the continent frequently necessary. The duke of Anjou had at this time actually invaded his dominions. He was obliged to pass over into Normandy with an army of 50,000 men. William, who had conquered England by the assistance of the princes on the continent, now turned against them the arms of the English, who served him with bravery and fidelity; and by their means he soon silenced all opposition, and concluded the terms of an advantageous peace. In the mean time his Norman subjects in England, inconstant, warlike, independent, fierce by nature, fiercer by their conquest, could scarcely brook that subordination, in which their safety consisted. Upon some frivolous pretences, chiefly personal disgusts, a most dangerous conspiracy was formed; the principal men among the Normans were engaged in it; and foreign correspondence was not wanting. Though this conspiracy was chiefly formed and carried on by the Normans, they knew so well the use which William, on this occasion, would not fail to make of his English subjects, that they endeavoured, as far as was consistent with secrecy, to engage several of that nation; and above all, the Earl Waltheof, as the first in rank and reputation among his countrymen. Waltheof, thinking it base to engage in any cause but that of his country against his benefactor, unveils the whole design to Lanfranc, who immediately took measures for securing the chief conspirators. He despatched messengers to inform the king of his danger, who returned without delay at the head of his forces; and by his presence and his usual bold activity dispersed at once the vapours of this conspiracy. The heads

<sup>&#</sup>x27; Upon occasion of a ward refused in marriage. Wright thinks the feudal right of marriage not then introduced.

were punished The rest, left under the shade of a dubious mercy, were awed into obedience His glory was however sullied by his putting to death Waltheof, who had discovered the conspiracy, but he thought the desire the rebels had shown of engaging him in their designs demonstrated suffici-

than a splendid weakness These troubles were hardly appeased when others began to break forth in his own family, which neither his glory, nor the terror which held a great nation in chains, could preserve in obedience to him To remove in some

measure the jealousy of the court of France with regard to his invasion of England, he had promised upon his acquisition of that kingdom to invest his eldest son Robert with the duchy of Normandy But, as his new ac-

+ and a management retreat it he war So long ared unsettled.

- nahlances at this conduct, but throne and found bjection, he began

first to murmur at the injustice of the king, soon after to cabal with the Norman barons and at the court of France. and at last openly rose in rebellion, and compelled the vassals of the duchy to do him homage The king was not inclined to give up to force what he had refused to reason Unbroken with age, unwearied with so many expeditions, he passed again into Normandy, and pressed his son with the vigour of a young warrior

This war, which was carried on without anything decisive for some time, ended by a very extraordinary and affecting meident In one of those skirmishes, which were frequent according to the irregular mode of warfare in those days. William and his son Robert, alike in a forward and adventurous courage, plunged into the thickest of the fight, and, unknowingly, encountered each other But Robert, superior by fortune, or by the vigour of his youth, wounded

and unhorsed the old monarch; and was just on the point of pursuing his unhappy advantage to the fatal extremity, when the well-known voice of his father at once struck his ears, and suspended his arm. Blushing for his victory, and overwhelmed with the united emotions of grief, shame, and returning piety, he fell on his knees, poured out a flood of tears, and, embracing his father, besought him for pardon. The tide of nature returning strongly on both, the father in his turn embraced his son, and bathed him with his tears; whilst the combatants on either side, astonished at so unusual a spectacle, suspended the fight, applauded this striking act of filial piety and paternal tenderness, and pressed that it might become the prelude to a lasting peace. Peace was made; but entirely to the advantage of the father, who carried his son into England, to secure Normandy from the dangers to which his ambition and popularity might expose that dukedom.

That William might have peace upon no part, the Welsh and Scots took advantage of these troubles in his family to break into England; but their expeditions were rather incursions than invasions; they wasted the country, and then retired to secure their plunder. But William, always troubled, always in action, and always victorious, pursued them, and compelled them to a peace; which was not concluded but by compelling the king of Scotland, and all the princes of Wales, to do him homage. How far this homage extended with regard to Scotland, I find it difficult to deter-

mine.

Robert, who had no pleasure but in action, as soon as this war was concluded, finding that he could not regain his father's confidence, and that he had no credit at the court of England, retired to that of France. Edgar Atheling saw likewise, that the innocence of his conduct could not make amends for the guilt of an undoubted title to the Crown; and that the Conqueror, soured by continual opposition, and suspicious through age and the experience of mankind, regarded him with an evil eye. He therefore desired leave to accompany Robert out of the kingdom, and then to make a voyage to the Holy Land: this leave was readily granted. Edgar having displayed great valour in useless acts of chivalry abroad, after the Conqueror's death returned to England, where he long lived in great tranquillity, happy in himself,

beloved by all the people, and unfeared by those who held his sceptre, from his mild and mactive virtue

A D 1884 William had been so much a stranger to repose that it became no longer an object desirable to him. He revived his claim to the Vexun François, and some other territories on the confines of Normandy. This quarrel, which began between him and the larg of France on political motives was increased into rancour and bitterness, irist, by a boyish contest at chess between their children, which was resented, more than became wise men, by the fathers, it was further exasperated by taunts and mockeries yet less becoming their age and dignity, but which infused a mortal venom into the war. William entered

yet less becoming their age and dignity, but which infused a A. D 1837 mortal venom into the war William entered first into the French territories, wantonly wasting the country, and setting fire to the towns and villages. He entered Mantes and as usual set it on fire, but, whist he urged his horse over the smoking ruins, and pressed forward to further havoe, the beast, impatent of the hot embers, which burned his hoofs, plunged and threw his rider violently on the saddle bow. The rim of his belly was wounded, and this wound as William was corpulent, and in the decline of life, proved fatal. A rupture ensued, and he died at Rouen, after showing a desire of making amends for his cruelty by restitutions to the towns he had destroyed, by alms, and endowments, the usual fruits of a late pentience, and the acknowledgments which expring ambition pays to virtue.

knowledgments which expiring ambition pays to rirtue
There is nothing more memorable in instory than the
actions, fortunes, and character of this great man, whether
we consider the grandeur of the plans he formed, the courage
and wisdom with which they were executed, or the splendour
of that success, which, adorning his youth, continued without
the smallest reverse to support his age, even to the last moments of his life. He lived about seventy years, and regged
within ten years as long as he lived, sixty over his dukedom,
above twenty over England, both of which he acquired or
kept by his own magnanimity, with hardly any other title
than he derived from his arms, so that he might be reputed,
in all respects, as happy as the highest ambition, the most
fully gratified can make a man. The silent inward satisfictions of domestic happiness he neither hid nor sought. He
had a body suited to the character of his mind, creet, firm,

large, and active; whilst to be active was a praise; a countenance stern, and which became command. Magnificent in his living, reserved in his conversation, grave in his common deportment, but relaxing with a wise facetiousness, he knew how to relieve his mind and preserve his dignity; for he never forfeited by a personal acquaintance that esteem he had acquired by his great actions. Unlearned in books, he formed his understanding by the rigid discipline of a large and complicated experience. He knew men much, and therefore generally trusted them but little; but when he knew any man to be good, he reposed in him an entire confidence, which prevented his prudence from degenerating into a vice. He had vices in his composition, and great ones; but they were the vices of a great mind: ambition, the malady of every extensive genius; and avarice, the madness of the wise: one chiefly actuated his youth; the other governed his age. The vices of young and light minds, the joys of wine, and the pleasures of love, never reached his aspiring nature. general run of men he looked on with contempt, and treated with cruelty when they opposed him. Nor was the rigour of his mind to be softened but with the appearance of extraordinary fortitude in his enemies, which, by a sympathy congenial to his own virtues, always excited his admiration and insured his mercy. So that there were often seen in this one man, at the same time, the extremes of a savage cruelty, and a generosity that does honour to human nature. · ligion too seemed to have a great influence on his mind from policy, or from better motives; but his religion was displayed in the regularity with which he performed its duties, not in the submission he showed to its ministers, which was never more than what good government required. Yet his choice of a counsellor and favourite was, not according to the mode of the time, out of that order, and a choice that does honour to his memory. This was Lanfranc, a man of great learning for the times, and extraordinary piety. He owed his elevation to William; but, though always inviolably faithful, he never was the tool or flatterer of the power which raised him; and the greater freedom he showed, the higher he rose in the confidence of his master. By mixing with the concerns of state he did not lose his religion and conscience, or make them the covers or instruments of ambition; but tem-

pering the fierce policy of a new power by the mild lights of religion, he became a blessing to the country in which he was promoted. The English owed to the virtue of this stranger, and the influence he had on the king, the little remains of liberty they continued to enjoy, and at last such a degree of his confidence, as in some sort counterbalanced the severities of the former part of his reign

### CHAPTER III

REIGN OF WILLIAM THE SECOND, SURNAMED BUFUS

WILLIAM had by his Queen Matilda three sons, who survived him, Robert, William, and Henry Robert, though in an advanced age at his father's death, was even then more remarkable for those virtues which make us entertain hopes of a young man, than for that steady prudence, which is necessary when the short career we are to run will not allow us to make many mis takes He had indeed a temper suitable to the genius of the time he lived in, and which therefore enabled him to make a considerable figure in the transactions which distinguished that period He was of a sincere, open, candid nature, passionately fond of glory, ambitious without having any determinate object in view, vehement in his pursuits, but inconstant, much in war, which he understood and loved But guiding himself both in war and peace solely by the impulses of an unbounded and irregular spirit, he filled the world with an equal admiration and pity of his splendid qualities and great misfortunes

William was of a character very different His views were short, his designs few, his genius narrow, and his manners brutal, full of craft, rapacious, without faith, without religion, but circumspect, steady, and courageous for his ends, not for glory These qualities secured to him that fortune which the virtues of Robert deserved Of Henry we shall speak hereafter We have seen the quarrels, together with the causes of them, which embroiled the Conqueror with his eldest son Robert Although the wound was skinned over by several temporary and palliative accommodations, it still

left a soreness in the father's mind, which influenced him, by his last will, to cut off Robert from the inheritance of his English dominions. Those, he declared, he derived from his sword, and therefore he would dispose of them to that son, whose dutiful behaviour had made him the most worthy. To William, therefore, he left his crown; to Henry he devised his treasures: Robert possessed nothing but the duchy, which was his birthright. William had some advantages to enforce the execution of a bequest, which was not included even in any of the modes of succession which then were admitted. He was at the time of his father's death in England, and he had an opportunity of seizing the vacant government, a thing of great moment in all disputed rights. He had also, by his presence, an opportunity of engaging some of the most considerable leading men in his interests; but his greatest strength was derived from the adherence to his cause of Lanfranc, a prelate of the greatest authority amongst the English as well as the Normans, both from the place he had held in the Conqueror's esteem, whose memory all men respected, and from his own great and excellent qualities. By the advice of this prelate the new monarch professed to be entirely governed. And as an earnest of his future reign he renounced all the rigid maxims of conquest, and swore to protect the church and the people, and to govern by St. Edward's laws, a promise extremely grateful and popular to all parties: for the Normans, finding the English passionately desirous of these laws, and only knowing that they were in general favourable to liberty, and conducive to peace and order, became equally clamorous for

By these measures, and the weakness of those which were adopted by Robert, William established himself on his throne, and suppressed a dangerous conspiracy, formed by some Norman noblemen in the interests of his brother, although it was fomented by all the art and intrigue which his uncle Odo could put in practice, the most bold and politic man of that age.

their re-establishment.

The security he began to enjoy from this success, and the strength which government receives by merely continuing, gave room to his natural dispositions to break out in several acts of tyranny and injustice. The forest laws were executed with rigour, the old impositions revived, and new laid on Lanfranc made representations to the ling on this conduct but they produced no other effect than the abate ment of his credit, which from that moment to his death,

A D 1065

military service, they seemed to partake all the qualities of the military tenure, and to be subject to the same burthens, and as on the death of a military vassal his land was in wardship of the lord until the heir had attained his age, so there arose a pretence, on the vacancy of a bishoprick, to suppose the land in ward with the king, until the seat should be filled This principle, once established opened a large field for various lucrative abuses, nor could it be supposed, whilst the vacancy turned to such good account that a necessitous or avaricious king would show any extraordinary haste to put the bishopricks and abbacies out of his power In effect, William always kept them a long time vacant, and in the vacancy granted away much of their possessions particularly several manors belonging to the see of Canterbury, and when he filled this see, it was only to prostitute that dignity by disposing of it to the highest bidder

To support him in these courses he chose for his minister. Balf Flambard, a fit instrument in his designs, and possessed of such art and eloquence as to colour them in a specious manner. This man inflamed all the king's passions, and encouraged him in his unjust enterprises. It is hard to say which was most unpopular, the king or his minister. But Flambard, having escaped a conspiracy against his life, and having punished the conspirators severely, struck such a general terror into the nation, that none dared to oppose him. Robert's title alone stood in the king's way, and he knew that this must be a perpetual source of disturbance to him. He resolved therefore to put him in peril for his own dominions. He collected a large army, and, entering into Normandy, he began a war, at first with great success on account of a difference between the duke and his bother A D 1093. Henry, but their common dread of William re-

conciled them and this reconciliation put them in a condition of procuring an equal peace, the chief condi

tions of which were, that Robert should be put in possession of certain seigniories in England, and that each, in case of survival, should succeed to the other's dominions. concluded this peace the more readily, because Malcolm, king of Scotland, who hung over him, was ready upon every advantage to invade his territories, and had now actually entered England with a powerful army. Robert, who courted action. without regarding what interest might have dictated, immediately on concluding the treaty entered into his brother's service in this war against the Scots; which, on the king's return, being in appearance laid asleep by an accommodation, broke out with redoubled fury the following year. The king of Scotland, provoked to this rupture by the haughtiness of William, was circumvented by the artifice and fraud of one of his ministers; under an appearance of negotiation he was attacked and killed, together with his only son. This was a grievous wound to Scotland in the loss of one of the wisest and bravest of her kings; and in the domestic distractions which afterwards tore that kingdom to pieces.

No sooner was this war ended, than William, A. D. 1094. freed from an enemy which had given himself and his father so many alarms, renewed his ill treatment of his brother, and refused to abide by the terms of the late treaty. Robert, incensed at these repeated perfidies, returned to Normandy with thoughts full of revenge and war. he found that the artifices and bribes of the king of England had corrupted the greatest part of his barons, and filled the country with faction and disloyalty. His own facility of temper had relaxed all the bands of government, and contributed greatly to these disorders. In this distress he was obliged to have recourse to the king of France for succour. Philip, who was then on the throne, entered into his quarrel. Nor was William on his side backward; though prodigal to the highest degree, the resources of his tyranny and extortion were inexhaustible. He was enabled to enter Normandy, once more, with a considerable army. But the opposition, too, was considerable; and the war had probably been spun out to a great length, and had drawn on very bloody consequences, if one of the most extraordinary events. which are contained in the history of mankind, had not suspended their arms, and drawn all infe336 AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH'HISTORY

rior views, sentiments, and designs, into the vortex of one grand project. This was the crusade, which, with astomshing success, now began to be preached through all Europe. This design was then, and it continued long after, the principle which influenced the transactions of that period both at home and abroad, it will therefore not be foreign to our subject to trace it to its source.

to int

princes of Europe interested themselves in the contest. The election of pope was not regulated by those prudent dispositions which have since taken place, there were frequent pretences to controvert the validity of the election, and of course several persons at the same time land claim to that dignity. Popes and anti popes arose. Europe was rent usunder by these disputes, whilst some princes maintained the rights of one party, and some defended the pretensions of the other, sometimes the prince acknowledged one pope, whilst his subjects adhered to his rival. The scandals occasioned by these schisms were infinite, and they threatened a deadly wound to that authority whose greatness had occasioned them. Princes were taught to know their own pover. That pope, who this day was a suppliant to a monarch to be

oly see a long That

of such disputes, sought to turn the minds of the people into another channel, and, by exerting it vigorously, to give a new strength to the papal power. In an age so ignorant, it was very natural that men should think a great deal in religion depended upon the very scene where the work of our redemption was accomplished. Pilgimages to Jerusalem were therefore judged highly mentorious, and became very frequent. But the country which was the object of them, as well as several of those through which the journey lay, were in the hands of Mahometans, who, against all the rules of humanity and good policy, treated the Christian pilgims with great indignity. These, on their return, filled the minds

of their neighbours with hatred and resentment against those infidels. Pope Urban laid hold on this disposition, and encouraged Peter the Hermit, a man visionary, zealous, enthusiastic, and possessed of a warm irregular eloquence adapted to the pitch of his hearers, to preach an expedition for the

delivery of the Holy Land. Great designs may be started, and the spirit of them inspired, by enthusiasts, but cool heads are required to bring them into form. The pope, not relying solely on Peter, called a council at Clermont, where an infinite number of people of all sorts were assembled; here he dispensed, with a full hand, benedictions and indulgences to all persons who should engage in the expedition; and preaching with great vehemence in a large plain, towards the end of his discourse somebody by design, or by accident, cried out, "It is the will of God!" this voice was repeated by the next, and in a moment it circulated through this innumerable people, which rung with the acclamation of "It is the will of God! It is the will of God!" The neighbouring villages caught up those oracular words, and it is incredible with what celerity they spread everywhere around into places the most distant. This circumstance, then considered as miraculous, contributed greatly to the success of the hermit's mission. No less did the disposition of the nobility throughout Europe, wholly actuated with devotion and chivalry, contribute to forward an enterprise so suited to the gratification of both these passions. Everything was now in motion; both sexes, and every station, and age, and condition of life, engaged with transport in this holy warfare. There was even a danger that Europe would be entirely exhausted by the torrents that were rushing out to deluge Chron. Sax. 204. · Asia. These vast bodies, collected without choice, were conducted without skill or order; and they succeeded accordingly. Women and children composed no small part of those armies, which were headed by priests; and it is hard to say which is most lamentable, the destruction of such multitudes of men, or the phrensy which drew it upon them. But this design, after innumerable calamities, began at last to be conducted in a manner worthy of so grand and bold a project. Raimond, Count of Tholouse, Godfrey of Boulogne, and several other princes, who were great captains as well as

338

devotees, engaged in the expedition, and with suitable effects i - ol and courage on was fired o be made

for his genius He immediately suspended his interesting quarrel with his brother, and, instead of contesting with him the crown, to which he had such fair pretensions, or the duchy, of which he was in possession, he proposed to mort gage to him the latter during five years for a sum of 13,000 marks of gold William, who had neither sense of religion nor thirst of glory, intrenched in his secure and narrow po hey, laughed at a design that had deceived all the great minds in Europe He extorted as usual, this sum from his subjects, and immediately took possession of Normandy, whilst Robert, at the head of a gallant army, leaving his hereditary dominions, is gone to cut out unknown kingdoms ın Asıa

Some conspiracies disturbed the course of the reign, or rather tyranny, of this prince, as plots usually do, they end ed in the run of those who contrived them, but proved no check to the ill government of William Some disturbances too he had from the incursions of the Welsh, from revolts in Normandy, and from a war, that began and ended without anything memorable either in the cause or consequence, with

He had a dispute at home, which at another time had raised great disturbances, but nothing was now considered but the expedition to the Holy Land After the death of

taking advantage of business they advised him to correct,

vacant sees He filled that hop of Beek, a man of great

piety and learning but inflexible and rigid in whatever re-lated to the rights real or supposed, of the church. This prelate refused to accept the see of Canterbury, foreseeing the troubles that must arise from his own dispositions and those of the king, nor was he prevailed upon to accept it but on a promise of indemnification for what the temporalities of the see had suffered. But William's sickness and pious resolutions ending together, little care was taken about the execution of this agreement. Thus began a quarrel between this rapacious king and inflexible archbishop. Soon after Anselm declared in favour of Pope Urban, before the king had recognised him, and thus subjected himself to the law which William the Conqueror had made against accepting a pope without his consent. The quarrel was inflamed to the highest pitch; and Anselm desiring to depart the

kingdom, the king consented.

The eyes of all men being now turned towards the great transactions in the East, William, Duke of Guienne, fired by the success and glory that attended the holy adventurers, resolved to take the cross; but his revenues were not sufficient to support the figure his rank required in this expedition. He applied to the king of England; who being master of the purses of his subjects, never wanted money; and he was politician enough to avail himself of the prodigal inconsiderate zeal of the times to lay out this money to great advantage. He acted the part of usurer to the Croises; and as he had taken Normandy in mortgage from his brother Robert, having advanced the Duke of Guienne a sum on the same conditions, he was ready to confirm his bargain by taking possession, when he was killed in hunting by an accidental stroke of an arrow, which pierced his heart. This accident happened in the New Forest, which his father with such infinite oppression of the people had made, and in which they both delighted extremely. In the same forest the Conqueror's eldest son, a youth of great hopes, had, several years before, met his death from the horns of a stag; and these so memorable fates to the same family, and in the same place, easily inclined men to think this a judgment from Heaven; the people consoling themselves under their sufferings with these equivocal marks of the vengeance of Providence upon their oppressors.

We have painted this prince in the colours, in which he is drawn by all the writers who lived the nearest to his time. Although the monkish historians, affected with the partiality of their character, and with the sense of recent injuries, expressed themselves with passion concerning him, we have no other guides to follow. Nothing, indeed, in his life appears to vindicate his character, and it makes strongly for his disadvantage, that without any great end of government be contraducted the prejudices of the age in which he lived, the general and common foundation of honour, and thereby made himself obnoxious to that body of men, who had the sole custody of fame, and could alone truismit his name with glory or disgrace to posterity

## CHAPTER IV

### REIGN OF HENRY I

HENRY, the youngest son of the Conqueror, A D 1100 was hunting at the same time, and in the same forest, in which his brother met his fate. He was not long before he came to a resolution of seizing on the vacant crown The order of succession had already been broken, the absence of Duke Robert, and the concurrence of many curcumstances altogether resembling those which had been so favourable to the late monarch, incited him to a similar attempt To lose no time at a juncture when the use of a moment is often decisive, he went directly to Winchester. where the regalia and the treasures of the crown were deposited But the governor, a man of resolution, and firmly attached to Robert, positively refused to deliver them Henry, conscious that great enterprises are not to be conducted in a middle course, prepared to reduce him by force of arms During this contest, the news of the king's death, and the attempts of Henry, drew great numbers of the nobility to Winchester, and with them a vast concourse of the inferior people. To the nobility he set forth his title to the

that his brother was, at best, only born to the inheritance of a dukedom. The nobility heard the claim of this prince, but they were more generally inclined to Robert, whose birthright, less questionable in itself, had been also confirmed by a solemn treaty. But whilst they retired to consult, Henry, well apprized of their dispositions, and who therefore

m.

was little inclined to wait the result of their debates, threw himself entirely upon the populace. To them he said little concerning his title, as he knew such an audience is little moved with a discussion of rights, but much with the spirit and manner in which they are claimed; for which reason he began by drawing his sword, and swearing, with a bold and determined air, to persist in his pretensions to his last breath. Then turning to the crowd, and remitting of his severity, he began to soothe them with the promises of a milder government than they had experienced, either beneath his brother or his father: the church should enjoy her immunities, the people their liberties, the nobles their pleasures, the forest laws should cease; the distinction of Englishman and Norman be heard no more. Next, he expatiated on the grievances of the former reigns, and promised to redress them all. Lastly, he spoke of his brother Robert, whose dissoluteness, whose inactivity, whose unsteady temper, nay, whose very virtues, threatened nothing but ruin to any country which he should govern. The people received this popular harangue, delivered by a prince whose person was full of grace and majesty, with shouts of joy and rapture. Immediately they rush to the house where the council is held, which they surround, and with clamour and menaces demand Henry for their king. The nobility were terrified by the sedition; and remembering how little present Robert had been on a former occasion to his own interests, or to those who defended him, they joined their voice to that of the people, and Henry was proclaimed without opposition. The treasure, which he seized, he divided amongst those that seemed wavering in his cause; and that he might secure his new and disputed right by every method, he proceeded without delay to London to be crowned, and to sanctify by the solemnity of the unction the choice of the people. As the churchmen in those days were the arbiters of everything, and as no churchman possessed more credit than Anselm, archbishop of Canterbury, who had been persecuted and banished by his brother, he recalled that prelate, and by every mark of confidence confirmed him in his inter-Two other steps he took, equally prudent and politic; he confirmed and enlarged the privileges of the city of London; and gave to the whole kingdom a charter A. D. 1101. of liberties, which was the first of the kind, and

812

laid the foundation of those successive charters, which at last completed the freedom of the subject In fine, he cemented the whole fabric of his power by marrying Maude, daughter of Malcolm, king of Scotland, by the sister of Edgar Atheling, thus to insure the affection of the English, and, as he flattered himself, to have a sure succession to his children

The crusade being successfully finished by the taking of Jerusalem, Robert returned into Europe He had acquired creat

ĥıs r€ such

of his personal accomplishments, that when he had at last taken possession of his Norman territories, and entered England with an army to assert his birthright, he found most of the Norman barons, and many of the English, in readiness

was induced as well by the situation of his allers as the flexibility of his temper, to submit to a treaty on the plan of

that he had formerly entered into with his brother Ruffus
This treaty being made, Robert returned to his dukedom,

his indigence, and secure in the benignity of his temper, began to assume the unhappy privilege of sovereigns They made war on each other at pleasure, and pursuing their hostilities with the most scandalous licence, they reduced that fine country to a deplorable condition In vain did the people, ruined by the tyranny and divisions of the great, apply to Robert for protection, neither from his circumstances nor his character was he able to afford them any effectual rehef whilst Henry, who by his bribes and artifices kept alive the disorder, of which he complained and profited, formed a party in Normandy to call him over, and to put the dukedom under his protection Accordingly he prepared a considerable force for the expedition, and taxed his own subjects arbitrarily, and without mercy,

for the relief he pretended to afford those of his brother. His preparations roused Robert from his indolence, and united likewise the greater part of his barons to his cause, unwilling to change a master whose only fault was his indulgence of them, for the severe vigilance of Henry. The king of France espoused the same side; and even in England some emotions were excited in favour of the duke, by indignation for the wrongs he had suffered and those he was going to suffer. Henry was alarmed, but did not renounce his design. He was to the last degree jealous of his prerogative; but knowing what immense resources kings may have in popularity, he called on this occasion a great council of his barons and prelates; and there, by his arts and his eloquence, in both which he was powerful, he persuaded the assembly to a hearty declaration in his favour, and to a large supply. Thus secured at home, he lost no time to pass over to the continent, and to bring the Norman army to a speedy engagement; they fought under the walls of Tenchebray, where the bravery and military genius of Robert, never more conspicuous than on that day, were borne down by the superior fortune and numbers of his ambitious brother. He was made prisoner; and notwithstanding all the tender pleas of their common blood, in spite of his virtues, and even of his misfortunes, which pleaded so strongly for mercy, the rigid conqueror held him in various prisons until his death, which did not happen until after a rigorous confinement of eighteen, some say twenty-seven, years. This was the end of a prince born with a thousand excellent qualities, which served no other purpose than to confirm, from the example of his misfortunes, that a facility of disposition, and a weak beneficence, are the greatest vices that can enter into the composition of a monarch, equally ruinous to himself and to his subjects.

The success of this battle put Henry in possession of Normandy, which he held ever after with very little disturbance. He fortified his new acquisition by demolishing the castles of those turbulent barons, who had wasted, and afterwards enslaved, their country by their dissensions. Order and justice took place, until everything was reduced to obedience; then a severe and regular oppres-

A D 1168 sion succeeded the former disorderly tyrany king no longer doubted his fortune, and therefore no longer respected his promises or his charter. The forests, the sarage passion of the Norman princes, for which both the prince and people paid so dearly, were maintained, increased, and guarded with laws more rigorous than before. Taxes were largely and arbitrarily assessed. But all this tyranny did not weaken, though it vexed, the nation, because the great men were kept in proper subjection, and justice was steadily administered.

The politics of this remarkable reign consisted of three branches -to redress the gross abuses which prevailed in the civil government and the revenue, to humble the great barons, and keep the aspiring spirit of the clergy within proper bounds The introduction of a new law with a new people, at the conquest, had unsettled everything, for whilst some adhered to the Conqueror's regulations, and others contended for those of St Edward, neither of them were well executed or properly obeyed The king, therefore, with the assistance of his justiciaries, compiled a new body of laws, in order to find a temper between both The coin had been miserably debased, but it was restored by the king's vigilance, and preserved by punishments, cruel, but terrifying in their example There was a savageness in all the judicial proceedings of those days, that gave even justice itself the complexion of tyranny, for whilst a number of men were seen in all parts of the kingdom, some castrated, some without hands, others with their feet cut off, and in various ways cruelly mangled, the view of a perpetual punishment blotted out the memory of the transient crime, and government was the more odious, which out of a cruel and mistaken mercy, to avoid punishing with death, devised torments far more terrible than death itself

But nothing called for redress more than the disorders in the king's own household. It was considered as an incident annexed to their tenure, that the soccage vassels of the Crown, and so of all the subordinate barons, should receive their lord and all his followers, and supply them in their progresses and journeys, which custom continued for some ages after in Ireland, under the name of Coshering. But this indefinite and ill-contrived charge on the tenant was easily perverted to an instrument of much oppression by the disorders of a rude and licentious court; insomuch that the tenants, in fear for their substance, for the honour of their women, and often for their lives, deserted their habitations and fled into the woods on the king's approach. No circumstance could be more dishonourable to a prince; but happily, like many other great abuses, it gave rise to a great reform, which went much further than its immediate purposes. This disorder, which the punishment of offenders could only palliate, was entirely taken away by commuting personal service for a rent in money; which regulation, passing from the king to all the inferior lords, in a short time wrought a great change in the state of the nation. To humble the great men, more arbitrary methods were used. The adherence to the title of Robert was a cause, or a pretence, of depriving many of their vast possessions, which were split or parcelled out amongst the king's creatures, with great injustice to particulars, but in the consequences with general and lasting benefit. The king held his courts according to the custom at Christmas and Easter, but he seldom kept both festivals in the same place. He made continual progresses into all parts of his kingdom, and brought the royal authority and person home to the doors of his haughty barons, which kept them in strict obedience during his long and severe reign.

His contests with the church, concerning the right of investiture, were more obstinate and more dangerous. As this is an affair that troubled all Europe as well as England, and holds deservedly a principal place in the story of those times, it will not be impertinent to trace it up to its original. In the early times of Christianity, when religion was only drawn from its obscurity to be persecuted; when a bishop was only a candidate for martyrdom; neither the preferment, nor the right of bestowing it, were sought with great ambition. Bishops were then elected, and often against their desire, by their clergy and the people; the subordinate ecclesiastical districts were provided for in the same manner. After the Roman empire became Christian, this usage, so generally established, still maintained its ground. However, in the principal cities, the emperor frequently exercised the privilege

of gying a sanction to the choice and sometimes of appointing the bishop, though, for the most part, the popular esetion still prevailed But when the barburans, after destroy ing the empire, had at length submitted their necks to the of zeal and gratitude

with large territories

they should be the patrons of those dignities, and nominate to that power, which arose from their own free bounty Hence th

ın effect, merely de

merely desengences, when the feudal establishment was completed, they partook of the feudal nature so far as they were subjects capable of it, homage and fealty were required on the part of the spiritual vassal, the king on his part gave the bishop the investiture, or livery and seizin of his temporal, ties, by the delivery of a rin-manner of granting feudal

still practised in our base

this privilege to Charlemagne by in express grant. The clergy of that time, ignorant, but inquisitive, were very ready finding types and mysteries in every ceremony, they con-

finding types and mysteries in every ceremony, they conad the staff into an emblem of the pastoral care, and the
into a type of the bishop's allegorical marriage to his
urch, and therefore supposed them designed as emblems of a
jurisdiction merely spiritual. The papal pretensions increased
with the general ignorance and superstition, and the better
to support these pretensions, it was necessary at once to
exalt the clergy extremely, and by breaking off all ties between them and their natural sovereigns to attach them
wholly to the Roman see. In pursuance of this project, the
pope first strictly forbide the clergy to receive investitures
from laymen, or to do them homage. A council held at
Rome entirely condemned this prictice, and the condemnation was the less unpopular, because the investiture give
rise to frequent and flagrant abuses, especially in England,
where the sees were on this pretence with much seemdal long

held in the king s hands, and afterwards as scandalously and publicly sold to the highest bidder. So it had been in the

last reign, and so it continued in this

Henry, though vigorously attacked, with great resolution? maintained the rights of his crown with regard to investitures, whilst he saw the emperor, who claimed a right of investing the pope himself, subdued by the thunder of the Vatican. His chief opposition was within his own kingdom. Anselm, archbishop of Canterbury, a man of unblamable life and of learning for his time, but blindly attached to the rights of the church, real or supposed, refused to conscerate those who received investitures from the king. The parties appealed to Rome; Rome, unwilling either to recede from her pretensions, or to provoke a powerful monarch, gives a dubious answer. Meanwhile the contest grows hotter; Anselm is obliged to quit the kingdom, but is still inflexible. At last the king, who from the delicate situation of his affairs in the beginning of his reign had been obliged to temporize for a long time, by his usual prudent mixture of management with force obliged the pope to a temperament, which seemed extremely judicious. The king received homage and fealty from his vassal; the investiture, as it was generally understood to relate to spiritual jurisdiction, was given up, and on this equal bottom peace was established. The secret of the pope's moderation was this: he was at that juncture close pressed by the emperor, and it might be highly dangerous to contend with two such enemies at once; and he was much more ready to yield to Henry, who had no reciprocal demands on him, than to the emperor, who had many and just ones, and to whom he could not yield any one point without giving up an infinite number of others very material and interesting.

As the king extricated himself happily from so great an affair, so all the other difficulties of his reign only exercised, without endangering, him. The efforts of France in favour of the son of Robert were late, desultory, and therefore unsuccessful. That youth, endued with equal virtue and more prudence than his father, after exerting many useless acts of unfortunate bravery, fell in battle, and freed Henry from all disturbance on the side of France. The incursions of the Welsh in this reign only gave him an opportunity of confining that people within narrower bounds. At home he was well obeyed by his subjects, abroad he dignified his family by splendid alliances. His daughter Matilda he married to

of giving a sanction to the choice, and sometimes of appointing the bishop, though, for the most part, the popular elec-tion still prevuled But when the barbarians, after destroying the empire, had at length submitted their necks to the gospel, their kings and great men, full of zeal and gratitude to their instructors, endowed the church with large territories and great privileges. In this case it was but natural, that they should be the patrons of those dignities, and nominate to that power, which arose from their own free bounty Hence t ın effect

merely seigniories, when the feudal establishment was completed, they partook of the feudal nature, so far as they were subjects capable of it, homage and fealty were required on the part of the spiritual vassal, the king on his part gave the bishop the investiture, or livery and seizin of his temporalities, by the delivery of a ring and staff This was the original manner of granting feudal property, and something like it is still practised in our base courts Pope Adrian confirmed this privilege to Charlemagne by an express grunt The clergy of that time, ignorant, but inquisitive, were very ready at finding types and mysteries in every ceremony, they construed the staff into an emblem of the pastoral care, and the into a type of the bishop's allegorical marriage to his h, and therefore supposed them designed as emblems of a

merely spiritual The papal pretensions increased with the general ignorance and superstition, and the better to support these pretensions it was necessary at once to exalt the clergy extremely, and, by breaking off all ties between them and their natural sovereigns, to attach them wholly to the Roman see In pursuance of this project, the pope first strictly forbide the clergy to receive investitures from laymen, or to do them homage A council held at Rome entirely condemned this practice, and the condemnation was the less unpopular, because the investiture give rise to frequent and flagrant abuses, especially in England, where the sees were on held in the king's hand publicly sold to the hig

last reign, and so it continued in this

ing one of the richest subjects in Europe, and of extending an unlimited influence over the clergy and the people. Henry trusted, by the promotion of two persons so near him in blood, and so bound by benefits, that he had formed an impenetrable fence about the succession; but he only inspired into Stephen the design of seizing on the Crown by bringing him so near it. The opportunity was favourable. The king died abroad. Matilda was absent with her husband; and the bishop of Winchester, by his universal credit, disposed the churchmen to elect his brother with the concurrence of the greatest part of the nobility; who forgot their oaths, and vainly hoped that a bad title would necessarily produce a good government. Stephen, in the flower of youth, bold, active, courageous, full of generosity and a noble affability, that seemed to repronch the state and avarice of the preceding kings, was not wanting to his fortune. He seized immediately the immense treasures of Henry, and by distributing them with a judicious profusion, removed all doubts concerning his title to them. He did not spare even the royal demesne; but secured himself a vast number of adherents by involving their guilt and interest in his own. He raised a considerable army of Flemings, in order to strengthen himself against another turn of the same instability which had raised him to the throne; and, in imitation of the measures of the late king, he concluded all by giving a charter of liberties as ample as the people at that time aspired to. This charter contained a renunciation of the forests made by his predecessor; a grant to the ecclesiastics of a jurisdiction over their own vassals; and to the people in general an immunity from unjust talliages and exactions. It is remarkable, that the oath of allegiance taken by the nobility on this occasion was conditional; it was to be observed so long as the king observed the terms of his charter; a condition, which added no real security to the rights of the subject, but which proved a fruitful source of dissension, tumult, and civil vio-

The measures which the king hitherto pursued were dictated by sound policy; but he took another step to secure his throne, which in fact took away all its security, and at the same time brought the country to extreme misery, and to the brink of utter ruin.

At the conquest there were very few fortifications in the kingdom, William found it necessary for his security to erect several, during the struggles of the English the Norman nobility were permitted (as in reason it could not be refused) to fortify their own houses. It was however still understood, that no new fortress could be erected without he king's special hence. These private castles began very early to embarrass the government, the royal castles were scarcely less troublesome, for as everything was then in tenure, the governor held his place by the tenure of Castle guard, and thus instead of a simple officer, subject to his pleasure, the king had to deal with a feudal tenant secure against him by law, if he performed his services, and by force, if he was unwilling to perform them. Every resolution of vectors.

of them ther close tese were the Thus

mstead of removing, they in fact doubled the m-chief. Stephen perceiving the passon of the barons for these castles, among other popular acts in the beginning of his reign, gave a general licence for erecting them. Then was seen to arise in every corner of the kingdom, in every petty segmiory, an inconceivable multitude of strong holds, the seats of violence

timual inroads upon each other, and spread war, ripine, burning, and desolation throughout the whole highom. They infested the high roads, and put a stop to all trade by plan dering the merchants and travellers. Those who dwelt in the open country, they forced into their cristles, and after pillaging them of all their visible substance, these tyrants held them in dungeons, and tortured them with a thousand cruel inventions to extort a discovery of their hidden wealth. The lamentable represe times justifies the

of giants and magi

same deplorable condition. It was then that some gallant spirits, struck with a generous indignation at the tyranny of these miscreants, blessed solemnly by the bishop, and followed by the praises and vows of the people, sallied forth to vindicate the chastity of women, and to redress the wrongs of travellers and peaceable men. The adventurous humour inspired by the crusade heightened and extended this spirit;

and thus the idea of knight-errantry was formed. Stephen felt personally these inconveniences; but because the evil was too stubborn to be redressed at once, he resolved to proceed gradually, and to begin with the castles of the bishops; as they evidently held them, not only against the interests of the Crown, but against the canons of the church. From the nobles he expected no opposition to this design; they beheld with envy the pride of these ecclesiastical fortresses, whose battlements seemed to insult the poverty of the lay barons. This disposition, and a want of unanimity among the clergy themselves, enabled Stephen to succeed in his attempt against the bishop of Salisbury, one of the first whom he attacked, and whose castles, from their strength and situation, were of the greatest importance. But the affairs of this prince were so circumstanced, that he could pursue no counsel that was not dangerous; his breach with the clergy let in the party of his rival Matilda. This party was supported by Robert, Earl of Gloucester, natural son to the late king; a man powerful by his vast possessions, but more formidable through his popularity, and the courage and abilities by which he had acquired it. Several other circumstances weaken-- ed the cause of Stephen; the charter, and the other favourable acts, the scaffolding of his ambition, when he saw the structure raised, he threw down and contemned. In order to maintain his troops, as well as to attach men to his cause, where no principle bound them, vast and continual largesses became necessary; all his legal revenue had been dissipated; and he was therefore obliged to have recourse to such methods of raising money as were evidently illegal. These causes every day gave some accession of strength to the party against him; the friends of Matilda were encouraged to appear in arms; a civil war ensued, long and bloody, prosecuted as chance or a blind rage directed by mutual acts of cruelty and treachery, by frequent surprisals and assaults of castles, and

# CHAPTER VI

#### REIGN OF HENRY II

THE death of Stephen left an undisputed suc-A D 1154 cession for the first time since the death of Ed ward the Confessor Henry, descended equally from the Norman Conqueror and the old English kings, adopted by Stephen, acknowledged by the barons, united in himself every kind of title It was grown into a custom for the king to grant a charter of liberties on his accession to the crown Henry also granted a charter of that kind, confirm ing that of his grandfather, but as his situation was very different from that of his predecessors, his charter was different. reserved, short, dry, conceived in general terms, a gift, not a bargain And indeed there seems to have been at that juncture but little occasion to limit a power, which seemed not more than sufficient to correct all the evils of an unlimited liberty Henry spent the beginning of his reign in repairing the ruins of the royal authority, and in restoring to the kingdom peace and order, along with its ancient limits, and he may well be considered as the restorer of the English monarchy Stephen had sacrificed the demesne of the Crown, and many of its rights, to his subjects, and the necessity of the times obliged both that prince and the empress Matilda to purchase in their turns the precarious friendship of the king of Scotland by a cession of almost all the country north of the Humber But Henry obliged the king of Scotland to restore his acquisitions, and to renew his homage He took the same methods with his barons Not sparing the grants of his mother, he resumed what had been so lavishly squandered by both of the contending parties, who, to establish their claims, had given away almost everything that made them valuable There never was a prince in Europe who better understood the advantages to be de-rived from its peculiar constitution, in which greater acqui-sitions of dominion are made by judicious marriages than by success in war For having added to his patrimonial territories of Anjou and Normandy the duchy

of the Dukes of Brittany failing, he took the opportunity of

marrying his third son, Geoffrey, then an infant, to the heiress of that important province, an infant also; and thus uniting by so strong a link his northern to his southern dominions, he possessed in his own name, or in those of his wife and son, all that fine and extensive country, that is washed by the Atlantic Ocean, from Picardy quite to the foot of the Pyrenees.

Henry, possessed of such extensive territories, and aiming at further acquisitions, saw with indignation that the sovereign authority in all of them, especially in England, had been greatly diminished. By his resumptions he had indeed lessened the greatness of several of the nobility; he had by force of arms reduced those, who forcibly held the Crown-lands, and deprived them of their own estates for their rebellion. He demolished many castles, those perpetual sources of rebellion and disorder. But the great aim of his policy was to break the power of the clergy, which each of his predecessors, since Edward, had alternately strove to raise and to depress; at first, in order to gain that potent body to their interests; and then to preserve them in subjection to the authority which they had conferred. The clergy had elected Stephen; they had deposed Stephen, and elected Matilda; and in the instruments which they used on these occasions, they affirmed in themselves a general right of electing the kings of England. Their share both in the elevation and depression of that prince showed, that they possessed a power inconsistent with the safety and dignity of the state. The immunities which they enjoyed seemed no less prejudicial to the civil economy; and the rather, as in the confusion of Stephen's reign, many, to protect themselves from the prevailing violence of the time, or to sanctify their own disorders, had taken refuge in the clerical charac-The church was never so full of scandalous persons, who being accountable only in the ecclesiastical courts, where no crime is punished with death, were guilty of every crime. A priest had about this time committed a murder attended with very aggravating circumstances. The king, willing at once to restore order and to depress the clergy, laid hold of this favourable opportunity to convoke the cause to his own court, when the atrociousness of the crime made all men look with an evil eye upon the claim of any privilege, which

might prevent the severest justice. The nation in general seemed but little inclined to controvert so useful a regulation with so potent a prince. A midst this general acquiescence one man was found bold enough to oppose him, who for eight years together embroiled all his affairs, porconed his satisfactions, endangered his dominions, and at length in his death trumphed over all the power and policy of this was early optimized that the power and policy of this was a man memorable for the great glory, and the bitter reprovedes, he has met with from posterity. This person was the son of a respectable citizen of London, he was bred to the study of the civil and canon law, the education then used to qualify a man for public affairs, in which he soon made a distinguished figure. By the royal favour, and his own additions, he rose in a rapid succession through several con siderable employments, from an office under the sheriff of London, to be high chancellor of the kingdom. In this high post he showed a spirit as elevated, but it was rather a

much ostentation of courage and expense. The king, who favoured him greatly, and expected a suitable return, on the vacancy destined Becket, yet a layman, to the see of Canterbury, and hoped to find in him a warm promoter of the reformation he intended. Hardly a priest, he was made the first prelate in the kingdom. But no

A D 116° inflation in lineating the large of the Manager of the Sonor was he invested with the clerical christier, thu the whole tenor of his conduct was seen to change all at once, of his pompous retinue a few plain servants only remained, a monastic temperance regulated his table, and his life, in all respects formed to the most nigid austerity, seemed to prepare him for that superiority he was resolved to assume, and the conflicts he foresaw

It will not be unpleasing

markable period in order greatness of the elergy, which enabled them to bear so very considerable a sway in all public affairs, what foundations supported the weight of so wast a power, whence it had its origin, whit was the nature, and what the ground, of the immunities they claimed, that we may the more fully inter-

358

to it the other, supported it, and was supported by it But it was not the devotion only, but the necessity, of the times that raised the clergy to the excess of this greatness. The little learning which then subsisted, remained wholly in their hands. Few among the latty could even read; consequently the clergy alone were proper for public affairs. They were the statesmen, they were the lawyers, from them were often taken the balliffs of the seigneurial courts, sometimes the sheriffs of,

self rules, counties, and almost constantly the justicanes of the kingdom. The Norman kings, always jealous of their order, were always forced to employ them. In abbeys the law was studied, abbeys were the palladiums of the public liberty by the custody of the royal charters, and most of the records. Thus, necessary to the great by their knowledge, venerable to the poor by their hospitality, dreadful to all by the power of excommunication, the character of the clergy was exalted above everything in the state; and it could no more be otherwise in those days, than it is possible it should be so in ours.

William the Conqueror made it one principal point of his politics to reduce the clergy, but all the steps he took in it were not equally well calculated to answer this intention. When he subjected church-lauds to military service, the

or by bave

their military vassals, who owed them nomage and cally; and this rather increased their consideration amongst so martial a people. The kings who succeeded him, though they also aimed at reducing the ecclesiastical power, never pursued their scheme on a great or legislative principle. They seemed rather desirous of enriching themselves by the abuses in the church, than carnest to correct them. One day they plundered, and the next day they founded, monasteries, as their rapaciousness or their scruples chured to predominate; so that every attempt of that kind, having rather the air of tyrainy than reformation, could never be heartily approved, or seconded by the body of the people.

The bishops must always be considered in the double capacity of clerks and barons Their courts, therefore, had a double jurisdiction; over the clergy and laity of their diocese for the cognizance of crimes against ecclesiastical law, and over the vassals of their barony as lords paramount. But these two departments, so different in their nature, they frequently confounded by making use of the spiritual weapon of excommunication to enforce the judgments of both; and this sentence, cutting off the party from the common society of mankind, lay equally heavy on all ranks; for, as it deprived the lower sort of the fellowship of their equals and the protection of their lord, so it deprived the lord of the services of his vassals, whether he or they lay under the sentence. This was one of the grievances which the king proposed to redress.

As some sanction of religion is mixed with almost every concern of civil life, and as the ecclesiastical court took cognizance of all religious matters, it drew to itself not only all questions relative to tithes and advowsons, but whatever related to marriages, wills, the estate of intestates, the breaches of oaths and contracts; in a word, everything which

did not touch life or feudal property.

The ignorance of the bailiffs in lay courts, who were only possessed of some feudal maxims and the traditions of an uncertain custom, made this recourse to the spiritual courts the more necessary, where they could judge with a little more exactness by the lights of the canon and civil laws.

This jurisdiction extended itself by connivance, by necessity, by custom, by abuse, over lay persons and affairs. But the immunity of the clergy from lay cognizances was claimed, not only as a privilege essential to the dignity of their order, supported by the canons, and countenanced by the Roman law, but as a right confirmed by all the ancient laws of England.

Christianity, coming into England out of the bosom of the Roman empire, brought along with it all those ideas of immunity. The first trace we can find of this exemption from lay jurisdiction in England is in the laws of Etheldred; it is more fully established in those of Canute; but in the code of Henry I. it is twice distinctly affirmed. This im-

capitis, 200.

3 LL. H. I. 57, De querelà vicinorum, et 56, De ordinato qui vitam

事いてき

<sup>1</sup> LL. Etheldred. Si presbyter homicida fieret, &c.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> LL. Canuti, 38, de ministro altaris homicidâ. Idem 40, de ordinato capitis, 200.

munity from the secular jurisdiction, whilst it seemed to encourage acts of violence in the clergy towards others, encouraged also the violence of others against them. The nurder of a clerk could not be punished at this time by death, it was against a spiritual person, an offence wholly spiritual, of which the secular courts took no sort of cognizance. In the Saxon times two circumstances made such an exemption less a cause of jealousy, the sherriff sat with the bishop, and the spiritual jurisdiction was, if not under the control, at least under the inspection, of the lay officer, and then, as neither laity nor clergy were capitally punished for any offence, this privilege did not create so inviduous and glaring a distinction between them. Such was the power of the clergy, and such the immunities, which the king proposed to dimmish

Becket, who had punished the ecclesiastic for his crime by ecclesiastical law, refused to deliver him over to the secular judges for further punishment, on the principle of law, that no man ought to be twice questioned for the same offence

makes much for the honour of their moduration, the subshops and abbots, who must have composed so large and weighty a part of the great council, seem not only to have made no opposition to regulations which so remarkably contracted their jurisdiction, but even seem to have forwarded them

1st, A clerk accused of any crime shall appear in the king's court, that it may be judged whether he belongs to ecclesinstical or secular cognizance. If to the former, a deputy shall go into the bisbops' court to observe the trial, if the clerk be convicted, he shall be delivered over to the king's justiciary to be punished.

2nd, All causes concerning presentation, all causes concerning Frankalmogue, all actions concerning breach of faith, shall be tried in the king's court

forisficiat in Feed Guthrem apid Spel Concil 376, 1st vol. LL Edw III, De correctione ordinatorum

3rd, The king's tenant in capite shall not be excommunicated without the king's licence.

4th, No clerk shall go out of the kingdom without giving security that he will do nothing to the prejudice of the king or nation. And all appeals shall be tried at home.

These are the most material of the constitutions or assizes of Clarendon, famous for having been the first legal check given to the power of the clergy in England. To give these constitutions the greater weight, it was thought proper that they should be confirmed by a bull from the pope. By this step the king seemed to doubt the entireness of his own authority in his dominions; and by calling in foreign aid when it served his purpose, he gave it a force and a sort of legal sanction when it came to be employed against himself. But as no negotiation had prepared the pope in favour of laws designed in reality to abridge his own power, it was no wonder that he rejected them with indignation. Becket, who had not been prevailed on to accept them but with infinite reluctance, was no sooner apprized of the pope's disapprobation than he openly declared his own; he did penance in the humblest manner for his former acquiescence, and resolved to make amends for it by opposing the new constitutions with the utmost zeal. In this disposition the king saw that the archbishop might be more easily ruined than humbled, and his ruin was resolved. Immediately a number of suits, on various pretences, were commenced against him, in every one of which he was sure to be foiled; but these making no deadly blow at his fortunes, he was called to account for thirty thousand pounds, which he was accused of having embezzled during his chancellorship. It was in vain that he pleaded a full acquittance from the king's son, and Richard de Lucy, the guardian and justiciary of the kingdom, on his resignation of the seals; he saw it was already determined against him. Far from yielding under these repeated' blows, he raised still higher the ecclesiastical pretensions, now become necessary to his own protection. He refused to answer to the charge, and appealed to the pope, to whom alone he seemed to acknowledge any real subjection. A great ferment ensued on this appeal. The courtiers advised that he should be thrown into prison, and that his temporalities should be seized. The bishops, willing to reduce

362

Becket without reducing their own order, proposed to accuse him before the pope, and to pursue him to degradation Some of his friends pressed him to give up his cause, others The king's servants threw

'midst this general confusion every one according to his

interests expected the event with much anxiety, Becket, in the disguise of a monk, escaped out of the nation, and threw himself into the arms of the king of France

Henry was greatly alarmed at this secession, which put the archbishop out of his power, but left him in full possession of all his ecclesiastical weapons An embassy was immediately despatched to Rome, in order to accuse Becket, but as Becket pleaded the pope's own cause before the pope himself, he obtained an easy victory over the king's ambas-sadors Henry, on the other hand, took every measure to

just authority He

able Becket, but also to lower that chair, whose exaltation had an ill influence on the throne For he encouraged the bishop of London to revive a claim to the primacy, and thus, by making the rights of the see at least dubious, he hoped to render future prelates more cautious in the exercise of them He inhibited, under the penalty of high treason, all ecclesiastics from going out of his dominions without licence, or any emissary of the pope's or archbishop's from entering them with letters of excommunication or interdict. And, that he might not supply arms against himself, the Peter-pence were collected with the former care, but detained in the royal treasury, that matter might be left to Rome both for hope and fear In the personal treatment of Becket all the proceedings were full of anger, and by an unnecessary and un-just severity greatly discredited both the cause and character of the king, for he stripped of their goods, and banished, all the archbishop's kindred, all who were in any sort connected with him, without the least regard to sex, age, or condition In the mean time, Becket, stung with these affronts, impatient of his banishment, and burning with all the fury, and the same zeal, which had occasioned it, continually threatened the king with the last exertions of

ecclesiastical power: and all things were thereby, and by the absence and enmity of the head of the English church,

kept in great confusion.

During this unhappy contention several treaties were set on foot; but the disposition of all the parties, who interested themselves in this quarrel, very much protracted a determination in favour of either side. With regard to Rome, the then pope was Alexander the Third, one of the wisest prelates who had ever governed that see, and the most zealous for extending its authority. However, though incessantly solicited by Becket to excommunicate the king, and to lay the kingdom under an interdict, he was unwilling to keep pace with the violence of that enraged bishop. Becket's view was single: but the pope had many things to consider; an anti-pope then subsisted, who was strongly supported by the emperor; and Henry had actually entered into a negotiation with this emperor and this pretended pope. On the other hand, the king knew that the lower sort of people in England were generally affected to the archbishop, and much under the influence of the clergy. He was therefore fear-ful to drive the pope to extremities by wholly renouncing his authority. These dispositions in the two principal powers made way for several conferences leading to peace. But for a long time all their endeavours seemed rather to inflame than to allay the quarrel. Whilst the king, steady in asserting his rights, remembered with bitterness the archbishop's opposition; and whilst the archbishop maintained the claims of the church with a haughtiness natural to him, and which was only augmented by his sufferings, the king of France appeared sometimes to forward, sometimes to perof France appeared sometimes to forward, sometimes to perplex, the negotiation; and this duplicity seemed to be dictated by the situation of his affairs. He was desirous of nourishing a quarrel, which put so redoubted a vassal on the defensive; but he was also justly fearful of driving so powerful a prince to forget that he was a vassal. All parties, however, wearied at length with a contest, by which all were distracted, and which in its issue promised nothing favourable to any of them, yielded at length to an accommodation, founded rather on an oblivion and silence of past disputes, than on the settlement of terms for preserving future tranquillity. future tranquillity.

Becket returned in a sort of triumph to his see Many of the dignified clergy, and not a few of the barons, lay under excommunication for the share they had in his persecution, but, neither broken by adversity nor softened by good for tune, he relented nothing of his severity, but referred them all for their absolution to the pope Their resentments were revived with additional bitterness, new affronts were offered to the archbishop which brought on new excommunications and interdicts The contention thickened on all sides, and things seemed running precipitately to the former dangerous extremities, when the account of these contests was brought, with much aggravation, against Becket to the ears of the king, then in Normandy, who, foreseeing a new series of troubles, broke out in a violent passion of grief and anger, "I have no friends, or I had not so long been insulted by this haughty priest " Four knights, who attended near his person, thinking that the complaints of a king are orders for revenge, and hoping a reward equal to the importance, and even guilt, of the service, silently departed, and passing with great diligence into England, in a short time they arrived at Canterbury They entered the cathedral, they fell on the archbishop, just on the point of celebrating divine service, and with repeated blows of their clubs they beat him to the ground, they broke his skull in pieces, and covered the altar with his blood and brains

The horror of the halam a get on namaged by the sacrednes

and of the place where it wa all sides with incredible rapidity, the clergy, in whose cause he fell, equalled him to the most holy martyrs, compassion for his fate made all men forget his faults, and the report of frequent miracles at his tomb sanctified his cause and character, and threw a general odium on the king What became of the murderers is uncertain, they were neither protected by the king, nor punished by the laws, for the reason we have not long since mentioned. The king, with infinite difficulty, extricated himself from the consequences of this murder, which threatened, under the papal banners, to arm all Europe against him, nor was he absolved, but by renouncing the most material parts of the constitutions of Clarendon, by purging himself upon oath of the murder of

Becket; by doing a very humiliating penance at his tomb to expiate the rash words which had given occasion to his death; and by engaging to furnish a large sum of money for the relief of the Holy Land, and taking the cross himself as soon as his affairs should admit it. The king probably thought his freedom from the haughtiness of Becket cheaply purchased by these condescensions; and, without question, though Becket might have been justifiable, perhaps even laudable, for his steady maintenance of the privileges, which his church and his order had acquired by the care of his predecessors, and of which he by his place was the depository, yet the principles upon which he supported these privileges, subversive of all good government; his extravagant ideas of church power; the schemes he meditated, even to his death, to extend it yet further; his violent and unreserved attachment to the papacy, and that inflexible spirit, which all his virtues rendered but the more dangerous; made his death as advantageous at that time, as the means by which it was effected were sacrilegious and detestable.

Between the death of Becket and the king's absolution he resolved on the execution of a design, by which he reduced under his dominion a country, not more separated from the rest of Europe by its situation, than by the laws, customs, and way of life of the inhabitants: for the people of Ireland, with no difference but that of religion, still retained the native manners of the original Celtæ. The king had meditated this design from the very beginning of his reign, and had obtained a bull from the then pope, Adrian the Fourth, an Englishman, to authorize the attempt. He well knew, from the internal weakness and advantageous situation of this noble island, the easiness and importance of such a conquest. But at this particular time he was strongly urged to his engaging personally in the enterprise by two other powerful motives. For, first, the murder of Becket had bred very ill humours in his subjects, the chiefs of whom, always impatient of a long peace, were glad of any pretence for rebellion; it was therefore expedient, and serviceable to the Crown, to find an employment abroad for this spirit, which could not exert itself without being destructive at home. And, next, as he had obtained the grant of Ireland from the pope, upon condition of subjecting it to Peter-pence, he knew, that the

366

speedy performance of this condition would greatly facilitate his recovering the good graces of the court of Rome. Before we give a short narrative of the reduction of Ireland, I propose to lay open to the reader the state of that kingdom, that we may see what grounds Henry had to hope for success in

this expedition

Ireland is about half as large as England

In the temperature of the elimate there is little difference, other than that more rain falls, as the country is more mountainous and exposed full to the westerly wind, which blowing from the Atlantic Ocean prevails during the greater part of the year This moisture, as it has enriched the country with large and frequent rivers, and spread out a number of fair and magnificent lakes, beyond the proportion of other places, has on the other hand encumbered the island with an uncommon multitude of bogs and morasses, so that in general it is less priised for corn than pasturage, in which no soil is more rich and luxurant

Whilst it possesses these internal means of

those of Britain in the time of the Romans, at this time there were few towns, scarce any fortifications, and no tride that deserves to be mentioned

The people of Ireland lay claim to a very extravagant an-

Seyths, afterwards known by the name of Scots The Irish historians suppose this race descended from a person called Gathel, a Seythian by birth, an Egyptian by education, the contemporary and friend of the prophet Moses But these histories, seeming clear-sighted in the obscure affairs of so blind an antiquity, instead of passing for treasuries of ancent facts, are regarded by the judicious as modern fictions. In cases of this sort rational conjectures are more to be rehed on than improbable relations. It is most probable

that Ireland was first peopled from Britain. The coasts of these countries are in some places in sight of each other. The language, the manners, and religion of the most ancient inhabitants of both are nearly the same. The Milesian colony, whenever it arrived in Ireland, could have made no great change in the manners or language, as the ancient Spaniards were a branch of the Celtæ, as well as the old inhabitants of Ireland. The Irish language is not different from that of all other nations, as Temple and Rapin, from ignorance of it, have asserted. On the contrary, many of its words bear a remarkable resemblance not only to those of the Welsh and Armoric, but also to the Greek and Latin. Neither is the figure of the letters very different from the vulgar character, though their order is not the same with that of other nations, nor the names, which are taken from the Irish proper names of several species of trees; a circumstance, which, notwithstanding their similitude to the Roman letters, argues a different original and great antiquity. The Druid discipline anciently flourished in that island; in the fourth century it fell down before the preaching of St. Patrick. Then the Christian religion was embraced, and cultivated with an uncommon zeal, which displayed itself in the number and consequence of the persons, who in all parts embraced the contemplative life. This mode of life, and the situation of Ireland, removed from the horror of those devastations which shook the rest of Europe, made it a refuge for learning, almost extinguished everywhere else. Science flourished in Ireland during the seventh and eighth centuries. The same cause which destroyed it in other countries, also destroyed it there. Danes, then pagans, made themselves masters of the island after a long and wasteful war, in which they destroyed the sciences along with the monasteries in which they were cultivated. By as destructive a war they were at length expelled; but neither their ancient science nor repose returned to the Irish; who, falling into domestic distractions as soon . as they were freed from their foreign enemics, sunk quickly into a state of ignorance, poverty, and barbarism; which must have been very great, since it exceeded that of the rest The disorders in the church were equal to those. in the civil economy, and furnished to the pope a plausible pretext for giving Henry a commission to conquer the kingdom, in order to reform it.

282

The Irish were divided into a number of tribes or clans. each clan forming within itself a separate government was ordered by a chief, who was not raised to that dignity either by election, or by the ordinary course of descent, but as the eldest and worthiest of the blood of the deceased lord This order of succession, called Tanistry, was said to have been invented in the Danish troubles, lest the tribe, during a minority, should have been endangered for want of a sufficient leader It was probably much more ancient, but it was, however, attended with very great and permicious inconveniencies, as it was obviously an affair of difficulty to determine who should be called the worthest of the blood, and a door being always left open for ambition, this order introduced a greater mischief than it was intended to remedy Almost every tribe, besides its contention with the neighbouring tribes, nourished faction and discontent within

was anciently divided. These again were subordinate to one head, who was called monarch of all Ireland, raised to that power by election, or, more properly speaking, by violence

Whilst the dignities of the state were disposed of by a sort of election, the office of judges, who were called Brehons, the trades of mechanics, and even those arts which we are apt to consider as depending principally on intural genus, such as poetry and music, were confined in succession to certain races, the Irish imagining that greater advantages were to be derived from an errly institution, and the affection of par

were to be derived from an errly institution, and the affection of par their and a large search of the rant in their and a large search of the rant in their policy, but these and many other of the Irish institutions, well enough calculated to preserve good arts and useful discipline when these arts came to degenerate, were equally well calculated to present all improvement, and to perpetuate corruption, by infusing an invincible tenaciousness of ancent customs

The people of Ireland were much more addicted to pas

turage than agriculture, not more from the quality of their soil, than from a remnant of the Scythian manners. They had but few towns, and those not fortified, each clan living dispersed over its own territory. The few walled towns they had lay on the sea-coast; they were built by the Danes, and held after they had lost their conquests in the inland parts; here was carried on the little foreign trade which the island then possessed.

The Irish militia was of two kinds; one called Kerns, which were foot, slightly armed with a long knife or dagger, and almost naked; the other Galloglasses, who were horse, poorly mounted, and generally armed only with a battle-axe. Neither horse nor foot made much use of the spear, the sword, or the bow. With indifferent arms they had still worse discipline. In these circumstances their natural bravery, which, though considerable, was not superior to

that of their invaders, stood them in little stead.

Such was the situation of things in Ireland, when Dermot,

king of Leinster, having violently carried away the wife of one of the neighbouring petty sovereigns, Roderic, king of Connaught, and monarch of Ireland, joined with the injured husband to punish so flagrant an outrage; and with their united forces spoiled Dermot of his territories, and obliged him to abandon the kingdom. The fugitive prince, not unapprized of Henry's designs upon his country, threw himself at his feet, implored his protection, and promised to hold of him, as his feudatory, the sovereignty he should recover by his assistance. Henry was at this time at Guienne; nothing could be more agreeable to him than such an incident; but as his French dominions actually lay under an interdict on account of his quarrel with Becket, and all his affairs, both at home and abroad, were in a troubled and dubious situation, it was not prudent to remove his person, nor venture any considerable body of his forces, on a distant enterprise. Yet, not willing to lose so favourable an opportunity, he warmly recommended the cause of Dermot to his regency in England, permitting and encouraging all persons to arm in his favour: a permission, in this age of enterprise, greedily accepted by many; but the person who brought the most assistance to it, and indeed gave a form and spirit to the whole design, was Richard,

or. vi. 2 i

## AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY

Earl of Striaul, commonly known by the name of Strongbow Dermot, to confirm in his interest this potent and warlike

A D 1169 four hundred men landed near Wexford, they took the town by storm When reinforced they did not exceed twelve hundred, but being joined with three thousand men by Dermot, with an incredible rapidity of success they reduced Waterford, Dublin, Limerick, the only considerable cities in Ireland By the novelty of their arms they had obtained some striking advantages in their first engagements, and by these advantages they attained a supcriority of opinion over the Irish, which every success in-A P 1172 creased Before the effect of this first impres sion had time to wear off, Henry, having settled

his affairs abroad, entered the harbour of Cork with a fleet of four hundred sail, at once to secure the conquest, and the allegiance of the conquerors The fame of so great a force, arriving under a prince dreaded by all Europe, very soon disposed all the petty princes, with their king Roderic, to submit and do homage to Henry They had not been able to resist the arms of his vassals, and they hoped better treatment from submitting to the ambition of a great king, who left them everything but the honour of their independency, than from the avarice of adventurers, from which nothing was secure The bishops and the body of the clergy greatly contributed to this submission, from respect to the pope, and the horror of their late defeats, which they began to regard as judgments A national council was held at Cashel for bringing the church of Ireland to a perfect conformity, in rites and discipline, to that of England It is not to be thought that in this council the temporal interests of Eng land were entirely forgotten Many of the English were established in their particular conquests under the tenure of knights service, now first introduced into Ireland, a tenure which, if it has not proved the best calculated to secure the obedience of the vassal to the sovereign, has never failed in any instance of preserving a ranquished people in obedience to the conquerors The English lords built strong cistles on their demesnes, they put themselves at the head of the

the horses of Brotzens on the dock of her father claimed wife's inheritance, anship to a son of hard had not the greater ambition

He contended for the duchy of Guienne before his mother's death, which alone could give him the colour of a title to it. The queen his mother, hurned on by her own unquet spint, or, as some think, stimulated by jealousy, encouraged their rebellion against her husband. The king of France, who moved all the other engines, engaged the king of Scotland, the Earl of Flanders, then a powerful prince, the Earl of Blois, and the Earl of Boulogne, in the conspiracy. The barons in Bretagne, in Guienne, and even in England, were ready to take up arms in the same cause, whether it was that they perceived the uniform plan the king had pursued in order to their reduction, or were solely instigated by the natural fierceness and levity of their minds, fond of every

conspiracy, it was not discovered until the moment it was ready for execution. The first token of it appeared in the young king's demand to have either England or Normandy given up to him. The refusal of this demand served as a signal to all parties to put themselves in motion. The younger Henry fled into France. Lewis entered Normandy with a vast army. The barons of Bretagne under Geoffrey, and those of Guienne under Richard, rose in arms; the king of Scotland pierced into England, and the Earl of Leresster, at the head of fourteen thousand Fennings, landed in Suffolk.

It was on this trying occasion that Henry displayed a greatness independent of all fortune. For, beste by all the neighbouring powers, opposed by his own children, betrayed by his wife, abandoued by one part of his subjects, uncertain

who were detached from any country, and who, by making war a perpetual trade, and passing from service to service, had acquired an experience and military knowledge uncommon in those days. Henry took twenty thousand of these mercenaries into his service, and as he paid them punctually, and kept them always in action, they served him with fidelity. The papal authority, so often subservient, so often prejudicial, to his designs, he called to his assistance in a cause which did not misbecome it,—the cause of a father attacked by his children. This took off the ill impression left by Becket's death, and kept the bishops firm in their allegiance. Having taken his measures with judgment, he pursued the war in Normandy with vigour. In this war his mercenaries had a great and visible advantage over the feudal armies of France; the latter, not so useful while they remained in the field, entered it late in the summer, and commonly left it in forty days. The king of France was forced to raise the siege of Verneuil, to evacuate Normandy, and agree to a truce. Then, at the head of his victorious Brabançons, Henry marched into Brittany with an incredible expedition. The rebellious army, astonished as much by the celerity of his march as the fury of his attack, was totally routed. The principal towns and castles were reduced soon after. The custody of the conquered country being lodged in faithful hands, he flew to the relief of England. There his natural son Geoffrey, bishop elect of Ely, faithful during the rebellion of all his legitimate offspring, steadily maintained his cause, though with forces much inferior to his zeal. The king, before he entered into action, thought it expedient to perform his expiation at the tomb of Becket. Hardly had he finished this ceremony, when the news arrived that the Scotch army was totally defeated, and their king made prisoner. This victory was universally attributed to the prayers of Becket; and whilst it established the credit of the new saint, it established Henry in the minds of his people; they no longer looked upon their king as an object of the Divine vengeance, but as a penitent reconciled to Heaven, and under the special protection of the martyr he had made. The Flemish army, after several severe checks, capitulated to evacuate the kingdom. The rebellious barons submitted soon after. All was

quiet in Englund, but the lang of France renewed hostilities in Normandy, and laid siego to Rouen Henry recruited his army with a body of auxiliary Welsh, arrived at Rouen with his usual expedition, rused the siego, and drove the king of France quite out of Normandy. It was then that he agreed to an accommodation, and in the terms of peace which he dictated in the midst of victory to his sous, his subjects, and his enemies, there was seen on one hand the tenderness of a father, and on the other the moderation of a wisse man, not insensible of the mutability of fortune

The war, which threatened his run, being so happily ended, the greatness of the danger served only to enhance his glory whilst he saw the Ling of Trance humbled, the Flemings defeated, the king of Scotland a prisoner, and his sons and subjects reduced to the bounds of their duty employed this interval of peace to secure its continuance, and to prevent a return of the like evils, for which reason he made many reforms in the laws and polity of his dominions He instituted itinerant justices, to weaken the power of the great barons, and even of the sheriffs, who were hardly more obedient, an institution, which, with great public advantages, has remained to our times In the spirit of the same policy he armed the whole body of the people, the English commonalty had been in a manner disarmed ever since the Conquest. In this regulation we may probably trace the origin of the Militia, which, being under the orders of the Crown rather in a political than a feudal respect, were judged more to be relied on than the soldiers of tenure, to whose pride and power they might prove a sort of counterpoise Amidst these changes the af-

A D 1118 fairs of the clergy remained untonched. The king had experienced how dangerous it was to attempt removing foundations so deeply laid both in strength and opinion. He therefore wisely aimed at acquiring the favour of that body, and turning to his own advantage a power he should in vain attempt to overthrow, but which he might set up against another power, which it was equally his interest to reduce

Though these measures were taken with the greatest judgment, and seemed to promise a peaceful evening to his reign, the seeds of rebellion remained still at home, and the dispositions that nourished them were rather increased abroad. The parental authority, respectable at all times. ought to have the greatest force in times when the manners are rude and laws imperfect. At that time Europe had not emerged out of barbarism, yet this great natural bond of society was extremely weak. The number of foreign obligations and duties almost dissolved the family obligations. From the moment a young man was knighted, so far as related to his father, he became absolute master of his own conduct; but he contracted at the same time a sort of filial relation with the person who had knighted him. various principles of duty distracted one another. The custom which then prevailed of bestowing lands and jurisdictions under the name of appanages to the sons of kings and the greater nobility, gave them a power which was frequently employed against the giver; and the military and licentious manners of the age, almost destroyed every trace of every kind of regular authority. In the East, where the rivalship of brothers is so dangerous, such is the force of paternal power amongst a rude people, we scarce ever hear of a son in arms against his father. In Europe for several ages it was very common. It was Henry's great misfortune to suffer in a particular manner from this disorder. A. D. 1180. Philip succeeded Lewis, king of France; he followed closely the plan of his predecessor,—to reduce the great vassals, and the king of England, who was the greatest of them; but he followed it with far more skill and vigour. though he made use of the same instruments in the work. He revived the spirit of rebellion in the princes, Henry's sons. These young princes were never in harmony with each other but in a confederacy against their father, and the father had no resource but in the melancholy safety derived from the disunion of his children. This he thought it expedient to increase; but such policy when discovered has always a dangerous effect. The sons, having just quarrelled enough to give room for an explanation of each other's designs, and to display those of their father, enter into a new conspiracy. In the midst of these motions the young king dies, and showed at his death such signs of a A. D. 1183. sincere repentance as served to revive the old king's tenderness, and to take away all comfort for his loss. The death of his third son, Geoffrey, followed close upon the heels of A D 1185 this funerul. He died at Paris, whither he had gone to concert measures against his father Richard and John remained. Richard, fiery, restless, am bithous, openly took up arms, and pursued the war with implacable rancour, and such success, as drove the king, in the decline of his life, to a dishonourable treity, nor was he then content, but excited new troubles. John was his youngest and favourite child, in him he reposed all his hopes, and consoled himself for the unduitfulness of his other sons, but after concluding the treaty with the king of France and Richard, he found, too soon, that John had been as deep as any in the conspiracy. This was his last wound, afflicted by his children in their deaths, and harassed in their lives, mortified as a father and a king, worn down with cares and sorrows more than with yeurs, he died, cursing his fortune, his children, and the hour of his burth. When he perceived that death approached him, by his own desire be was carried into a church and laid at the altar's foot. Hardly had he

A D 1189

unheeded b solution for the obscurity of a mean fortune, and an instructive lesson how little in outward greatness, and enjoyments foreign to the mind, contribute towards a solid felicity, in the example of one who was the greatest of kings and the unhappiest of mankind

## CHAPTER VII

## PRION OF RICHARD I

REIGN OF RICHARD I

A D 1189 . WHILST Henry lived, the king of France had

tend it, with a temper infinitely more hery and much thought and free from every impediment of internal discension. These circumstances filled the mind of Philip with great and just uneasiness. There was no security but in finding exer-

cise for the enterprising genius of the young king at a distance from home. The new crusade afforded an advantageous opportunity. A little before his father's death, Richard had taken the cross in conjunction with the king of France. precipitate were the fears of that monarch, that Richard was hardly crowned when ambassadors were despatched to England to remind him of his obligation, and to pique his pride by acquainting him that their master was even then in readiness to fulfil his part of their common vow. An enterprise of this sort was extremely agreeable to the genius of Richard, where religion sanctified the thirst of military glory; and where the glory itself seemed but the more desirable by being unconnected with interest. He immediately accepted the proposal, and resolved to insure the success as well as the lustre of his expedition by the magnificence of his preparations. Not content with the immense treasures amassed by his father, he drew in vast sums by the sale of almost all the demesnes of the Crown, and of every office under it, not excepting those of the highest trust. clergy, whose wealth and policy enabled them to take advantage of the necessity and weakness of the Croises, were generally the purchasers of both. To secure his dominions in his absence, he made an alliance with the princes of Wales and with the king of Scotland. To the latter he released, for a sum of money, the homage which had been extorted by his lather.

His brother John gave him most uneasiness; but finding it unworthy or impracticable to use the severer methods of jealous policy, he resolved to secure his fidelity by loading him with benefits. He bestowed on him six carldoms; and gave him in marriage the lady Avisa, sole heiress of the great house of Gloucester; but as he gave him no share in the regency, he increased his power, and left him discontented in a kingdom committed to the care of new men, who had merited their places by their money.

It will be proper to take a view of the condition of the Holy Land at the time when this third crusade was set on foot to repair the faults committed in the two former. The conquests of the Croises, extending over Palestine and a part of Syria, had been erected into a sovereignty under the name of the kingdom of Jerusalem. This kingdom, ill ordered

AN ABRIDGMENT OF ENGLISH HISTORY

378

within, surrounded on all sides by powerful enemies, subsisted by a strength not its own for near ninety years sions arising about the succession to the Crown between Guy

of Lusignan and Raymond, Earl of Tripoli, Guy, either bend of ly enans in hım.

m fell an easy prey to his arms, whilst the competitors contended with the utmost violence for a kingdom which no longer existed for either of them All Europe was alarmed at this The banished patriarch of Jerusalem filled every place with the distresses of the Eastern Christians The pope ordered a solemn fast to be for ever kept for this loss, and then, exerting all his influence, excited a new crusade, in which vast numbers engaged with an ardour unabated by their former misfortunes, but wanting a proper subordination rather than a sufficient force, they made but a slow progress, when Richard and Philip, at the head of more than a 100,000 chosen men, the one from Marseilles, the

other from Genoa, set sail to their assistance In his voyage to the Holy Land accident pre-sented Richard with an unexpected conquest A D 1191

A vessel of his fleet was driven by a storm to take shelter in mi + aland sees accorned by a prince

rof 12. "1 117a man aims of their substance Incusty, Icocur by the insolence of the

us, vanquished Israe in was solemnly crowned

مه و داد نامینا ants it continued for several generations, until, palmarriage into the family of Cornaro, a Venetian nobleman, it was acquired to that state, the only state in Furope which had any real benefit by all the blood and treasure lavished in the holy war

the Christian army On his rear was the strong city of Jerusalem, which secured a communication with the countries of Chaldea and Mesopotamia, from whence he was well supplied with everything If the Christians attempted to im

country wasted din, with a vast

army on their rear, advantageously posted to cut off their convoys and reinforcements

Richard was labouring to get over these disadvantages, when he was informed by repeated expresses of the disorder of his affairs in Europe,-disorders which arose from the ill

The heads of his

arrelled with each

t of a civil war bad arisen, in wince they were actored ... nee John was the mainspring of these dissensions, he engaged in a close communication of counsels with the king of France, who had setzed upon several places in Normandy It was with regret that Richard found himself obliged to leave a theatre

well as A um and

ın, He now concluded a truce with that generous

s and on his departure sent a messenger to assure on its expiration he would not fail to be again in Saladin replied, that if he must lose his king he would choose to lose it to the king of England.

Thus Richard returned, leaving Jerusalem in the A D 1192 hands of the Saracens, and this end had an en terprise, in which two of the most powerful monarchs in Europe were personally engaged, an army of upwards of 100 000 men employed, and to furnish which the whole

Christian world had been vexed and exhausted It is a melancholy reflection, that the spirit of great designs can seldom be inspired but where the reason of mankind is so uncultivated that they can be turned to little advantage With this war ended the fortune of Richard, who found the Saracens less dangerous than his Christian allies It is not well known what motive induced him to land at Aquileis, at the bottom of the Gulf of Venice, in order to take his route by Germany; but he pursued his journey through the territories of the Duke of Austria, whom he had personally affronted at the siege of Acre. And now, neither keeping himself out of the power of that prince, nor rousing his generosity by seeming to confide in it, he attempted to get through his dominions in disguise. Sovereigns do not easily assume the private character; their pride seldom suffers their disguise to be complete; besides, Richard had made himself but too well known. The duke, transported with the opportunity of base revenge, discovered him, seized him, and threw him into prison; from whence he was only released to be thrown into another. The emperor claimed him; and, without regarding, in this unfortunate captive, the common dignity of sovereigns, or his great actions in the common cause of Europe, treated him with yet greater

To give a colour of justice to his violence, he proposed to accuse Richard at the diet of the empire upon certain articles relative to his conduct in the Holy Land. The news of the king's captivity caused the greatest consternation in all his good subjects; but it revived the hopes and machinations of Prince John, who bound himself by closer ties than ever to the king of France, seized upon some strong-holds in England, and, industriously spreading a report of his brother's death, publicly laid claim to the crown, as lawful successor. All his endeavours, however, served only to excite the indignation of the people, and to attach them the more firmly to their unfortunate prince. Eleanor, the queen dowager, as good a mother as she had been a bad wife, acted with the utmost vigour and prudence to retain them in their duty, and omitted no means to procure the liberty of her son. The nation seconded her with a zeal, in their circumstances, uncommon. No tyrant ever imposed so severe a tax upon his people as the affection of the people of England, already exhausted, levied upon themselves. The most favoured religious orders were charged on this occasion. The church-plate was sold. The ornaments of the most holy relics were not spared. And, indeed, nothing serves more to demonstrate the poverty of the kingdom, reduced by internal dissensions and remote wars, at that time, than the extreme difficulty of collecting the king's ransom, which amounted to no more

134 - William

than 100,000 marks of silver, Cologne weight For raising this sum the first taxation, the most heavy and general that was ever known in England, proved altogether insufficient Another taxation was set on foot It was levied with the same rigour as the former, and still fell short Ambassadors were sent into Germany with all that could be raised, and with hostages for the payment of whatever remained The king met these ambassadors as he was carried in chains to plead his cause before the diet of the empire sadors burst into tears at this affecting sight, and wept aloud, but Richard, though touched no less with the affectionate loyalty of his subjects than with his own fallen condition, preserved his dignity entire in his misfortunes, and with a cheerful air inquired of the state of his dominions, the behaviour of the king of Scotland, and the fidelity of his brother, the Count John At the diet, no longer protected by the character of a sovereign, he was supported by his per-sonal abilities

He had a ready wit, and great natural clo-weight of his cauce, t at last interested

t at last interested on excessive ransom for dismissing a prisoner whom bedetained without the least colour of justice Philip moved

clined to the bribe, which tempted him to be base, hesitited a long time between these offers. But as the payment of the rinsom was more certain than Philip's promises, and as the instances of the diet, and the menaces of the pope, who protected Richard, as a prince secring under the cervs, were

of more immediate consequence than his threats, Richard was at length released, and though it is said the improve endeavoured to seize him again, to extort another runsom he escaped safely into England

A Direct Richard on his coming to England found all

things in the utmost confusion, but before he attempted to apply a remedy to so obstinate a disease, in order to wipe off any degrading ideas which might have arisen from his imprisonment, he caused himself to be new crowned. Then, holding his court of great council at South-

ampton, he made some useful regulations in the distribution of justice. He called some great offenders to a strict account. Count John deserved no favour, and he lay entirely at the king's mercy, who, by an unparalleled generosity, pardoned him his multiplied offences, only depriving him of the power of which he had made so bad a use. Generosity did not oblige him to forget the hostilities of the king of France. But to prosecute the war money was wanting, which new taxes and new devices supplied with difficulty and with dishonour. All the mean oppressions of a necessitous government were exercised on this occasion. All the grants which were made on the king's departure to the Holy Land were revoked on the weak pretence that the purchasers had sufficient recompense whilst they held them. Necessity seemed to justify this as well as many other measures that were equally violent. The whole revenue of the Crown had been dissipated; means to support its dignity must be found; and these means were the least unpopular, as most men saw with pleasure the wants of government fall upon those who had started into a sudden greatness by taking advantage of those wants.

Richard renewed the war with Philip, which continued, though frequently interrupted by truces, for about five years. In this war Richard signalized himself by that irresistible courage, which on all occasions gave him a superiority over the king of France. But his revenues were exhausted; a great scarcity reigned both in France and England; and the irregular manner of carrying on war in those days prevented a clear decision in favour of either party. Richard had still an eye on the Holy Land, which he considered as the only province worthy of his arms; and this continually diverted his thoughts from the steady prosecution of the war in The crusade, like a superior orb, moved along with all the particular systems of politics of that time, and suspended, accelerated, or put back, all operations on motives foreign to the things themselves. In this war, it must be remarked that Richard made a considerable use of the mercenaries who had been so serviceable to Henry the Second; and the king of France, perceiving how much his father Lewis had suffered by a want of that advantage, kept on foot a standing army in constant pay, which none of his predecessors had done before him, and which afterwards for a long time very unaccountably fell into disuse in both kingdoms

Mhilst the war was carried on by intervals and starts, it came to the ears of Richard that a

Considerable acious to the n and mar-

vellous circumstances which always attend the report of such discoveries, immediately sent to demand the treasure, under pretence of the rights of seigniory. The Limosia, either because he had really discovered nothing, or that he was unwilling to part with so valuable an acquisition, refused to comply with the king's demand, and fortified his castle

arrow, and it proved mortal, but in the last, as in all the 'uly noble shone out amidst his mind. The castle was I from whom Richard had

received the wound was brought before him. Being asked, why he levelled his arrow at the king, he answered, with an undaunted countenance, "that the king with his own hual had slain his two brothers, that he thanked God, who gave him an opportunity to revenge their deaths even with the certainty of his own." Richard, more touched with the magnanimity of the man than offended at the mury, he had received, or the boldness of the answer, ordered that his life should be spared. He appointed his brother John to the succession and with these acts ended a life and reign dis-

tween this ancient king of England and Charles All of Sweden They were both inordinately desirous of war, and rather generals than kings Both were rather fond of glory than ambitious of empire. Both of them made and deposed sovereigns. They both carried on their wars at a distance from home. They were both made prisoners by a friend and ally. They were both reduced by an adversary inferior in war, but above them in the arts of rule. After spending their lives in remote adventures, each perished at last near home. in enterprises not suited to the splendour of their former exploits. Both died childless; and both, by the neglect of their affairs, and the severity of their government, gave their subjects provocation and encouragement to revive their freedom. In all these respects the two characters were alike: but Richard fell as much short of the Swedish hero in temperance, chastity, and equality of mind, as he exceeded him in wit and eloquence. Some of his sayings are the most spirited that we find in that time; and some of his verses remain, which in a barbarous age might have passed for poetry.

## CHAPTER VIII.

REIGN OF JOHN.

WE are now arrived at one of the most memorable periods in the English story; whether we consider the astonishing revolutions which were then wrought, the calamities in which both the prince and people were involved, or the happy consequences, which, arising from the midst of those calamities, have constituted the glory and prosperity of England for so many years. We shall see a throne, founded in arms, and augmented by the successive policy of five able princes, at once shaken to its foundations; first made tributary by the arts of a foreign power; then limited, and almost overturned, by the violence of its subjects. We shall see a king, to reduce his people to obedience, draw into his territories a tumultuary foreign army, and destroy his country instead of establishing his government. We shall behold the people, grown desperate, call in another foreign army, with a foreign prince at its head, and throw away that liberty which they had sacrificed everything to preserve. We shall see the arms of this prince successful against an established king in the vigour of his years, ebbing in the full tide of their prosperity, and yielding to an infant; after this, peace and order and liberty restored; the foreign force and foreign title purged off, and all things settled as happily as hearend all beans.

beyond all hope.

Richard dying without lawful issue, the succession to his dominions again became dubious. They consisted of various territories, governed by various rules of descent, and all of them uncertain There were two competitors; the first was Prince John, youngest son of Henry II.; the other was Arthur, son of Constance of Bretagne by Geoffrey, the third son of that monarch. If the right of consanguinity were only considered, the title of John to the whole succession had been indubitable. If the right of representation had then prevailed which now universally prevails, Arthur, as standing in the place of his father Geoffrey, had a solid claim. About Brittany there was no dispute. Anjou, Poitou, Touraine, and Guienne declared in favour of Arthur, on the principle of representation. Normandy was entirely for John

against the pretensions of John, declared heir by the will of the late king, supported by his armies, possessed of his trea-

who saw themselves beset by the church, the law, and inburghers, had taken no measures, nor even a resolution; and therefore had nothing left but to concur in acknowledging the title of John, whom they knew and hated. But though they were not able to exclude him from the succession, they had strength enough to oblige him to a solemn promise of restoring those liberties and franchises which they had always claimed, without having ever enjoyed, or even perfectly understood. The clergy also took advantage of the badness of his title to establish one altogether as ill founded. Hubert, archbishop of Canterbury, in the speech which he delivered at the king's coronation, publicly affirmed that the crown of England was of right elective. He drew his examples in support of this doctrine, not from the histories of the ancient Saxon kings, although a species of election within a certain family had then frequently prevailed, but from the history of the first kings of the Jews; without doubt in order to revive those pretensions which the clergy first set up in the election of Stephen, and which they had since been obliged to conceal, but had not entirely forgotten. John accepted a sovereignty weakened in the very act by which he acquired it; but he submitted to the times. He came to the throne at the age of thirty-two. He had entered early into business; and had been often involved in difficult and arduous enterprises, in which he experienced a variety of men and fortunes. father, whilst he was very young, had sent him into Ireland, which kingdom was destined for his portion, in order to habituate that people to their future sovereign, and to give the young prince an opportunity of conciliating the favour of his new subjects. But he gave on this occasion no good omens of capacity for government. Full of the insolent levity of a young man of high rank, without education, and surrounded with others equally unpractised, he insulted the Irish chiefs; and, ridiculing their uncouth garb and manners, he raised such a disaffection to the English government, and so much opposition to it, as all the wisdom of his father's best officers and counsellors was hardly able to overcome. In the decline of his father's life he joined in the rebellion of his brothers, with so much more guilt, as with more ingratitude and hypocrisy. During the reign of Richard he was the perpetual author of seditions and tumults; and yet was pardoned and even favoured by that prince to his death, when he very unaccountably appointed him heir to all his dominions.

It was of the utmost moment to John, who had no solid title, to conciliate the favour of all the world. Yet one of

his first steps, whilst his power still remained dubious and unsettled, was, on pretence of consanguinty, to divorce his wife Arisa, with whom he had lived many years, and to marry Isabella of Angoulême, a woman of extraordinary beauty, but who had been betrothed to Hugh, Count of Marche; thus disgusting at once the powerful friends of his divorced wife, and those of the Earl of Marche, whom he had so sensibly wronged

The king of France, Philip Augustus, saw with pleasure these proceedings of John, as he had before rejoiced at the dispute about the succession. He had been altrays employed, and sometimes with success, to reduce the English power, through the reigns of one very able, and one very warlike, prince. He had greater advantages in this conjuncture, and a prince of quite another character now to contend with He was therefore not long without choosing his part; and whilst he secretly encouraged the Count of Marche, already stimulated by his private wrongs, he openly supported the claim of Arthur to the duchies of Anjou and Touraine. It was the character of this prince readily to lay aside, and as

were engaged in a war with France. He had then a most favourable opportunity to establish all his claims, and at the same time to put the king of France out of a condition to question them ever after. But he suffered himself to be over-reached by the artifices of Philip; he consented to a hard treaty of peace, by which he received an empty.

A D 1200 chrowledgment of his right to the disputed territories, and in return for which acknowledgment he renounced his alliance with the emperor. By this act he at once strengthened his enemy, gave up his ally, and lowered

and hostilities, under the protection of the Newscar, who made a strong diversion by investor Newscar, the commencement of these motions. John by sirve of a prerogative hitherto undisputed, summoned Hi Daglich Inrons to attend him into France; but instead of a complication with his orders, he was surprised with a solemn demand of their ancient liberties. It is astonishing that the barons should at that time have ventured on a resolution of such dangerous importance, as they had provided no sort of means to support them. But the history of those times furnishes many instances of the like want of design in the most momentous affairs; and shows that it is in vain to look for political causes for the actions of men, who were most commonly directed by a brute caprice, and were for the greater part destitute of any fixed principles of obedience or resist-The king, sensible of the weakness of his barons, fell upon some of their castles with such timely vigour, and treated those whom he had reduced with so much severity, that the rest immediately and abjectly submitted. He levied a severe tax upon their fiefs; and, thinking himself more strengthened by this treasure than the forced service of his barons, he excused the personal attendance of most of them. and passing into Normandy he raised an army there. found that his enemies had united their forces, and invested the castle of Mirabel, a place of importance, in which his mother, from whom he derived his right to Guienne, was besieged. He flew to the reliefes this place with the spirit of a greater character, and the success was answerable. The Breton and Poiterin arra was defeated; his mother was freed; and the young Dail of Brittany and his sister were made prisoners. Tolatter he sent into England, to be confined in the cashe of Bristol; the former he carried with him to Ronen. good fortune of John now seemed to be at its higher: je it. but it was exalted on a precipice; and this great tot ? proved the occasion of all the evils which afflicted is jet

John was not of a character to resist the territorial having the life of his rival in his hands. All his as fully agreed that he murdered his nepher as in the means by which he accomplished that can report was soon spread abroad, variously in

s, st eir

ż

duchy of Normandy, originally acquired by the valour of his ancestors, and the source from which the greatness of his family had been derived, after being supported against all shocks for 300 years, was torn for ever from the stock of Rollo, and reunited to the Crown of France. Immediately all the rest of the provinces which he held on the continent, except a part of Guienne, despairing of his protection, and abhorring his government, threw themselves into the hands of Philip.

Meanwhile the king by his personal vices completed the odium which he had acquired by the impotent violence of his government. Uxorious and yet dissolute in his manners, he made no scruple frequently to violate the wives and daughters of his nobility, that rock on which tyranny has so often split. Other acts of irregular power, in their greatest excesses, still retain the characters of sovereign authority; but here the vices of the prince intrude into the families of the subject; and whilst they aggravate the oppression,

lower the character of the oppressor.

In the disposition which all these causes had concurred universally to diffuse, the slightest motion in his kingdom threatened the most dangerous consequences. Those things which in quiet times would have only raised a slight controversy, now, when the minds of men were exasperated and inflamed, were capable of affording matter to the greatest The affairs of the church, the winds which mostly governed the fluctuating people, were to be regarded with the utmost attention. Above all, the person who filled the see of Canterbury, which stood on a level with the throne itself, was a matter of the last importance. Just at this critical time died Hubert, archbishop of that see; a man who had a large share in procuring the crown for John, and in weakening its authority by his acts at the ceremony of the coronation, as well as by his subsequent conduct. Immediately on the death of this prelate, a cabal of obscure monks, of the abbey of St. Augustine, assemble by night; and, first binding themselves by a solemn oath not to divulge their proceedings until they should be confirmed by the pope, they elect one Reginald, their sub-prior, archbishop of Canterbury. The person elected immediately crossed the seas; but his vanity soon discovered the secret of his greatness.

duchy of Normandy, originally acquired by the valour of his ancestors, and the source from which the greatness of his family had been derived, after being supported against all shocks for 300 years, was torn for ever from the stock of Rollo, and reunited to the Crown of France. Immediately all the rest of the provinces which he held on the continent, except a part of Guienne, despairing of his protection, and abhorring his government, threw themselves into the hands of Philip.

Meanwhile the king by his personal vices completed the odium which he had acquired by the impotent violence of his government. Uxorious and yet dissolute in his manners, he made no scruple frequently to violate the wives and daughters of his nobility, that rock on which tyranny has so often split. Other acts of irregular power, in their greatest excesses, still retain the characters of sovereign authority; but here the vices of the prince intrude into the families of the subject; and whilst they aggravate the oppression,

lower the character of the oppressor.

In the disposition which all these causes had concurred universally to diffuse, the slightest motion in his kingdom threatened the most dangerous consequences. Those things which in quiet times would have only raised a slight controversy, now, when the minds of men were exasperated and inflamed, were capable of affording matter to the greatest revolutions. The affairs of the church, the winds which mostly governed the fluctuating people, were to be regarded with the utmost attention. Above all, the person who filled the see of Canterbury, which stood on a level with the throne itself, was a matter of the last importance. Just at this critical time died Hubert, archbishop of that see; a man who had a large share in procuring the crown for John, and in weakening its authority by his acts at the ceremony of the coronation, as well as by his subsequent conduct. Immediately on the death of this prelate, a cabal of obscure monks, of the abbey of St. Augustine, assemble by night; and, first binding themselves by a solemn oath not to divulge their proceedings until they should be confirmed by the pope, they elect one Reginald, their sub-prior, archbishop of Canterbury. The person elected immediately crossed the seas; but his vanity soon discovered the secret of his greatness.

the same time he recommended to their choice Stephen Langton, their countryman; a person already distinguished for his learning, of irreproachable morals, and free from every canonical impediment. This authoritative request the monks had not the courage to oppose in the pope's presence, and in his own city. They murmured and submitted. In England this proceeding was not so easily ratified: John drove the monks of Canterbury from their monastery; and having seized upon their revenues, threatened the effects of the same indignation against all those who seemed inclined to acquiesce in the proceedings of Rome. But Rome had not made so bold a step with intention to recede. On the king's positive refusal to admit Langton, and the expulsion of the monks of Canterbury, England was laid under an interdict. Then divine service at once ceased throughout the kingdom: the churches were shut. The sacraments were suspended. The dead were buried without honour, in highways and ditches; and the living deprived of all spiritual comfort. On the other hand, the king let loose his indignation against the ecclesiastics; seizing their goods, throwing many into prison, and permitting or encouraging all sorts of violence against them. The kingdom was thrown into the most terrible confusion; whilst the people, uncertain of the object or measure of their allegiance, and distracted with opposite principles of duty, saw themselves deprived of their religious rites by the ministers of religion; and their king, furious with wrongs not caused by them, falling indiscriminately on the innocent and the guilty; for John, instead of soothing his people in this their common calamity, sought to terrify them into obedience. In a progress which he made into the north, he threw down the enclosures of his forests, to let loose the wild beasts upon their lands; and as he saw the papal proceedings increase with his opposition, he thought it necessary to strengthen himself by new devices. He extorted hostages, and a new oath of fidelity, from his barons. He raised a great army, to divert the thoughts of his subjects from brooding too much on their distracted condition. This army he transported into Ireland; and as it happened to his father in a simple dispute with the pope, whilst he was dubious of his hereditary kingdom, he subdued Ireland. At this time he is said to have established

The king received the news of this transaction with surprise and indignation Provoked at such a contempt of his au thornty, he fell less surprised than himself at f some of its memhers But in some measure, by their submission They elected a person recommended by the king, and sent fourteen of the most respectable of their body to Rome to pray that the former proceedings should be annulled, and the later and more regular confirmed To this matter of contention another was added. A dispute had long subsisted between the suffragan bishops of the province of Canterbury and the monks of the abbey of St Austin, each claiming a right to elect the metropolitan This dispute was now revived, and pursued with much vigour The pretensions of the three contending parties were laid before the pope, to whom such disputes were highly pleasllingly conspire to

which they expect election he nulled,

because its irregularity was glating. The right of the bishops was entirely rejected. The pope looked with an enleve upon those whose authority he was every day usurping. The second election was set aside, as made at the ling's ustance. This was enough to make it very irregular. The canon law had now grown up to its full strengt. The enlargement of the prerogatine of the pope was the great object of this jurisprudence, a prerogatine which, founded fections monuments that are forced in an inportant sequence.

fictitious monuments, that are formed in an ignorant age, ly admitted by a credulous people, and afterwards conand enlarged by these admissions, not satisfied with

the supremacy, encroached on every minute part of churchgovernment, and had almost annihilated the episcopal juriduction throughout Europe Some canons had given the
metropolitan a power of nominating a bishop, when the circumstances of the election were palpably irregular, and as
it does not appear that there was any other jud, of the
regularity than the metropolitan himself, the election below

A D 1008. In effect became nugatory The pope, taking the

A D 1108. in effect became nugatory The pope, taking too
this canon, and by his plenitude of power, ordered the
deputies of Canterbury to proceed to a new election.

the same time he recommended to their choice Stephen Langton, their countryman; a person already distinguished for his learning, of irreproachable morals, and free from every canonical impediment. This authoritative request the monks had not the courage to oppose in the pope's presence, and in his own city. They murmured and submitted. In England this proceeding was not so easily ratified: John drove the monks of Canterbury from their monastery; and having seized upon their revenues, threatened the effects of the same indignation against all those who seemed inclined to acquiesce in the proceedings of Rome. But Rome had not made so bold a step with intention to recede. On the king's positive refusal to admit Langton, and the expulsion of the monks of Canterbury, England was laid under an interdict. Then divine service at once ceased throughout the kingdom: the churches were shut. The sacraments were suspended. The dead were buried without honour, in highways and ditches; and the living deprived of all spiritual comfort. On the other hand, the king let loose his indignation against the ecclesiastics; seizing their goods, throwing many into prison, and permitting or encouraging all sorts of violence against them. The kingdom was thrown into the most terrible confusion; whilst the people, uncertain of the object or measure of their allegiance, and distracted with opposite principles of duty, saw themselves deprived of their religious rites by the ministers of religion; and their king, furious with wrongs not caused by them, falling indiscriminately on the innocent and the guilty; for John, instead of soothing his people in this their common calamity, sought to terrify them into obedience. In a progress which he made into the north, he threw down the enclosures of his forests, to let loose the wild beasts upon their lands; and as he saw the papal proceedings increase with his opposition, he thought it necessary to strengthen himself by new devices. He extorted hostages, and a new oath of fidelity, from his barons. He raised a great army, to divert the thoughts of his subjects from brooding too much on their distracted condition. This army he transported into Ireland; and as it happened to his father in a simple dispute with the pope, whilst he was dubious of his hereditary kingdom, he subdued Ireland. At this time he is said to have established

the English laws in that kingdom, and to have appointed itinerant justices

At length the sentence of excommunication was fulminated against the king In the same year the same sentence was pronounced upon the Emperor Otho, and this daring pope was not afraid at once to drive to extremities the two greatest princes in Europe And, truly, nothing is more remarkable than the uniform steadiness of the court of Rome in the pursuits of her ambitious projects For knowing that pretensions which stand merely in opinion cannot bear to be questioned in any part, though she had hitherto seen the interdict produce but little effect, and perceived that the excommunication itself could draw scarce one poor bigot from the king's service, yet she receded not the least point from the utmost of her demand She broke off an accommodation just on the point of being concluded, because the king refused to repair the losses which the clergy had suffered, though he agreed to everything else, and even submitted to receive the archbishop, who, being obtruded on him, had in reality been set over him But the pope, bold as politic, determined to render him perfectly submissive, and to this purpose brought out the last arms of the ecclestastic stores, which were reserved for the most extreme oc-Having first released the English subjects from their oath of allegiance, by an unheard of presumption he formally deposed John from his throne and dignity, he invited the king of France to take possession of the forfated crown, he called forth all persons from all parts of Europe to assist in this expedition, by the pardons and privileges of those who fought for the Holy Land

This proceeding did not assonish the world Tho king of France, having driven John from all he held on the continent, gladly saw religion itself invite him to further conquests. He summoned all his vassals, under the penalty of felony, and the opprobrous name of cultertoge, (a name of all things dreaded by both nations), to attend in this expedition, and such force had this threat, and the hope of plunder in England, that a very great army was in a short time assembled. A feet also rendersoussed in the mouth

A word of uncertain derivation, but which signifies some scandilities spicies of cowardice

of the Seine, by the writers of these times said to consist of On this occasion John roused all his powers. He 1700 sail. called upon all his people, who, by the duty of their tenure or allegiance, were obliged to defend their lord and king; and in his writs stimulated them by the same threats of culvertage, which had been employed against him. They operated powerfully in his favour. His fleet in number exceeded the vast navy of France; his army was in everything but heartiness to the cause equal, and, extending along the coast of Kent, expected the descent of the French forces. Whilst these two mighty armies overspread the opposite coasts, and the sea was covered with their fleets, and the decision of so vast an event was hourly expected, various thoughts rose in the minds of those who moved the springs of these affairs. John, at the head of one of the finest armies in the world, trembled inwardly when he reflected how little he possessed or merited their confidence. Wounded by the consciousness of his crimes, excommunicated by the pope, hated by his subjects, in danger of being at once abandoned by heaven and earth, he was filled with the most fearful anxiety. The legates of the pope had hitherto seen everything succeed to their wish. But having made use of an instrument too great for them to wield, they apprehended that, when it had overthrown their adversary, it might recoil upon the court of Rome itself; that to add England to the rest of Philip's great possessions was not the way to make him humble; and that, in ruining John to aggrandize that monarch, they should set up a powerful enemy in the place of a submissive vassal.

They had done enough to give them a superiority in any negotiation, and they privately sent an embassy to the king of England. Finding him very tractable, they hasted to complete the treaty. The pope's legate, Pandulph, was intrusted with this affair. He knew the nature of men to be such, that they seldom engage willingly if the whole of a hardship be shown them at first, but that having advanced a certain length, their former concessions are an argument with them to advance further, and to give all, because they have already given a great deal. Therefore he began with exacting an oath from the king, by which, without showing the extent of his design, he engaged him to everything he

fru .\_ -

could ash. John swore to submit to the legate in all things relating to his excommunication. And first he was obliged then to restore the monks

.. ecclesiastics, and to make

by these concessions, all things seemed to be perfectly ectited. The cause of the quarrel was entirely removed. But when the king expected for so perfect a submission a full absolution, the legate began a laboured harangue on his rebellion, his tyrainty, and the innumerable suns he had committed; and in conclusion declared, that there was no way left to appease God and the church but to resign his crown to the holy see, from whose hands he should recure it purified from all pollutions, and hold it for the future by homage, and an annual tribute

John was struck motionless at a demand so extrargant and unexpected. He knew not on which side to turn If he cast his eyes toward the coast of France, he there say his enemy Philip, who considered him as a criminal as well as an enemy, and who aimed not only at his crown but his life, at the head of an unnumerable multitude of fierce people, ready to rush in upon him If he looked at his own army, he saw

difficulties, and fruly it is hard to say what choice he had, if any choice were left to the kings in what concerns the independence of their crown. Surrounded, therefore, with these difficulties, and that all his late luminations might not be no dered as intiffectual as they were ignominous, he took the last step; and, in the presence of a numerous assembly of is peers and prelates, who turned their cases from this mortifying to

nsoknee of , and let the he restored

In this proceeding the motives of the king may be easily discovered; but how the barons of the kingdom, who were deeply concerned, suffered, without any protestation, the independency of the Crown to be thus forfeited, is mentioned by no historian of that time. In civil tumults it is astonishing how little regard is paid by all parties to the honour or safety of their country. The king's friends were probably induced to acquiesce by the same motives that had influenced the king. His enemies, who were the most numerous, perhaps saw his abasement with pleasure, as they knew this action might be one day employed against him with effect. To the bigots it was enough that it aggrandized the pope. It is perhaps worthy of observation, that the conduct of Pandulph towards King John bore a very great affinity to that of the Roman consuls to the people of Carthage in the last Punic war; drawing them from concession to concession, and carefully concealing their design, until they made it impossible for the Carthaginians to resist. Such a strong resemblance did the same ambition produce in such distant times; and it is far from the sole instance in which we may trace a similarity between the spirit and conduct of the former and latter Rome in their common design on the liberties of mankind.

The legates, having thus triumphed over the king, passed back into France, but without relaxing the interdict or excommunication, which they still left hanging over him, lest he should be tempted to throw off the chains of his new subjection. Arriving in France. they delivered their orders to Philip with as much haughtiness as they had done to John. They told him that the end of the war was answered in the humiliation of the king of England, who had been rendered a dutiful son of the church: and that if the king of France should, after this notice, proceed to further hostilities, he had to apprehend the same sentence which had humbled his adversary. Philip, who had not raised so great an army with a view of reforming the manners of King John, would have slighted these threats, had he not found that they were seconded by the ill dispositions of a part of his own army. The Earl of Flanders, always disaffected to his cause, was glad of this opportunity to oppose him; and only following him through fear, withdrew his forces, and now openly opposed him rans against his r revived by this diss

Making one effort an action of the Fi the coast of Flanders,

the coast of Fhanders, and thus freed himself from the terror of an invasion. But when he intended to embark and improve his success, the barons refused to follow him. They alleged that he was still excommunicated, and that they would not follow a lord under the censures of the chirch. This demonstrated to the king the necessity of a speedy absolution and he received it this year from the hands of Cardinal

Langton

That archbishop no sooner came into the kingdom than he discovered designs very different from those which the pope had raised him to promote He formed schemes of a very deep and extensive nature, and became the first mover in all the affairs which distinguished the remainder of this In the oath which he administered to John on his absolution he did not confine himself solely to the ciclestastical grievances, but made him swear to amend his civil government, to raise no tax without the consent of the great council, and to punish no man but by the judgment of his court In these terms we may see the Great Charter traced in miniature A new scene of contention was opened, new pretensions were started, a new scheme was displayed. One dispute was hardly closed when he was involved in an other, and this unfortunate king soon discovered, that to renounce his dignity was not the way to secure his repose bor, being chared from the excommunication, he resolved to pursue the war in France, in which he was not without a prospect of success, but the barons refused upon new pratences, and not a man would serve The king, incensed to find himself equally opposed in his lawful and unlawful commands prepared to avenge himself in his accustomed manner, and to reduce the barons to obedience by carrying war into their estates But he found by this experiment that his posit was at an end The archbishop followed him, confronted him with the liberties of his people, reminded him of his late oath, and threatened to excommunicate every person who should obey him in his illegal proceedings. The king

first provoked, afterwards terrified, at this resolution, forbore

to prosecute the recusants.

The English barons had privileges, which they knew to have been violated; they had always kept up the memory of the ancient Saxon liberty; and if they were the conquerors of Britain, they did not think that their own servitude was the just fruit of their victory. They had, however, but an indistinct view of the object at which they aimed; they rather felt their wrongs than understood the cause of them; and having no head nor council, they were more in a condition of distressing their king, and disgracing their country by their disobedience, than of applying any effectual remedy to their grievances. Langton saw these dispositions and these wants. He had conceived a settled plan for reducing the king; and all his actions tended to carry it into execution. This prelate, under pretence of holding an ecclesiastical synod, drew to-gether privately some of the principal barons to the church of St. Paul in London. There, having expatiated on the miseries which the kingdom suffered, and having explained at the same time the liberties to which it was entitled, he produced the famous charter of Henry I., long concealed, and of which, with infinite difficulty, he had procured an authentic copy. This he held up to the barons as the standard about which they were to unite. These were the liberties which their ancestors had received by the free concession of a former king; and these the rights which their virtue was to force from the present, if (which God forbid) they should find it necessary to have recourse to such extremi-The barons, transported to find an authentic instrument to justify their discontent, and to explain and sanction their pretensions, covered the archbishop with praises; readily confederated to support their demands; and, binding themselves by every obligation of human and religious faith to vigour, unanimity, and secrecy, they departed to confederate others in their design.

This plot was in the hands of too many to be perfectly concealed; and John saw, without knowing how to ward it off, a more dangerous blow levelled at his authority than any of the former. He had no resources within his kingdom, where all ranks and orders were united against him by

one common hatred Foreign alliance he had none among temporal powers. He endeavoured, therefore, if possible, to draw some benefit from the misfortune of his new circumstances, he threw himself upon the protection of the papal power, which he had so long and with such reason op posed. The pope readily received him into his protection, but took this occasion to make him purchase it by another and more formal resignation of his crown. His present necessities, and his habits of bumiliation, made this second degradation casy to the king. But Langton, who no longer acted in subservience to the pope, from whom he had now nothing further to expect, and who had put himself at the head of the patrons of civil heterly, loudly exclaimed at this indignity, protested against the resignation, and laid his protestation on the alter.

This was more disagreeable to the barons than the first resignation, as they were sensible that he now degraded hinself only to humble his subjects once more patient witnesses to that ignomimous act, and were so much overawed by the pope, or had brought their design to so little maturity, that the king, in spite of it, still found means and authority to raise an army, with which he made a final effort to recover some part of his dominions in France The juncture was altogether favourable to his design Philip had all his attention abundantly employed in

John, Portou Sormes,

which was fought this year, put an end to all these hopes. In this battle the imperial army, consisting of 150,000 mee, were defeated by a third of their number of French forces. The emperor himself, with difficulty escaping from the field, survived but a short time a battle which entirely broke his strength. So signal a success established the grandeur of France upon immovable foundations. Philip rose continually in reputation and power, whilst John continually declined in both, and as the king of France was now ready to employ against him all his forces, so lately victorious, he sued by the mechation of the pope's legate for a truce, which was granted to him for five years.

place of regular treaties of peace, which were not often made at that time.

The barons of England had made use of the king's absence to bring their confederacy to form; and now, seeing him return with so little credit, his allies discomfited, and no hope of a party among his subjects, they appeared in a body before him at London. All in complete armour, and in the guise of defiance, they presented a petition, very humble in the language, but excessive in the substance; in which they declared their liberties, and prayed that they might be formally allowed and established by the royal authority. The king resolved not to submit to their demands; but being at present in no condition to resist, he required time to consider of so important an affair. The time, which was granted to the king to deliberate, he employed in finding means to avoid a compliance. He took the cross, by which he hoped to render his person sacred. He obliged the people to renew their oath of fealty; and, lastly, he had recourse to the pope. Fortified by all the devices which could be used to supply the place of a real strength, he ventured, when the barons renewed their demands, to give them a positive refusal; he swore by the feet of God (his usual oath) that he would never grant them such liberties as must make a slave of himself.

The barons, on this answer, immediately fly to arms: they rise in every part; they form an army, and appoint a leader; and as they knew that no design can involve all sorts of people, or inspire them with extraordinary resolution, unless it be animated with religion, they called their leader the marshal of the army of God and holy church. The king was wholly unprovided against so general a defection. city of London, the possession of which has generally proved a decisive advantage in the English civil wars, was betrayed to the barons. He might rather be said to be imprisoned than defended in the Tower of London, to which close siege was laid; whilst the marshal of the barons' army, exercising the prerogatives of royalty, issued writs to summon all the lords to join the army of liberty; threatening equally all those who should adhere to the king, and those who betrayed an indifference to the cause by their neutrality. John, deserted by all, had no resource but in temporizing and submission.

Without questioning in any part the terms of a treaty, which he intended to observe in none, he agreed to everything the barons thought fit to ask, hoping that the exorbitancy of their demands would justify in the eyes of the world the breach of his promises. The instruments by which the barons secured their liberties were drawn up in form of charters, and in the manner by which grants had been usually made to monasteries, wit for the benefit of 18 ancestors For the place of . they chose a large field, overlooked by Windsor, called Running mede, which in our present tongue signifies the meadow of council, a place long consecrated by public opinion, as that wherein the quarrels and wars which arose in the English nation, when divided into kingdoms or factions, had been terminated from the remotest times Here it was that King John, on the 15th day of June, in the year of our Lord 1215, signed those two memorable instruments, which first disarmed the Crown of its unlimited prerogatives and laid the foundation of English liberty One was called the Great Charter, the other, the Charter of the Forest If we look back to the

hend the spirit and necessity of these grants Besides the ecclesiastical jurisprudence at that time, two systems of laws, very different from each other in their object, their reason, and their authority, regulated the interior of the kingdom the Forest law, and the Common law After the Northern nations had settled here and in other parts of Europe, hunting, which had formerly been the chief means of their subsistence, still continued their favourite di version Great tracts of each country, wasted by the wars in which it was conquered, were set apart for this kind of sport, and guarded in a state of desolation by strict laws and severe penalties When such waste lands were in the hands of subjects, they were called chases, when in the power of the sovereign, they were denominated forests These forests lay properly within the jurisdiction of no hundred, county, or bishoprick, and therefore being out both of the common and a law of their own, the spi will thought prowhich aland no les tha i per to

state of the nation at that time, we shall the better compre-

sixty-eight royal forests, some of them of vast extent. In these great tracts were many scattered inhabitants; and several persons had property of wood-land, and other soil, enclosed within their bounds. Here the king had separate courts and particular justiciaries: a complete jurisprudence, with all its ceremonies and terms of art, was formed; and it appears that these laws were better digested, and more carefully enforced, than those which belonged to civil government. They had, indeed, all the qualities of the worst of laws. Their professed object was to keep a great part of the nation desolate. They hindered communication, and destroyed industry. They had a trivial object, and most severe sanctions; for as they belonged immediately to the king's personal pleasures, by the lax interpretation of treason in those days, all considerable offences against the forest law, such as killing the beasts of game, were considered as high treason, and punished, as high treason then was, by truncation of limbs, and loss of eyes and testicles. Hence arose a thousand abuses, vexatious suits, and pretences for imposition upon all those who lived in or near these places. The deer were suffered to run loose upon their lands; and many oppressions were used with relation to the claim of commonage, which the people had in most of the forests. The Norman kings were not the first makers of the forest law; it subsisted under the Saxon and Danish kings. Canute the Great composed a body of those laws, which still remains. But under the Norman kings they were enforced with greater rigour, as the whole tenor of the Norman government was more rigorous. Besides, new forests were frequently made, by which private property was outraged in a grievous manner. Nothing, perhaps, shows more clearly how little men are able to depart from the common course of affairs, than that the Norman kings, princes of great capacity, and extremely desirous of absolute power, did not think of peopling these forests; places under their own uncontrolled dominion. and which might have served as so many garrisons dispersed throughout the country. The Charter of the Forests had for its object the disafforesting several of those tracts; the prevention of future afforestings; the mitigation and ascertainment of the punishments for breaches of the forest law.

The common law, as it then prevailed in England, was

in a great measure composed of some remnants of the old Saxon customs, joined to the feudal institutions brought in at the Norman conquest. And it is here to be observed, that the constitutions of Magna Charta are by no means a renewal of the laws of St. Edward, or the ancient Saxon laws, as our historians and law writers generally, though very groundlessly, assert. They berr no resemblance, in any particular, to the laws of St. Edward, or to any other collection of these ancient institutions. Indeed, how should they? The object of Magna Charta is the correction of the feudal policy, which was first introduced, at least in any regular form, at the conquest, and did not subsist before it. It may be further observed, that in the preamble to the Great Charte.

tł

ht was always uppermost in their minds. Their idea eren of liberty was not (if I may use the expression) perfectly free, and they did not claim to possess their privileges upon any natural principle or independent bottom, but, just as they held their lands, from the king. This is worthy of observation.

By the feudal law all landed property is, by a feigned conclusion, supposed to be derived, and therefore to be mediately or immediately held, from the Crown If some estates were so derived, others were certainly procured by the same original title of conquest, by which the crown itself was acquired, and the derivation from the king could in reason only be considered as a fiction of law But its consequent rights being once supposed, many real charges and burdens grew from a fiction made only for the preservation of subordination, and in consequence of this, a great power was exercised over the persons and estates of the tenants The fines on the succession to an estate, called in the feudal language Reliefs, were not fixed to any certainty, and were therefore frequently made so excessive, that they might rather be considered as redemptions, or new purchases, than acknowledgments of superiority and tenure With respect to that most important article of marriage, there was, in the very nature of the feudal holding, a great restraint laid upon it It was of importance to the lord, that the person who

received the feud should be submissive to him; he had therefore a right to interfere in the marriage of the heiress who inherited the feud. This right was carried further than the necessity required; the male heir himself was obliged to marry according to the choice of his lord; and even widows, who had made one sacrifice to the feudal tyranny, were neither suffered to continue in the widowed state, nor to choose for themselves the partners of their second bed. fact, marriage was publicly set up to sale. The ancient records of the exchequer afford many instances where some women purchased, by heavy fines, the privilege of a single life; some the free choice of a husband; others the liberty of rejecting some person particularly disagreeable. And, what may appear extraordinary, there are not wanting examples, where a woman has fined in a considerable sum, that she might not be compelled to marry a certain man; the suitor on the other hand has outbid her; and solely by offering more for the marriage than the heiress could to prevent it, he carried his point directly and avowedly against her inclinations. the king claimed no right over his immediate tenants that they did not exercise in the same, or in a more oppressive, manner over their vassals, it is hard to conceive a more general and cruel grievance than this shameful market, which so universally outraged the most sacred relations among But the tyranny over women was not over with the marriage. As the king seized into his hands the estate of every deceased tenant in order to secure his relief, the widow was driven often by a heavy composition to purchase the admission to her dower, into which it should seem she could not enter without the king's consent.

All these were marks of a real and grievous servitude. The Great Charter was made not to destroy the root, but to cut short the overgrown branches, of the feudal service; first, in moderating, and in reducing to a certainty, the reliefs which the king's tenants paid on succeeding to their estate according to their rank; and, secondly, in taking off some of the burdens which had been laid on marriage whether compulsory or restrictive, and thereby preventing that shameful market, which had been made in the persons of heirs, and the most sacred things amongst mankind.

There were other provisions made in the Great Charter,

that went deeper than the feudal tenure, and affected the whole body of the civil government. A great part of the king's rovenue then consisted in the fines and annerements which were imposed in his courts. A fine was paid there for liberty to commence, or to conclude, a suit. The punishment of offences by fine was discretionary, and this discretionary

d,

of his offence, and the rank he held, were to be taken into consideration. His freehold, his merchandise, and those instruments by which he obtained his livelihood, were made sacred from such impositions

A more grand reform was made with regard to the administration of justice The kings in those days seldom resided long in one place, and their courts followed their persons

This erratic justice must have been productive of

some arated

not yet come to that maturity of jurisprudence as to think, and that

But even

tribunal, a creature of mere law, independent of personal power, was established, and this separation of a lang's authority from his person was a matter of vast consequence towards introducing ideas of freedom, and confirming the sacredness and myesty of laws

But the grand article, and that which cemented all the parts of the fabric of liberty, was this, "that no freeman shall be taken or imprisoned, or disseczed, or outlawed, or banished, or in any wise destroyed, but by judgment of his peers."

There is another article of nearly as much consequence as the former, considering the state of the nation at that time, English barons were not in the condition of those great princes who had made the French monarchy so low in the preceding century; or like those who reduced the imperial power to a name. They had been brought to moderate bounds by the policy of the first and second Henrys, and were not in a condition to set up for petty sovereigns by an usurpation equally detrimental to the Crown and the people. They were able to act only in confederacy; and this common cause made it necessary to consult the common good, and to study popularity by the equity of their proceedings. This was a very happy circumstance to the growing liberty.

was a very happy circumstance to the growing liberty.

These concessions were so just and reasonable, that, if we except the force, no prince could think himself wronged in making them. But to secure the observance of these articles regulations were made, which, whilst they were regarded, scarcely left a shadow of regal power. And the barous could think of no measures for securing their freedom but such as were inconsistent with monarchy. A council of twenty-five barons was to be chosen by their own body, without any concurrence of the king, in order to hear and determine upon all complaints concerning the breach of the charter; and as these charters extended to almost every part of government, a tribunal of his enemies was set up, who might. pass judgment on all his actions. And that force might not be wanting to execute the judgments of this new tribunal, the king agreed to issue his own writs to all persons, to oblige them to take an oath of obedience to the twenty-five barons, who were empowered to distress him by seizure of his lands and castles, and by every possible method, until the grievance complained of was redressed according to their pleasure: his own person and his family were alone exempted from violence.

By these last concessions it must be confessed he was effectually dethroned, and with all the circumstances of indignity which could be imagined. He had refused to govern as a lawful prince, and he saw himself deprived of even his legal authority. He became of no sort of consequence in his kingdom; he was held in universal contempt and derision; he fell into a profound melancholy. It was in vain that he had recourse to the pope, whose power he had found sufficient to reduce but not to support him. The censures of the holy see, which had been fulminated at his desire, were little regarded by the barons, or even by the clergy, supported in this resistance by the firmness of their archbishop, who acted with great vigour in the cause of the birons, and even delivered into their hands the fortress of Rochester, one of the most

ing the disposition of the time, much the most effectual that could be chosen. He despatched emissaries into France, into the Low Countries, and Germany, to raise men for his service. He had recourse to the same measures to bring his kingdom to obedience which his predecessor William had used to conquer it. He promised to the adventurers in his quarrel the lands of the rebellous barons, and, it is said, even empowered his agents to make charters of the estates of several particulars. The utmost success attended these negotiations in an age when Europe abounded with a warlike and poor nobility, with younger brothers, for whom there was no provision in regular armies, who seldom entered into the church, and never applied themselves to commerce, and when every considerable family was surrounded by an

John wanted the effect o longer the solemn mockery king must always have bet to the Isle of Wight his fishermen, among whom he became extremely popular. Never was he more to be dreaded than in this sullen retreat, whilst the barons amused themselves by idle jests, and rain conjectures on his conduct Such was the strange want of foresight in that barbirous age, and such the total neglect of design in their aff the charter, which

no watch upon the la the great and open against them, and h.\_\_\_

Poho a appross

defence. They spent their time in tournaments and bearbaitings, and other diversions suited to the fierce rusticity of their manners. At length the storm broke forth, and found them utterly unprovided. The papal excommunication, the indignation of their prince, and a vast army of lawless and bold adventurers, were poured down at once upon their heads. Such numbers were engaged in this enterprise, that forty thousand are said to have perished at sea. Yet a number still remained sufficient to compose two great armies; one of which, with the enraged king at its head, ravaged without mercy the north of England; whilst the other turned all the west to a like scene of blood and desolation. The memory of Stephen's wars was renewed with every image of horror, misery, and crime. The barons, dispersed and trembling in their castles, waited who should fall the next victim. They had no army able to keep the field. The archbishop, on whom they had great reliance, was suspended from his functions. There was no hope even from submission; the king could not fulfil his engagements to his foreign troops at a cheaper rate than the utter ruin of his barons. In these circumstances of despair they resolved to have recourse to Philip, the ancient enemy of their country. Throwing off all allegiance to John, they agreed to accept Lewis, the son of that monarch, as their king. Philip had once more an opportunity of bringing the crown of England into his family, and he readily embraced it. He immediately sent his son into England with seven hundred ships, and slighted the menaces and excommunications of the pope to attain the same object for which he had formerly armed to support and execute them. The affairs of the barons assumed quite a new face by this reinforcement, and their rise was as sudden and striking as their fall. The foreign army of King John, without discipline, pay, or order, ruined and wasted in the midst of its successes, was little able to oppose the natural force of the country, called forth and recruited by so considerable a succour. Besides, the French troops who served under John, and made a great part of his army, immediately went over to the enemy, unwilling to serve against their sovereign in a cause which now began to look desperate. The son of the king of France was acknowledged in London, and received the homage of all ranks of

John, thus deserted, had no other ally than the pope, who indeed served him to the utmost of his power, but with arms to which the circumstances of the time alone can give any force He excommunicated Lewis and his adherents, he laid England under an interdict, he threatened the king of France himself with the same sentence, but Philip continued firm, and the interdict had little effect in England Cardinal Langton, by his remarkable address, by his interest in the sacred college, and his prudent submissions, had been restored to the excresse of his office, but, steady to the cause he had first espoused he made use of the recovery of his authority to carry on his old designs against the king and the pope He celebrated divine service in spite of the interdict, and by his influence and example taught others to despise it The king, thus deserted, and now only solicitous for his personal safety, rambled, or rather fled, from place to place at the head of a small party He was in great danger in passing a marsh in Norfolk, in which he lost the greatest part of his baggage and his most valuable effects With difficulty he escaped to the monastery of Swinestead, where, violently agitated by grief and disappointments, his late fatigue and the use of an improper diet threw him into a fever, of which he died in a few days at Newark, not without suspicion of poison, after a reign or rather a struggle to reign, for eighteen years, the most turbulent and calamitous both to king and people of any that are recorded in the English history It may not be improper to pluse here for a few moments,

and to consider a little more minutely the causes which had produced the grand revolution in favour of liberty, by which this reign was distinguished and to draw all the circum stances which led to this remarkable event into a single point of view. Since the death of Edward the Confusor only two princes succeeded to the crown upon undisputed titles. William the Conqueror established his by force of arms. His successors were obliged to court the people by yielding muny of the possessions and man, of the prerogatives of the Crown, but they supported a dubious title by a vigorous administration, and recovered by their policy in the course of their reign what the necessity of their affairs obliged them to relinquish for the establishment of their power. Thus was the nation kept continually fluctuating

between freedom and servitude. But the principles of freedom were predominant, though the thing itself was not yet fully formed. The continual struggle of the clergy for the ecclesiastical liberties laid open at the same time the natural claims of the people; and the elergy were obliged to show some respect for those claims, in order to add strength to their own party. The concessions which Henry the Second made to the ecclesiastics on the death of Becket, which were afterwards confirmed by Richard the First, gave a grievous blow to the authority of the Crown; as thereby an order of so much power and influence triumphed over it in many essential points. The latter of these princes brought it very low by the whole tenor of his conduct. Always abroad, the royal authority was felt in its full vigour, without being supported by the dignity, or softened by the graciousness, of the royal presence. Always in war, he considered his dominions only as a resource for his armies. The demesnes of the Crown were squandered. Every office in the state was made vile by being sold. Excessive grants, followed by violent and arbitrary resumptions, tore to pieces the whole contexture of the government. The civil tumults which arose in that king's absence showed that the king's lieutenants at least might be disobeyed with impunity.

Then came John to the Crown. The arbitrary taxes which he imposed very early in his reign, which offended even more by the improper use made of them than their irregularity, irritated the people extremely, and joined with all the preceding causes to make his government contemptible. Henry the Second, during his contests with the church, had the address to preserve the barons in his interests. Afterwards, when the barons had joined in the rebellion of his children, this wise prince found means to secure the bishops and ecclesi-But John drew upon himself at once the hatred of all orders of his subjects. His struggle with the pope weakened him; his submission to the pope weakened him yet more. The loss of his foreign territories, besides what he lost along with them in reputation, made him entirely dependent upon England; whereas his predecessors made one part of their territories subservient to the preservation of their authority in another, where it was endangered. Add to all these causes the personal character of the king, in which there was nothing

uniform or sincere, and which introduced the like unsteadiness into all his government. He was indolent, yet restless, in his disposition, fond of working by violent methods, without any vigour, boastful, but continually betraying his fears, showing, on all occasions, such a desire of peace as hindered him from ever enjoying it Having no spirit of order, he never looked forward, content by my temporary expedient to extricate himself from a present difficulty Rash, arrogant, perfidious, irreligious, unquiet, he made a tolerable head of a party, but a bad king, and had talents fit to disturb another's government, not to support his own A most striking contrast presents itself between the conduct and fortune of John and his adversary Philip Philip came to the Crown when many of the provinces of France, by being in the hands of too powerful vassals, were in a manner dismembered from the kingdom, the royal authority was very low in what remained He reunited to the Crown a country as valuable as what belonged to it before, he reduced his subjects of all orders to a stricter obedience than they had given to his predecessors He withstood the papal usurpation, and yet used it as an instrument in his designs, whilst John, who inherited a great territory, and an entire prerogative, by his vices and weakness gave up his independency to the pope, his prerogative to his subjects, and a large part of his dominions to the king of France

## CHAPTER IX

FRAGMENT ----A' ESSAY TOWARDS A HISTORY OF THE LAWS OF ENGLAND

THERE is scarce any object of curiosity more rational, than the origin, the progress, and the various revolutions of human laws Political and military relations are for the greater part accounts of the ambition and violence of mankind, this is a history of their justice. And surely there cannot be a more pleasing speculation than to trace the advances of men in an attempt to imitate the Supreme Ruler in one of the most glorious of his attributes, and to attend them in the officeries of a prerogative, which it is wonderful to find in-

trusted to the management of so weak a being. In such an inquiry we shall indeed frequently see great instances of this frailty; but at the same time we shall behold such noble efforts of wisdom and equity, as seem fully to justify the reasonableness of that extraordinary disposition, by which men, in one form or other, have been always put under the dominion of creatures like themselves. For what can be more instructive than to search out the first obscuro and scanty fountains of that jurisprudence, which now waters and enriches whole nations with so abundant and copious a flood:--to observe the first principles of RIGHT springing up, involved in superstition, and polluted with violence; until by length of time, and favourable circumstances, it has worked itself into clearness:-the laws, sometimes lost and trodden down in the confusion of wars and tumults, and sometimes overruled by the hand of power; then victorious over tyranny, growing stronger, clearer, and more decisive by the violence they had suffered; enriched even by those foreign conquests which threatened their entire destruction; softened and mellowed by peace and religion, improved and exalted by commerce, by social intercourse, and that great opener of the mind, ingenuous science?

These certainly were great encouragements to the study of historical jurisprudence, particularly of our own. Not was there a want of materials, or help, for such an under taking. Yet we have had few attempts in that province Lord Chief Justice Hale's History of the Common Law is I think, the only one, good or bad, which we have. Bu with all the deference justly due to so great a name, we may venture to assert, that this performance, though not without merit, is wholly unworthy of the high reputation of its author. The sources of our English law are not well, nor in deed fairly, laid open; the ancient judicial proceedings are touched in a very slight and transient manner; and the great changes and remarkable revolutions in the law, to gether with their causes, down to his time, are scarcel mentioned.

Of this defect I think there were two principal causes the first, a persuasion hardly to be eradicated from the minds of our lawyers, that the English law has continue very much in the same state from an antiquity to which they will allow hardly any sort of bounds. The second is, that it was formed and grew up among ourselves, that it is in every respect peculiar to this island, and that if the Roman or any foreign laws attempted to intrude into its composition, it has always had vigour enough to shake them off, and return to the purity of its primitive constitution.

These opinion fessional narrow supported them absurdities even

been, and in a great measure still are, extremely tenacious of them. If these principles are admitted, the history of the law must in a great measure be deemed superfluous. For to what purpose is a history of a law, of which it is impossible t.

tinuance,

we search where we will be successful to the law has been confined, and drawn up into a narrow and inglor ous study and that which should be the leading science in every well ordered commonwealth, remained in all the barbarsin of the rudest times, whilst every other advanced by rapid steps to the highest improvement both in solidity and elegance, insomuch that the study of our jurisprudence presented to liberal and well educated minds, even in the best authors, hardly anything but barbarous trans, ill explained, a coarse but not a plain expression, an indirected method and a species of leasoning, the very refuse of of the law, not from

it from causes foreign ig men were sent away ie manner of handling

rather than the substance, a very well founded, disgust The famous antiquar

formed for the mo t

serted the study of the naw in despair, and a strong desire of knowledge, enabled him to wrestle with every difficulty

The opinions which have drawn the law into such narrow-

ness, as they are weakly founded, so they are very easily refuted. With regard to that species of eternity which they attribute to the English law, to say nothing of the manifest contradictions in which those involve themselves who praise it for the frequent improvements it has received, and at the same time value it for having remained without any change in all the revolutions of government; it is obvious, on the very first view of the Saxon laws, that we have entirely altered the whole frame of our jurisprudence since the Conquest. Hardly can we find in these old collections a single title, which is law at this day; and one may venture to assert without much hazard, that if there were at present a nation governed by the Saxon laws, we should find it difficult to point out another so entirely different from everything we now see

established in England.

This is a truth which requires less sagacity than candour The spirit of party, which has misled us in so many other particulars, has tended greatly to perplex us in this matter. For as the advocates for prerogative would, by a very absurd consequence drawn from the Norman conquest, have made all our national rights and liberties to have arisen from the grants, and therefore to be revocable at the will, of the sovereign, so, on the other hand, those who maintained the cause of liberty did not support it upon more solid principles. They would hear of no beginning to any of our privileges, orders, or laws; and, in order to gain them a reverence, would prove that they were as old as the nation; and to support that opinion they put to the torture all the ancient monuments. Others, pushing things further, have offered a still greater violence to them. N. Bacon, in order to establish his republican system, has so distorted all the evidence he has produced, concealed so many things of consequence, and thrown such false colours upon the whole argument, that I know no book so likely to mislead the reader in our antiquities, if yet it retains any authority. In reality, that ancient constitution, and those Saxon laws, made little or nothing for any of our modern parties; and when fairly laid open will be found to compose such a system, as none, I believe, would think it either practicable or desirable to estab-I am sensible, that nothing has been a larger theme of panegyric with all our writers on politics and history, than

the Angle Saxon government, and it is impossible not to conceive a high opinion of its laws, if we rather consider what is said of them than what they visibly are. These monuments of our pristne rudeness still subsist, and they stand out of themselves indisputable evidence to confute the popular declamations of those writers, who would persuade us that the crude institutions of an unlettered people had reached a perfection, which the united efforts of impurity, experience, learning, and necessity, have not been able to attain in many ages.

But the truth is the present system of our laws, like our language and our learning, is a very mixed and heterogeneous mass, in some respects our own, in more borrowed from the policy of foreign nations, and compounded, altered, and variously modified, according to the various necessities, which the minners, the religion, and the commerce of the people have at different times imposed. It is our business, in some measure, to follow and point out these changes and improvements, a task we undertake, not from any ability for the greatness of such a work, but purely to give some short and plain account of these matters to the very ignorant.

The law of the Romans seems utterly to have expired in this island together with their empire, and that, too, before the Saxon establishment The Anglo Saxons' came into England as conquerors They brought their own customs with them, and doubtless did not take laws from, but imposed theirs upon, the people they had vanquished customs of the conquering nation were without question the same, for the greater part, they had observed before their migration from Germany The best image we have of them is to be found in Tacitus But there is reason to believe that some changes were made suitable to the circumstances of their new settlement, and to the change their constitution must have undergone by adopting a kingly government, not indeed with unlimited sway, but certainly with greater powers than their leaders possessed whilst they continued in Germany However, we know very little of what was done in these respects until their conversion to Christianity, a revolution which made still more essential changes in their manners and government For immediately after the conversion of Ethelbert, king of Kent, the missionaries, who had

introduced the use of letters, and came from Rome full of the ideas of the Roman civil establishment, must have observed the gross defect arising from a want of written and permanent laws. The king, from their report of the Roman method, and in imitation of it, first digested the most material customs of this kingdom into writing, without having adopted anything from the Roman law, and only adding some regulations for the support and encouragement of the new religion. These laws still exist, and strongly mark the extreme simplicity of manners, and poverty of conception, of the legislators. They are written in the English of that time; and indeed all the laws of the Anglo-Saxons continued in that language down to the Norman Conquest. This was different from the method of the other northern nations, who made use only of the Latin language in all their codes. And I take the difference to have arisen from this: at the time when the Visigoths, the Lombards, the Franks, and the other northern nations on the continent compiled their laws, the provincial Romans were very numerous amongst them, or indeed composed the body of the people. The Latin language was yet far from extinguished; so that as the greatest part of those who could write were Romans, they found it difficult to adapt their characters to these rough northern tongues, and therefore chose to write in Latin; which, though not the language of the legislator, could not be very incommodious, as they could never fail of interpreters; and for this reason not only their laws, but all their ordinary transactions, were written in that language. But in England, the Roman name and language having entirely vanished in the 7th century, the missionary monks were obliged to contend with the difficulty, and to adapt foreign characters to the English language; else none but a very few could possibly have drawn any advantage from the things they meant to record. And to this it was owing that many, even the ecclesiastical, constitutions, and not a few of the ordinary evidences of the land, were written in the language of the country.

This example of written laws being given by Ethelbert, it was followed by his successors Edric and Lothaire. The

<sup>1</sup> Decreta illa judiciorum juxta exemplar Romanorum cum consilio sapientum constituit Beda, Eccl. Hist. lib. ii. c. 5.

VOL. VI. 2 E

or l princes, still subsist But we must always remember, that yery few of these laws contained any new regulation but

king of the Mercians, but they have been long since lost

The Anglo Saxon laws, by universal consent of all writers, owe more to the care and sagacity of Alfred than of any of , of which he , he did more

prince has been known to do in the profoundest peace Many of the institutions attributed to him undoubtedly were not of his establishment, this shall be shown when we come to treat more inmutely of the institutions. But it is clear that he raised, as it were, from the ashes, and put new life and vigour into, the whole body of the law, almost lost and forgotten in the ravages of the Danish war, so that having revived, and in all likelihood improved, several ancient national regulations, he has passed for their author, with a reputation perhaps more just than if he had invented them In the prologue which he wrote to his own code, he informs us, that he collected there whatever appeared to him most valuable in the laws of Ina and Offia, and others of his progenitors, omitting what he thought wrong in itself, or not adapted to the time and he seems to have done this with no small quiement.

The princes who succeeded him, having by his labours enjoyed more repose, turned their minds to the improvement of the law, and there are few of them who have not left us

some collection more or less complete

When the Danes had established their empire, they showed themselves no less solicitous than the English to collect and enforce the laws, seeming desirous to repuir all the injuries they had formerly committed against them. The code of Canute the Great is one of the most moderate, equitable, and full of any of the old collections. There was no material change, if any at all, made in their general system by the

Danish conquest. They were of the original country of the Saxons, and could not have differed from them in the groundwork of their policy. It appears by the league between Alfred and Guthrum, that the Danes took their laws from the English, and accepted them as a favour. They were more newly come out of the northern barbarism, and wanted the regulations necessary to a civil society. But under Canute the English law received considerable improvement. Many of the old English customs, which, as that monarch justly observes, were truly odious, were abrogated; and indeed that code is the last we have, that belongs to the period before the Conquest. That monument, called the laws of Edward the Confessor, is certainly of a much later date. And what is extraordinary, though the historians after the Conquest continually speak of the laws of King Edward, it does not appear that he ever made a collection, or that any such laws existed at that time. It appears by the preface to the laws of St. Edward, that these written constitutions were continually falling into disuse. Although these laws had undoubtedly their authority, it was, notwithstanding, by traditionary customs that the people were for the most part governed; which, as they varied somewhat in different provinces, were distinguished accordingly by the names of the West Saxon, the Mercian, and the Danish law; but this produced no very remarkable inconvenience, as those customs seemed to differ from each other, and from the written laws, rather in the quantity and nature of their pecuniary mulcts, than in anything essential.

If we take a review of these ancient constitutions, we shall observe, that their sanctions are mostly confined to the

following objects:-

1st, The preservation of the peace. This is one of the largest titles: and it shows the ancient Saxons to have been a people extremely prone to quarrelling and violence. some cases the law ventures only to put this disposition under regulations; prescribing that no man shall fight with another until he has first called him to justice in a legal way; and then lays down the terms, under which he may proceed to hostilities. The other less premeditated quarrels, in meetings for drinking, or business, were considered as more or less hemous, according to the rank of the person in whose house the dispute happened, or, to speak the language of that time, whose peace they had violated

2nd. In them for al

mity of the propose they classed the people with great regularity and exactness, both in the ecclesiastic and the secular lines, adjusting with great care the ecclesiastical to the secular dignities, and they not only estimated each man's life according to his quality, but they set a value upon every limb and member, down even to teeth, hair, and nails, and these are the particulars in which their laws are most accurate and best defined

3rd. In settling the rules and ceremonies of their oaths. their purgations, and the whole order and process of their superstitious justice, for by these methods they seem to

have decided all controversies 4th, In regulating the several fraternities of Frankpledges. by which all the neanle were naturally bound to their good hebayıonr

which the

severity or on a po co ...

their laws, and the weak and precarious authority of their

kings and magistrates

These, with some regulations for payment of tithes and church dues, and for the discovery and pursuit of stealers of cattle, comprise almost all the titles deserving notice in the Saxon laws In those laws there are frequently to be observed particular institutions, well and prudently framed, but there is no appearance of a regular, consistent, and stable prisprudence However, it is pleasing to observe something of equity and distinction gradually insinuating itself into these unformed materials, and some transient flashes of light striking across the gloom, which prepared for the full day that shone out afterwards The clergy, who kept up a constant communication with Rome, and were in effect the Saxo information fro

in that part or the principles had strayed hither so early as the time of rance and Lothaire.1 There are two maxims2 of civil law in thei proper terms in the code of Canute the Great, who made and authorized that collection after his pilgrimage to Rome and at this time, it is remarkable, we find the institutions o other nations imitated. In the same collection there is an express reference to the laws of the Werini. From hence it is plain, that the resemblance between the polity of the several northern nations did not only arise from their com mon original, but also from their adopting, in some cases, the constitutions of those amongst them who were most remark able for their wisdom.

In this state the law continued until the Norman Conquest But we see that even before that period the English law be gan to be improved by taking in foreign learning; we see the canons of several councils mixed indiscriminately with the civil constitutions; and indeed the greatest part of the rea soning and equity to be found in them seems to be derived Hitherto we have observed the progress of the Saxon laws

which, conformably to their manners, were rude and simple agreeably to their confined situation, very narrow; and though in some degree, yet not very considerably, improved by foreign communication. However, we can plainly discern its three capital sources:-first, the ancient traditionary customs of the North, which, coming upon this and the other civilized parts of Europe with the impetuosity of a conquest bore down all the ancient establishments; and by being suited to the genius of the people, formed, as it were, the great body and main stream of the Saxon law

The second source was the canons indeed, they were not reduced int of jurisprudence; but they y consequently influenced conf order had an almost unbou mitigated, and enriched the and the clergy having on people to the yoke of rel ceptible of other changes

<sup>2</sup> Negatio potior est affir. deinceps repetenti: L. Canul lar form al de

y find given on s effect: ne several nent of the

, contributed ieir ob-

Justum est ut proles maf

Your committee is sensible that the duration of the said trial and the causes of that duration, as well as the mitters which have therein occurred, do well merit the attentive consideration of this House, we have therefore endeavoured, with all diligence, to employ the powers that have been granted, and to execute the orders that have been given tous, and to report thereon as speedily as possible, and as fully as the time would admit

Your committee has considered, first, the mere fact of the duration of the trail, which they find to have commenced on the 13th day of Tebruary, 1788, and to have continued, by various adjournments, to the said 17th of March—Durng that period the sittings of the court have occupied one hundred and eighteen days, or about one-third of a year—The distribution of the sitting days in each year is as follows

Days
35
17
14
5
22
22
3
118

Your committee then proceeded to consider the causes of this duration, with regard to time, as measured by the calendar, and also as measured by the number of days occu-

pied in a of the tr

and to o are supp

are supp legality c

legatity to perhament, that it has been owing to the number and length of the adjournments of the court, particularly the adjournments on account of the creuit, which adjournments were interposed in the middle of the session, and the most proper time for business, that it has been owing to one adjournment, made in consequence of a complaint of the pri-

soner against one of your managers, which took up a space of ten days; that two days adjournments were made on account of the illness of certain of the managers; and, as far as your committee can judge, two sitting days were prevented by the sudden and unexpected dereliction of the defence of the prisoner at the close of the last session, your managers not having been then ready to produce their evidence in reply, nor to make their observations on the evidence produced by the prisoner's counsel; as they expected the whole to have been gone through before they were called on for their reply. In this session, your committee computes that the trial was delayed about a week or ten days. The Lords waited for the recovery of the Marquis Cornwallis, the prisoner wishing to avail himself of the testimony of that noble person.

With regard to the 118 days employed in actual sitting, the distribution of the business was in the manner follow-

ing :- There were spent,

	Days
In reading the articles of Impeachment, and the de-	-
fendant's answer, and in debate on the mode of proceeding.	3
Opening speeches, and summing up by the man-	
agers	19
Documentary and oral evidence by the managers	51
Opening speeches and summing up by the defend-	
ant's counsel and defendant's addresses to the court	22
Documentary and oral evidence on the part of the	
defendant	23
-	<del></del>
	118

The other head, namely, that the trial has occupied 118 days, or nearly one-third of a year:—This your committee conceives to have arisen from the following immediate causes: first, The nature and extent of the matter to be tried:—secondly, The general nature and quality of the evidence produced; it was principally documentary evidence, contained in papers of great length, the whole of which was often required to be read, when brought to prove a single short fact; or it was oral evidence, in which must be taken into

consideration the number and description of the witnesses examined and cross examined —thirdly, and principally, The duration of the trial is to be attributed to objections taken by the prisoner's counsel to the admissibility of several documents and persons offered as evidence on the part of the

prosecution These objections amounted to surfy two they gave use to several debates, and to twelve references from the court to the judges—On the part of the managers, the number of objections was small, the debates upon them were short, there was not upon them any reference to the judges, and the lords did not even retire upon any of them

judges, and the lords did not even retire upon any of them to the chamber of parliament
This last cause of the number of sitting days your committee considers as far more important than all the rest
The questions upon the admissibility of evidence, the manner in which these questions were stated and were decided,

the modes of proceeding, the great uncertainty of the principle upon which evidence in that court is to be admitted or rejected all these appear to your committee materially to affect the constitution of the House of Peers as a court of judicature, as well as its powers, and the purposes it was intended to answer in the state. The Peers have a valuable interest in the conservation of their own lawful privileges, but this interest is not confined to the Lords. The Commons ought to partake in the advantage of the judicial rights and privileges of that high court. Courts are made for the suitors, and not the suitors for the court. The conservation of all other parts of the law, the whole indeed of the rights and liberties of the subject, ultimately depends upon the preserva-

ation of the law of parliament in its original force and authority

Your committee had reason to entertain apprehensions, that certain proceedings in this trial may possibly limit and weaken the means of carrying on any future impeachment of the Commons As your committee felt these apprehensions strongly, they thought it their duty to begin with himbly submitting facts and observations, on the proceedings concerning evidence, to the consideration of this House, before they proceed to state the other matters which come within

the scope of the directions which they have received.

To enable your committee the better to execute the task

an opinion that they have virtually submitted to a division of their legal powers, or that, putting themselves into the situation of mere triers or jurors, they may suffer the endence in the cause to be produced or not produced before them according to the discretion of the judges of the inferior courts.

## LAW OF PARLIAMENT

Your committee finds, that the Lords, in matter of appea or impeachment in parliament, are not of right obliged to proceed according to the course or rules of the Roman curlaw, or by those of the law or usage of any of the inferior courts in Westimister Hall, but by the law and usage of parliament And your committee finds, that this has been declared in the most clear and explicit manner by the House of Lords, in the year of our Lord 1387 and 1388, in the 11th year of King Richard the Second

Upon an appeal in parliament, then depending, against certain great persons, peers and commoners, the said appeal was referred to the justices and other learned persons of the

Rolls Pari law, "At which time" (it is said in the revol iii p 236 cord) "that the justices and serjeants, and others

in the cause of the appeal aforesaid. The which justices, serjeants, and the learned in the law of the kingdom, and also the learned in the law cirl, have taken the same into deliberation, and have answered to the said Lords of parlament, that they had seen and well considered the fenor of the said appeal, and they say, that the same appeal was neither made nor pleaded according to the order which the one law or the other requires. Upon which the said Lords of parlament have taken the same into deliberation and con-

person of our lord the king, and the state of the whole kingdom, perpetrated by persons who are peers of the kingdom, along with others, the cause shill not be tried in any other place but in parliament, nor by any other law than the law and course of parliament, and that it belongeth to the Lords of parliament, and to their franchise and liberty by the ancient custom of the parliament, to be judges in such cases; and in these cases to judge by the assent of the king; and thus it shall be done in this case, by the award of parliament: because the realm of England has not been heretofore, nor is it the intention of our said lord the king, and the Lords of parliament, that it ever should be, governed by the law civil; and also, it is their resolution, not to rule or govern so high a cause as this appeal is, which cannot be tried anywhere but in parliament, as hath been said before, by the course, process, and order used in any courts or place inferior, in the same kingdom; which courts and places are not more than the executors of the ancient laws and customs of the kingdom, and of the ordinances and establishments of parliament. It was determined by the said Lords of parliament, by the assent of our said lord the king, that this appeal was made and pleaded well and sufficiently, and that the process upon it is good and effectual, according to the law and course of parliament, and for such they decree and adjudge it."

And your committee finds, that toward the close of the same parliament, the same right was again claimed and admitted as the special privilege of the peers, in the following manner:—" In this parliament, all the Lords Rolls Parl. vol. then present, spiritual as well as temporal, claimed iii. p. 244, § 7. as their franchise that the weighty matters moved in this parliament, and which shall be moved in other parliaments in future times, touching the peers of the land, shall be managed, adjudged, and discussed by the course of parliament, and in no sort by the law civil, or by the common law of the land, used in the other lower courts of the kingdom, which claim, liberty, and franchise, the king graciously allowed and granted to them in full parliament."

Your committee finds, that the Commons, having at that time considered the appeal above-mentioned, approved the proceedings in it; and, as far as in them lay, added the sanction of their accusation against the persons who were the objects of the appeal. They also, immediately afterwards, impeached all the judges of the Common Pleas, the chief barons of the Exchequer, and other learned and eminent persons, both peers and commoners; upon the conclusion of which

impeachments it was that the second claim was entered. In all the transactions aforesaid, the Commons were acting parties, yet neither then, nor ever since, have they made any objection or protestation that the rule laid down by the Lords, in the beginning of the session of 1388, ought not to be applied to the impeachments of commoners as well as peers. In many cases they have claimed the benefit of this rule, and in all cases they have acted, and the peers have determined, upon the same general principles. The Peers have always supported the same franchises, nor are there any precedents upon the records of parliament subverting either the general rule or the particular privilege, so far as the same relates either to the course of proceeding or to the rule of law, by which the Lords are to judge

Your committee observes also, that in the commissions to the several lords high stewards who have been appointed on the trails of peers impeached by the Commons, the proceedings are directed to be had according to the law and custom of the kingdom and the custom of parliament which words are not to be found in the commissions for trying upon in dictments

"As every court of justice" (says Lord Coke) 4 Inst p 15 "hath laws and customs for its direction, some by the common law, some by the civil and canon law, some by peculiar laws and customs, &c, so the high court of parhament, suis propriis legibus et consuetudinibus subsistit is by the Lex et Consuctudo Parliamenti that all weighty matters in any parliament moved, concerning the Peers of the realm, or Commons in parliament assembled, ought to be determined, adjudged, and discussed by the course of the parliament, and not by the civil law, nor yet by the common laws of this realm used in more inferior courts "-And after founding himself on this very precedent of the 11th of Richard II , he adds, " This is the reason that judges ought not to give any opinion of a matter of parliament, because it is not to be decided by the common laws, but secundum legem et consuetu dinem parliaments and so the judges in divers parliaments have confessed"

## RULE OF PLEADING.

Your committee do not find that any rules of pleading, as observed in the inferior courts, have ever obtained in the proceedings of the high court of parliament, in a cause or matter in which the whole procedure has been within their original jurisdiction. Nor does your committee find that any demurrer or exception, as of false or erroneous pleading, hath been ever admitted to any impeachment in parliament, as not coming within the form of the pleading; and although a reservation or protest is made by the defendant (matter of form, as we conceive) "to the generality, uncertainty, and insufficiency of the articles of impeachment;" yet no objections have in fact ever been made in any part of the record; and when verbally they have been made, (until this trial,)

they have constantly been overruled.

The trial of Lord Strafford is one of the most important eras in the history of parliamentary judicature. In that trial, and in the dispositions made pre-paratory to it, the process of impeachments was, on great consideration, research, and selection of precedents, brought very nearly to the form which it retains at this day; and great and important parts of parliamentary law were then laid down. The Commons at that time made new charges, or amended the old, as they saw occasion. Upon an application from the Commons to the Lords, that the examinations taken by their lordships, at their request, might be delivered to them, for the purpose of a more exact specification of the charge they had made, on delivering the message of the Commons, Mr. Pim, amongst other things, said, as it is entered of reservation in the conclusion of their charge, they (the .Commons) will add to the charges, not to the matter in respect of comprehension, extent, or kind, but only to reduce them to more particularities, that the Earl of Strafford might answer with the more clearness and expedition -not that they are bound by this way of SPECIAL charge; and therefore they have taken care in their House, upon protestation, that this shall be no prejudice to bind them from proceeding in GENERAL in other cases, and that they are not to be ruled by proceedings in other courts, which protestation they have made for the preservation of the power of parliament, and they desire that the like care may be had in your lordships House. This protestation is entered on the Lords' Journals Thus careful were the Commons that no exactness used by them for a temporary accommodation should become an example derogatory to the larger rights of parhamentary process

At length the question of their being obliged vol. xix p 98 to conform to any of the rules below came to a formal judgment In the trial of Dr Sacheverell, March 10th, 1709, the Lord Nottingham "desired their lordships' opinion, whether he might propose a question to the judges here [in Westminster Hall Thereupon the Lords being moved to adjourn, adjourned to the House of Lords, and on debate [as appears by a note | it was agreed that the question should be proposed in Westminster Hall" Accordingly, when the Lords returned the same day into the Hall, the question was put by Lord Nottingham, and stated to the judges by the lord chancellor "Whether by the law of England and constant practice in all prosecutions by indictment and information, for crimes and misdemeanours, by writing or speaking, the particular words supposed to be written or spoken must not be expressly specified in the indictment or information?" On this question the judges, seriatim, and in open court, delivered their opinion the substance of which was, "That by the laws of England, and the constant practice in Westminster Hall, the words ought to be expressly specified in the indictment or information " Then the Lords adjourned. and did not come into the Hall until the 20th In the intermediate time they came to resolutions on the matter of the questions put to the judges Dr Sacheverell, being found guilty, moved in arrest of judgment upon two points -The first, which he grounded on the opinion of the judges, and which your committee thinks most to the present purpose, was, "That no entire clause, or sentence, or expression, in either of his sermons or dedications, is particularly set forth in his impeachment, which he has already heard vol. xix p 116 the judges declare to be necessary in all cases of indictments or informations." On this head of objection, the lord chancellor, on the 23rd of March, agreeably to the

resolutions of the Lords of the 11th and 16th of March, ac-

## The fit silks

and popular and delivered atom of the Com-

Fig. 102 to ti

- Il Section

formation but the Lords, as on the former occasion, overruled this objection, and held the article to be good and valid, notwithstanding the report of the judges concerning the mode of proceeding in the courts below

Lords Journ Your committee finds, that a protest, with reasons at large, was entered by several Lords against this determination of their court. It is always an

valid than those which have been obliged to give way, the former having governed the final and conclusive decision of a competent court. But your committee, combining the fact of this decision with the early decision just quoted, and with the total absence of any precedent of an objection, before that time or since, allowed to pleading, or whit has any relation to the rules and principles of pleading as used in Westimister Hall, has no doubt that the House of Lords was governed in the 9th of Anne by the very same principles which it had solemnly declared in the 11th of Richard the Second

But besides the presumption in favour of the reasons which must be supposed to have produced this solemn judgment of the Peers, contrary to the practice of the courts below, as declared by all the judges-it is probable, that the Lords were unwilling to take a step which might admit that anything in that practice should be received as their rule It must be observed, however, that the reasons against the article, alleged in the protest, were by no means solely bottomed in the practice of the courts below, as if the main rehance of the protesters was upon that usage The protesting minority maintained, that it was not agricable to several precedents in parliament, of which they cited many in fasour of their opinion -It appears by the Journals, that the clarks were ordered to search for precedents, and a committee of Peers was appointed to inspect the said precedents, and to report upon them, -and that they did inspect and report accordingly But the Report is not entered on the

Journals. It is, however, to be presumed that the greater number and the better precedents supported the judgment. Allowing, however, their utmost force to the precedents there cited, they could serve only to prove, that in the case of words (to which alone, and not the case of a written libel. the precedents extended) such a special averment, according to the tenor of the words, had been used; but not that it was necessary, or that ever any plea had been rejected upon such an objection. As to the course of parliament, resorted to for authority in this part of the protest, the argument seems rather to affirm than to deny the general proposition, that its own course, and not that of the inferior courts, had been the rule and law of parliament.

As to the objection taken in the protest, state Trials. drawn from natural right, the Lords knew, and it appears in the course of the proceeding, that the whole of the libel had been read at length, as appears from p. 655 to p. 666. So that Dr. Sacheverell had substantially the same benefit of anything which could be alleged in the extenuation or exculpation, as if his libellous sermons had been entered verbatim upon the recorded impeachment. It was adjudged sufficient to state the crime generally in the impeachment. The libels were given in evidence; and it was not then thought of, that nothing should be given in evidence which was not specially charged in the impeachment.

But whatever their reasons were, (great and grave they were, no doubt,) such as your committee has stated it, is the judgment of the Peers on the law of parliament, as a part of the law of the land. It is the more forcible, as concurring with the judgment in the 11th of Richard the Second, and with the total silence of the Rolls and Journals concerning any objection to pleading ever being suffered to vitiate an impeachment, or to prevent evidence being given upon it on

account of its generality, or any other failure.

Your committee do not think it probable that, even before this adjudication, the rules of pleading below could ever have been adopted in a Ed. I. to 16 and 17 Ch. II. parliamentary proceeding, when it is considered that the several statutes of jeofails, not less than twelve in number, have been made for the correction of an over-strictness in pleading, to the prejudice of substantial justice: yet

ered the least mention of There is no doubt that the remedy to that grievance t had found those proceedrd Mansfield, from the bench,

ings embarrassed with what Lord Mansfield, from the bench, and speaking of the matter of these statutes, very justly calls "disgraceful subtilities"

What is still more strong to the point, your committee finds, that, in the 7th of William the Third, an act was made for the regulating of trials for treason and misprision of treason, containing several regulations for reformation of proceedings at law, both as to matters of form and substance, as well as relative to evidence thought most essential to the liberty of the subject, yet in this high and critical matter, so deeply affecting the lives, properties, honours, and even the inheritable blood, of the subject, the legislature was so tender of the high powers of this high court, deemed so necessary for the attainment of the great objects of its justice, so fearful of enervating any of its means, or circumscribing any of its capacities, even by rules and restraints the most necessary for the inferior courts, that they guarded against it by an express proviso, "That neither this act, nor anything therein contained, shall any ways extend to any impeachment, or other proceedings in parliament, in any kind whatsoeier"

CONDUCT OF THE COMMONS IN PLEADING

The point being thus solemnly adjudged in the case of Dr Sacheverell, and the principles of the judgment being in agreement with the whole course of prinsmentary procudings, the managers for this House have over since considered it as an indispensible duty to assert the same principle in all its latitude upon all occasions on which it could come in question—and to assert it with an energy, i.a.l, and carriestness proportioned to the magnitude and importance of the Commons of Great Britain, in the religious observation of the rule, that the law of parliament, and the law of parliament only, should prevail in the trial of their unpeachment.

Size Trials In the year 1715 (I Geo I) the Commons will be 17 thought proper to impeach of high treason the lords who had entered into the rebellion of that period This

was about six years after the decision in the case of Sache-On the trial of one of these lords, (the Lord Wintoun,) after verdict the prisoner moved in arrest of judgment, and excepted against the impeachment for error, on account of the treason therein laid "not being described with sufficient certainty—the day on which the treason was committed not having been alleged." His counsel was heard to this point. They contended "that the forfeitures in cases of treason are very great; and therefore they humbly conceived that the accusation ought to contain all the certainty it is capable of; that the prisoner may not, by general allegations, be rendered incapable to defend himself in a case which may prove fatal to him. That they would not trouble their lordships with citing authorities; for they believed there is not one gentleman of the long robe but will agree that an indictment for any capital offence to be erroneous, if the offence be not alleged to be committed on a certain day."-" That this impeachment set forth only that in or about the months of September, October, or November, 1715,"-" the offence charged in the impeachment had been committed. The counsel argued that a proceeding by impeachment is a proceeding at the common law, for lex parliamentaria is a part of common law, and they submitted whether there is not the same certainty required in one method of proceeding at common law as in another."

The matter was argued elaborately and learnedly, not only on the general principles of the proceedings below, but on the inconvenience and possible hardships attending this uncertainty. They quoted Sacheverell's case, in whose impeachment "the precise days were laid when the Doctor preached each of these two sermons; and that by a like reason a certain day ought to be laid in the impeachment when this treason was committed; and that the authority of Dr. Sacheverell's case seemed so much stronger than the case in question, as the crime of treason is higher than that of a misdemeanour."

Here the managers for the Commons brought the point a second time to an issue, and that on the highest of capital cases; an issue, the event of which was to determine for ever, whether their impeachments were to be regulated by the law, as understood and observed in the inferior courts.—Upon the usage below there was no doubt; the indictment would

unquestionably have been quashed,—but the managers for the Commons stood forth upon this occasion with a determined resolution, and no less than four of them seriatim rejected the doctrine contended for by Lord Wintoun's counsel. They were all eminent members of parliament, and three of them great and eminent lawyers, namely, the then attorneygeneral, Sir William Thompson, and Mir Cowper

Mr Walpole said, "Those learned gentlemen (Lord Wintoun's counsel) seem to forget in what court they are They have taken up so much of your lordships' time in quoting of authorities, and using arguments to show your lordships what would quash an indictment in the courts below, that they seemed to

on an imp

should the not follow that the impeachment of the Commons is insufficient, and I must observe to your lordships, that neither of the learned gentlemen have offered to produce one instance relative to an impeachment, I mean to show that the sufficiency of an impeachment, as never called in question for the generality of the charge, or that any instance of that nature was offered at before. The Commons do not conceive, that, if this exception would quash an indictment, it would therefore make the impeachment insufficient. I hope it never will be allowed here as a reason, that what quishes an indictment in the courts below will make insufficient an impeachment brought by the Commons of Great Britain."

The attorney-general supported Mr. Walpole in affirmance.

of this principle. He said. "I would follow the steps of the learned gentleman who spoke before me, and I think he has given a good answer to these objections. I would take notice that we are upon an implachment, not upon an indictment. The courts below have set forms to themselves, which have prevailed for a long course of time, and thereby are become the forms by which those courts are to govern themselves, but it never was thought that the forms of those courts had any influence on the proceedings of parliament. In Richard the Second's time it is said in the records of pirlament, that proceedings in parliament are not to be governed by the forms of Westminster Hall. We are in the cree of an impeachment, and in the court of parliament. Your lordships

have already given judgment against six upon this impeachment, and it is warranted by the precedents in parliament; therefore we insist that the articles are good in substance."

Mr. Cowper—"They (the counsel) cannot but know that the usages of parliament are part of the laws of the land, although they differ in many instances from the common law as practised in the inferior courts, in point of form. My lords, if the Commons, in preparing articles of impeachment, should govern themselves by precedents of indictments, in my humble opinion they would depart from the ancient, nay, the constant, usage and practice of parliament. It is well known that the form of an impeachment has very little resemblance to that of an indictment; and, I believe, the Commons will endeavour to preserve the difference, by adhering to their own precedents."

Sir William Thompson—"We must refer to the forms and proceedings in the court of parliament, and which must be owned to be part of the law of the land. It has been mentioned already to your lordships, that the precedents in impeachments are not so nice and precise in form as in the inferior courts; and we presume your lordships will be governed by the forms of your own court, (especially forms that are not essential to justice,) as the courts below are by theirs; which courts differ one from the other in many respects as to their forms of proceedings, and the practice of each court is esteemed as the law of that court."

The attorney-general in reply maintained his first doctrine—"There is no uncertainty in it that can be to the prejudice of the prisoner; we insist it is according to the forms of parliament—he has pleaded to it, and your lordships have

found him guilty."

The opinions of the judges were taken in the House of Lords on the 19th of March, 1715, upon two questions which had been argued in arrest of judgment, grounded chiefly on the practice of the courts below. To the first the judges answered: It is necessary that there be a certain day laid in such indictments on which the fact is alleged to be committed; and that alleging in such indictments that the fact was committed at or about a certain day would not be sufficient." To the second they answered: "That although a day certain, when the fact is supposed to be done, be alleged

in such indictments, yet it is not necessary upon the tril to prove the fact to be committed upon that day, but it is sufficient if proved to be done on any other day before the indictment found."

Then it was "agreed by the House, and ordered, that the lord high steward be directed to acquaint the prisoner at the Lerds Joan bar in Westminster Hall, 'That the Lords have considered of the matters moved in arrest of sufficient to arrest the same, but that the unpeachment is upparliament in parliament.'"

the custom of parliament to the usage below By the very latitude of the charge, the parliamentary accusation gives the prisoner fair notice to prepare himself upon all points, whereas there seems something insnaring in the proceedings upon indictment, which fixing the specification of a day certain for the treason or felony as absolutely necessary in the charge, gives notice for preparation only on that day, whilst the prosecutor has the whole range of time antecedent to the indictment to allege, and give evidence of facts against the prisoner It has been usual, particularly in later indictments, to add, "at several other times" But the strictness of naming one day is still necessary, and the want of the larger words would not quash the indictment A comparison of the extreme rigour and exactness required in the more formal part of the proceeding by indictment with the extreme laxity used in the substantial part, (that is to say, the evidence received to pr that the partisans of those high court of parliament, with the court of a

find it wholly impracticable, to bind themselves 3rdly, that the latitude of departure from the letter of the indictment (which holds in other matter leads a think is in appearance

much more contrary to na has been objected against

agers, under a pretence that it exceeded to

For in the case of indictments below, it must be admitted, that the prisoner may be unprovided with proof of an alibi, and other material means of defence, or may find some matters unlooked-for produced against him, by witnesses utterly unknown to him: Whereas nothing was offered to be given in evidence under any of the articles of this impeachment, except such as the prisoner must have had perfect knowledge of, the whole consisting of matters sent over by himself to the court of directors, and authenticated under his own hand. No substantial injustice or hardship of any kind could arise from our evidence under our pleading—whereas in theirs very great and serious inconveniencies might well happen.

Your committee has further to observe, that in the case of Lord Wintoun, as in the case of Dr. Sacheverell, the Commons had in their managers persons abundantly practised in the law, as used in the inferior jurisdictions, who could easily have followed the precedents of indictments—if they had not purposely, and for the best reasons, avoided such precedents.

A great writer on the criminal law, Justice Discourse IV. Foster, in one of his discourses, fully recognises those principles for which your managers have contended, and which have to this time been uniformly observed in parliament. In a very elaborate reasoning on the case of a trial in parliament, (the trial of those who had murdered Edward the Second,) he observes thus; "It is well known that in parliamentary proceedings of this kind, it is, and ever was, sufficient that matters appear with proper light and certainty to a common understanding, without that minute exactness which is required in criminal proceedings in Westminster Hall. In these cases, the rule has always been loquendum et vulgus." And in a note he says, "In the proceeding against Mortimer, in this parliament, so little regard was had to the forms used in legal proceedings, that he who had been frequently summoned to parliament as a baron, and had lately been created Earl of March, is styled through the whole record merely Roger de Mortimer."

The departure from the common forms in the first case alluded to by Foster, viz. the trial of vol. ii. p. 57.

Berkley, Mautravers, &c. for treason, in the murder of Edward the Second, might be more plausibly attacked, because they were tried, though in parliament,

by a j --- ^f f-- 1 12 given:

dictme

the opinion of this able judge ought they to have been observed

# PUBLICITY OF THE JUDGES' OPINIONS

It appears to your committee, that from the 30th year of King Charles the Second, until the trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, in all trials in parliament, as well upon impeachments of the Commons as on indictments brought up by certiorari, when any matter of law hath been agitated at the bar, or in the course of trial hath been stated by any lord in the court, it hath been the prevalent custom to state the same in open court Your committee has been able to find, since that period, no more than one precedent (and that a precedent rather in form than in substance) of the opinions of the judges being taken privately, except when the case on both sides has been closed, and the Lords have retired to consider of their verdict, or of their judgment there-Upon the soundest and best precedents, the Lords have improved on the principles of publicity and equality, and have called upon the parties severally to argue the matter of law, previously to a reference to the judges, who, on their parts, have afterwards, in open court, delivered their opinions, often by the mouth of one of the judges, speaking for himself and the rest, and in their presence and sometimes all the judges have delivered their opinion seriatim, (even when they have been unanimous in it,) together with their reasons upon which their opinion had been founded This, from the most early times, has been the course in all judgments in the House of Peers Formerly even the record contuned the Coke Inst 4 reasons of the decision "The reason wherefore (said Lord Coke) the records of parliaments have

been so highly extelled is, that therein is set down, in cases of difficulty, not only

reasons and causes of

State Trials trail of Lord Cornwalls, on the suggestion of a priss trail of Lord Cornwalls, on the suggestion of a question in law to the judges, Lord Danby demanded of the lord high steward, the Larl of Nottingham, "Whether it would be proper here (in open court) to ask

the question of your Grace, or to propose it to the judges?" The lord high steward answered, "If your lordships doubt of anything whereon a question in law ariseth, the latter opinion, and the better for the prisoner, is-that it must be stated in the presence of the prisoner, that he may know whether the question be truly put." It hath "sometimes been practised otherwise; and the Peers have sent for the judges, and have asked their opinion in private, and have come back and have given their verdiet according to that opinion, and there is searcely a precedent of its being otherwise done. There is a later authority in print that doth settle the point so as I tell you-and I do conceive it ought to be followed; and it being safer for the prisoner, my humble opinion to your lordship is—that he ought to be present at the stating of the question. Call the prisoner."—The prisoner, who had withdrawn, again appearing, he said,

"My Lord Cornwallis, and my lords the Peers, since they have withdrawn, have conceived a doubt in some matter of fact in your case; and they have that tender regard of a prisoner at the bar, that they will not suffer a case to be put up in his absence, lest it should chance to prejudice him by being wrong stated." Accordingly the question was both put and the judges' answer given publicly and in his presence.

Very soon after the trial of Lord Cornwallis, the impeachment against Lord Stafford was brought to a hearing, that is, in the 32nd of Charles the Second. In that case the lord at the bar having stated a point of law, "touching the necessity of two witnesses to an overt act in case of treason;" the lord high steward told Lord Stafford that "all the judges that assist them, and are here in your lordships' presence and hearing, should deliver their opinions, whether it be doubtful and disputable, or not."-Accordingly the judges delivered their opinion, and each argued it (though they were all agreed) seriatim and in open court. Another abstract point of law was also proposed from the bar on the same trial, concerning the legal sentence in high treason; and in the same manner the judges on reference delivered their opinion in open court; and no objection vol. iii. p. 212. was taken to it, as anything new or irregular.

In the first of James the Second came on a remarkable trial of a peer;—the trial of Lord Delamere. On that occa-

sion a question of law was stated There also, in conformity to the precedents and principles given on the trial of Lord Cornwallis, and the precedent in the impeachment of Lord Stafford, the then lord high steward took care that the opinion of the judges should be given in open court

Precedents grounded on principles so favourable to the fairness and equity of judicial proceedings, given in the reigns of Charles the Second and James the Second, were not likely to be abandoned after the revolution The first trial of a peer, which we find after the revolution, was that of the Earl of Warwick

In the case of the Earl of Warwick, 11 Will vol v p 109 III , a question in law upon evidence was put to the judges, the statement of the question was made in open court by the lord high steward, Lord Somers "If there be six in company, and one of them is killed, the other five are atterwards indicted, and three are tried and found guilty of manslaughter, and upon their prayers have their clergy allowed, and the burning in the hand is respited, but not pardoned, whether any of the three can be a witness on the

steward (Lord Somers)-" It must certainly be in the presence of the prisoner, if you ask the judges' opinions."

In the same year Lord Mohun was brought to trial upon an indictment for m

number of questions

than probably was ev...

lective body of trials before or since that period. That trul, therefore, furnishes the largest body of auvol iv from thentic precedents in this point, to be found in

p 538 to 551 the records of parliament . The number of questions put to the judges in this trial was twenty-three They all originated from the Peers themselves; yet the court called upon the party's counsel, as often as questions were proposed to be referred to the judges, as well as on the counsel for the Crown, to argue every one of them before they went to those learned persons Many of the questions, accordingly, were argued at the bar at great length. The opinio is were given and argued in open court. Peers frequently insisted that the judges should give their opinions seriatim, which they did always publicly in court, with great gravity and dignity, and greatly to the illustration of the law, as they held and acted upon it in their own courts.

In Sacheverell's case (just cited for another purpose) the Earl of Nottingham demanded whether he might not propose a question of law to the judges in open court. It was agreed to; and the judges gave their answer in open court, though this was after verdict given: And in consequence of the advantage afforded to the prisoner in hearing the opinion of the judges, he was thereupon enabled to move in arrest of judgment.

judgment.

The next precedent which your committee finds of a question put by the Lords, sitting as a court of judicature, to the judges pending the trial, was in the 20th of Lords' Jour-George the Second; when Lord Balmerino, who was tried on an indictment for high treason, having raised a doubt, whether the evidence proved July, 1746. him to be at the place assigned for the overt act of treason on the day laid in the indictment. The point was argued at the bar by the counsel for the Crown in the prisoner's presence, and for his satisfaction. The prisoner, on hearing the argument, waived his objection, but the then lord president moving their lordships to adjourn to the chamber of parliament, the Lords adjourned accordingly; and after some time, returning into Westminster Hall—the lord high steward (Lord Hardwicke) said, "Your lordships were pleased, in the chamber of parliament, to come to a resolution, that the opinion of the learned and reverend judges should be taken on the following question, namely, Whether it is necessary that an overt act of high treason should be proved to have been committed on the particular day laid in the indictment? Is it your lordships' pleasure, that the judges do now give their opinion on that question?

"Lords—Aye, aye.

"Lord high steward—My lord chief justice! Lord chief justice Lee.

"Lord chief justice,

The question proposed by your lordships is, whether it be necessary that an overt act of high treason should be proved to be committed on the particular day laid in the indictment? We are all of opinion, that it is not necessary to prove the overt act to be committed on the princular day laid in the indictment—but as evidence may be given of an overt act before the day, so it may be after the day specified in the indictment—for the day laid is circumstance and form only, and not material in point of proof, this is the known constant course of proceeding in trials "

Here the case was made for the judges, for the satisfaction of one of the Peers, after the prisoner had waived his objection. Yet it was thought proper, as a matter of course and of right, that the judges should state the question put to them in the open court, and in presence of the prisoner—and that in the same open manner, and in the same presence,

their answer should be delivered

Your committee concludes their precedents begun under Lord Nottingham, and ended under Lord Hardwicke They are of opinion, that a body of precedents so uniform, so accordant with principle, made in such times, and under the authority of a succession of such great men, ought not to have !

have t to the contrary, , , was on tho tral o his present Majest the judges were, l \_\_\_\_\_, and entered at length on the Journals, so that the legal principle of the

decision is equally to be found, which is not the case in any

one instance of the present impeachment. The Earl of Nottingham, in Lord Cornwallis's case, concerved, though it was proper and agreeable to justice, that this mode of putting questions to the judges, and receiving their answer in public, was not supported by former precedents. But, he thought, a book of authority had declared in favour of this course. Your committee is very sensible, that antecedent to the great period to which they refer, there are instances of questions having been put to the judges privately. But we find the principle of publicity (whatever variations from it there might be in practice) to have been so clearly established at a more early period, that all the judges of England resolved, in Lord Morley's trial, in the year 1666, (about twelve years before the observation of Lord Nottingham), or a supposition, that the trial should be actually con-

cluded, and the Lords retired to the chamber of parliament to consult on their verdict, that even in that case (much stronger than the observation of your committee requires for its support) if their opinions should then be demanded by the Peers, for the information of their private conscience, yet they determined that they should be given in public. This resolution is in itself so solemn, and is so bottomed on constitutional principle and legal policy, that your committee have thought fit to insert it verbatim, in their report, as they relied upon it at the bar of the court, when they contended for the same

publicity.

"It was resolved, That in case the Peers who are triers, after the evidence given, and the prisoner withdrawn, and they gone to consult of the verdict, should desire to speak with any of the judges, to have their opinion upon any point of law, that if the lord steward spoke to us to go, we should go to them. But when the Lords asked us any question, we should not deliver any private opinion; but let them . Kelynge's know, we were not to deliver any private opinion Reports, p. 54. without conference with the rest of the judges, and that to be done openly in court; and this (notwithstanding the precedent in the case of the Earl of Castlehaven) was thought prudent, in regard of ourselves, as well as for the avoiding suspicion which might grow by private opinions-ALL resolutions of judges being ALWAYS done in public."

The judges in this resolution overruled the authority of the precedent, which militated against the whole spirit of their place and profession. Their declaration was without reserve or exception, that "all resolutions of the judges are always done in public."-These judges (as should be remembered to their lasting honour) did not think it derogatory from their dignity, nor from their duty to the House of Lords, to take such measures concerning the publicity of their resolutions as should secure them from suspicion. They knew that the mere circumstance of privacy in a judicature, where any publicity is in use, tends to beget suspicion and jealousy. -Your committee is of opinion, that the honourable policy of avoiding suspicion, by avoiding privacy, is not lessened by anything which exists in the present time, and in the present trial.

Your committee has here to remark, that this learned

sudge seemed to think the case of Lord Audley Rushworth vol 11 p 53, 94 95, 100 [Castlehaven] to be more against him than in truth it was The precedents were as follow. The opinions of the judges were taken three times. The first time by the attorney-general at Serjeants' Inn, antecedent to the trial.—the last time, after the Peers had retired to

your committee contends to have been the usage ever since this resolution of the judges What was done before seemed to have passed sub silentio, and possibly through mere mad-

Your committee observes, That the precedents by them rehed on, were furnished from times in which the judicial proceedings in parliament, and in all our courts, had obtained a very regular form They were furnished at a period in which Justice Blackstone remarks, that more laws were passed, of importance to the rights and liberties of the subject. than in any other These precedents lean all one way, and carry no marks of accommodation to the variable spirit of the times and of political occasions They are the same before and after the revolution They are the same through five reigns The great men who presided in the tribunals which furnished these examples, were in opposite political interests, but all distinguished for their ability, integrity, and learning

The Earl of Nottingham, who was the first on the bench to promulgate this publicity as a rule, has not left us to seek the principle in the case That very learned man considers the publicity of the questions and answers as a matter of justice, and of justice favourable to the prisoner In the case of Mr Hastings, the prisoner's counsel did not join your committee in their endeavours to obtain the publicity we demanded Their reasons we can only conjecture. But your managers, acting for this House, were not the less bound to see that the due parliamentary course should be pursued, even when it is most favourable to those whom they impeach If it should answer the purposes of one prisoner to waive the rights which belong to all prisoners, it was the duty of your managers to protect those general rights against that particular prisoner It was still more their duty to endeavour, that their own questions should not be

erroneously stated, or cases put which varied from those which they argued, or opinions given in a manner not supported by the spirit of our laws and institutions, or by analogy with the practice of all our courts.

Your committee, much in the dark about a matter, in which it was so necessary that they should receive every light, have heard, that in debating this matter abroad, it has been objected, that many of the precedents on which we most relied were furnished in the courts of the lord high steward, and not in trials where the peers were judges; and that the lord high steward not having it in his power to retire with the juror peers, the judges' opinions, from necessity, not from equity to the parties, were given before that magistrate.

Your committee thinks it scarcely possible, that the Lords could be influenced by such a feeble argument. For admitting the fact to have been as supposed, there is no sort of reason why so uniform a course of precedents, in a legal court, composed of a peer for judge, and peers for triers—a course so favourable to all parties and to equal justice—a course in concurrence with the procedure of all our other courts, should not have the greatest authority over their practice in every trial before the whole body of the peerage.

The Earl of Nottingham, who acted as high steward in one of these commissions, certainly knew what he was saying. He gave no such reason. His argument for the publicity of the judges' opinions did not turn at all on the nature of his court, or of his office in that court. It rested on the equity of the principle, and on the fair dealing due to

the prisoner.

Lord Somers was in no such court; yet his declaration is full as strong. He does not indeed argue the point, as the Earl of Nottingham did when he considered it as a new case. Lord Somers considers it as a point quite settled—and on longer standing in need of being supported by reason or precedent.

But it is a mistake that the precedents stated in this report are wholly drawn from proceedings in that kind of court. Only two are cited, which are furnished from a court constituted in the manner supposed. The rest were in trials

by all the peers, and not by a jury of peers with a high steward.

Fosters Crown After long discussions with the peers on this

Law, p 145 subject, "The Lords' committees in a conference told them [the committee of this House, appointed to a 18 but he other

And the

Lords declared, that they have power enough to proceed to tral, though the king should not name a high steward" On the same day, "It is declared and ordered, by the Lords spiritual and temporal in parliament assembled, that the office of high steward on trials of peers upon impeachments is not necessary to the House of Peers—but that Lords may proceed in such trials, if a high steward is not appointed, necording to their humble desire"

according to their humble desire."

To put the matter out of all doubt, and to remove all pealousy on the part of the Commons, the commission of the lord high steward was then altered. These rights, contended for by the Commons in their impeachments, and admitted by the Peers, were asserted in the proceedings preparatory to the trial of Lord Stafford, in which that long chain of uniform precedents, with regard to the publicity of the judges' opinions in trials, begins

For these last citations, and some of the remarks, your committee are indebted to the learned and upright Justice Foster They have compared them with the Journals, and find them correct The same excellent author proceeds to demonstrate, that whatever he says of trials by impeachment is equally applicable to trials before the high steward on m-

1.....

so there is no difference in the reason and principle of the

publicity, let the matter of the steward's jurisdiction be as it may.

# PUBLICITY GENERAL.

Your committee do not find any positive law which binds the judges of the courts in Westminster Hall publicly to give a reasoned opinion from the bench, in support of their judgment upon matters that are stated before them. But the course hath prevailed from the oldest times. It hath been so general and so uniform, that it must be considered as the law of the land. It has prevailed, so far as we can discover, not only in all the courts which now exist, whether of law or equity, but in those which have been suppressed or disused, such as the court of Wards and the Star Chamber. An author, quoted by Rushworth, speaking of the constitution of that chamber, says, "And so it was resolved, by the judges, on reference made to them; and their opinion, after deliberate hearing and view of former precedents, Rushworth, was published in open court." It appears else-vol. if p. 457, where in the same compiler, that all their proceedings were public, even in deliberating previous to judgment.

The judges in their reasonings have always been used to observe on the arguments employed by the counsel on either side; and on the authorities cited by them, assigning the grounds for rejecting the authorities which they reject, or for adopting those to which they adhere, or for a different construction of law, according to the occasion. This publicity, not only of decision but of deliberation, is not confined to their several courts, whether of law or equity, whether above or at Nisi Prius, but it prevails where they are assembled,—in the Exchequer chamber, or at Serjeant's Inn, or wherever matters come before the judges collectively for consultation and revision.—It seems to your committee to be moulded in the essential frame and constitution of British judicature. Your committee conceives, that the English jurisprudence has not any other sure foundation, nor consequently the lives and properties of the subject any sure hold, but in the maxims, rules, and principles, and juridical traditionary line of decisions contained in the notes taken, and

from time to time published, (mostly under the sanction of

the judges,) called Reports

In the early periods of the law it appears to your committee, that a course still better had been pursued, but grounded on the same principles, and that no other cause than the multiplicity of business prevented its continuance "Of ancient time (says Lord Coke) in cases of difficulties, either criminal or civil, the reasons and causes of the judgment were set down upon the record, and so continued in the reigns of Ed I and Ed II, and then there was no need of reports, but in the reign of Ed III (when the law was in its height) the causes and reasons of judgments, in respect of the multitude of them, are not set down in the record, but then the great casusts and reporters of cases (certain grave and sad men) published the cases, and the reasons and causes of the judgments or resolutions, which, from the beginning of the reign of Ed III and since we have in print But these also, though of great credit and excellent use in their kind, Coke 4 Inst yet far underneath the authority of the parlia-

coke 4 Inst yet far anti-netal the detailing of the parties

p 5 ment rolls, reporting the acts, judgments, and resolutions of that highest court"

solutions of that highest court"

Reports, though of a kind less authentic than the Year

Books to which Coke alludes, have continued without interruption to the time in which we live It is well known, that the elementary treatises of law, and the dogmatical treatises of English jurisprudence, whether they appear under the names of Institutes, Digests, or Commentaries, do not rest on the authority of the supreme power, like the books called the Institute, Digest, Code, and authentic collations in the Roman law With us, doctrinal books of that description have little or no authority, other than as they are supported by the adjudged cases and reasons given at one time or other from the bench, and to these they constantly refer This appears in Coke's Institutes, in Comyns's Digest, and in all books of that nature To give judgment privately is to put an end to reports, and to put in end to reports, is to put an end to the law of England It was fortunate for the constitution of this kingdom, that in the judicial proceedings in the case of ship money, the judges did not then venture to depart from the ancient

course. They gave and they argued their judgment in open court. Their reasons were publicly given, and the reasons assigned for their judgment took away all its authority. The great historian, Lord Clarendon, at that period a young lawyer, has told us that the judges gave as law from the bench what every man in the hall knew not to be law.

This publicity, and this mode of attending the decision with its grounds, is observed not only in the tribunals where the judges preside in a judicial capacity individually or collectively, but where they are consulted by the Peers, on the law in all writs of error brought from below. In the opinion they give of the matter assigned as error, one at least of the judges argues the questions at large. He argues them publicly, though in the chamber of parliament; and in such a manner that every professor, practitioner, or student of the law, as well as the parties to the suit, may learn the opinions of all the judges of all the courts upon those points, in which the judges in one court might be mistaken.

Your committee is of opinion that nothing better could be devised by human wisdom than argued judgments publicly delivered, for preserving unbroken the great traditionary body of the law, and for marking, whilst that great body remained unaltered, every variation in the application and the construction of particular parts; for pointing out the ground of each variation; and for enabling the learned of the bar and all intelligent laymen to distinguish those changes made for the advancement of a more solid, equitable, and substantial justice, according to the variable nature of human affairs, a progressive experience, and the improvement of moral philosophy, from those hazardous changes in any of the ancient opinions and decisions, which may arise from ignorance, from levity, from false refinement, from a spirit of innovation, or from other motives, of a nature not more justifiable.

Your committee, finding this course of proceeding to be concordant with the character and spirit of our judicial proceeding, continued from time immemorial, supported by arguments of sound theory, and confirmed by effects highly beneficial, could not see without uneasiness, in this great trial for Indian offences, a marked innovation. Against their

¹ This is confined to the judicial opinions in Hambden's case. It does not take in all the extra-judicial opinions.

reiterated requests, remonstrances, and protestations, the opinions of the judges were always taken secretly. Not only the constitutional publicity for which we contend was refused to the request and entre-try of your committee but when a noble peer, on the 24th of June, 1789, did no pen court declare, that he would then propose some questions to the judges in that place, and hoped to receive their answer openly, according to the approved good customs of that and of other courts—the Lords instantly put a stop to the further amber of

amber of
e Lords'
, that "it

should resolve itself into a committee of the whole House, on Monday next, to take into consideration what is the proper judges, and

, from which

the Earl of Galloway, on the 29th of the same month, reported as follows "That the House has, in the trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, proceeded in a regular course in the mainer of propounding their questions to the judges in the chamber of parliament, and in receiving their answers to them in the same place" The resolution was agreed to by the Lords, but the protest (as below) was entered thereupon, and supported by strong arguments.

#### Dissentient

lst, Because, by consulting the judges out of court in the absence of the parties, and with shut doors, we have deviated from the most approved, and almost uninterrupted, practice of above a century and a half, and established a precedent not only destructive of the justice due to the

in order that no covert and private practices should defeat the great ends of public justice

or public justice of the judges, upon private statements, custon v

our bar . principl

of the junges to out pitrate a attenue, subsequent decision we transfer the effect of those opinions to the parties,

Your committee remark, that this resolution states only that the House had proceeded in this secret manner of propounding questions to the judges, and of receiving their answers during the trial, and on matters of debate between the parties, "in a regular course." It does not assert that another course would not have been as regular. It does not state either judicial convenience, principle, or body of precedents for that regular course. No such body of precedents appear on the Journal that we could discover. Seven-and-twenty, at least, in a regular series, are directly contrary to this regular course. Since the æra of the 29th of June, 1789, no one question has been admitted to go publicly to the judges.

This determined and systematic privacy was the more alarming to your committee, because the questions did not (except in that ease) originate from the Lords for the direction of their own conscience. These questions, in some material instances, were not made or allowed by the parties at the bar, nor settled in open court, but differed materially from what your managers contended was the true state of the question, as put and argued by them. They were such as the Lords thought proper to state for them. Strong remonstrances produced some alteration in this particular; but even after these remonstrances, several questions were made, on statements which the managers never made nor admitted.

Your committee does not know of any precedent before this, in which the Peers, on a proposal of the Commons, or of a less weighty person before their court, to have the cases publicly referred to the judges, and their arguments and re-

who have been deprived of the right and advantage of being heard by such private, though unintended, transmutation of the point at issue.

3rdly, Because the prisoners who may hereafter have the misfortune to stand at our bar will be deprived of that consolation which the Lord High Steward Nottingham conveyed to the prisoner, Lord Cornwallis, viz. "That the Lords have that tender regard of a prisoner at the bar, that they will not suffer a case to be put in his absence, lest it should prejudice him by being wrong stated."

4thly, Because unusual mystery and secrecy in our judicial proceedings must tend either to discredit the acquittal of the prisoner, or render

the justice of his condemnation doubtful.

PORCHESTER.
SUFFOLK AND BERKSHIRE.
LOUGHBOROUGH.

solutions delivered in their presence, absolutely refused. The very few precedents of such private reference on trials, have been made, as we have observed already, sub silentio, and without any observation from the parties. In the precedents we produce, the determination is accompanied with its reasons, and the publicity is considered as the clear undoubted right of the parties.

Your committee, using their best diligence, have never been able to form a clear opinion upon the ground and principle of these decisions. The mere result upon each case decided by the Lords, furnished them with no light from any

urged, or to be set aside, your committee not being able to divine, whether the particular evidence, which, upon a conjectural principle, they might choose to abandon, would not appear to this House, and to the judging world at large, to be admissible, and possibly decisive proof. In these straits they had and have no choice, but either wholly to abandon the prosecution, and of consequence to betray the trust reposed in them by this House, or to bring forward such matter of evidence as they are furnished with from sure sources of authenticity, and which in their judgment, aided by the best advice they could obtain, is possessed of a moral aptitude juridically to prove or to illustrate the case which the House had given them in charge

## MODE OF PUTTING THE QUESTIONS

When your committee came to examine into those private opinions of the judges, they found, to their no small concern, that the mode both of putting the questions to the judges, and their answers, was still more unusual and unprecedented than the privacy with which those questions were given and resolved.

This mode strikes, as we apprehend, at the vital privileges of the House For, with a single exception of the first question put to the judges in 1788, the case being stated the questions are raised directly, specifically, and by name, on those privileges, that is, what exidence is it completed for the managers of the House of Commons to produce? We conceive,

that it was not proper, nor justified by a single precedent, to refer to the judges of the inferior courts any question, and still less for them to decide in their answer, of what is or is not competent for the House of Commons, or for any committee acting under their authority, to do, or not to do, in any instance or respect whatsoever. This new and unheardof course can have no other effect than to subject to the discretion of the judges the law of parlament and the privileges of the House of Commons, and in a great measure the judicial privileges of the Peers themselves; any intermeddling in which on their part we conceive to be a dangerous and unwarrantable assumption of power. It is contrary to what has been declared by Lord Coke himself, in a passage before quoted, to be the duty of the judges; and to what the judges of former times have confessed to be their duty, on occasions to which he refers in the time of Henry the Sixth. And we are of opinion, that the conduct of those sages of the law, and others their successors, who have been thus diffident and cautious in giving their opinions upon matters concerning parliament, and particularly on the privileges of the House of Commons, was laudable in the example, and ought to be followed; particularly the principles upon which the judges declined to give their opinions in the year 1614. appears by the Journals of the Lords, that a question concerning the law relative to impositions having been put to the judges, the proceeding was as follows: "Whether the lords, the judges, shall be heard deliver their opinion touching the point of impositions, before further consideration be had of answer to be returned to the Lower House, concerning the message from them lately received." "Whereupon the number of the Lords, requiring to hear the judges' opinions by saying 'Content,' exceeding the others which said 'Non Content,' the lords, the judges, so desiring were permitted to withdraw themselves into the lord chancellor's private rooms; where having remained awhile, and advised together, they returned into the House, and having taken their places, and standing discovered, did by the mouth of the lord chief justice of the King's Bench, humbly desire to be forborne at this time, in this place, to deliver any opinion in this case, for many weighty and important reasons, which his lordship delivered with great gravity and eloquence; concluding, that

himself and his brethren are upon particulars in judicial course to speak and judge between the king's Majesty and his people, and likewise between his Highness's subjects, and in no case to be disputants on any side"

Your committee do not find anything which, through inadvertence or design, had a tendency to subject the law and course of parliament to the opinions of the judges of the inferior courts, from that period until the 1st of James the The trial of Lord Delamere for high treason was had by special commission before the lord high steward It was before the act which directs that all peers should be summoned to such trials This was not a trial in full parliament, in which case it was then contended for, that the lord high steward was the judge of the law, presiding in the court, but had no vote in the verdict, and that the Lords were triers only, and had no vote in the judgment of the law This was looked on as the course where the trial was not in full parliament, in which latter case there was no doubt but that the lord high steward made a part of the body of the triers, and that the whole House was the judge 1 In this cause, after the evidence for the Crown had been closed, the prisoner prayed the court to adjourn. The lord high steward doubted his power to take that step in that stage of the trial, and the question was, "Whether, the trul not being in full parliament, when the prisoner is upon his trial, and evidence for the king is given, the Lords being (as it may be termed) charged with the prisoner, the Peers may separate for a time, which is the consequence of an adjournment" The lord high steward doubted of his power to adjourn the court The case was evidently new, and his Grace proposed to have the opinion of the judges upon it The judges, in consequence, offering to withdraw into the Exchequer chamber Lord Filconberg "insisted that the question concerned the privi-

the lords triers should retire with the judges. The lord night steward thought differently, and opposed this motion, but

<sup>2</sup> See the lord high steward a speech on that head lst J II

finding the other opinion generally prevalent, he gave way, and the lords triers retired, taking the judges to their consult. When the judges returned, they delivered their opinion in open court. Lord Chief Justice Herbert spoke for himself and the rest of the judges. After observing on the novelty of the case, with a temperate and becoming reserve with regard to the rights of parliaments, he marked out the limits of the office of the inferior judges on such occasions, and declared, "All that we, the judges, can do, is to acquaint your Grace and the noble Lords what the law is in the inferior courts in cases of the like nature, and the reason of the law in those points, and then leave the jurisdiction of the court to its proper judgment." The chief justice concluded his statement of the usage below, and his observations on the difference of the cases of a peer tried in full parliament, and by a special commission, in this manner: "Upon the whole matter, my lords, whether the Peers, being judges in the one and not in the other instance, alters the case, or whether the reason of the law in inferior courts, why the jury are not permitted to separate until they have discharged themselves of their verdiet, may have any influence on this case, where that reason seems to fail, the prisoner being to be tried by men of unquestionable honour, we cannot presume so far as to make any determination, in a case which is both new to us, and of great consequence in itself; but think it the proper way for us, having laid matters as we conceive them before your Grace and my lords, to submit the jurisdiction of your own court to your own determination."

It appears to your committee, that the Lords, who stood against submitting the course of their high court to the inferior judges, and that the judges, who, with a legal and constitutional discretion, declined giving any opinion in this matter, acted as became them; and your committee sees no reason why the Peers, at this day, should be less attentive to the rights of their court, with regard to an exclusive judgment on their own proceedings, or to the rights of the Commons acting as accusers for the whole commons of Great Britain in that court, or why the judges should be less reserved in deciding upon any of these points of high parliamentary privilege, than the judges of that and the preceding periods. This present case is a proceeding in full parliament, and not

like the case under the commission in the time of James the Second, and still more evidently out of the province of the judges in the inferior courts

judges in the interior courts

All the precedents previous to the trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, seem to your committee to be uniform The
judges on any of

Juges
the por one But
the pr
t

in the courts below, upon an abstract case Besides these three, your committee any sort, during the course bar of the House of Lords

indictment, by information from the attorney-general, or by impeachment of the House of Commons

In the present trial, the judges appear to your committee not to have given their judgment on points of law, stated as such, but to have in effect tried the cause, in the whole course of it, with one instance to the contrary

The Lords have stated no question of general law, no question on the construction of an act of parliament no question concerning the practice of the courts below. They put the whole gross case, and matter in question, with all its circumstances, to the judges. They have, for the first time, demanded of them what particular person, paper, or document ought or ought not, to be produced before them by the managers for the Commons of Great Britain—for in stance, whether, under such an article, the Bengal consultations of such a day, the examination of Rajah Nundcomar, and the like. The oneration of this method is in substance.

The judges attendant on the court of Peers in therto have not been supposed to know the particulars and minute circumstances of the cause, and must therefore be incompetent

to determine upon those circumstances. The evidence taken is not, of course, that we can find, delivered to them—nor do we find, that in fact any order has been made for that purpose, even supposing that the evidence could at all regularly be put before them. They are present in court, not to hear the trial, but solely to advise in matter of law—they cannot take upon themselves to say anything about the Bengal consultations, or to know anything of Rajah Nundcomar, of Kelleram, or of Mr. Francis, or Sir John Clavering.

That the House may be the more fully enabled to judge of the nature and tendency of thus putting the question specifically, and on the gross case, your committee thinks fit here to insert one of those questions, reserving a discussion of its particular merits to another place. It was stated on the 22nd of April, 1790, "On that day the managers proposed to show that Kelleram fell into great balances with the East India Company, in consequence of his appointment."—It is so stated in the printed Minutes (p. 1206). But the real tendency and gist of the proposition is not shown.—However the question was put, "whether it be or be not competent to the managers for the Commons to give evidence upon the charge in the 6th article, to prove that the rent which the defendant, Warren Hastings, Esquire, let the lands mentioned in the said 6th article of charge to Kelleram, fell into arrear and was deficient; and whether, if proof were offered that the rent fell into arrear immediately after the letting, the evidence in that case would be competent?" The judges answered, on the 27th of the said month, as follows: "It is not competent for the managers for the House of Commons to give evidence upon the charge in the 6th article, to prove that the rent at which the defendant, Warren Hastings, let the lands in the said 6th article of charge to Kelleram, fell into arrear and was deficient."

The House will observe, that on the question two cases of competence were put—The first on the competence of managers for the House of Commons to give the evidence supposed to be offered by them, but which we deny to have been offered in the manner and for the purpose assumed in this question: The second is in a shape apparently more abstracted, and more nearly approaching to parliamentary regularity—on the competence of the evidence itself, in the case

of. ans TOTAL

to our competence, about the other question, (which was more within their province,) namely, the competence of evidence on a case hypothetically stated, they gave them selves no trouble The Lords on that occasion rejected the whole evidence On the face of the judges' opinion, it is a determination on a case, the trial of which was not with them. but it contains no rule or principle of law, to which alone it was their duty to speak 1

These essential innovations tend, as your committee concentes, to make an entire alteration in the constitution, and in the purposes of the high court of parliament, and even to reverse the ancient relations between the Lords and the judges They tend wholly to take away from the Commons the benefit of making good their case before the proper judges, and submits this high inquest to the inferior courts Your committee sees no reason why, on the same principles

and precedents the Lords may not terminate their proceedings in this, and in all future trials, by sending the whole body of evidence taken before them, in the shape of a special verdict, to the judges, and may not demand of them, whether they ought, on the whole matter, to acquit or condemn the prisoner, nor can we discover any cause that should hinder them [the judges] from deciding on the accumulative body of the evidence, as hitherto they have done in its parts, and from dictating the existence or non existence of a misdemeanour or other crime in the prisoner, as they think fit, -without any more reference to principle, or precedent of law, than hitherto they have thought proper to apply in determining on the several parcels of this cause

Your committee apprehends, that very serious inconveniencies and mischiefs may hereafter arise from a practice in the House of Lords, of considering itself as unable to act without the judges of the inferior courts, of implicitly following their dictates, of adhering with a literal precision to the very

words of their responses, and of putting them to decide on All the resolutions of the judges to the time of the reference to the committee, are in the Appendix No 2

the competence of the managers for the Commons,—the competence of the evidence to be produced,—who are to be permitted to appear,—what questions are to be asked of witnesses,-and indeed, parcel by parcel, on the whole of the gross case before them; as well as to determine upon the order, method, and process of every part of their proceedings. The judges of the inferior courts are by law rendered in-dependent of the Crown. But this, instead of a benefit to the subject, would be a grievance, if no way was left of producing a responsibility. If the Lords cannot or will not act without the judges, and if (which God forbid!) the Commons should find it at any time hereafter necessary to impeach them before the Lords; this House would find the Lords disabled in their functions, fearful of giving any judgment on matter of law, or admitting any proof of fact, without them [the judges]; and having once assumed the rule of proceeding and practice below as their rule, they must at every instant resort, for their means of judging, to the authority of those whom they are appointed to judge.

Your committee must always act with regard to men as they are. There are no privileges or exemptions from the infirmities of our common nature. We are sensible, that all men, and without any evil intentions, will naturally wish to extend their own jurisdiction, and to weaken all the power by which they may be limited and controlled. It is the business of the House of Commons to counteract this tendency. This House had given to its managers no power to abandon its privileges, and the rights of its constituents. They were themselves as little disposed as authorized to make this surrender. They are members of this House, not only. charged with the management of this impeachment, but partaking of a general trust, inseparable from the Commons of Great Britain in parliament assembled, one of whose principal functions and duties it is, to be observant of the courts of justice, and to take due care that none of them, from the lowest to the highest, shall pursue new courses unknown to the laws and constitution of this kingdom, or to equity, sound legal policy, or substantial justice. Your committee were not sent into Westminster Hall for the purpose of contributing in their persons, and under the authority of the House, to change the course or law of parliament, which had

continued unquestioned for at least four hundred years Neither was it any part of their mission to suffer precedents to be established, with 1 1 dence, which tended in

the avenues to justice

evidence as a means of concealment They were not, without a struggle, to suffer any subtleties to prevail, which would render a process in parliament, not the terror, but the protection, of all the fraud and violence arising from the abuse of British power in the East Accordingly, your managers contended with all their might, as their predecessors in the same place had contended with more ability and learning, but not with more zeal and more firmness, against those dangerous innovations as they were successively introduced they held themselves bound constantly to protest, and in one or two instances they did protest, in discourses of considerable length, against those private, and, for what they could find, unargued judicial opinions, which must, as they fear, introduce by degrees the miserable servitude which exists where the law is uncertain or unknown

### DEBATES ON EVIDENCE

The chief debates at the bar, and the decisions of the judges, (which we find in all cases implicitly adopted, in all their extent, and without qualification, by the Lords,) turned upon evidence Your committee, before the trial began, were apprized, by discourses which prudence did not permit them to neglect, that endeavours would be used to embarrass them in their proceedings by exceptions against evidence, that the judgments and opinions of the courts below would be resorted to on this subject, that there the rules of evidence were precise, rigorous, and inflexible, and that the counsel for the criminal would endeavour to introduce the same rules, with the same severity and exactness, into this trial Your committee were fully assured, and were resolved strenuously to contend, that no doctrine or rule of law, much less the practice of any court, ought to have weight or authority in parliament, further than as such doctrine, rule, or practice is agreeable to the proceedings in parliament, or hath received the sanction of approved precedent there, or is founded on the immutable principles of substantial justice,

without which, your committee readily agrees, no practice in any court, high or low, is proper or fit to be maintained.

In this preference of the rules observed in the high court of parliament, preëminently superior to all the rest, there is no claim made, which the inferior courts do not make, each with regard to itself. It is well known, that the rules of proceedings in these courts vary, and some of them very essentially; yet the usage of each court is the law of the court, and it would be vain to object to any rule in any court, that it is not the rule of another court. For instance, as a general rule, the court of King's Bench, on trials by jury, cannot receive depositions, but must judge by testimony vivi voce. The rule of the court of Chancery is not only not the same, but it is the reverse, and Lord Hardwicke ruled accordingly: "The constant and established proceedings of this court," said this great magistrate, "are on written evidence, like the proceedings on the civil and canon law. This is the course of the court, and the course of the court is the law of the court."—Atkyns, vol. i. p. 416.

Your managers were convinced, that one of the principal reasons, for which this cause was brought into parliament, was the danger that in inferior courts their rule would be formed naturally upon their ordinary experience, and the exigencies of the cases which in ordinary course came before them. This experience, and the exigencies of these cases, extend little further than the concerns of a people comparatively in a narrow vicinage—a people of the same or nearly the same language, religion, manners, laws, and habits.—

With them an intercourse of every kind was easy.

These rules of law in most cases, and the practice of the courts in all, could not be easily applicable to a people separated from Great Britain by a very great part of the globe; separated by manners, by principles of religion, and of inveterate habits as strong as nature itself, still more than by the circumstance of local distance. Such confined and inapplicable rules would be convenient indeed to oppression, to extortion, bribery, and corruption, but ruinous to the people, whose protection is the true object of all tribunals, and of all their rules. Even English judges in India, who have been sufficiently tenacious of what they considered as the rules of English courts, were obliged, in many points, and particularly vol. vi. 2 H

a great diversity of manners, and from what may be considered as a diversity, even in the very constitution of their minds instances of which your committee will subjoin in a future Appendix

Blackstones Comments reasones why your committee conceived this House had chosen to proceed in the high court of parlament, was because the inferior courts were habituated, with very few exceptions, to try men for the abuse only of their individual

and natural powers, which can extend but a little way Before them, offences, whether of fraud or violence, or both, are, for much the greater part, charged upon persons of mean and obscure condition Those unhappy persons are so far from being supported by men of rank and influence, that the whole weight and force of the community is directed against them In this case, they are in general objects of protection as well as of punishment, and the course perhaps ought, as it is commonly said to be, not to suffer anything to be applied to their conviction beyond what the strictest rules will per-But in the cause which your managers have in charge, the circumstances are the very reverse to what happens in the cases of mere personal delinquency, which come before the inferior courts. These courts have not before them persons who act, and who justify their acts, by the inture of a despotical and arbitrary power The abuses, stated in our impeachment, are not those of mere individual, natural faculties, but the abuses of civil and political authority The offence is that of one, who has carried with him in the perpetration of his crimes, whether of violence or of fraud, the whole force of the state, -who, in the perpetration and concealment of offences, has had the advantage of all the means and powers given to government for the detection and punishment of guilt, and for the protection of the people The people themselves, on whose behalf the Commons of Great Britain take up this remedial and protecting prosecution, are naturally timid Their spirits are broken by the arbitrary power usurped over them, and claimed by the delinquent as his law They are ready to flatter the power

which they dread. They are apt to look for favour from their governors, by covering those vices in the predecessor, which they fear the successor may be disposed to imitate. They have reason to consider complaints as means not of redress, but of aggravation to their sufferings; and when they shall ultimately hear that the nature of the British laws, and the rules of its tribunals, are such as by no care or study either they, or even the Commons of Great Britain, who take up their cause, can comprehend, but are such as in effect and operation leave them unprotected, and render those who oppress them secure in their spoils, they must think still worse of British justice than of the arbitrary power of the Company's servants, which hath been exercised to their destruction. They will be for ever, what, for the greater part, they have hitherto been, inclined to compromise with the corruption of the magistrates, as a screen against that violence, from which the laws afford them no redress.

For these reasons, your committee did, and do, strongly contend, that the court of parliament ought to be open with great facility to the production of all evidence, except that, which the precedents of parliament teach them authoritatively to reject, or which hath no sort of natural aptitude directly or circumstantially to prove the case. They have been and are invariably of opinion, That the Lords ought to enlarge (and not to contract) the rules of evidence, according to the nature and difficulties of the case, for redress to the injured, for the punishment of oppression, for the detection of fraud; and, that they ought above all to prevent, what is the greatest dishonour to all laws, and to all tribunals—the failure of justice. To prevent the last of these evils, all courts in this and all countries have constantly made all their maxims and principles concerning testimony to conform; although such courts have been bound undoubtedly by stricter rules, both of form and of prescript cases, than the sovereign jurisdiction exercised by the Lords on the impeachment of the Commons ever has been, or ever ought to be. Therefore your committee doth totally reject any rules, by which the practice of any inferior court is affirmed as a directory guide to a higher, especially where the forms and the powers of the judicature are different, and the objects of judicial inquiry are not the same.

Your committee in the arguments counsel, but in the

vant, that is, connected with the party and the charge, was demed to be competent, the burthen lay upon those who opposed it, to set forth the authorities, whether of positive statute, known recognised maxims and principles of law, passages in an accredited institute, code, digest, or systematic treatise of laws, or some adjudged cases, wherein the courts have rejected evidence of that nature. No such thing ever (except in one instance, to which we shall hereafter speak) was produced at the bar, nor (that we know of) produced by the Lords in their debates, or by the judges in the opinions by them delivered. Therefore, for anything which as yet appears to your committee to the contrary, these responses and decisions were, in many of the points, not the determinations of any law whatsoever, but mere arbitrary decrees, to which we could not without solemn protestation submit.

Your committee, at an early period, and frequently since the commencement of this trial, have neglected no means of research, which might afford them information concerning these supposed strict and inflexible rules of proceeding, and of evidence, which appeared to them destructive of all the means and ends of justice—and, first, they examined carefully the Rolls and Journals of the House of Lords, as also

the printed trials of cases before that court.

Vour commuttee finds but one instance, in the whole course of parliamentary imprachments, in which evidence offered by the Commons has been which evidence offered by the Commons has been considered.

rejected on the plea of madmissibility or incompetence. This man fitted or was in the case of Lord Strafford's trait; when Leaf strafford, the copy of a warrant (the same not having any p ties and the copy) was,

on deliberation, not admitted; and your committee thinks,

reported their resolution; and he adds, "they conceive this to be no impediment or failure in the proceeding, because the truth and verity of it would depend on the first general power given to execute it, which they who manage the evidence for the Commons say they could prove."—Neither have objections to evidence offered by the prisoner been very frequently made, nor often allowed when made.—In the Lords' Journ. same case of Lord Strafford, two books produced vol. iv. p. 210. by his lordship, without proof by whom they were written, were rejected (and on a clear principle) "as being private books, and no records." On both these occasions, the questions were determined by the Lords alone, without any resort to the opinions of the judges. In the impeachments of Lord Strafford, Dr. Sacheverell, and Lord Wintoun, no objection to evidence appears in the Lords' Journals to have been pressed, and not above one taken, which was on the part of the managers.

Several objections were indeed taken to evidence in Lord Macclesfield's trial. They were made on the part of the managers, except in two instances, where the objections were made by the witnesses themselves. They were all determined (those started by the managers in their favour) by the Lords themselves, without any reference to the judges. the discussion of one of them, a question was vol. xxii. stated for the judges concerning the law in a n. 1725. similar case upon an information in the court be-

Lords' Journ. vol. xxii. p. 536 to 546.

Lords' Journ.

low; but it was set aside by the previous question.

On the impeachment of Lord Lovat, no more Lords' Journ. than one objection to evidence was taken by the managers, against which Lord Lovat's counsel p. 63, 65. An. 1746. were not permitted to argue. Three objections on the part of the prisoner were made to the evidence offered by the managers, but all without success. The instances of similar objections in parliamentary trials of Peers on indictments, are too few and too unimportant to require being particularized; -one that, in the case of Lord Warwick, has been already stated.

The principles of these precedents do not in the least affect any case of evidence which your managers had to sup-The paucity and inapplicability of instances of this lind, convince your committee that the Lords have ever used some latitude and liberality in all the means of bringing in formation before them—nor is it casy to conceive, that as the Lords are, and of right ought to be, judges of law and fact, many cases should occur (except those where a personal tind cocc witness is demed to be competent) in which a judge, possessing an entire judicial capacity, can determine by anticipation what is good evidence, and what not, before he has heard it. When he has heard it, of course he will judge what weight it is to have upon his mind, or whether it ought not entirely to be struck out of the proceedings.

Your committee, always protesting, as before, against the admission of any law, foreign or domestic, as of authority in parliament, further than as written reason, and the opinion of wise and informed men has examined into the writers on the civil law, ancient and more recent, in order to discover what those rules of evidence, in any sort applicable to criminal cases, were, which were supposed to stand in the way

of the trial of offences committed in India

of the trail of offenees committed in India
They find, that the term evidence, evidentia, from whence
ours is taken, has a sense different in the Roman law from
what it is understood to bear in the English jurisprudence.
The term most nearly answering to it in the Roman, being
probate, proof, which, like the term evidence, is a generic
term, including everything by which a doubtful matter may
be rendered more certain to the judge, or, as Gilof Evidence
bert expresses it, every matter is evidence which
prof
amounts to the proof of the point in question

On the general head of evidence or proof, your committee finds, that much has been written by persons learned in the Roman law, particularly in modern times, and that many attempts have been made to reduce to rules the principles of evidence or proof, a matter which by its very nature seems

incapable of that sim are necessary to supt

rule of law Much trine of indications and presumptions, in their books, far more than is to be found in our law —Very sub-Gravias, 84 ss tile disquisitions were made, on all matters of jurisprudence in the times of the classical civil law, by the followers of the Stoic school In the modern school of the same law, the same course was taken by Bartolus, Baldus, and the civilians who followed them, before the complete revival of literature. All the discussions to be 1d. 20, usque found in those voluminous writings, furnish unfound in those voluminous writings, furnish undoubtedly a useful exercise to the mind, by methodizing the various forms in which one set of facts, or collection of facts. or the qualities or demeanour of persons, reciprocally influence each other; and by this course of juridical discipline, they add to the readiness and sagacity of those who are called to plead or to judge. But as human affairs and human actions are not of a metaphysical nature, but the subject is concrete, complex, and moral, they cannot be subjected (without exceptions which reduce it almost to nothing) to any certain rule. Their rules with regard to competence were many and strict, and our lawvers have mentioned it to their "The civilians (it has been observed) differ in nothing more than admitting evidence; Omichund for they reject histriones, &c. and whole tribes of versus Barker, vol. 1. p. 17. people." But this extreme rigour as to competency, rejected by our law, is not found to extend to the genus of evidence, but only to a particular species-personal witnesses. Indeed, after all their efforts to fix these things by positive and inflexible maxims, the best Roman lawyers in their best ages were obliged to confess, that every case of evidence rather formed its own rule, than that any rule could be adapted to every ease: The best opinions, however, seem to have reduced the admissibility of witnesses to a few heads.—"For if," said Calistratus, in a passage preserved to us in the Digest, "the testimony is free from suspicion, either on account of the quality of the person, namely, that he is in a reputable situation; or for cause, that is to say, that the testimony given is not for reward, nor favour, nor for enmity, such a witness is admissible." This first description goes to competence; between which and credit, Lord Hardwicke justly says, the discrimination is very nice: the other part of the text shows their anxiety to reduce credibility itself to a fixed rule. It proceeds, therefore, "his sacred Majesty, Hadrian, issued a rescript to Vivius Varus, lieutenant of Cilicia, to this effect, That he who sits in judgment is the most capable of determining what credit is to be given to witnesses." The words of the letter of rescript are as

follow "You ought best to know what credit is to be given to witnesses,—who and of what dignity, and of what estimation they are, whether they seem to deliver their evidence with simplicity and candour—whether they seem to bring a formed and premeditated discourse—or whether on the spot they give probable matter in answer to the questions that are put to them." And there remains a rescript of the

same prince to Valerius Varus on the bringing out the credit of witnesses. This appears to go more to the general principles of evidence. It is in these words "What evidence, and in what measure or degree, shall amount to proof in each case, can be defined in no manner whatsoever that is sufficiently certain. For, though not always, yet frequently, the truth of the affair may appear without any matter of public record.—In some cases, the number of the witnesses, in others, their dignity and authority, is to be weighed, in others, concurring public fame tends to confirm the credit of the evidence in question. This alone I am able, and in a few words, to give you as my determination, that you ought

not too readily to bind yourself to try the cause upon any petal one description of evidence, but you are to ANI part's estimate by your own discretion what you ought to credit, or what appears to you not to be established by proof sufficient."

The modern writers on the civil law have likewise much matter on this subject, and have introduced a strictness, with regard to personal testimony, which our particular jurisprudence has not thought it at all proper to adopt. In others

matter on this subject, and have introduced a strictness, with regard to personal testimony, which our particular jurisprudence has not thought it at all proper to adopt in others we have copied them more closely. They divide evidence into two parts, in which they do not differ from the ancents lst, What is evidence, or proof by itself? 2ndly, What is presumption, "which is a probable conjecture from a reference to something which, coming from marks and tokens ascertained, shall be taken for truth, until some other shall be adduced?" Again, they have I-bourded particularly to fix rules for presumptions, which they divide into, I Violent and necessary—2 Probable—3 and lastly, Slight and rash But finding that this head of presumptive ovidence (which makes so large a part with them and with us in the trail of all causes, and particularly criminal causes) is extrinely difficult to ascertain, either with regard to what shall be

considered as exclusively creating any of these calvinus Voco three degrees of presumption, or what facts, and presumption how proved,—and what marks and tokens may serve to establish them,—even those civilians, whose character it is to be subtle to a fault, have been obliged to abandon the task—and have fairly confessed, that the labours of writers to fix rules for these matters have been vain and fruitless. One of the most able of them has said.

"That the doctors of the law have written nothing of value concerning presumptions; nor is the subject matter such as to be reduced within the prescribed limit of any certain rules. In truth, it is from the actual existing case, and from the circumstances of the persons, and of the business, that we ought (under the guidance of an incorrupt judgment of the mind, which is called an equitable discretion) to determine what presumptions or conjectural proofs are to be admitted as rational, or rejected as false, or on which the understanding can pronounce nothing, either the one way or the other."

It is certain, that whatever over-strictness is to be found in the older writers on this law with regard to evidence, it chiefly related to the mere competency of witnesses; yet even here the rigour of the Roman lawyers relaxed on the necessity of the case. Persons who kept houses of ill fame were with them incompetent witnesses: yet among the maxims of that law, the rule is well known of " Testes lupanares in re lupanari."

In ordinary cases, they require two witnesses to prove a fact; and therefore they held, "that if there be but one witness, and no probable grounds of presumption of some kind, (nulla argumenta,) that one witness is by no means to be heard;" and it is not inelegantly said in that case, Non jus deficit sed probatio, "The failure is not in the law, but in the proof." But if other grounds of presumption appear, one witness is to be heard; "for it is not necessary that one crime should be established by one sort of proof only, as by witnesses, or by documents, or by presumptions; all the modes of evidence may be so conjoined, that where none of them alone would affect the prisoner, all the various concurrent proofs should overpower him, like a storm of hail."

—This is held particularly true in cases where crimes are

and punishing such crimes superseded, in the soundest authors, this theoretic aim at perfection, and obliged technical science to submit to practical experience In re

criminal, said the rigourists, probationes debent esse evidentes et luce meridiana clariores, and so undoubtedly it is in offences which admit such proof But reflection taught them, that even their favourite rules of incompetence must give way to the exigencies of distributive justice One of the best modern writers on the imperial criminal law, particularly as practised in Saxony, (Carpzovius) says, "This alone I think it proper to remark, that even incompetent witnesses are sometimes admitted, if otherwise the truth cannot be got at, and this particularly in facts and crimes which are of difficult proof,"-and for this doctrine he cites Farmacius, Mascardus, and other emment civilians who had written on evidence -He proceeds afterwards-" However, this is to be taken with a caution, that the impossibility of otherwise discovering the truth, is not construed from hence, that other witnesses were not actually concerned, but that from the nature of the crime, or from regard had to the place and time, other witnesses could not be present" Many other passages from the same authority, and from others to a simi-

contented with such proof as can be made, if the subject in its nature is difficult of proof" And the same writer, in another passage, refers to another still more general maxim, (and a sound maxim it is,) that the power and means of Lib I Obs 91 proof ought not to be narrowed but enlarged, that the truth may not be concealed -Probationum

far effect, might be added We shall only remark shortly, that Gaill, a writer on the practice of that law the most fre-Lib II Obs quently cited in our own courts, gives the rule more in the form of a maxim, "That the law is

facultas non angustari, sed ampliari debeat, ne veritas occultetur

'ung in the they could upport any

by the Lords, against the evidence which your committee offered, whether direct and positive, or merely (as for the greater part it was) circumstantial, and produced as a ground to form legitimate presumption against the defendant: nor, if they were to admit (which they do not) this civil law to be of authority in furnishing any rule in an impeachment of the Commons, more than as it may occasionally furnish a principle of reason on a new or undetermined point, do they find any rule, or any principle, derived from that law, which could or ought to have made us keep back the evidence which we offered. On the contrary, we rather think those rules and principles to be in agreement with our conduct.

As to the canon law, your committee, finding it to have adopted the civil law with no very essential variation, does not feel it necessary to make any particular statement on

that subject.

Your committee then came to examine into the authorities in the English law, both as it has prevailed for many years back, and as it has been recently received in our courts below. They found on the whole the rules rather less strict, more liberal, and less loaded with positive limitations, than in the Roman law. The origin of this latitude may perhaps be sought in this circumstance, which we know to have relaxed the rigour of the Roman law—courts in England do not judge, upon evidence, secundum allegata et probata, as in other countries and under other laws they do, but upon verdict. By a fiction of law, they consider the jury as supplying in some sense the place of testimony. One witness (and for that reason) is allowed sufficient to convict, in cases of felony, which in other laws is not permitted.

In ancient times it has happened to the law of England, (as in pleading, so in matter of evidence,) that a rigid strictness in the application of technical rules has been more observed than at present it is. In the more early ages, as the minds of the judges were in general less conversant in the affairs of the world, as the sphere of their jurisdiction was less extensive, and as the matters which came before them were of less variety and complexity, the rule being in general right, not so much inconvenience on the whole was found from a literal adherence to it, as might have arisen from an endeavour towards a liberal and equitable departure, for which further experience, and a more continued cultivation of equity as a science, had not then so fully prepared them.

—In those times, that judicial policy was not to be condemned We find too, that, probably from the same cause, most of their doctrine leaned towards the restriction, and the old lawyers being bred, according to the then philosophy of the schools, in habits of great subtilty and refinement of distinction, and having once taken that bent, very great acutiness of mind was displayed in maintaining every rule, every maxim, every presumption of law creation, and every fiction of law, with a punctilious exactness, and this seems to have been the course which laws have taken in every nation. It was probably from this rigour, and from a sense of its pressure, that, at an early period of our law, far more causes of criminal jurisdiction were carried into the House of Lords, and the council-board, where laymen were judges, than can or ought to be at present

As the business of courts of equity became more enlarged, and more methodical, as magistrates, for a long series of years, presided in the court of Chancery, who were not bred to the common law, as commerce, with its advantages and its necessities, opened a communication more largely with other countries, as the law of nature and nations (always a part of the law of England) came to be cultivated, as an increasing empire, as new views and new combinations of things were opened, this antique rigour and over-done severity gave way to the accommodation of human concerns for which rules were made, and not human concerns made to bend to them

Omichand v At length, Lord Hardwicke, in a case the most Batter, Alt 1 solemnly argued of any within the memory of man, with the aid of the greatest learning at the bar, and with the aid of all the learning on the bench, both bench and bar being then supplied with men of the first form, declared from the bench, and in concurrence with the rest of the judges, and with the most learned of the long robe, the able council on the side of the old restrictive principles making no re-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Antiquas jurisprudentia aspera quidem illa, tenebrecosa, et tristi, non tam na quintate, quan in creborura supertituone fundata, caque Cecronica teneral fere attigit, manustique annos circeter 350. Qua hane excepti, estudiçue aunos fere 19, superiori longe humanor, quippe que nagiutilitate communi, quam potestate verborum, negotia moderaretur —Gratina, p. 86.

clamation—"That the judges and sages of the law have laid it down, that there is but ONE general rule of evidence—the best that the nature of the case will admit."-This, then, the master rule, that governs all the subordinate rules, does in reality subject itself and its own virtue and authority to the nature of the case; and leaves no rule at all of an independent, abstract, and substantive quality.—Sir Dudley Ryder, (then attorney-general, afterwards chief justice,) in his learned argument, observed—"It is extremely proper, that there should be some general rules in relation to evidence; but if exceptions are not allowed to them, it would be better to demolish all the general rules.—There is no general rule without exception that we know of, but this, that the best evidence shall be admitted, which the nature of the case will afford. I will show, that rules, as general as this, are broke in upon, for the sake of allowing evidence. There is no rule that seems more binding, than that a man shall not be admitted an evidence in his own case, and yet the statute of Hue and Cry is an exception. A man's books are allowed to be evidence, or, which is in substance the same, his servant's books, because the nature of the case requires it; as in the case of a brewer's servants.—Another general rule, that a wife cannot be witness against her husband, has been broke in upon in cases of treason: That the last words of a dying man are given in evidence, in the case of murder, is also an exception to the general rule, that a man may not be examined without oath." Such are the doctrines of this great lawyer.

Chief Justice Willes concurs with Lord Hardwicke as to dispensing with strict rules of evidence.—"Such evidence," he says, "is to be admitted as the necessity of the case will allow of; as, for instance, a marriage at Utrecht, certified under the seal of the minister there, and of the said town, and that they cohabited together as man and wife, was held to be sufficient proof that they were married."—This learned judge (commenting upon Lord Coke's doctrine, and Serjeant Hawkins's after him, that the oaths of Jews and Pagans were not to be taken) says, "That this notion, though advanced by so great a man, is contrary to religion, common sense, and common humanity, and I think the devils, to whom he has delivered them, could not have suggested anything worse."

—The chief justice, admitting Lord Coke to be a great lawyer, then proceeds in very strong terms, and with micks of contempt, to condemn "his nurrow notions," and he treats with as little respect or decorum the ancient authorities referred to in defence of such notions

The principle of the departure from those rules is clearly fixed by Lord Hardwicke, he lays it down as follows "The first ground judges have gone upon in departing from strict rules, is absolute strict necessity 2ndly, A presumed ne Of the first he gives these instances, "in the case of writings subscribed by witnesses, if all are dead, the proof of one of their hands is sufficient to establish the deed Where an original is lost, a copy may be admitted, if no copy, then a proof by witnesses who have heard the deed. and yet it is a thing law abhors, to admit the memory of man for evidence"-This enlargement through two stages of proof, both of them contrary to the rule of law, and both abhorrent from its principles, are by this great judge accumu lated upon one another, and are admitted from necessity, to accommodate human affairs, and to prevent that, which courts are by every possible means instituted to prevent-A TAILURE OF JUSTICE And this necessity is not confined within the strict limits of physical causes, but is more lax, and takes in moral, and even presumed and argumentative necessity, a necessity which is in fact nothing more than a great degree of expediency The law creates a fictitious necessity against the rules of evidence in favour of the conve-Galli Lib. 11 nience of trade An exception, which on a similar

our 13h II principle had before been admitted in the civil law, as to mercantile causes, in which the books of the party were received to give full effect to an insufficient degree of proof, called in the necty of their distinctions a semiplena

probatio

But to proceed with Lord Hardwicko;—he observes, that "a tradesman's books (that is, the acts of the party interested, himself) are admitted as evidence, not through absolute necessity, but by reason of a presumption of necessity inferred only from the nature of commerce No rule," con tinued Lord Hardwicke, "can be more settled, than that testimony is not to be received but upon oath," but he lays it down that an oath itself may be dispensed with "There

is another instance," says he, "where the lawful oath may be dispensed with, namely, where our courts admit evidence for the Crown without oath."

In the same discussion, the chief baron (Parker) cited cases, in which all the rules of evidence had given way. "There is not a more general rule," says he, "than that hearsay cannot be admitted, nor husband and wife as witnesses against each other; and yet it is notorious that from necessity they have been allowed, not an absolute necessity, but a moral one."

It is further remarkable, in this judicial argument, that exceptions are allowed not only to rules of evidence, but that the rules of evidence themselves are not altogether the same, where the subject matter varies. The judges have, to facilitate justice and to favour commerce, even adopted the rules of foreign laws. They have taken for granted, and would not suffer to be questioned, the regularity and justice of the proceedings of foreign courts, and they have admitted them as evidence, not only of the fact of the decision, but of the right as to its legality: where there are foreign parties interested, and in "commercial matters, the rules of evidence are not quite the same as in other instances in courts of justice. The case of Hue and Cry, Brownlow, 47, a feme covert is not a lawful witness against her husband, except in cases of treason, but has been admitted in civil cases.1 The testimony of a public notary is evidence by the law of France; contracts are made before a public notary, and no other witness necessary. I should think it would be no doubt at all, if it came in question here, whether this would be a valid contract; but a testimony from persons of that credit and reputation would be received as a very good proof in foreign transactions, and would authenticate the contract."-Chro. Chal. 365.

These cases show, that courts always govern themselves by these rules in cases of foreign transactions. To this principle Lord Hardwicke accords; and enlarging the rule of evidence by the nature of the subject, and the exigencies of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> N. B. In some criminal cases also, though not of treason, a husband is admitted to prove an assault upon his wife, for the king, ruled by Raymond, chief justice, Trin. 11th Geo. King versus Azire. And for various other exceptions, see Buller's Nisi Prius, 285, 287.

i

the case, he lays it down-"that it is a common and natural presumption, that persons of the Gentoo religion should be principally apprized of facts and transactions in their own country —As the English have only a factory in this country. for it is in the empire of the Great Mogul, if we should . Gentoo oath. 1 it

aw of England" urt of Admiralty -and adopts the opinion of the author who states the pro-

cedent-"That this court will give credit to the sentence of the court of Admiralty in France, and take it to be according to right, and will not examine their proceedings, for it would be found very inconvenient if one kingdom should. by pe anoth

land.

of things, and the nature of the case, overrule every other principle, even those rules which seem the very strongest Chief Baron Parker, in answer to an objection made against the infidel deponent, "that the plaintiff ought to have shown that he could not have the evidence of Christians." says, "that repugnant to natural justice, in the statute of Hue and Cry, the robbed is admitted to be witness of the robbery, as a moral or presumed necessity is sufficient" The same

against reason, equity, and convenience, the vital principles of the law" He cites Wells versus Williams, I Raymond 282, to show that the necessity of trade has mollified the too rigorous rules of the old law, in their restraint and discouragement of aliens "A Jew may sue at this day, but heretofore he could not, for then they were looked upon as enemies, but now commerce has taught the world more humanity, and therefore held that an alien enemy, commorant here by the licence of the king, and under his promoran here by the heence of the lang, and under misple-technon, may maintain a debt upon a bond, though he did not come with safe-conduct. So far Parker, concurring with Raymond—He proceeds, "It was objected by the defindant's counsel that this is a novelty, and that what never has been done ought not to be done." The answer is,

"The law of England is not confined to particular cases; but is much more governed by reason than by any one case whatever. The true rule is laid down by Lord Vaughan, fol. 37, 38: where the law, saith he, is known and clear, the judges must determine as the law is, without regard to the inequitableness or inconveniency. These defects, if they happen in the law, can only be remedied by parliament—but where the law is doubtful and not clear, the judges ought to interpret the law to be as is most consonant to equity, and what is least inconvenient."

These principles of equity, convenience, and natural reason, Lord Chief Justice Lee considered in the same ruling light, not only as guides in matter of interpretation concerning law in general, but, in particular, as controllers of the whole law of evidence, which being artificial, and made for convenience, is to be governed by that convenience for which it is made, and is to be wholly subservient to the stable principles of substantial justice. "I do apprehend," said that chief justice, "that the rules of evidence are to be considered as artificial rules, framed by men for convenience in courts of justice. This is a case that ought to be looked upon in that light; and I take it, that considering evidence in this way [viz. according to natural justice] is agreeable to the genius of the law of England."

The sentiments of Murray, then solicitor-general, afterwards Lord Mansfield, are of no small weight in themselves, and they are authority by being judicially adopted. His ideas go to the growing melioration of the law, by making its liberality keep pace with the demands of justice, and the actual concerns of the world; not restricting the infinitely diversified occasions of men, and the rules of natural justice, within artificial circumscriptions, but conforming our jurisprudence to the growth of our commerce and of our empire. This enlargement of our concerns, he appears, in the year 1744, almost to have foreseen, and he lived to behold it. "The arguments on the other side," said that great light of the law, (that is, arguments against admitting the testimony in question from the novelty of the case,) "prove nothing. Does it follow from thence, that no witnesses can be examined in a case that never specially existed before? or that an action cannot be brought in a case that never happened

VOL. VI.

before? Reason (being stated to be the first ground of all laws, by the author of the book called Doctor and Student) must determine the case. Therefore the only question is, whether upon principles of reason, justice, and convenience, this witness be admissible?" "Cases in law

depend upon the occasions which gave rise to 1st Atkyns, ut them All occasions do not arise at once: Now a particular species of Indians appears, here-

after another species of Indians may arise. A statute can seldom take in all cases Therefore the common law, that works itself pure by rules drawn from the fountain of justice, is for this reason superior to an act of parliament."

From the period of this great judgment to the trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, the law has gone on continually working itself pure (to use Lord Mansfield's expression) by rules drawn from the fountain of justice. " Gener-Burrow, vol i. rules drawn from the fountain of justice. "Gener-palips," and the same person when he sat upon 204, 306

justice with the great end of them

that it be really obtaine

al of late years in their determinations, and have more endeavoured to attend to the real justice of the case, than for-On another occasion, of a proposition for setting aside a verdict, he said, "This seems

to be the true way to come at justice, and what we therefore ought to do, for the true text is bons judicis est ampharijustitiam, not jurisdictionem, as has been often cited" In conformity to this principle, the supposed rules of evidence have, in late times and judgments, instead of being drawn to a greater de

Cowper s Reports, 109 Mayor of Hull versus Horner

as a bar to a claim, and that which is used only by way of evidence - I've instance, length of time, merely as it affects evidence, may be left to the consideration of the jury, and the evidence itself credited or not, according to the inference that may be drawn one way or the other, from the circumstances of the case" In all cases of evidence, Lord

Mansfield's maxim was to lean to admissibility, leaving the objections, which were made to competency, to go to credit, and to be weighed in the case well Abrahams v. minds of the jury, after they had heard it.—In worth reading. objections to wills, and to the testimony of witnesses to them, he thought "it clear that the judges ought to lean against objections raised on the ground of informality."

Lord Hardwicke had before declared, with great truth, "That the boundaries of what goes to the credit, and what to the competency, are very nice, and that the latter may be carried too far; and in the same case he said, "that unless the objection appeared to him to carry a strong danger of perjury, and some apparent advantage might accrue to the witness, he was always inclined to let it go to his King v. Bray. credit only in order to let in a proper light to the case, which would otherwise be shut out; and in a doubtful case he said it was generally his custom to admit the evidence, and give such directions to the jury as the nature of the case

might require."

It is a known rule of evidence, that an interest in the matter to be supported by testimony, disqualifies a witness; yet Lord Mansfield held, "That nice objections to a remote interest, which could not be released, though they held in other cases, were not allowed to disqualify a witness to a will (as in the case of parishioners having a devise to the use of the poor of the parish for ever)." He went still further, and his doctrine tends so fully to settle the principle of departure from, or adherence to, rules of evidence, that your committee inserts part of the argument at large. "The dis- Wyndham v. Chetwynd. ability of a witness from interest is very different from a positive incapacity. If a deed must be acknowledged before a judge or notary public, every other person is under a positive incapacity to authenticate it; but objections of interest are deductions from natural reason, and proceed upon a presumption of too great a bias in the mind of the witness, and the public utility of rejecting partial testimony. Presumptions stand no longer than till the contrary is proved. The presumption of bias may be taken off by showing that the witness has a great or a greater interest the other way, or that he has given it up. The presumption of

These being the principles of later jurisprulence, the judges have suffered no positive rule of evidence to counteract those principles. They have even suffered subscribing witnesses to a will, which recites the soundness of mind in the testator, to be examined to prove his innce to overturn

They were admitted to annul the will which they had themselves attested Objections were taken to the competency of one of the witnesses in support of the will against the testimony of its subscribing witnesses. Ist, That the witness was an executor in trust, and so hable to actions. 2ndly, As having acted under the trust, whereby, if the will were set aside,

authorities; but in the course of obtaining substantial justice, he treated both of them with equal continuit, though determined by judges of high reputation. His words are remarkable: "We do not now sit here to take our rules of evidence from Sidersim and Keble." He overruled the objection upon more recent authorities, which, though not in

been adhered to in any part of this proceeding, that very

black conspiracy would have succeeded; and those black conspirators, instead of receiving the punishment of their crimes, would have enjoyed the reward of their perjury.

Lord Mansfield, it seems, had been misled, in a certain case, with regard to precedents. His Zouch ex diopinion was against the reason and equity of the miss. Woolston v. Woolston. supposed practice, but he supposed himself not at liberty to give way to his own wishes and opinions. On discovering his error, he considered himself as freed from an intolerable burthen, and hastened to undo his former deter-"There are no precedents," said he with some exultation, "which stand in the way of our determining liberally, equitably, and according to the true intention of the parties." In the same case, his learned assessor, Justice Wilmot, felt the same sentiments. His expressions are remarkable: "Courts of law ought to concur with courts of equity, in the execution of those powers which are very convenient to be inserted in settlements; and they ought not to listen to nice distinctions that savour of the schools, but to be guided by true good sense and manly reason. After the statute of Uses, it is much to be lamented that the courts of common law had not adopted all the rules and maxims of the courts of equity. This would have prevented the absurdity of receiving costs in one court and paying them in another."

Your committee does not produce the doctrine of this particular case, as directly applicable to their charge, no more than several of the others here cited. We do not know on what precedents or principles the evidence proposed by us has been deemed inadmissible by the judges; therefore against the grounds of this rejection we find it difficult directly to oppose anything. These precedents and these doctrines are brought to show the general temper of the courts, their growing liberality, and the general tendency of all their reasonings and all their determinations to set aside all such technical subtleties, or formal rules, which might stand in the way of the discovery of truth and the attainment of justice.—The cases are adduced for the principles they contain.

The period of the cases and arguments we have cited, was that in which large and liberal principles of evidence were more declared, and more regularly brought into system. But

they had been gradually improving, and there are few principles of the later decisions which are not to be found in determinations on cases prior to the time we refer to Not to overdo this matter, and yet to bring it with some degree of clearness before the House, your committee will refer but to a few authorities, and those, which seem most immediately to relate to the nature of the cause intrusted to them Michaelmas, 11 W III, the King v the Warden of the Fleet -A witness, who had really been a prisoner, and voluntarily suffered to escape, was produced to prove the escape To the witness it was objected, that he had given a bond to be a true prisoner, which he had forfeited by escaping besides, he had be

the court.

tions, if al. necessity of the third, admitted as evidence, it will be impossible to detect the practice, as in the cases of the statute of Hue and Cry, the party robbed shall be a witness to charge

the hundred, and in the chequer, where one who

admitted an

the case of King v Harris, where a feme covert was admitted as a witness for fraudulently drawing her in, when sole, to give a warrant of attorney for confessing a judgment on an unlawful consideration, whereby execution was sued out against her husband, and Holt, chief justice, held, that a feme covert could not, by law, be a witness to convict one on an information, yet, in Lord Audley's case, it being a rape on her person, she was received to give evi dence against him, and the court concurred with him, because it was the best evidence the nature of the thing would allow This decision of Holt refers to others more early, and all on the same principle, and it is not of this day that this one great principle of eminent public expedience, this moral necessity,2" that crimes should not escape with impunity, juridical rules of has in nist and most naevider d that in matters tural It is known that sta-

of so penal a nature as high treason 1 In this single point Holt did not concur with the rest of the judges Interest Respublica ut maleficia ne remancant impunita.

, and enlarge, but on fair grounds, to tutes made, not to open two witnesses in cases of high treastraiten proofs, require od without dispute, and without disson. So it was understoment of a case in the high court of tinction, until the argupation. It was the case justice, during the usur Love's Trial. ister, Love, tried for high State Trials, of the presbyterian min ammonwealth, in an attreason against the eine. In this trial it was vol. ii. p. 144, 171-173, and tempt to restore the kmit In this trial, it was 177; and Poscontended for and adding to ter's Crown Law, p. 235. to one overt act, and ught to be deemed sufficient. This of the same treason, dished in times from which precedents were cauticate the training was received Burrow, 815. Copendale v. as authority through the whole reign of Brigden. It was equally followed after the Re-Charles the Second. pay it is undoubted law. It is not so volution; and at this hnical rules of construction of the act from the natural or techn the principles of juridical policy. of parliament, but from ruled it all the writers of credit ive ruled it, all the writers of credit All the judges who his it, assign this reason, and this only, who have written uporplotted in secrecy, could in few cases -That treasons being to punishment.

be otherwise brought of policy has dictated a principle of re-The same principle to severe rules of evidence, in all cases laxation, with regard (wer order in the scale of criminality. similar, though of a lotal maxims, that an accomplice should It is against fundamenness.—But accomplices are admitted be admitted as a witstice, otherwise confederacies of crime

from the policy of just

could not be dissolved ore solid, than that a man shall not There is no rule mit by his own testimony. But an inentitle himself to prof way robbery, may obtain forty pounds former, in case of highis own evidence: this is not in conseto his own profit by hvision in the act of parliament—it is a quence of positive proest the purpose of the act should be provision of policy, l' defeated.

lictated this very large construction of, Now, if policy has concerning high treason; if the same an act of parliament, ceptions to the clearest and broadest policy has dictated ether highly penal causes; and if all this rules of evidence, in obcerning matters for the greater part

latitude is taken cor

within our insular bounds,—your committee could not, with safety to the larger and more reincial justice of the law of parliament, admit any rules or prictended rules, uncorrected and uncontrolled by circumstances, to presul in a trai, which regarded offences of a nature difficult of detection, and committed far from the sphere of the ordinary practice of our courts.

If anything of an over-formal strictness is introduced into the trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, it does not seem to be copied from the decisions of these tribunals. It is with great satisfaction your committee has found, that the reproach of "disgraceful subtlettes," inferior rules of evidence, which prevent the discovery of truth, of forms, and modes of proceeding, which stand in the way of that justice, the for-

## CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, &c

The rules of evidence in civil and in criminal cases, in law and in equity, being only reason methodized, are certainly Your committee however finds, that the far greater part of the law of evidence to be found in our books. turns upon questions relative to civil concerns Civil cases regard property Now, although property itself is not, yet almost everything concerning property, and all its modifications, is, of artificial contrivance. The rules concerning it become more positive, as connected with positive institution The legislator therefore always, the jurist frequently, may ordain certain methods, by which alone they will suffer such matters to be known and established, because, their very essence, for the greater part, depends on the arbitrary conventions of men Men act on them with all the power of a Creator over his creature They make fictions of law and presumptions of law (

ing to their ideas of

against presumptions so created, vacy as evidence. However, even in these cases, there is some re-

burnow sis struint Lord Mansfield has let in a notice copendate v spirit against the fictions of law themselves, and he declared that he would do, what in one case

cannot be comprehended within any rule, or brought under any classification

Now, as the force of that presumptive and conjectural proof rarely, if ever, depends on one fact only, but is colfeeted from the number and accumulation of circumstances concurrent in one point, we do not find an instance, until this trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, (which has produced many novelties,) that attempts have been made by any court to call on the prosecutor for an account of the purpose for which he means to produce each particle of this circumstantial evidence, to take up the circumstances one by one, to prejudge the efficacy of each matter separately, in proving the point, and thus to break to pieces and to garble those facts, upon the multitude of which, their combination, and the relation of all their component parts to each other, and to the culprit, the whole force and virtue of this evidence To do anything which can destroy this collective effect, is to deny circumstantial evidence

Your committee too cannot but express their surprise, at the particular period of the present trial when the attempts to which we have alluded first began to be made. The two first great branches of the accusation of this House against Warren Hastings, Esquire, relate to public and notomous acts, capable of direct proof, such as the expulsion of Chet Sung.

apable of direct proof, such as the expulsion of Cheft Sing, the its consequences on the province of Benares, and the

of the treasures and pathres of the Begums of Oude Yet, in the proof of these crimes, your committee cannot justly complain, that we were very narrowly creumseribed in the production of much circumstantial as well as positive evidence. We did not find any serious resistance on this head, till we came

crimes of a class

tudges of all countries and o

all their rules of competency, such crimes as peculation, pecumary frauds, extortion, and bribery Eight out of nine of the questions put to the judges by the Lords, in the first stage of the prosecution, related to circumstances offered in proof of these secret crimes

Much industry and art have been used among the illiterate and unexperienced, to throw imputations on this presecution, and its conduct, because so great a proportion of the evi-

dence offered on this trial (especially on the latter charges) has been circumstantial. Against the prejudices of the ignorant your committee opposes the judgment of the learned. It is known to them that, when this proof is in its greatest perfection, that is, when it is most abundant in circumstances, it is much superior to positive proof; and for this we have the authority of the learned judge who presided at the trial of Captain Donellan:—"On the part of the prosecution, a great deal of evidence has been laid before you. It is all circumstantial evidence, and in its nature it must be so; for, in cases of this sort, no man is weak enough to commit the act in the presence of other persons, or to suffer them to see what he does at the time; and therefore it can only be made out by circumstances, either before the committing of the act, at the time when it was committed, or subsequent to it; and a presumption, which necessarily arises from circumstances, is very often more convincing and more satisfactory than any other kind of evidence, because it is not within the reach and compass of human abilities to invent a train of circum-· ces, which shall be so connected together as to amount a proof of guilt, without affording opportunities of contrao a great part, if not all, of these circumstances. But circumstances are such as, when laid together, bring ion to your minds, it is then fully equal, if not, as I before, more convincing than positive evidence." of Donellan no such selection was used as we experienced; no limitation to the production of , before, at, and after the fact charged. : conceive) rightly conducted by the learned secret crimes, such as secret assassination, y, peculation, and extortion (the three last ~ has charged upon Mr. Hastings) can very any other way. That way of proof is made a searching, equitable, and intelligent not be a failure of justice. Vide supra. that he did not know a int be supplied.

ed to the trial of Donellan; and non it, first, on account of the e judge who tried the cause,

cannot be comprehended within any rule, or brought under any classification

Now, as the force of that presumptive and conjectural proof rarely, if ever, depends on one fact only, but is col lected from the number and accumulation of circumstances concurrent in one point, we do not find an instance, until this trial of Warren Hastings, Esquire, (which has produced many novelties,) that attempts have been made by any court to call on the prosecutor for an account of the purpose for which he means to produce each particle of this circumstantial evidence, to take up the circumstances one by one, to prejudge the efficacy of each matter separately, in proving the point, and thus to break to pieces and to garble those facts, upon the multitude of which, their combination, and the relation of all their component parts to each other, and to the culprit, the whole force and virtue of this evidence To do anything which can destroy this collective effect, is to deny circumstantial evidence

Your committee too cannot but express their surprise, at the particular period of the present trial when the attempts to which we have alluded first began to be made The two first great branches of the accusation of this House against Warren Hastings, Esquire, relate to public and notorious acts, capable of direct proof, such as the expulsion of Chert Sing,

its consequences on the province of Benares, and the

Let. in justly co

the production of much circumstantial as well as positive We did not find any serious resistance on this evidence

head. crime

judge

all their rules of competency, such crimes as peculation, pecumary frauds, extortion, and bribery Eight out of nine of the questions put to the judges by the Lords, in the first stage of the prosecution, related to circumstances offered in proof of these secret crimes

Much industry and art have been used, among the illiterate and unexperienced, to throw imputations on this prosecution, and its conduct, because so great a proportion of the evi

dence offered on this trial (especially on the latter charges) has been circumstantial. Against the prejudices of the ignorant your committee opposes the judgment of the learned. It is known to them that, when this proof is in its greatest perfection, that is, when it is most abundant in circumstances, it is much superior to positive proof; and for this we have the authority of the learned judge who presided at the trial of Captain Donellan :- "On the part of the prosecution, a great deal of evidence has been laid before you. It is all circumstantial evidence, and in its nature it must be so; for, in eases of this sort, no man is weak enough to commit the act in the presence of other persons, or to suffer them to see what he does at the time; and therefore it can only be made out by circumstances, either before the committing of the act, at the time when it was committed, or subsequent to it; and a presumption, which necessarily arises from circumstances, is very often more convincing and more satisfactory than any other kind of evidence, because it is not within the reach and compass of human abilities to invent a train of circumstances, which shall be so connected together as to amount to a proof of guilt, without affording opportunities of contradicting a great part, if not all, of these circumstances. But if the circumstances are such as, when laid together, bring conviction to your minds, it is then fully equal, if not, as I told you before, more convincing than positive evidence." In the trial of Donellan no such selection was used as we have lately experienced; no limitation to the production of every matter, before, at, and after the fact charged. trial was (as we conceive) rightly conducted by the learned judge-because secret crimes, such as secret assassination, poisoning, bribery, peculation, and extortion (the three last of which this House has charged upon Mr. Hastings) can very rarely be proved in any other way. That way of proof is made to give satisfaction to a searching, equitable, and intelligent mind; and there must not be a failure of justice. Vido supra. Lord Mansfield has said, that he did not know a case in which proof might not be supplied.

Your committee has resorted to the trial of Donellan; and they have, and do much rely upon it, first, on account of the known learning and ability of the judge who tried the cause, and the particular attention he has paid to the subject of oridence, which forms a book in his freatise on Nisi Prus Next, because, as the trial went wholly on circumstantial cridence, the proceedings in it furnish some of the most complete and the fullest examples on that subject Thirdly, because the case is recent, and the law cannot be supposed to be materially altered since the time of that event Comparing the proceedings on that trial, and the doctrines

from the bench, with the doctrines we have heard from the woolsack, your committee cannot comprehend how they can be reconciled For the Lords compelled the managers to declare for what purpose they produced each separate member of their circumstantial evidence, a thing, as we conceive, not usual, and particularly not observed in the trial of Donellan. We have observed in that trial, and in most others to which we have had occasion to resort, that the prosecutor is suffered to proceed narratively and historically, without interruption. If, indeed, it appears on the face of the narration, that what is represented to have been said, written, or done, did not come to the knowledge of the prisoner, a question sometimes, but rarely, has been asked, whether the prisoner could be affected with the knowledge When a connexion with the person Girdwood e of the prisoner has been in any way shown, or Case Leach p 123 Gordon s even promised to be shown, the evidence is allowed to go on without further opposition The sending of a sealed letter, the receipt of a sealed p 439. letter, inferred from the delivery to the prisoner s Layers Case St Tr vi. servant, the bare possession of a paper written

by any other person, on the presumption that the contents of such letters, or such paper, were known to the presoner, and the being present when anything was said or done, on the presumption of his seeing or hearing what passed, have been respectively ruled to be sufficient. If, on the other hand, no circumstance of connexion has been proved, the judge, in sum-

to a —a c

persons decide both the law and the fact

To illustrate the difficulties to which your committee was subjected on this head, we think it sufficient to submit to the House (reserving a more full discussion of this important point to another occasion) the following short statement of an incident which occurred in this trial.

Trial of the Duchess of Kingston. St. Tr. xi. p. 244. Trial of Huggins. St. Tr. ix. p. 119, 120, 135.

By an express order of the court of directors, (to which by the express words of the act of parliament, under which he held his office, he was ordered to yield obedience,) Mr. Hastings and his colleagues were directed to make an inquiry into all offences of bribery and corruption in office.—On the 11th of March a charge in writing of bribery and corruption in office was brought against himself. On the 13th of the same month, the accuser, a man of high rank, the Rajah Nundcomar, appears personally before the council, to make good his charge against Mr. Hastings before his own face. Mr. Hastings thereon fell into a very intemperate heat, obstinately refused to be present at the examination, attempted to dissolve the council, and contumaciously retired from it. the other members, a majority of the council, in execution of their duty, and in obedience to the orders received under the act of parliament, proceeded to take the evidence, which is very minute and particular, and was entered in the records of the council by the regular official secretary. It was afterwards read in Mr. Hastings's own presence, and by him transmitted under his own signature to the court of directors. A separate letter was also written by him, about the same time, desiring, on his part, that in any inquiry into his conduct, "not a single word should escape observation." This proceeding in the council, your committee, in its natural order, and in a narrative chain of circumstantial proof, offered in evidence.—It was not permitted to be read—and on the 20th. and 21st of May, 1789, we were told, from the woolsack, "that when a paper is not evidence by itself," (such this part of the consultation it seems was reputed,) "a party who wishes to introduce a paper of that kind is called upon not only to state, but to make out on proof, the whole of the grounds upon which he proceeds to make that paper proper evidence.-That the evidence that is produced must be the demeanour of the party respecting that paper: and it is the connexion

between them, as material to the charge depending, that will enable them to be produced"

Your committee observes, that this was not a paper foreign to the prisoner, and sent to him as a letter, the receipt of which, and his conduct thereon, were to be brought home to him, to infer his guilt from his demeanour. It was an office document of his own department, concerning himself, and kept by officers of his own, and by himself transmitted, as we have said, to the court of directors. Its proof was in the record. The charge made against him, and his demeanour on being acquainted with it, were not in separate evidence. They all lay together, and composed a connected narrative

of the business, authenticated by himself
In this case it seems to your committee extremely irregular and preposterous to demand previous and extraneous

ation of the evidence would be on the issue of the cause, be fore its production

The doctrine so laid down, demands that every several circumstance should in itself be conclusive, or at least should afford a violent presumption. It must, we were told, without question, be material to the charge depending but, as we conceive, its materiality, more or less, is not in the first instance to be established. To make it admissible, it is enough

conceive, its materianty, more or less, it not in the instantance to be established. To make it admissible, it is enough I gave proof, or to raise a legal inference, of its connexion both with the charge depending, and the person of the party charged, where it does not appear on the face of the evidence offered. Besides, by this new doctries, the unternality required to be shown must be decided from a consideration, not of the whole circumstance, but, in truth, of one half of the circumstance of a demenour, unconnected with, and unexplained by, that on which it arose, though the connexion between the demeanour of the party and the paper is that which must be shown to be material. Your committee, after all they have heard, is yet to learn how the full force and effect of any demeanour, as evidence of guile or innocence, can be known, unless it be also fully known to what that demeanour applied, unless when a person did or said anything it be

known, not generally and abstractedly, that a paper was read to him, but particularly and specifically what were the contents of that paper: Whether they were matters lightly or weightily alleged; within the power of the party accused to have confuted on the spot, if false; or such as, though he might have denied, he could not instantly have disproved. The doctrine appeared, and still appears, to your committee to be totally abhorrent from the genius of circumstantial evidence, and mischievously subversive of its use. We did, however, offer that extraneous proof which was demanded of us; but it was refused, as well as the office document.

Your committee thought themselves the more bound to contend for every mode of evidence to the intention; because in many of the cases the gross fact was admitted, and the prisoner and his counsel set up pretences of public necessity and public service for his justification. No way lay open for rebutting this justification, but by bringing out all the cir-

cumstances attendant on the transaction.

## ORDER AND TIME OF PRODUCING EVIDENCE.

Your committee found great impediment in the production of evidence, not only on account of the general doctrines supposed to exist concerning its inadmissibility, drawn from its own alleged natural incompetency, or from its inapplicability under the pleading of the impeachment of this House; but also from the mode of proceeding in bringing it forward. Here evidence which we thought necessary to the elucidation of the cause was not suffered, upon the supposed rules of examination in chief, and cross examination—and on supposed rules, forming a distinction between evidence originally produced on the charge, and evidence offered on the reply.

On all these your committee observes in general, that if the rules, which respect the substance of the evidence, are (as the great lawyers on whose authority we stand assert they are) no more than rules of convenience, much more are those subordinate rules, which regard the order, the manner, and the time of the arrangement. These are purely arbitrary; without the least reference to any fixed principle in the nature of things, or to any settled maxim of jurisprudence, and consequently are variable at every instant, as the

conveniences of the cause may require.

We admit, that in the order of mere arrangement there is a difference between examination of witnesses in chief, and cross examination, and that in general these several parts are properly cast, according to the situation of the parties in the cause, but there neither is nor can be any precise rule to discriminate the exact bounds between examination and cross examination So, as to time, there is necessarily some limit, but a limit hard to fix The only one which can be fixed with any tolerable degree of precision, is, when the judge, after fully hearing all parties, is to consider of his verdict or his sentence Whilst the cause continues under hearing in any shape, or in any stage of the process, it is the duty of the judge to receive every offer of evidence, apparently material, suggested to him, though the parties themselves, through negligence, ignorance, or corrupt collusion, should not bring it forward A judge is not placed in that high situation merely as a passive instrument of parties. He has a duty of his own, independent of them, and that duty is to investigate the truth There may be no prosecutor -In our law a permanent prosecutor is not of necessity The crown prosecutor in criminal cases is a grand jury, and this is dissolved instantly on its findings and its presentments But if no prosecutor appears, (and it has happened more than once,) the cc the arraigns,

the arraigns, presents him and to act his be offered wi ...

Your committee is of opinion, that if it has happened that witnesses or other kinds of evidence have not been frequently produced after the closing of the prisoner's defence, or such evidence has not been in reply given, it has happened from the peculiar nature of our common judicial proceedings, in which all the matter of evidence must be presented, whilst the bodily force and the memory, or other mental faculties of men, can hold out. This does not exceed the compass of one natural day, or thereabouts, during that short space of time, new evidence very rarely occurs for production by any of the parties, because the nature of men, joined to the nature of the tribunals, and of the mode of trial at common law, (good and useful on the whole.)

prescribe limits which the mere principles of justice would of themselves never fix.

But in other courts, such as the court of Chancery, the courts of Admiralty Jurisdiction, (except in prize causes under the act of parliament,) and in the Ecclesiastical courts, wherein the trial is not by an enclosed jury, in all those courts such strait limits are not of course necessary: The cause is continued by many adjournments; as long as the trial lasts, new witnesses are examin-(P. a.) ed, (even after the regular stage,) for either party, on a special application to the sound discretion of the court, when the evidence offered is newly come to the knowledge or power of the party, and appears on the face of it to be material in the cause. Even ofter hearing, new witnesses have been examined, or former witnesses re-examined, not as the right of the parties,

Harrison's Practice of Chancery, vol. ii. p. 10. 1 ch. ca. 228. 1 ch. ca. 25. Oughton, Tit. 81, 82, 83. D. Tit. 116; Viner, Tit. Evidence,

cannot be supposed ignorant of the practice of the court of Chancery; and who sit to try appeals from the Admiralty and Ecclesiastical courts as delegates. But as criminal prosecutions, according to the forms of the civil and canon law, are neither many nor important in any court of this part of the kingdom, your committee thinks it right to state the undisputed principle of the imperial law, from the great writer on this subject before cited by us ;from Carpzovius. He says, "that a doubt has Carpz. Pract. arisen whether evidence being once given in a trial Part. III.

but ad informandam conscientiam judicis. All these things are not unfrequently in some, if not in all, of these courts, and perfectly known to the judges of Westminster Hall, who

on a public prosecution, (in processu inquisitorio,) Quest. 111. No. 13. and the witnesses being examined, it may be allowed to form other and new articles, and to produce new witnesses." Your committee must here observe, that the processus inquisitorius is that proceeding in which the prosecution is carried on in the name of the judge acting ex officio; from that duty of his office which is called the nobile officium judicis. For the judge under the imperial law possesses both those powers, the inquisitorial and the judicial, which in the high court of parliament are more aptly divided and exercised by the different Houses; and in this kind of process the House will see that Carpzovius couples the pro-

duction of new witnesses and the forming of new articles (the undoubted privilege of the Commons) as intimately and necessarily connected He then proceeds to solve the doubt - Certainly (says he) there are authors who deny that, after publication of the depositions, any new witnesses and proofs that can affect the prisoner ought to be received, which (says he) is true in a case where a private prosecutor has intervened, who produces the witnesses. But if the judge proceeds by way of inquisition ex office, then, even after the completion of the examination of witnesses against the prisoner, new witnesses may be received and examined, and on new grounds of suspicion arising, new articles may be formed according to the common opinion of the doctors, and as it is the most generally received, so it is most agree-able to reason" And in another chapter, rela-

Carpz Pract

tive to the ordinary criminal process by a private III Quest 106 prosecutor, he lays it down, on the authority of

Angelus, Bartolus, and others, that after the right of the party prosecuting is expired, the judge taking up the matter ex office may direct new witnesses and new proofs, even after publication Other passages from the same writer, and from others, might be added, but your committee trusts that what they have produced is sufficient to show the general principles of the imperial criminal law

Ъı o,

than to those of the common law

The accusation is brought into parliament at this very day by exhibiting articles, which, your committee is informed, is the regular mode of commencing a criminal prosecution, where the office of the judge is promoted in the civil and canon law courts of this country. The answer, again, is usually specific, both to the fact and the law alleged in each particular article, which is agreeable to the proceeding of the civil law, and not of the common law

Anciently the resemblance was much nearer and stronger Selden who was himself a great ornament of the common law and who was personally engaged in most of the impeachments of his time, has written expressly on the judicature in

parliament. In his fourth chapter, entitled, Of Witnesses, he lays down the practice of his time, as well as of ancient times, with respect to the proof by examination: and it is clearly a practice more similar to that of the civil than the common law. "The practice at this day (says he) is to swear the witnesses in open House, and then to examine them there, or at a committee, either upon interrogatories agreed upon in the House, or such as the committee in their discretion shall demand—thus it was in ancient times, as shall appear by the precedents, so many as they are, they being very sparing to record those ceremonies, which I shall briefly recite, I then add those of later times."

Accordingly, in times so late as those of the 22 Jac. I. trial of Lord Middlesex, upon an impeachment 1621. of the Commons, the whole course of the proceeding, especially in the mode of adducing the evidence, was in a manner the same as in the civil law: Depositions were taken, and publication regularly passed; and on the trial of Lord Strafford, both modes pointed out by Selden seem to have been indifferently used.

It follows, therefore, that this high court (bound by none of their rules) has a liberty to adopt the methods of any of the legal courts of the kingdom at its discretion; and in sound discretion it ought to adopt those which bear the nearest resemblance to its own constitution, to its own procedure, and to its exigencies in the promotion of justice. There are conveniencies and inconveniencies both in the shorter and the longer mode of trial. But to bring the methods observed (if such are in fact observed) in the former, only from necessity, into the latter, by choice, is to load it with the inconveniency of both, without the advantages of either. chief benefit of any process, which admits of adjournments, is, that it may afford means of fuller information and more mature deliberation.—If neither of the parties have a strict right to it, yet the court or the jury, as the case may be. ought to demand it.

Your committee is of opinion, that all rules relative to laches or neglects in a party to the suit, which may cause nonsuit on the one hand, or judgment by default on the other, all things, which cause the party cadere in jure, ought not to be adhered to in the utmost rigour, even in civil cases;

Morris v Pugh and others Burrow, D 1243 also Burrow. Dickson v Fisher Alder y Chip Grey w Smythaes Blackstone s Reports. from the same judge and proceeding on the same prin

but still less ought that spirit, which takes advantage of lapses and failures, on either part, to be suffered to govern in causes criminal "Judges ought to lean against every attempt to nonsuit a plaintiff on objections which have no relation to the real ments It is unconscionable in a defendant to take advantage of the apices litigandi, -against such objections, every possible presumption ::

well as directly, the cause may fail, upon any other principles than those of its merits. He thinks that all the resources of ingenuity ought to be employed to baffle chicane, not to support it The case in which Lord Mans-

field has delivered this sentiment is merely a civil case civil causes of meum et tuum, it imports little to the commonwealth whether Titus or Mavius profits of a legacy, or whether John a Nokes or John a Stiles is seised of the manor of Dale For which reason, in many cases, the private interests of men are left by courts to suffer by their own neglects, and their own want of vigilance, as their fortunes are permitted to suffer from the same causes in all the concerns of common life But in crimes where the prosecution is on the part of the public, (as all criminal prosecutions are, except appeals,) the public prosecutor ought not to be considered such

prisoner a cause the state that the prisoner may lose life, which all the On wealth and power of all the states in the world cannot re-Undoubtedly the state ought not to be store to him weighed against justice, but it would be dreadful indeed if causes of such importance should be sacrificed to petty regulations, of mere secondary convenience, not at all adapted to such concerns, nor even made with a view to their existence Your committee readily adopts the opinion of the learned Ryder, that it would be better if there were no such rules,

than that there should be no exceptions to them

Hardwicke declared very properly, in the case of the Earl of Chesterfield against Sir Abraham Janson, "That political arguments, in the fullest sense of the word, as they concerned the government of a nation, Reports, vol. 1. must be, and always have been, of great weight Chesterfield v. in the consideration of this court. Though there be no dolus malus in contracts, with regard to other persons, yet if the rest of mankind are concerned as well as the parties, it may be properly said, it regards the public utility." Lord Hardwicke laid this down in a cause of meum et tuum, between party and party, where the public was concerned only remotely and in the example: not, as in this prosecution, when the political arguments are infinitely stronger, the crime relating, and in the most eminent degree relating, to the public.

One case has happened since the time which is limited by the order of the House for this report: It is so very important, that we think ourselves justified in submitting it to the House without delay. Your committee, on the supposed rules here alluded to, has been prevented from examining (as of right) a witness of importance in the case, and one on whose supposed knowledge of his most hidden transactions, the prisoner had himself, in all stages of this business, as the House well knows, endeavoured to raise presumptions in favour of his cause. Indeed it was his principal, if not only, justification, as to the intention, in many different acts of corruption charged upon him.—The witness to whom we allude is Mr. Larkins. This witness came from India after your committee had closed the evidence of this House, in chief; and could not be produced before the time of the reply. Your committee was not suffered to examine him; not, as they could find, on objections to the particular question, as improper, but upon some or other of the general grounds (as they believe) on which Mr. Hastings resisted any evidence from him. The party, after having resisted his production, on the next sitting day admitted him; and by consent he was examined: Your committee entered a protest on their minutes in favour of their right. Your committee contended, and do contend, that by the law of parliament, whilst the trial lasts, they have full right to call new evidence, as the circumstances may afford, and the

posture of the cause may demand it This right seems to have been asserted by the managers for the Commons, in the case of Lord Stafford-32 Cha II vol iii p 170 agers, in that case, claimed it as the right of the Commons to produce witnesses for the purpose of fortifying their former evidence -Their claim was admitted by the court It is an adjudged case in the law of parliament Your committee is well aware, that the notorious perjury and in famy of the witnesses in the trial of Lord Stafford, has been used to throw a shade of doubt and suspicion on all that was transacted on that occasion But there is no force in such an objection Your committee has no concern in the defence of these witnesses, nor of the Lords who found their verdict on such testimony, nor of the morality of those who produced it Much may be said to palliate errors on the part of the prosecutors and judges, from the heat of the times, arising from the great interest then agitated But it is plain, there may be perjury in witnesses, or even conspiracy unjustly to prosecute, without the least doubt of the legality and regularity of the proceedings in any part This is too obvious and too common to need argument or illustration The proceeding in Lord Stafford's case never has, for a hundred and fourteen years, either in the warm controversies of parties, or in the cool disquisitions of lawyers or historians, been questioned The perjury of the witnesses has been more doubted at some periods, than the regularity of the process has been at any period The learned lawyer who led for the Commons in that impeachment (Serjeant Maynard) had, near forty years before, taken a forward part in the great cause of the impeachment of Lord Strafford, and was, perhaps, of all men then in England, the most conversant in the law and usage of parlument Jones was one of the ablest lawyers of his age 'His colleagues were emment

In the trial of Lord Strafford, (which has attracted the attention of history more than any other, on account of the importance of the cause itself, the skill and learning of the prosecutors, and the emment abilities of the prisoner) after the prosecutors for the Commons had gone through their evidence on the articles, after the prisoner had also made his defence, either upon each severally, or upon each body of articles as they had been collected into one; and the managers had, in the same manner, replied; when previous to the general concluding reply of the prosecutors, the time of the general summing up (or re-collection as it was called) of the whole evidence on the part of Lord Strafford arrived, the managers produced new evidence. Your committee wishes to call the particular attention of the House to this case, as the contest between the parties did very nearly resemble the present; but, principally, because the sense of the Lords on the law of parliament, in its proceedings with regard to the reception of evidence, is there distinctly laid down: So is the report of the judges relative to the usage of the courts below, full of equity and reason, and in perfect conformity with the right for which we contended in favour of the public, and in favour of the court of Peers itself. is as follows. Your committee gives it at large:

"After this, the lord steward adjourned this Lords' Jour-House to Westminster Hall; and the Peers benals, 17 Ch. I. Die Sabbat ing all set there in their places, the lord steward videlicet 10 commanded the lieutenant of the Tower to bring Aprills. forth the Earl of Strafford to the bar; which being done, the lord steward signified, that both sides might make a recollection of their evidence, and the Earl of Strafford to be-

gin first.

"Hereupon Mr. Glynn desired, that before the Earl of Strafford began, that the Commons might produce two witnesses to the fifteenth and twenty-third articles, to prove that there be two men whose names are Berne; and so a mistake will be made clear. The Earl of Strafford desired, that no new witnesses may be admitted against him, unless he might be permitted to produce witnesses on his part likewise; which the Commons consented to, so the Earl of Strafford would confine himself to those articles upon which he nade reservations; but he not agreeing to that, and the Commons insisting upon it, the House was adjourned to the usual place above, to consider of it; and after some debate, their lordships thought it fit; That the members of the Commons go on in producing new witnesses, as they shall think fit, to the fifteenth and twenty-third articles; and that the Earl of Strafford may presently produce such witnesses as are present; and such as are not, to name them presently,

and to proceed on Monday next; and also, if the Commons and Earl of Strafford will proceed upon any other articles, upon new matter, they are to name the vitnesses and articles on both sides presently, and to proceed on Monday next; but both sides may waive it if they will The lord stewardadjourned this House to Westminster Hall; and, being

· Bis in original! fortify with new witnesses, \* to which the Conmons not assenting, and for other scruples which did arise in the case, one of the Peers did desire that the House might be adjourned, to consider further of the particulars Hereupon the lord steward adjourned the House to the usual place above The Lords, being come up into the House, fell into debate of the business; and for the better informing of their judgments what was the course and common justice of the kingdom, propounded this question to the judges, Whether it be according to the course of practice and common justice, before the judges in their several courts, for the prosecutors in behalf of the king, during the time of trial to produce witnesses to discover the truth, and whether the prisoner may not do the like? The lord chief justice delivered this, as the unanimous opinions of himself and all the rest of the judges. That, according to the course of practice, and common justice, before them in their several courts, apon trial by jury, as long as the prisoner is at the bar, and the jury not sent away, either side may give their evidence, and examine witnesses to discover truth, and this is all the opinion

the kingdom, that they, being prosecutors for the king, may bring any new proofs by witnesses during the time of the evidence being not fully concluded, The Lords, being judges,

and so equal to them and the prisoner, conceived this their desire to be just and reasonable; and also that, by the same common justice, the prisoner may use the same liberty; and that, to avoid any occasions of delay, the Lords thought fit that the articles and witnesses be presently named, and such as may be presently produced to be used presently; and no further time to be given. The lord steward was to let them know, that if they will on both sides waive the use of new witnesses, they may proceed to the re-collection of their evidence on both sides; if both sides will not waive it, then the lord steward is to read the precedent order; and, if they will not proceed then, this House is to adjourn and rise."

By this it will appear to the House, how much this exclusion of evidence, brought for the discovery of truth, is unsupported either by parliamentary precedent, or by the rule as understood in the common law courts below; and your committee (protesting however against being bound by any of the technical rules of inferior courts) thought and think they had a right to see such a body of precedents and arguments for the rejection of evidence during trial, in some court or other, before they were in this matter stopped and concluded.

Your committee has not been able to examine every criminal trial in the voluminous collection of the state trials, or elsewhere; but having referred to the most laborious compiler of law and equity, Mr. Viner, who has allotted a whole volume to the title of evidence, we find but one ruled case in a trial at common law, before or since, where new evidence for the discovery of truth has been rejected, as not being in due time. "A privy verdict had been given in B. R. 14 Eliz. for the defendant, but Anno 24 Eliz. afterwards before the inquest gave their verdict apud Viner Evid. p. 60.

more evidence to the jury, he having (as it seemed) discovered that the jury had found against him, but the justices would not admit him to do so: but after that Southcote, J. had been in C. B. to ask the opinion of the justices there, they took the verdict." In this case the offer of new evidence was not during the trial. The trial was over. The verdict was actually delivered to the judge. There was also an appearance that the discovery of the actual finding had suggested to the plaintiff the production of new evidence—

kingdom

yet it appeared to the judges so strong a measure to refuse evidence, whilst any, even formal, appearance remained that the trial was not closed, that they sent a judge from the bench into the Common Pleas to obtain the opinion of their brothren there, before they could venture to take upon them to consider the time for production of evidence as elapsed The case of refusal, taken with its circumstances, is full as strong an example in favour of the report of the judges in Lord Strafford s case, as any precedent of admittance can be The researches of your committee not having vol iv p 508 furnished them with any cases in which evidence has been rejected during the trial, as being out of time, we have found some instances in which it has been actually received, and received not to repel any new matter in the prisoner's defence-but when the prisoner had called all his witnesses, and thereby closed his defence. A remarkable in stance occurred on the trial of Harrison, for the murder of Dr Clenche The justices who tried the cause, (viz ) Lord Chief Justice Holt, and the Justices Atkins and Nevil, admitted the proscentor to call new evidence, for no other reason but that a new witness was then come into court, who had not been in court before These justices apparently were of the same opinion on this point with the justices who gave their opinion in the case of Lord Strafford Your committee on this point, as any authority for the decisio law of parliament, or in the ...

## PRACTICE BELOW

Your committee not having learned that the resolutions of the judges (by which the Lords have been guided) were supported by any authority in law to which they could have access, have heard by rumour, that they have been justified upon the mary trials by commission o any legal precision your committee apprehends it must mean—that the judge in those eriminal trials has so regularly rejected a certun kind of sudence when offered there, that it is to be regarded in the light of a case frequently determined by legal authority. If such had

been discovered, though your committee never could have allowed these precedents as rules for the guidance of the high court of parliament, yet they should not be surprised to see the inferior judges forming their opinions on their own confined practice. Your committee, in their inquiry, has found comparatively few reports of criminal trials, except the collection under the title of State Trials, a book compiled from materials of very various authority, and in none of those which we have seen is there, as appears to us, a single example of the rejection of evidence, similar to that rejected by the advice of the judges in the House of Lords. Neither, if such examples did exist, could your committee allow them to apply directly and necessarily as a measure of reason to the proceedings of a court constituted so very differently from those in which the common law is administered. In the trials below, the judges decide on the competency of the evidence before it goes to the jury, and (under the correctives in the use of their discretion stated before in this report) with great propriety and wisdom. Juries are taken promiscuously from the mass of the people; they are composed of men who in many instances, in most perhaps, never were concerned in any causes, judicially or otherwise, before the time of their service. They have generally no previous preparation or possible knowledge of the matters to be tried, or what is applicable or inapplicable to them; and they decide in a space of time too short for any nice or critical dis-The judges, therefore, of necessity, must forestall the evidence where there is a doubt on its competence, and indeed observe much on its credibility, or the most dreadful consequences might follow. The institution of juries, if not thus qualified, could not exist. Lord Mansfield makes the same observation with regard to another corrective of the short mode of trial—that of a new trial.

This is the law, and this its policy. The jury are not to decide on the competency of witnesses, or of any other kind of evidence, in any way whatsoever. Nothing of that kind can come before them. But the Lords in the high court of parliament are not, either actually or virtually, a jury. No legal power is interposed between them and evidence; they are themselves by law fully and exclusively equal to it. They are persons of high rank, generally of the best education, and of

sufficent knowledge of the world, and they are a permanent, a settled, a corporate, and not an occasional and transtory Judicature. But it is to be feared, that the authority of the judges (in the case of juries legal) may, from that example, weigh with the Lords further than its reason, or its applicability to the judicial capacity of the Peers, can support. It is to be feared, that if the Lords should think themselves bound implicitly to submit to this authority, that at leight they may come to think themselves to be no better than juriors, and may virtually consent to a partition of that judicature, which the law has left to them whole, supreme, uncontrolled, and final

This final and independent judicature, because it is final and independent, ought to be very cautious with regard to the rejection of evidence If incompetent evidence is received by them, there is nothing to hinder their judging upon it afterwards according to its value It may have no weight in their judgment, but if, upon advice of others, they previously reject information necessary to their proper judgment, they have no intermediate means of setting themselves right, and they injure the cause of justice without any remedy Against errors of juries there is remedy by a new trial, against errors of judges there is remedy, in civil causes, by demurrer and bills of exceptions, against their final mistake there is remedy by writ of error, in courts of common law In Chancery there is a remedy by appeal If they wilfully err in the rejection of evidence there was formerly the terror existing of punishment by impeachment of the Commons ,but with regard to the Lords, there is no remedy for error, no punishment for a wilful wrong

Your committee conceives it not improbable, that this apparently total and unreserved submission of the Lords to the dictates of the judges of the inferior courts (no proper judges in any light, or in any degree, of the law of parliament) may be owing to the very few causes of original jurisdiction, and the great multitude of those of appellate jurisdiction which come before them. In cases of appeal or of

but by the rules of the inferior court from whence the ap-

peal comes; for the fault or the mistake of the inferior judge is that he has not proceeded as he ought to do according to the law which he was to administer; and the correction, if such shall take place, is to compel the court from whence the appeal comes, to act as originally it ought to have acted according to law, as the law ought to have been understood and practised in that tribunal. The Lords, in such cases of necessity, judge on the grounds of the law, and practice of the courts below; and this they can very rarely learn with precision, but from the body of the judges. Of course much deference is, and ought to be, had to their opinions. But by this means a confusion may arise (if not well guarded against) between what they do in their appellate jurisdiction, which is frequent, and what they ought to do in their original jurisdiction, which is rare; and by this the whole original jurisdiction of the Peers, and the whole law and usage of parliament, at least in their virtue and spirit, may be considerably impaired.

After having thus submitted to the House the general tenor of the proceedings in this trial, your committee will, with all convenient speed, lay before the House the proceedings on each head of evidence separately, which has been rejected; and this they hope will put the House more perfectly in possession of the principal causes of the length of this trial, as well as of the injury which parliamentary justice

may, in their opinion, suffer from those proceedings.

30th April, 1794.

### APPENDIX.

### T

## IN THE CASE OF EARL FERRERS

### April 17th, 1760

Poster's Crown Law, Page 138 Fo Ed t

The House of Peers unanimously found Earl Ferrers guilty of the felony and murder whereof he stood indicted, and the Earl being brought to the bar, the high steward acquainted him

Pa. 139 the but, the high steward adquamed him therewith, and the House immediately adjourned to the chamber of parliament. And having put the following question to the judges, adjourned to the next day

"Supposing a peer, so indicted and connected, ought by law to receive judgment as aforesaid, and the day appointed by the judgment for execution should lapse before such execution done, whether a new time may be appointed for the execution and by whom?"

On the cipateenth, the House then sitting in the chamber

of parliament, the lord chief baron, in the absence of the chief justice of the Common Pleas, delivered, in writing, the opinion of the judges, which they had agreed on and reduced into form that morning His lordship added many weighty reasons in support of the opinion, which he urged with great strength and propriety, and delivered with a becoming dignity

### TO THE SECOND QUESTION

"Supposing the day appointed by the judgment for execution should lapse before such execution done, (which, however, the law will not presume,) we are all of opinion, that a new time may be appointed for the execution, either by the back out of preliment before which such per shall have The reasons upon which the judges founded their answer to the question relating to the further proceedings of the House after the high steward's commission dissolved, which is usually done upon pronouncing judgment, may possibly require some further discussion. I will, therefore, before I conclude, mention those which weighed with me, and, I believe, with many others of the judges.

## REASONS, &c.

Every proceeding in the House of Peers acting in its judicial capacity, whether upon writ of Crown Law, error, impeachment, or indictment, removed thither by certiorari, is in judgment of law a proceeding before the king in parliament: And therefore the House, in all those cases, may not improperly be styled, The court of our lord the king in parliament. This court is founded upon immemorial usage, upon the law and custom of parliament, and is part of the original system of our con-It is open for all the purposes of judicature during the continuance of the parliament: It openeth at the beginning and shutteth at the end of every session; just as the court of King's Bench, which is likewise in judgment of law held before the king himself, openeth and shutteth with the term. The authority of this court, or, if I may use the expression, its constant activity for the ends of public justice, independent of any special powers derived from the Crown, is not doubted in the case of writs of error from those courts of law whence error lieth in parliament, and of impeachments for misdemeanours.

It was formerly doubted, whether, in the case of an impeachment for treason, and in the case of an indictment against a peer for any capital crime, removed into parliament by certiorari, whether in these cases the court can proceed to trial and judgment, without a high steward, appointed by special commission from the Crown. This doubt seemeth to have arisen from the not distinguishing between a proceeding in the court of the high steward, and that before the king in parliament. The name, style, and title of office is the same in both cases; but the office, the powers and pre-eminences annexed to it, differ very widely; and so doth the constitution of the courts where the offices are executed. The

equivocal words often do, if the nature of things is not at tended to, but the nature of the offices, properly stated, will I hope remove every doubt on these points In the court of the high steward, he alone is judge in all points of law and practice, the Peers triers are merely judges of fact, and are summoned by virtue of a precept from the high steward, to appear before him on the day appointed by him for the trial, Ut Rei Veritas melius sciri poterit The high steward's commission, after reciting that indictment hath been found against the peer by the grand jury of the proper country, impowereth him to send for the indictment, to convene the prisoner before him, at such day and place as he shall appoint, then and there to hear and determine the matter of such indictment, cause the Peers triers tot et tales, per quos Rei Veritas melius sciri poterit, at the same day and place to appear before him, Veritateque inde comperta, to proceed to judgment according to the law and custom of England, and thereupon to award execution 1 By this it is plain that the sole right of judicature is in cases of this kind vested in the high steward that it resideth solely in the person, and consequently without the commission, which is but in nature of a commission of Over and Terminer, no one step can be taken in order to a trial, and that when his commission is dissolved, which he declareth by breaking his staff, the

court no longer existeth But in a trial of a peer in full parhament, or, to speak with legal precision, before the king in parliament, for a capital offence, whether upon impeachment or indictment, the case is quite otherwise every peer present at the trial, and every temporal peer hath a right to be present in every part of the proceeding, voteth upon every question of law and fact, and the question is carried by the major vote, the high steward himself voting merely as a peer and member of that court, in common with the rest of the Peers, and in no other right

It hath indeed been usual, and very expedient it is, in point of order and regularity, and for the solemnity of the proceeding, to appoint an officer for presiding during the time

See Lord Clarendon's commission as high steward and the writs and precepts preparatory to the trial, in Lord Morley's case VII St. Tri

of the trial, and until judgment, and to give him the style and title of steward of England; but this maketh no sort of alteration in the constitution of the court; it is the same court founded in immemorial usage, in the law and custom of parliament, whether such appointment be made or not. It acteth in its judicial capacity in every order made touching the time and place of the trial, the postponing the trial from time to time upon petition, according to the nature and circumstances of the case, the allowance or non-allowance of counsel to the prisoner, and other matters relative to the trial; and all this before a high steward hath been appointed. And so little was it apprehended, in some cases which I shall mention presently, that the existence of the court depended on the appointment of a high steward, that the court itself directed in what manner, and by what form of words, he should be appointed. It hath likewise received and recorded the prisoner's confession, which amounteth to a conviction, before the appointment of a high steward; and hath allowed to prisoners the benefit of acts of general pardon, where they appeared entitled to it, as well with the appointment of a high steward, as after his commission dis-And when, in the case of impeachments, the Commons have sometimes, at conferences between the Houses, attempted to interpose in matters preparatory to the trial, the general answer hath been, "This is a point of judicature upon which the Lords will not confer; they impose silence upon themselves," or to that effect. I need not here cite instances; every man who hath consulted the journals of either House hath met with many of them.

I will now cite a few cases, applicable, in my opinion, to the present question. And I shall confine myself to such as have happened since the restoration; because, in questions of this kind, modern cases, settled with deliberation, and upon a view of former precedents, give more light and satisfaction than the deepest search into antiquity can afford. And also because the prerogatives of the Crown, the privileges of parliament, and the rights of the subject in general, appear to have been more studied, and better understood, at and for some years before that period, than in former ages.

vol. vi. 2 i

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See the orders previous to the trial, in the cases of the Lords Kilmarnock, &c., and Lord Lovat, and many other modern cases.

Lords Journ Poppish lords then under impeachments, the Lords, on the 6th of May, 1769, appointed time and place for hearing the Earl of Dauby, by his counsel, upon the validity of his plea of pardon, and for the trails of the other lords, and voted an address to his Majesty, praying that he would be pleased to appoint a high steward for those purposes These votes were on the next day communicated to the Commons by message in the usual manner On the 8th at the conference between the Houses, upon the subject matter of that message, the Commons expressed themselves to the following effect "They cannot

lordships to address hi

termining the validity o by the Earl of Danby, as also for the trial of the other fire lords, because they conceive the constituting a high steward is not neces

ment upon concluded w

tion or delay, ..

ated, to consider of the most proper ways and methods of proceeding This proposition the House of Peers after a long debate, rejected Dissententibus Finch 'chancellor, and many other lords However, on the 11th the Commons' proposition of the 8th was, upon a second debate, agreed to, and the lord chancellor, lord president, and ten other lords, were named of the committee, to meet and confer with a committee of the Commons The next day the lord president reported That the committees of both Houses met that

as the other Lords, this changeth not the nature of the court And the Lords declared they have power enough to proceed to trial, though the hing should not name a high steward <sup>2</sup>

Afterwards Earl of Notingham f. in the Commons journal of the 15th of May it standeth thus Their lordships further declare to the committee, that a lord high steward was

That this seemed to be a satisfaction to the Commons, provided it was entered in the Lords' journals, which are records." Accordingly, on the same day, "It is declared and ordered, by the Lords spiritual and temporal in parliament assembled, that the office of a high steward upon trials of peers upon im-peachmen's, is not necessary to the House of Peers; but that the Lords may proceed in such trials if a high steward be not appointed according to their humble desire." On the 13th the lord president reported, That the committees of both Houses had met that morning, and discoursed, in the first place, on the matter of a lord high steward, and had perused former commissions for the office of high steward; and then, putting the House in mind of the order and resolution of the preceding day, proposed from the committees that a new commission might issue, so as the words in the commission may be thus changed, viz. Instead of Ac pro eo quòd officium Seneschalli Anglia (cujus præsentia in hac parte requiritur) ut accepimus jam vacat, may be inserted, Ac pro eo quòd proceres et magnates in parliamento nostro assemblati, nobis humiliter supplicaverunt ut Seneschallum Angliæ pro hac vice constituere dignaremur; to which the House agreed.2

It must be admitted that precedents drawn from times of ferment and jealousy, as these were, lose much of their weight, since passion and party prejudice generally mingle in the contest; yet let it be remembered, that these are resolutions in which both Houses concurred, and in which the rights of both were thought to be very nearly concerned; the Commons' right of impeaching with effect, and the whole judica-

made hac vice only. That notwithstanding the making of a lord high steward the court remained the same, and was not thereby altered, but still remained the court of Peers in parliament. That the lord high steward was but as a speaker or chairman, for the more orderly proceeding at the trials.

This resolution my lord chief baron referred to and cited in his argument upon the second question proposed to the judges, which is before

stated.

<sup>2</sup> This amendment arose from an exception taken to the commission by the committee for the Commons, which, as it then stood, did in their opinion imply that the constituting a lord high steward was necessary. Whereupon it was agreed by the whole committee of Lords and Commons, that the commission should be recalled, and a new commission, according to the said amendment, issued, to bear date after the order and resolution of the 12th (Commons' Journal of the 15th of May).

ture of the Lords in capital cases
of a high steward was admitted to be of absolute necessity,
(however necessary it may be for the regularity and solemity
i until judgment, which
i may, for a reason too

Judicature of the Lords, in all capital cases, nugatory

his kind, not at that juncture guard against everything from h steward in the case of an im-

peachment might be inferred, that the Commons proposed, and the Lords readily agreed to, the amendment in the steward's commission, which I have already stated And it hath, I confess, great weight with me, that this amendment, which was at the same time directed in the cases of the fire Popish lords, when commissions should pass for their trials, hath taken place, in every commission upon impeachments for treason, since that time! And I cannot help remarking, that in the case of Lord Lovat, when neither the heat of the times, nor the jealousy of parties, had any share in the proceeding, the House ordered, "That the commission for appointing a lord high steward shall be in the like form as that for the trial of the Lord Viscount Stafford, as entered in the journal of this House, on the 30th of November, 1680, except that the same shall be in the English language"."

I will make a short observation on this matter

The order, on the 13th of May, 1679, for varying the form of the commission, was, as appeareth by the journal, planly made in consequence of the resolution of the 12th, and was founded on it, and consequently the constant unvarying practice with regard to the new form goeth, in my opinion, a great way towards showing, that in the sense of all succeeding times, that resolution was not the result of faction, or a blameable sound reason and true policy the 12th of May, a proceeding upon impeachment. The letter of the resolution, it is ad-

February, 1716)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See in the State Trials, the commissions in the cases of the Earl of Oxford, Earl of Derwentwater, and others—Lord Winton and Lord Lovat.

<sup>2</sup> See the proceedings printed by order of the House of Lords (4th

mitted, goeth no further; but this is easily accounted for: A proceeding by impeachment was the subject matter of the conference, and the Commons had no pretence to interpose in any other. But what say the Lords? The high steward is but as a speaker or chairman, pro tempore, for the more orderly proceedings at the trials; the appointment of him doth not alter the nature of the court, which still remaineth the court of the Peers in parliament. From these premises they draw the conclusion I have mentioned. Are not these premises equally true in the case of a proceeding upon indictment?—They undoubtedly are.

It must likewise be admitted, that in the proceeding upon indictment, the high steward's commission hath never varied from the ancient form in such cases. The words objected to by the Commons, Ac pro eo quòd officium Seneschalli Angliæ (cujus præsentia in hac parte requiritur) ut accepimus jam vacat, are still retained; but this proveth no more than that the great seal, having no authority to vary in point of form, hath from time to time very prudently followed ancient pre-

cedents.

I have already stated the substance of the commission, in a proceeding in the court of the high steward. I will now state the substance of that in a proceeding in the court of the Peers in parliament. And shall make use of that in the case of the Earl of Kilmarnock and others, as being the latest; and, in point of form, agreeing with the former precedents. The commission, after reciting that William Earl of Kilmarnock, &c. stand indicted before commissioners of gaol delivery, in the county of Surrey, for high treason, in levying war against the king; and that the king intendeth that the said William Earl of Kilmarnock, &c. shall be heard, examined, sentenced, and adjudged before himself, in this present parliament, touching the said treason, and for that the office of steward of Great Britain (whose presence is required upon this occasion) is now vacant, as we are informed, appointeth the then lord chancellor steward of Great Britain, to bear, execute, and exercise (for this time) the said office, with all things due and belonging to the same office, in that behalf.

What, therefore, are the things due and belonging to the office in a case of this kind? Not as in the court of the high steward, a right of judicature; for the commission itself

supposeth that right to reside in a court then subsisting before the king in parliament The parties are to be there heard, sentenced, and adjudged What share in the proceeding doth the high steward then take? By the practice and usage of the court of the Peers in parliament he giveth his vote as a member thereof with the rest of the Peers, but for the sake of regularity and order, he presideth during

judgment, and in no other Herein I see no difference between the case of an impeachment and of an indictment say during the time of the trial, and until judgment, because the court hath, as I observed before, from time to time done various acts, plainly judicial, before the appointment of a high steward, and where no high steward hath ever been appointed, and even after the commission dissolved. I will to this purpose cite a few cases

I begin with the latest, because they are the latest, and were ruled with great deliberation, and, for the most part, upon a view of former precedents In the case of the Earl of Kilmarnock and others, the Lords, on the 24th of June, 1746, ordered, that a writ or writs of certiorari be issued for removing the indictments before the House, and on the 26th the writ, which is made returnable before the king in parliament, with the return and indictments, was received and read On the next day, upon the report of the Lords' committees, that they had been attended by the two chief justices, and chief baron, and had heard them, touching the construction of the Act of the 7th and 8th of King William, "For regulating trials in cases of high treason and misprision of treason," the House, upon reading the report, came to several resolutions, founded for the most part on the construction of that Act What that construction was, appeareth from the lord high steward's address to the prisoners, just before their arraignment Having mentioned that Act, as one happy consequence of the revolution, he addeth, "However injuriously that revolution hath been traduced, whatever attempts have been made to subvert this happy establishment founded on it, your lordships will now have the benefit of that in its full extent "

I need not, after this, mention any other judicial acts done by the House in this case, before the appointment of the high steward—many there are. For, the putting a construction upon an act relative to the conduct of the court, and the right of the subject at the trial, and in the proceedings preparatory to it, and this in a case entirely new, and upon a point, to say no more in this place, not extremely clear, was undoubtedly an exercise of authority proper only for a court having full cognizance of the cause.

I will not minutely enumerate the several orders made preparatory to the trial of Lord Lovat, and in the several cases I shall have occasion to mention, touching the time and place of the trial, the allowance or non-allowance of counsel, and other matters of the like kind, all plainly judicial, because the like orders occur in all the cases where a journal of the preparatory steps hath been published by order of the Peers. With regard to Lord Lovat's case, I think the order directing the form of the high steward's commission, which I have already taken notice of, is not very consistent with the idea of a court whose powers can be supposed to depend, at any point of time, upon the existence or dissolution of that commission.

In the case of the Earl of Derwentwater, and the other Lords impeached at the same time, the House received and recorded the confessions of those of them who pleaded guilty, long before the teste of the high steward's commission, which issued merely for the solemnity of giving judgment against them upon their conviction. This appeareth by the commission itself: It reciteth, that the Earl of Derwentwater, and others, Coram nobis in præsenti parliamento, had been impeached by the Commons for high treason, and had, Coram nobis in præsenti parliamento, pleaded guilty to that impeachment; and that the king, intending that the said Earl of Derwentwater and others, de et proditione unde ipsi ut præfertur impetit', accusit', et convict' existunt coram nobis in præsenti parliamento, secundum legem et consuetudinem hujus regni nostri Magnæ Britanniæ, audientur, sententientur, et adjudicentur constituteth the then lord chancellor high steward (hac vice) to do and execute all things which to the office of high steward and in that behalf do belong. The receiving and recording the confession of the prisoners, which amounted to a conviction, so that nothing remained but proceeding to judgment, was certainly an exercise of judicial authority, which no assembly, how great soever, not having full cognizance of the cause, could exercise

see the countries of the Lords In the case of Lord Salisbury, who had been feet and the Lords Impeached by the Commons for high treason, the Lords, upon his petition, allowed him the benefit of the act of general pardon passed in the 2nd year of William and Mary, so far as to discharge him from his imprisonment upon a construction they put upon that Act, no high steward over having been appointed in that case On the 2nd of October, 1690, upon reading the Earl's petition, setting forth that he had been a prisoner for a year and nine months in

I ords

1688, and not in Ireland, or beyond the seas, he is pardoned Whereupon it was ordered, that he be admitted to bail, and the next day he and his sureties entered into a recognisance of bail, himself in £10,000 and two sureties in £5000 each, and on the 30th he and his sureties were, after a long debate, discharged from their recognisance. It will not be material to inquire, whether the House did right in discharging the Earl, without giving the Commons an opportunity of being heard, since, in fact, they claimed and exercised a right of judicature without a high steward—which is the only use I

them at the same time that judgment was given against the Lords Derwentwater, Nithsdale, and Kenmure, and judgment being given, the high steward immediately broke his staff, and declared the commission dissolved. They continued prisoners in the Tower under reprieves till the passing of the Act of general pardon, in the 3rd of King George Lords.

Lords Journals House being informed that these lords had severally entered into recognisances before one of the judges.

of the court of King's Bench, for their appearance in the House in this sessions of parliament; and that the Lords Carnwarth and Widdrington were attended accordingly; and that the Lord Nairn was ill at Bath, and could not then attend; the Lords Carnwarth and Widdrington were called; and severally at the bar prayed that their appearance might be recorded; likewise prayed the benefit of the Act for his Majesty's general and free pardon. Whereupon the House ordered, that their appearance be recorded, and that they attend again to-morrow, in order to plead the pardon. And the recognisance of the Lord Nairn was respited till that day fortnight. On the morrow the Lords Carnwarth and Widdrington, then attending, were called in; and the lord chancellor acquainted them severally, that it appeared by the records of the House, that they severally stood attainted of high treason; and asked them severally, what they had to say, why they should not be remanded to the Tower of London? Thereupon they severally, upon their knees, prayed the benefit of the Act, and that they might have their lives and liberty pursuant thereunto. And the attorney-general, who then attended for that purpose, declaring that he had objection, on his Majesty's behalf, to what was prayed, conceiving that those lords, not having made any escape since their conviction, were entitled to the benefit of the Act; the House, after reading the clause in the Act relating to that matter, agreed see Sect. 54 that they should be allowed the benefit of the of the 3 G. I. pardon, as to their lives and liberties; and discharged their recognisances, and gave them leave to depart without further

On the 6th of December following the like proceedings were had, and the like orders made, in the case of Lord Nairn. I observe, that the lord chancellor did not ask these lords what they had to say why execution should not be awarded. There was, it is probable, some little delicacy as to that point. But since the allowance of the benefit of the Act, as to life and liberty, which was all that was prayed, was an effectual bar to any future imprisonment on that account, and also to execution, and might have been pleaded as such in any court whatsoever; the whole proceeding must

be admitted to have been in a court having complete juris-

day given for their appearance.

diction in the case, notwithstanding the high steward's commission had been long dissolved—which is all the use I intended to make of this case

I will not recapitulate, the cases I have cited, and the conclusions drawn from them, are brought into a very narrow compass. I will only add, it would sound extremely harsh to say, that a court of criminal jurisdiction, founded in immemorial usage, and held in judgment of law before the lang himself, can in any event whatever be under an utter meapacity of proceeding to trial and judgment, either of condemnation or acquittal, the ultimate objects of every criminal proceeding, without certain supplemental powers derived from the Crown

These cases, with the observations I have made on them, I hope sufficiently warrant the opinion of the judges upon that part of the second question in the case of the late Earl Ferrers, which I have already mentioned And also what

that judgment was given, would subsist for all the purposes of justice during the sitting of the parliament." And consequently that in the case supposed by the question, that court might appoint a new day for the execution

## п

QUESTIONS referred by the Lords to the Judges, in the Impeachment of Warren Hastings, Esquire, and the ANSWERS of the Judges—Extracted from the Lords' Journals and Minutes

### FIRST

Questio amined in knowledge

for such prosecutor to pur a

a question containing the particulars of an answer supposed to have been made by such witness before a committee of the House of Commons, or in any other place; and by demanding of him whether the particulars so suggested were not the answer he had so made?

1788, February 29.—Pa. 418.

Answer.—The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges, upon the question of law put to them on Friday the 29th of February last, as follows—"That when a witness produced and examined in a criminal proceeding by a prosecutor disclaims all knowledge of any matter so interrogated, it is not competent for such prosecutor to pursue such examination, by proposing a question containing the particulars of an answer supposed to have been made by such witness before a committee of the House of Commons, or in any other place; and by demanding of him whether the particulars so suggested were not the answers he had so made."

1788, April 10.—Pa. 592.

## SECOND.

Question.—Whether it be competent for the managers to produce an examination taken without oath by the rest of the council in the absence of Mr. Hastings the governorgeneral, charging Mr. Hastings with corruptly receiving three lacks 54,105 rupees, which examination came to his knowledge, and was by him transmitted to the court of directors as a proceeding of the said councillors, in order to introduce the proof of his demeanour thereupon;—it being alleged by the managers for the Commons, that he took no steps to clear himself, in the opinion of the said directors, of the guilt thereby imputed, but that he took active means to prevent the examination by the said councillors of his servant Cantoo Baboo?

1789, May 14.—Pa. 677.

Answer.—The lord chief baron of the court of Exchéquer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges, upon the said question, in the negative—and gave his reasons.

1789, May 20.—Pa. 718.

#### THIRD

Question -Whether the instructions from the court of directors of the united company of merchants of England trading to the East Indies to Warren Hastings, Esquire, governor-general, Lieutenant-General John Clavering, the Honourable George Monson, Richard Barwell, Esquire, and Philip Francis, Esquire, councillors, constituted and appointed the governor general and council of the said united company's presidency of Fort William in Bengal, by an act of parliament passed in the last session, intituled, "An Act for establishing certain regulations for the better management of the affairs of the East India Company, as well in India as in Europe," of the 29th of March, 1774, Par 31. 32, and 35, the consultation of the 11th March, 1775, the consultation of the 13th of March, 1775, up to the time that Mr Hastings left the council, the consultation of the 20th of March, 1775, the letter written by Mr Hastings to the court of directors on the 25th of March, 1775-it being alleged that Mr Hastings took no steps to explain or defend his conduct-are sufficient to introduce the examination of Nundcomar or the proceedings of the rest of the councillors t the Mr nees ?

1789, May 21 —Pa 730

Answer —The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer

delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges, upon the said question, in the negative—and gave his reasons 1789, May 27—Pa 771

## TOURTH

Question —Whether the public accounts of the Nizamut and Bhela, under the seal of the Begum attested also by the Nabob, and transmitted by Mr Goring to the board of council at Calcutta, in a letter bearing date the 29th June, 1775, received by them, recorded without objection on the part of Mr Hastings, and transmitted by him likewise without objection to the court of directors, and alleged to contain accounts of money received by Mr Hastings, and it being in proof that Mr Hastings, on the 11th of May,

1778, moved the board to comply with the requisitions of the Nabob Mowbarek ul Dowla, to re-appoint the Munny Begum and Rajah Goordass (who made up those accounts) to the respective offices they before filled—and which was accordingly resolved by the board—ought to be read?

1789, June 17.—Pa. 855.

Answer.—The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges, upon the said question, in the negative—and gave his reasons.

1789, June 24.—Pa. 922.

## FIFTH.

Question.—Whether the paper delivered by Sir Elijah Impey, on the 7th of July, 1775, in the supreme court, to the secretary of the supreme council, in order to be transmitted to the council as the resolution of the court in respect to the claim made for Roy Radachurn, on account of his being Vakeel of the Nabob Mobarek ul Dowlah—and which paper was the subject of the deliberation of the council on the 31st July, 1775, Mr. Hastings being then present, and by them transmitted to the court of directors, as a ground for such instructions from the court of directors as the occasion might seem to require—may be admitted as evidence of the actual state and situation of the Nabob, with reference to the English government?

1789, July 2.—Pa. 1001.

Answer.—The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges, upon the said question, in the affirmative—and gave his reasons.

1789, July 7.—Pa. 1030.

## SIXTH.

Question.—Whether it be, or be not, competent to the managers for the Commons to give evidence upon the charge in the sixth article, to prove that the rent at which the defendant, Warren Hastings, let the lands mentioned in the said sixth article of charge, to Kelleram, fell into arrear and was deficient—and whether, if proof were offered that the rent fell into arrear immediately after the letting, the evidence would in that case be competent.

1790, April 22.—Pa. 364.

Answer —The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges upon the said question—"That it is not competent to the managers for the Commons to give evidence upon the charge in the sixth article, to prove that the rent at which the defendant, Warren Hastings, let the lands mentioned in the said sixth article of charge to Kellerum, fell into direcar, and was deficient"—and gave his reasons

### BEVENTH

Question — Whether it be competent for the managers for the Commons to put the following question to the withess upon the sixth article of charge, viz "What impression the letting of the lands to Kelleram and Cullian Sing made on the minds of the inhabitants of that country?"

1790, April 27 -Pa 391

Answer —The delivered the ur question—"Th...

question—"Th... Commons to put the following question to the witness, upon the sixth article of charge, viz. What impression the letting of the lands to Kelleram and Cullian Sing made on the minds of the inhabitants of that country "—and gave his reasons 1790, April 29—Pa 413

#### EIGHTH

Question — Whether it be competent to the managers for the Commons to put the following question to the witness, upon the seventh article of charge, viz "Whether more oppressions did actually exist under the new institution than under the old?" 1790, April 29 —Pa. 415

Answer —The lord chief haron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimi question, "That it is

Commons to put the the seventh article of

did actually exist under the new institution than cold "—and gave his reasons 1790, May 4—Pa 128

## NINTH.

Question.—Whether the letter of the 13th April, 1781, can be given in evidence by the managers for the Commons, to prove that the letter of the 5th of May, 1781, already given in evidence, relative to the abolition of the provincial council, and the subsequent appointment of the committee of revenue, was false in any other particular than that which is charged in the 7th article of charge?

1790, May 20.—Pa. 557.

Answer.—The lord chief baron of the court of Exchequer delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges upon the said question—"That it is not competent for the managers on the part of the Commons to give any evidence on the seventh article of impeachment, to prove that the letter of the 5th May, 1781, is false in any other particular than that wherein it is expressly charged to be false"—and gave his reasons.

1790, June 2.—Pa. 634.

## TENTH.

Question.—Whether it be competent to the managers for the Commons to examine the witness to any account of the debate which was had on the 9th day of July, 1778, previous to the written minutes that appear upon the consultation of that date?

1794, February 25.—Lords' Minutes.

Answer.—The lord chief justice of the court of Common Pleas delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges upon the said question—"That it is not competent to the managers for the Commons to examine the witness, Philip Francis, Esquire, to any account of the debate which was had on the 9th day of July, 1778, previous to the written minutes that appear upon the consultation of that date"—and gave his reasons.

1794, February 27.—Lords' Minutes.

### ELEVENTH.

Question.—Whether it is competent for the managers for the Commons, in reply, to ask the witness, whether, between the time of the original demand being made upon Cheit Sing, and the period of the witness's leaving Bengal, it was at any time in his power to have reversed or put a stop to the demand upon Chest Sing, the same not being relative to any matter originally given in evidence by the defendant?

1794, February 27—Lords' Minutes

Answer—The lord chief justice of the court of Common Pleas delivered the unanimous opinion of the judges upon the said question—"That it is not competent for the managers for the Commons to ask the witness, whether between the time of the original demand being made upon Chet Sing and the period of his leaving Bengal, it was at any time in his power to have reversed or put a stop to the demand upon Chett Sing, the same not being relative to any matter originally given in evidence by the defendant"—and gave his reasons.

1794. March 1—Lords' Minutes

#### TWELFTH

Question — Whether a paper, read in the court of directors on the 4th of November, 1783, and then referred by them to the consideration of the committee of the whole court, and again read in the court of directors on the 19th of November, 1783, and amended, and ordered by them to be published for the information of the proprietors, can be received in evidence, in reply, to rebut the evidence given by the defendant, of the thanks of the court of directors, signified to him on the 28th of June, 1785 1794, March 1—Lords' Minutes.

Answer — Whereupon the lord chief justice of the court of Common Pleas, having conferred with the rest of the judges present, delivered their unanimous opinion upon the said question, in the negative—and gave his reasons

1794, March I -Lords' Minutes

# INDEX.

'A BECKET, Thomas, vi. 356.

Abolition of negro slavery, v. 521.

Absentee tax, Irish, v. 437, objections to it, 438.

Accidental things ought to be carefully distinguished from permanent causes and effects, v. 153.

Account, capital use of, what, i. 362.

Act of Navigation, i. 401, 407.

Acts of Grace, impolicy of them, ii. 141; of indemnity and oblivion, probable effects of them as a means of reconciling France to a monarchy, iii. 450.

Addison, Mr., the correctness of his opinion of the cause of the grand effect of the rotund questioned, i. 102; his fine lines on honourable political connexions, i. 375.

Address (proposed) to the king, 1777, by members of parliament, v. 460; to the British colonists in North America, 476.

Administration, a short account of a late short one, i. 182; Duke of Cumberland's, in July, 1765, ib.; Lord Chatham's, July, 1766, ib.; Marquis of Rockingham's, 266, see Rockingham; state of public affairs at the time of its formation, 267; idea of it respecting America, 279; remarks on its foreign negotiations, 290; character of a united administra-! VOL. VI.

tion, 299; of a disunited one, ib.: should be correspondent to the legislature, 279.

Admiration, difference between this and love, i. 132; the first source of obedience, ii. 303; one of the principles which interest us in the characters of others, vi. 178.

Adrian, first contracts the bounds of the Roman empire, vi. 224.

Advice, compulsive, from constituents, its authority first resisted by Mr. Burke, iii. 26.

Adviser, duty of one, ii. 548.

Agricola, Julius, character and conduct of, vi. 213.

Aix, the Archbishop of, his offer of contribution why refused by the French National Assembly, ii. 392.

Aix-la-Chapelie, the treaty of, remarks on it, v. 302.

Alderman, the office of, among the Saxons, vi. 290.

Alfred, notices of, vi. 257; character and conduct of, 262; hiscare and sagacity in improving the laws and institutions of England, 418.

Allegiance, oath of, remarkable one taken by the nobility to King

Stephen, vi. 349,

Alliance, one of the requisites of a good peace, i. 203; the famous Triple Alliance negotiated by Temple and De Witt, v. 301;

2 м

alliance between Church and State in a Christian common wealth a fanciful speculation, vi 115

Alliances, Vattell on, in 460
Allies, the, remarks on their policy
in respect to France, in 1792, in
400, 410

1mbition, its effects on society, 1

cracy, 78, necessity and danger ous tendency of violent restraints on it, in 78, not an accurate cal culator, vi 140

America, trade of, relieved 1, 182, representation of discussed 260. trade of, 2,8, advantage of to England, 404, nature of various taxes there 247, eloquent de scription of the rising glories of, in vision 460, its rapidly in creasing commerce, 458, temper and character of its inhabitants 464, their spirit of liberty, whence 464 469, proposed tax ation of by grant, instead of im position 489, danger of estab lishing a military government there v 472, an European power, 290

AMERICAN COLONIES -

The measures adopted by Rockingham Administration concluste the Americans 1 It, want of attention to their concerns 260, taxation of, and its consequence, 269, power of the British legislature over, asserted 282, speech on American taxa tion, 283, effects of the tea tax, and reasons for its repeal, 400, policy of Lord Rockingham on the commercial regulations of

America, 412, reasons why England should not tax the colonies, 430 478, a determination to do so, the prime cause of the quarrel between the two countries. 482, great importance of adopt ing a liberal policy towards Ame rica, 451, basis of Mr Burke's plan of conciliation, 453, growing population of the colonies. 457, commercial importance of the colonies, 458, their agricul ture and fisheries, 461, objec tions to the use of military force for compelling obedience to ob jectionable laws, 463, n 12, causes of American love of liber ty, 1 464, available means of re pressing disaffection in the colo mes 472 six propositions for conciliating the Americans 489. objections to Lord North a plan of conciliation, 502 u 2, ad dress to the king on the conduct of the government towards the colonies, v 460

American Stamp Act i 189, re pealed, 276 282, its origin and progress, 270, reasons of the repeal political not commercial, 399 414, good effects of the re peal, 423.

American taxation, Burke s speech on, 1 382

Amherst, Sir Jeffrey, 1 194

Anacharsis Cloots, v 387

Ancestors, reverence due to them,

to

0

Animals proportion not the cause of beauty in 1 117, their cries capable of conveying great ideas, 1 110

Angle Saxons, vi 240

Anniversaries, festive, advantages of, in 397

Anselm appointed Archbishop of Canterbury, after the death of Lanfrant, vi 338, supports INDEX. 531

Henry I. against his brother Rebert, 342.

Apparitions, singular inconsistency in the ideas of the vulgar concerning them, vi. 200.

"Appeal from the New to the Old

Whigs," iii. 337.

Arbitrary power steals upon a people by lying dormant for a time, or by being rarely exercised, ii. 10.

Arcot. See Nabob of Arcot.

Arcopagus, court and senate of, remarks on them, ii. 477.

Ariesto, a criticism of Boileau on, vi. 181.

Aristocracy, affected terror of an extension of power by, in the reign of George II., i. 322; in-, fluence of the aristocracy, 323; too much spirit, not a fault of it, ib.; general observations on it. ii. 410; character of a true natural one, iii. 56; regulations in some states with respect to it, 303, 301; must submit to the dominion of prudence and virtue, v. 50; character of the aristocracy of France before the Revolution, ii. 379, y. 350; differs from a despotism only in name, i. 25; a true natural one, an essential part of society, iii. 85.

Aristotle, his caution against the delusion of demanding geometrical accuracy in moral arguments, i. 501; his observations on the resemblance between a democracy and a tyranny, ii. 396; his distinction between tragedy and comedy, vi. 181; his natural philosophy alone unworthy of him, 251; his system entirely follow-

ed by Bede, ib.

Armies yield a precarious and uncertain obedience to a senate, ii. 480; on standing armies, iii. 277.

Army commanded by General Monk, character of it, 543.

Army estimates, Burke's speech on those of 1790 iii. 269.

Art, every work of, only great as it deceives, i. 104.

Arthur, King, vi. 238.

Articles of Impeachment against Warren Hastings, iv. 220, v. 62, and Appendix, 63.

Articles, the Thirty-Nine, vi. 97.

Artist, a true one effects the noblest designs by easy methods, i. 101. Artois, Comte d', character of him,

iii. 423.

Ascendency, Protestant, in Ireland, remarks on, vi. 66.

Asers, race of, origin, character, and conduct of, vi. 231.

Assassination, recommended and employed by the National Assembly of France, ii. 542; the dreadful effects of, in case of war, 542, 543.

Assiento, the, i. 207.

Assignats, French, issues of, 504, 510.

Association, political, advantages of, i. 374, ii. 37; effects of early ones, i. 141.

Astonishment, origin and nature of

the passion, i. 88, 149.

Atheism, by astonishment, what, v. 207; against our reason, ii. 362; schools of, set up by the French regicides at the public charge, v. 429.

Atheists, modern, contrasted with those of antiquity, iii. 377.

Athenian republic, the, i. 27.

Athenians at the head of the democratic interests of Greece, v. 351.

Athens, the plague of, wickedness remarkably prevalent during its

continuance, vi. 141.

Auckland, Lord, his pamphlet on politics, v. 355.

Augustine, introduced Christianity among the Anglo-Saxons, vi. 237; state of religion in Britain when he arrived there, 238.

Aulic council, remarks on, v. 74.

Austria, began in the reign of Maria Theresa to support great armies, v. 250; treaty of 1756

2 м 2

with France deployed by the French in 1773, 251, policy as to her subjects, in 384

Authority, the people the natural control on it, iii 78, the control and exercise of it, contradictory. ib . the monopoly of it an evil. v 96, the only firm seat of it in

public opinion, it 27, v 464 Avarice, effects of it, in 193

Bacon, Lord, a remark of his ap plied to the Revolution in France. v 111.

Bacon, Nicholas, his work on the

1t. 1b Ball, Dr , his notions of the rights of

men, 111 88 Ball, the Abbé John, remarks on his

Bank paper in England owing to the flourishing condition of commerce, 1L 501

Bankruptcies, inferences to be drawn from v 344 Bards, origin and character of, vi 198

Bartholomew, St., massacre of, 11

Bases, the three, of the new French government, n 443

Bathurst, Lord, his imagined vision of the rising glories of America,

Bayle, his observation on religious persecution, vi 29

Beauchamp, Lord, his Bill, Mr Burke's vindication of his con duct with respect to it, ii 140

Beautiful the, compared with the sublime, 1 141, 169, efficient cause of, 143 Beauty, observations on, 1 77, 113,

natural proportion not the cause of it, Il4, nor customary pro portion, 116, beauty and propertion not ideas of the same nature. 124, the opposite to beauty not disproportion, or deformity, but ugliness, 124, fitness not the cause of beauty, 125, nor per fection, 129, how far the ideas of beauty may be applied to faculties of the mind 130, how far they may be applied to virtue, ib , the real cause of beauty,

ib . beautiful objects small and smooth, 132, 133, and gradually

varied, 133, and delicate, 133, 134, and of mild or diversified colours, 135, beauty acts by re

laxing the solids of the whose sys tem, 160, of the sex, a percep tion of, enters into the idea of lose th Becket Thomas à vi 356

Bede the Venerable, brief account of him. vi 250 Bedford, Duke of, answers to, v

67, 110, title by which he holds his grants, 131, the first Earl, who, 1b Beer, brewed in England, 1 219

Begums, the East India Company suspect them of rebellion, u 204. plundered by order of Mr Hastings, 202

Benares, the capital of the Indian religion, it 206, the Rajah's right and title, v 235, his expulsion, 256, second revolution in, 2,5, third, 280, Warren Hastings s

design on, 214 Benfield Mr Paul m 185, 211, his character and conduct, 146

Bengal, extent and condition of, it 221, internal trade of, 1v 55 Bengal Club, observations on it,

m 354 Bernard, governor in Massachu setts, 1 402, 412

Bigotry, effects of, vi 39 Bingham, letter to Sir Charles, on

the Irish absentee tax, v. 437

Biron, Duchess of, murdered by the French regicides, v. 382.

Bitterness, in description, a source of the sublime, i. 111.

Blacklock, the poet, i. 174.

Blackness, the effects of, on the mind, i. 158.

Boadicea, her character and conduct, vi. 212.

Board of Trade and Plantations, ii. 109.

Board of works, cost of it, ii. 89. Boileau, his criticism on a tale in

Ariosto, vi. 186.

Bolingbroke's works, remarks on their character and tendency, i. 2; Burke's letter to him, in vindication of natural society, 6; animadversions on his philosophy, 2; some characters of his style, 5; a presumptuous and superficial writer, ii. 397; a remark of his on the superiority of a monarchy over other forms of government, ib.

Borrower, the public, and the private lender not adverse parties with contending interests, v. 313.

Boston Port Bill, i. 497, ii. 11.

Botetourt, Lord, i. 395.

Bovines, victory of, important advantages of it to France, vi. 400.

Brabancons, mercenary troops in the time of Henry II., their character, vi. 373.

Bribing, by means of it, rather than by being bribed, wicked politicians bring ruin on mankind, ii. 192.

Bridgewater (Duke of) Canal, v. 341.

Brissot, his character and conduct, iii. 388, 433; preface to his address to his constituents, 511; appendix to it, 529.

Bristol, Burke's speeches at, i. 439; addresses to the electors of, ii.

127.

Britain, invasion of by Cæsar, vi. 188; account of its ancient inhabitants, 192; invaded by Clau-

dius, 207; reduced by Ostorius Scapula, 208; finally subdued by Julius Agricola, 213; why not sooner conquered, 215; nature of the government settled there by the Romans, 218; first introduction of Christianity in, 229; deserted by the Romans, 231; entry and settlement of the Saxons, and their conversion to Christianity, 233.

British colonists in North America, address to. See American Colonies.

Britons, more reduced than any other nation that fell under the German power, vi. 237.

Brown, Dr., effect of his writings on the people of England, v. 157.

Buche, Captal de, his severe treatment of the Jacquerie in France, iii. 87.

Buckinghamshire meeting, letter to the, vi. 1.

Building, the sublime in, i. 103; management of light in, 108.

Buildings, too great length in them prejudicial to grandeur of effect, i. 104; should be gloomy, to produce an idea of the sublime, 107. Bullion, trade of, secured, i. 203.

Burgh, Thos., Burke's letter to, vindicating his conduct on Irish

affairs, v. 491.

Burke, Mr., his sentiments respecting several leading members of the Whig party, iii. 5; and respecting an union of Ireland with Great Britain, 338; and respecting acts of indemnity as a means of reconciling France to a monarchy, 450; his animadversions on the conduct of Mr. Fox, 469; his pathetic allusion to his deceased son, v. 135; declines the poll at Bristol, ii. 170; vindication of his public conduct, i. 256, ii. 127; and of his pension, 131.

Burke, Richard, Letter to, on the Catholic Question, vi. 61.

with France deplored by the French in 1773, 251; policy as to her subjects, iii. 384.

Authority, the people the natural control on it, iii, 78; the control and exercise of it, contradictory, ib. : the monopoly of it an evil, v. 96; the only firm seat of it in public opinion, u. 27; v. 461.

Avarice, effects of it, iu. 193

Bacon, Lord, a remark of his applied to the Revolution in France. v. 111.

Bacon, Nicholas, his work on the Laws of England not entitled to authority, vi. 415.

Bail, method of giving it, introduced by Alfred, vi. 260; advantage of 1t. 1b.

Ball, Dr., his notions of the rights of men, 111. 88.

Ball, the Abbé John, remarks on his

Bank paper in England owing to the flourishing condition of commerce, 1L 501.

Bankruptcies, inferences to be drawn from, v. 344. Bards, origin and character of, vi

Bartholomew, St., massacre of, 11.

Bases, the three, of the new French government, n. 443.

Bathurst, Lord, his imagined vision of the rising glories of America,

Bayle, his observation on religious

persecution, vi. 29. Beauchamp, Lord, his Bill, Mr Burke's vindication of his conduct with respect to it,

140 Beautiful, the, compared with the sublime, 1. 141, 169; efficient cause of, 143

Beauty, observations on, i. 77, 113;

natural proportion not the cause of it, 114; nor customary proportion, 116; beauty and proportion not ideas of the same nature, 124; the opposite to beauty not disproportion, or deformity, but ugliness, 124; fitness not the cause of beauty, 125; nor perfection, 129; how far the ideas of beauty may be applied to faculties of the mind, 130; how far they may be applied to virtue, ib.; the real cause of beauty, ib.; beautiful objects small and smooth, 132, 133, and gradually varied, 133; and delicate, 133,

laxing the solids of the whose system, 160; of the sex, a perception of, enters into the idea of love, 1b Becket, Thomas à, vi. 356.

134, and of mild or diversified

colours, 135; beauty acts by re-

Bede, the Venerable, brief account of him, vi. 250.

Bedford, Duke of, answers to, v. 67, 110; title by which he holds his grants, 131; the first Earl,

who, 1b.

ings, 202,

Benares, the capital of the Indian religion, ii 206, the Rajah's right and title, v. 235; his expulsion, 256, second revolution in, 275; third, 280; Warren Hastings's

design on, 211. Benfield, Mr. Paul, 111. 185, 211; his character and conduct, 146.

Bengal, extent and condition of, ii. 221; internal trade of, iv. 55. Bengal Club, observations on it,

ш. 354. Bernard, governor in Massachusetts, 1, 402, 412.

Bigotry, effects of, vi. 39. Bingham, letter to Sir Charles, on

the Irish absentee tax, v. 437.

Biron, Duchess of, murdered by the French regicides, v. 382.

Bitterness, in description, a source of the sublime, i. 111.

Blacklock, the poet, i. 174.

Blackness, the effects of, on the mind, i. 158.

Boadicea, her character and conduct, vi. 212.

Board of Trade and Plantations, ii. 109.

Board of works, cost of it, ii. 89.

Boileau, his criticism on a tale in Ariosto, vi. 186.

Bolingbroke's works, remarks on their character and tendency, i. 2: Burke's letter to him, in vindication of natural society, 6; animadversions on his philosophy, 2; some characters of his style, 5; a presumptuous and superficial writer, ii. 397; a remark of his on the superiority of a monarchy over other forms of government, ib.

Borrower, the public, and the private lender not adverse parties with contending interests, v. 313.

Boston Port Bill, i. 497, ii. 11.

Botetourt, Lord, i. 395.

Bovines, victory of, important advantages of it to France, vi. 400.

Brabancons, mercenary troops in the time of Henry II., their character, vi. 373.

Bribing, by means of it, rather than by being bribed, wicked politicians bring ruin on mankind, ii.

Bridgewater (Duke of) Canal, v. 341.

Brissot, his character and conduct, iii. 388, 433; preface to his address to his constituents, 511; appendix to it, 529.

Bristol, Burke's speeches at, i. 439; addresses to the electors of, ii. 127.

Britain, invasion of by Cæsar, vi. 188; account of its ancient inhabitants, 192; invaded by Claudius. 207; reduced by Ostorius Scapula, 208; finally subdued by Julius Agricola, 213; why not sooner conquered, 215; nature of the government settled there by the Romans, 218; first introduction of Christianity in, 229; deserted by the Romans, 231: settlement of entry and Saxons, and their conversion to Christianity, 233.

British colonists in North America. address to. See AMERICAN COLO-NIES.

Britons, more reduced than any other nation that fell under the German power, vi. 237.

Brown, Dr., effect of his writings on the people of England, v. 157.

Buche, Captal de, his severe treatment of the Jacquerie in France,

Buckinghamshire meeting, letter to the, vi. l.

Building, the sublime in, i. 103; management of light in, 108.

Buildings, too great length in them prejudicial to grandeur of effect, i. 104; should be gloomy, to produce an idea of the sublime, 107. Bullion, trade of, secured, i. 203.

Burgh, Thos., Burke's letter to, vindicating his conduct on Irish

affairs, v. 491.

Burke, Mr., his sentiments respecting several leading members of the Whig party, iii. 5; and respecting an union of Ireland with Great Britain, 338; and respecting acts of indemnity as a means of reconciling France to a monarchy, 450; his animadversions on the conduct of Mr. Fox, 469; his pathetic allusion to his deceased son, v. 135; declines the poll at Bristol, ii. 170; vindication of his public conduct, i. 256, ii. 127; and of his pension,

Burke, Richard, Letter to, on the Catholic Question, vi. 61.

Burnet, Bishop, his statement of the methods which carried men of parts to Popery in France, u 420

parts to Poperty in France, i. 420 Bute, the Earl of, i. 330; his administration examined, 189; his resignation, 267, his successors recommended by him, ib, supposed head of the court party called "King's Met," or "The King's Prends," 329.

Cabinet, a divided, consequences

of, 1. 339. Carsar, Juhus, his policy with respect to the Gauls, vi. 186, his invasion of Germany, 188; and

of Britain, ib. Calais lost by the surrender of

Boulogne, v. 133
Calamity, its deliberations rarely wise, ii. 501; public calamity often arrested by the seasonable energy of a single man. v. 78

energy of a single man, v. 78 Caligula undertakes an expedition against Britain, vi. 206.

Calonne, M. de, remarks on his work L'Etat de la France, it. 456. extracts from it, 507.

Camden, Lord, letter to Right Hon. Edm. Burke, 11 619.

Campagna, B 111 364.

Campunella, ( ing him, 1. 140.

Canada taken from France, 1 194. Canada Bills, convention for the houldation of them, 1 288

Cannibalism in France, in. 364.

Canterbury, disputes between the suffragan bishops of the movine and the mouks of the

St. Austin, vi 392. Cantons, French, origin an

of them, n. 445, 451. Canute, his character and vi. 268; remarks on his code of | Charles 11., compen-

vi. 268; remarks on his code of laws, 418. Cape of Good Hope, its import-

ance to England, v. 239
Capital, monopoly of, not an evil,
v. 96,

Caractacus conquered, vi. 209.

Care, appearance of, highly contrary to our ideas of magnificence, i. 105.

Carnatic, the, description of, in. 217, in. 162, 236; trade of, iv. 39; the extent and condition of the country, in. 461, 163, 175; dreadful devastation of it by Hyder Ali Khan, in. 159.

Castile, different from Catalonia

Casuistry, origin and requisites of, in, 81; danger of pursuing it too far, th

Catholics, Roman, letter on the penal laws against them, 1u. 282; Burke's plan for admitting them to the elective franchise, 298; tracts on Popery Laws, vi. 5; letter on the same to Richard

Burke, 61.
Celsus, his opinion that internal remedies were not of early use, proved to be erroneous, vi. 202
Cerealis, extract from his fine speech to the Gauls, in. 319.

Ceremonies, religious, within the

two citi middle

Characters of others, principles which interest us in them, vi.

Charity, observations on, v. 92; the magistrates must not interfere with it, ib.

the nation to abandon the Dutch war, it. 23; biref character of him, 514; his government contrasted with that of Cromwell, it. 455. INDEX. 535

Charles XII. of Sweden, parallel between him and Richard I. of England, vi. 384.

Charters are kept when their purposes are maintained, ii. 268.

Chatham, Lord, his character, i. 424; his "tesselated" ministry, 425; his administration, 182; portraiture of, 318, 424.

Cheit Sing, Rajah of Benares, nature of his authority, ii. 207.

Chenier, his code, v. 427.

Cheselden, Mr., his story of a boy who was couched for a cataract, i. 155.

Chester, the county palatine of, oppressed until the reign of Henry VIII., i. 486.

Chesterfield, Lord, his conduct (when Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland) with respect to the Roman Catholics, iii. 294.

China, silver sent thither from In-

dia, iv. 36.

Chivalry, the age of, gone, ii. 348. Christianity, conversion of the Saxons to, vi. 242; original introduction of, into Britain, vi. 242; state of, in France, v. 433.

Church, the, has power to alter her rites and discipline, vi. 93.

Church Establishment, the, ii. 360; services rendered to, by the dissenters, vi. 92; observations on it, ii. 363; eulogy on it, vi. 112, 124; education of its clergy, contrasted with that of the Roman Catholic clergy, iii. 290; convocation of the clergy, a part of the constitution, ii. 28; observations on the provision made for the clergy by the State, iii. 190—196; church property, confiscation of, ii. 376.

Church reform, vi. 92; the Act of Union no impediment to it, 93.

Church of Ireland, anomaly of, v. 73; evils resulting from, 76.

Circumstances, importance of them in all political principles, ii. 282. Citizens, ought to be listened to, in matters that relate to agriculture, v. 93.

"Civic education," during the French Revolution, ii. 419.

Civil liberty, a substantial benefit, ii. 30.

Civil list, the, necessity of a revision of, ii. 82, 116; debts due on it, request for a supply for discharging them, how made, i. 360; plan of economy relative to it, ii. 116.

Civil society, ii. 332; fundamental rules of, ib.; based on religion, 362; grand object of it, vi. 29.

Civil vicinity, law of, what, v. 216. Civil wars, effects of, ii. 11; corrupt the manners of the people,

ib.
Clamour, justifiable when it is caused by abuse, vi. 164.

Clarendon, Constitutions of, vi. 360.

Claudius, the Emperor, invades Britain, vi. 207.

Clavering, General, ii. 230.

Clear expression, different from a strong one, i. 320, 321.

Clearness, not necessary for affecting the passions, i. 90.

Clergy, the, a defence of, ii. 415; the French, ib.; evils of a poor one, vi. 178; in the National Convention, 319; convocation of, a part of the constitution, ii. 28; observations on the provision made by the state for them, 410.

Clergy, Roman Catholic, in France, character of them before the revolution there, ii. 410, 415, 416; laws of William and Anne respecting the Popish clergy in Ireland, vi. 17; review of the state of the clergy in England down to the reign of Henry II., 355.

Cloots, Anacharsis, observations on his conduct, v. 387.

Cloths, or piece-goods, manufactured in India, iv. 72. Code for regulating the slave trade.

Coercive authority, limited to what is necessary for the existence of a state, vi 34

Coke, Lord, ingenious quotation in his reports, 1 4, his observation on discretion in judicature, iv 331

Coke, Mr., of Norfolk, opposes the war, m 468

Colonies commercial, mode of levy ing taxes in them, a difficult and important consideration, 1 270. only to be held by a community of interests, 508 See AMERICAN COLUMBES

Colonies, the secretary for, 11. 107 Colonists, import ten times more from Great Britain than they send in return i 274, 278, cha racter of the British colonists in America, 277

Colours, cheerful ones unfit to pro duce grand images i 108, as a source of beauty, 168, depend ent upon light, 107, productive of the sublime 108, essen tial to beauty, 135, effects of black 158

their constituents, 446. a council to advise, as well as an adviser to criminate, it 258, can never control other parts of the government unless the members themselves are controlled by their constituents 1 356, duty of a member to his constituents, 445 446. concise view of its proceed ings on the East India Question. n 263, cannot renounce its share of authority, 294, in legal construction, the sense of the people of England is to be collected from it, 253, the most powerful and most corruptable part of the British constitution. vi 135, a superintendence over the proceedings of the courts of justice, one of its principal ob jects, 154, speech on reform of. 141, its virtue consists in its being the express image of na tional feelings, 11 253, difficulties in the way of its reform, 58, consequences of not conceding reform of in time, 64, should be temperate to be permanent 65. its powers, 258, its independence of the ministers essential to its existence 261, its interest in the integrity and purity of the pecr-200

Commerce, British, 1 197 Commerce and liberty, t main sources of power Britain, 1 441, great increase oi, in America 458, 459

Common Law, nature of it vi 403 Common Pleas, court of its origin

COSCHOLS LOW, am - events, 154 Communes, in France, their origin

and nature, v 113

Сc

347, what qualities recom mend a man to a seat in it, in popular elections, 351, ought to 1 be connected with the people 359, has a character of its own, 427, duty of the members to

v: 299 Concessions to public opinion, to be acceptable should be prompt,

Conciliation with America, Burke s Speech on, 1 450

Condé, Prince of, iii. 434.

Condorcet, character of, iii. 377, 390; extract from a publication of his, 373; preceptor to the Dauphin, ib.

Confidence, unsuspecting, in government, importance of it, ii. 34; confidence of mankind, how to be secured, v. 283.

Confiscation of property deprecated, ii. 425, 513.

Confiscations of church and private property, iniquitous, ii. 377, 422, 425.

Connexions, political, held honourable in the commonwealth of antiquity, i. 374; observations on them, ib.

Conscience, a tender one ought to be tenderly handled, vi. 123.

Consistency, Burke's assertion of, iii. 24.

Constantine the Great, changes made by him in the internal policy of the Roman empire, vi. 229.

Constantinople, anecdote of the visit of an English squire to, v. 264; anecdote of the Greeks at the taking of it, 422.

Constituents, in England, more in the spirit of the constitution to lessen than to enlarge their number, i. 259; duty to their representatives, ii. 130; compulsive instruction from them first resisted by Mr. Burke, iii. 26; points in which they are incompetent to give advice to their representatives, vi. 134.

Constitution, the British, ii. 551, iii. 13, 46, 110; excellence of, vi. 146; powers conferred by, to be used with caution, ii. 28; the people have no right to alter it when once settled, iii. 76; a change in it, an immense operation, i. 260, 368; only to be attempted in times of general confusion, 368; no constitution can preserve itself, v. 424; the whole

scheme of the English constitution is to prevent any one of its principles from going too far, iii. 110; was not suddenly formed, 111; commendation of it by Montesquieu, 113; only means of its subversion, what, 501; eulogy on it, ii. 306, v. 137, vi. 152; danger of disgracing the frame and constitution of the state, 151.

Constitution of France, ii. 308, 465; as proposed by the National Assembly, 443, 462, iii. 415; compared with that of England, 100; reasons for destroying it, v. 257.

Constitutional Society, the, ii. 279. Conti, de, Prince, observations on his conduct, iii. 432.

Contract, an implied one always between the labourer and his employer, v. 86.

Contracting parties, not necessary that they should have different interests, v. 87.

Contracts, East India, Warren Hastings' disobedience to the East India Company's orders on, iv. 356, 399.

Contributions, public, should be raised only by the public will, v. 317.

Control, and exercise of authority, contradictory, iii. 78.

Convocation of the clergy, though a part of the constitution, now called for form only, ii. 28.

Conway, General, moves the repeal of the American Stamp Act, i. 417.

Coronation eath, observations on it with respect to the Roman Catholics, iii. 299.

Corporate bodies, ii. 411; importance of them, 433.

Corruption in pecuniary matters, the suspicion of it how to be avoided, iii. 184.

Council, the Aulic, v. 74.

County court, the Saxon, vi. 289.

Court Baron, the Saxon, vi 287 Cromwell, Oliver, ii 526, brief

character of him, 527, his conduct in the appointment of judges, ib, his conduct in government, 544, his government contrasted with that of Charles II, in 455, cross, the form of it not so grand in architecture as the parallelo

gram, 1 103
Crown, the, influence of, 1 313, prerogatives of, u 259, do not extend to the territorial possessions in the East Indies. 264.

sions in the East Indies, 261, the succession to, fixed at the Revolution of 1688, 290, in 56, inheritable nature of it, ii 293, maintained at the Revolution,

them indefinite and fluctuating, 1, 359, the legal standard of these superseded by an arbitrary one, 364

Crusades, the, account, vi 377
Cumberland, Duke of, 1 182
Curfew, the origin and policy of it,
vi 325

Curiosity, the first and simplest emotion of the mind, 1 67, general observations on it, ib Custom observations on it, 1 123,

not the cause of pleasure, 124 Cyprus, account of the conquest of it by Richard I, vi 378

Dacca merchants, treatment of, 1v 80

Danger, and pain, with certain modifications, delightful, 1 80, the sense of it an attendant of the sublume, 89, the danger of anything very dear to us removes all other affections from the mind, in 26

Darkness productive of sublime ideas, 1 107, Locke's opinion concerning it explained, 155, con sidered as a source of the sub lime, 157, painful in its own nature, 156, the causes of this, 157, more productive of sublime ideas than light, 10

Davis, Sir John, his statement of the policy of the English govern ment with regard to Ireland, i

483

Day, not so sublime as night, 1 108 Debt, the public, of England 1 208, the unfunded, ib, interest of, the only thing that can distress a pairon, 229

Debt, the public, of France, 1 226 Debts, English laws affecting them, 11 140, civil, faults of the law

with regard to them, ib Decentful men can never repent, ii

Declaration of right, contains the principles of the Revolution of 1683, ii 290, framed by Lord Somers, 292, proceeds upon the principle of reference to anti-

quity, 306
Defensive measures, though vigorous at first, relax by degrees, in 376, necessary considerations

with regard to them, v 425 Deficit in French revenues in 389 Definition, difficulty of, 1 54

Definitions, frequently fallacious, 1

Deformity, not opposed to beauty, but to complete common form, 1 123

Deity, idea of power the most striking of his attributes, 1 67

Delamore, Lord, account of his trial, vi 443

Delicacy, essential to beauty, 1 134. Delight, the sensation which accompanies the removal of pain or danger, 1 72, how denried from terror, 147, compared with pleasure, 72, dervied sometimes from the misloritunes of others, 60, the attendant of every passion which animates us to any active purpose, by

Democracie Royale, in France, iii.

Democracy, a, character of, i. 27; an absolute one not to be reckoned among the legitimate forms of government, ii. 396; a perfect one, shameless and fearless, 397; no example in modern times of a considerable one, 396; Aristotle's observation on the resemblance between a democracy and a tyranny, ib.; vice of the ancient democracies, 477; the foodful nurse of ambition, iii. 78.

Denmark and Norway, probable consequences of the French Revolution on, iii. 366; non revolutionary, ib.

Departments in France, origin of them, ii. 443.

Depth has a grander effect than height, i. 100.

Description, verbal, a means of raising a stronger emotion than painting, i. 91.

Desirable things always practicable, ii, 121.

D 010, 11. 121.

Despenser, Lord, i. 189, 252.

Desperate situations produce desperate councils, ii. 524.

Despotic governments, forms of, i. 22.

Despotism, nature of it, i. 314.

D'Espremenil, the illustrious French magistrate, murdered by the revolutionists, v. 381.

De Witt, v. 301.

Dialogue, advantages and disadvantages of it as a mode of argumentation, v. 358.

Difference in taste, commonly so called, whence, i. 61.

Difficulty, a source of greatness in taste, i. 104; in morals, importance and advantage of it, ii. 437.

Dignitaries, church, generally averse from ecclesiastical reformation, vi. 92.

Dignity, national, no standard for

rating the conditions of peace, v. 167.

Dimension, greatness of, a powerful cause of the sublime, i. 100; necessary to the sublime in building, but incompatible with beauty, 104.

Diogenes, anecdote of him, iii. 2.

Directory (of the church), the, by whom settled, vi. 97; rejected at the Revolution, 98.

Disappointment, what, i. 73.

Discontents, public, thoughts on those of 1770, i. 306; difficulties of inquiring into the causes of, ib.; thoughts on the cause of the present, ib.; produced by a system of favouritism, 331.

Discretion, Lord Coke's remark

on it, iii. 334.

Discretionary powers of the monarch should be exercised upon public principles, i. 331.

Discrimination, a coarse one, the greatest enemy to accuracy of

judgment, v. 90.

Dissent, in what case it may be punished, vi. 108.

Dissenters, services rendered by them to the church, v. 92; observations on the Test Act against them, iii. 314; Burke's speech on the Bill for their relief, vi. 102.

Distress, great, never teaches wise lessons to mankind, ii. 524.

Distrust, remarks on, iii. 438; advantages of it, ib.

Disunion in government, mischief of it, i. 299.

Division in governments, evils of, i. 299.

Divorces, observations on, v. 210; frequency of, in France, 211.

Dominica and Jamaica, ports opened, i. 262.

Doomsday book, origin and nature of it, vi. 325.

Double Cabinet, what, i. 315, 340; nature and design of it, 318;

mischievous conduct of it, 340; how recommended at court, 342; its operation upon parliament, 347; singular doctrine propagated by it, 372.

Dowdeswell's motion, respecting the law of libel, vi. 154, his bill respecting powers of juries, vi.

165, 168.

the opinion that their religion was founded on the unity of the Godhead, confuted, 203; their temples, 204.

Dryden, his translation of a passage in Virgil, v. 267.

Du Bos, his erroneous theory respecting the effect of painting on the passions, 1, 91.

Duchies, English, it. 71. Dundas, Right Hon Henry, letter

to, on a negro code, v. 521. Dunkirk, demolition of, i. 290.

Dunning, Mr., brief character of him, ii. 150. Dupin, M. de la Tour, his account

of the state of the army in France, u. 480.

Durham, county palatine of, mis-

of it, in. 77; dependent on the will, 78; determined by our lot in life, ii. 197; effectual execution of it, how to be secured, 118.

Ealderman, the office of, among the Saxons, vi. 290. East India Bill, (Fox's,) speech of Burke upon, u. 173.

East India Company, 1. 253. Importance of making it respon-

power, u. 178; obligations created by its charter, 177; extent of its territory, 181; enumeration of circumstances that would justify the withdrawal of its charter. 180; its proceedings towards native princes, 182; consequences of its rule, 193; its commercial policy, 222; its administration of justice, 229; incorrigible, 272; state of its affairs, in 1783, iv. 1; legislative attempts to remedy abuses in its government, 3; proprietors, their power, 6, the court of directors, 8; councilgeneral, 14: powers given to governor-general, 16: interference of the government into its affairs. objectionable, 17: propositions for remedying evils in its constitution, ib. : effects of its revenue investments, 33; internal trade of Bengal, 40, gifts and presents received by its servants, 157; its

sible for the exercise of political

253; ninth report on affairs of, iv. 1.

East Indies, origin of the extensive British possessions there, ii. 264.

Easter, whence the name derived,

negotiations with government, i.

vi. 240, disputes about the time of celebrating it promote the study of astronomy, 251.

Ecclesiastical establishment in England, observations on it, ii. 362. Ecclesiastical estates, defence of, ii.

Ecclesiastical investitures, origin and nature of them, vi. 346. Ecclesiastical States, the, tendency

to revolution, m. 363. Economical reform, Burke's plans of, n. 125, v. 119, speech on, n.

Economy, financial, ii. 57; not parsimony, v. 125.

Economy and war not easily reconciled, 1. 214, difficulty of attempting a plan of it, ii. 56; admirable system of it in France, by Necker, 62; rules for a proper plan of it, 69; things prescribed by the principles of radical economy, 87; political economy had its origin in England, v. 124; description of real economy, 126.

Education, effect of it on the colonists in America, i. 474; description of a good one, ii. 534.

Edward the Confessor, his character and conduct, vi. 270.

Egremont, Earl of, i. 267.

Election, popular, the great advantage of a free state, i. 333; essential to the great object of government, vi. 132; evils connected with, ib.; right of, what, i. 357; mischief of a frequent election, 366, vi. 135; the charge of it, an important consideration, 137.

Elegance, closely allied to the beautiful, i. 138.

Elegance and speciousness, i. 188. Elizabeth, sister of Louis XVI., murdered by the French regicides, v. 382.

Elliott, William, Esq., letter to, v. 67.

Emphyteusis, of the Romans, nature of it, vi. 44.

Enclosure of waste lands, v. 339.

Encyclopedists of France, iii. 135. Energy of the French revolutionists, iii. 437.

England, her advancement, v. 197; her constant policy with regard to France, iii. 369; eulogy on its constitution, v. 138; will always take the greatest share in any confederacy against France, 160; prosperity of, 352; natural representation of the people, what it is, 190.

English History, abridgment of, vi. 184.

Enmity, when avowed, is always felt, v. 393.

Enthusiasm, excited by other causes besides religion, v. 245.

Eostre, a goddess worshipped by the Saxons, vi. 240.

Epicureans, tolerated by the rest of the heathen world, vi. 109; their system of physics, the most rational of antiquity, 250; why discredited, 251.

Equity, criminal, a monster in ju-

risprudence, i. 353.

Established church, the, vi. 91; ought to be defended, 112. See Church.

Established religion of the state has often torn to pieces the civil establishment, vi. 46; method adopted by the constitution respecting those public teachers who are to receive the support of the state, 96.

Establishment, legal, grounds of a legislative alteration of it, vi. 94.

Establishments, folly of retaining them when no longer necessary or useful. ii. 84.

Etiquette, advantages of it, v. 298. Europe, antecedent to the prevalence of the Roman power, vi. 184; at the time of the Norman conquest, 305; the original inhabitants of Greece and Italy of the same race with the people of Northern Europe, 185; a commonwealth of nations, v. 214.

Example, the only argument of effect in civil life, i. 352; the only security against a corrupt one, what, ii. 37.

Exchequer, the, management of, ii. 91.

Executions, public, effects of, v. 513; observations on them, 515.

Executive government, its power over the laws, i. 331; should correspond with the legislature, 332.

Executive magistracy, a necessary element in its constitution pointed out, ii. 470.

Exercise, bodily, a remedy for me-

lunchely or dejection, 1 148, necessary to the finer organs,

Experiments, danger of making them on farmers, v 94

Expression, a clear one different from a strong one, 1 180

Eye, the beauty of, 1 136 Eyre, Sir Robert, (Solicitor Gene

ral.) extract from his speech at the trial of Dr Sacheverel, in

Pactions, ought to be suppressed by government vi 116

Fame, a passion for it, the instinct of all great souls, 1 427, the se paration of it from virtue, a harsh divorce, u 41

Fanaticism, epidemical, mischievous tendency of it, it 424, may be caused as much by a theory concerning government as by a dogma in religion, in 98

Parmers, danger of making expe riments on, v 94, amount of their usual profits, what, ib , difficulty of their task, 95, 97

Fauguier, Governor, on the Stamp Act, 1 422 Favouritism, a system of, at vari

ance with the plan of the legisla ture, 1 331, in appointments to the executory government at variance with the constitution, ib Fayette, La See Lafayette

Fear, cause of it, 1 145, early and provident fear, the mother of safety, vi 120

Feeling the beautiful in, 1 138, enters into the sublime, 112 Ferrers, Earl, his trial and convic

tion by the Peers, vi 510 Finance, new projects of, proceedings of men of sense with respect

to them, 1 257 Finances, importance of them to a state, and difficulty of managing them, it 496, three standards to judge of the condition of a state with regard to them, 1 229, ad-

mirable management of the French finances, 11 69 Financial reforms, 11 55, principles

of, 69 Financier, public, objects of, ii 498, duty of a judicious one in framing a plan of economy, i

243, in securing a revenue, ii 498, 514 Fire, why worshipped by the

Druids, vi 201 Firmness, a virtue only when it

accompanies the most perfect wisdom, 1 310 Fitness, not the cause of beauty, 125, its effects, 127 See

Beruty Fitzwilliam, Earl, Burke's letter to.

on the regicide peace, v 358 Flattery, causes of its prevalence, 1 84, corrupts both the giver

and receiver, n 283, the people deceived by, 76 Florence, the republic of, how on

ginated, vi 308 Florida taken from Spain, 1 206

Force, objection to the employment of, for compelling obedience to bad laws, 1 463, 11 13, its great and acknowledged effect and reputation not impaired by an un willingness to exert itself, 455. use of it temporary, uncertain, and hurtful to the object which

it is designed to preserve, i. 463 Forest lands, 11 79, proposal to parliament concerning them, 10

Foster, Judge, extract from his discourses, vi 411

Fox, C J, his character, ii 246, remarks upon his political con-duct, in 473, Mr Burke's speech on his East India Bill, u 173, panegyric of him, 246, Mr Burke reluctantly dissents from his opinion concerning France, 111 273, animadverisons on him, v 416, political prin-ciple concerning him, in 155, his conduct contrasted with that of Mr Patt, av 507. Burke s

letter to, on the American War, v. 446.

France, affairs of, in 1790, iii. 272, 348; its influence on other European states, 395; its movements in 1792, 397; probable consequences of, 398; Burke's propositions for aiding the king of, in 1792, 402; condition of, in 1793, 407; its government fundamentally monarchical, 51.

France, and England, relative position of, i. 192; credit ez. 223; properous state of it before the Revolution, iv. 333; from is vicinity always has been, and always must be, an object of our vigilance with regard to its comer or example, iii. 270; barkarous treatment suffered by the king and queen at the Revolution, il. 343; apostrophe to the queen, 348; chivalry of France extinguished by the Revolution, ib.; remarks on its population, 399; · brief review of its condition before the Revolution, ib., 401: degraded office to which the king was appointed by the Revolutionists, 472, 531; state of things there during the Revolution, iii. 8: character of the king's brothers, 428; the liberties of Eu-· rope dependent on its being a great and preponderating power, 360, 446; observations on the sufferings of the queen, v. 231; character of the aristocracy before the Revolution, ii. 407, v. 380; character of the government, 228, 244.

Franchise and office, difference between them, iii. 305; effect of separating property from it, 303. Francis, Mr., ii. 230.

Franklin, Dr., conjectures on his visit to Paris, v. 455; his ex-

treme reserve, iii. 30.

Freedom, religious, vi. 63; the great contests for it in England, chiefly on the question of taxation, i. 464; but in the ancient commonwealths chiefly on the right of election of magistrates, or on the balance among the several orders of the state, 464; character of civil freedom, ii. 30; our best securities for it obtained from princes who were even warlike or prodigal, v. 377.

Freethinkers in England, ii. 361. French Directory, the, characters of the members of it; v. 307; their conduct to the foreign am-

bassadors, 386. French monied interests at variance with the landed interests, ii. 380; literary cabal, their plan for the destruction of Christianity, 382, 419; Frenchmen naturally more intense in their application than Englishmen, 557; mischievous consequences of this, ib.; French emigrants in England capable of being serviceable in restoring the monarchy to France, iv. 424.

French nobility, the, i. 432.

French republican constitution of the National Assembly, i. 415. 453, 590; compared with the 😅 England, 530.

French Revolution, the, character of, v. 208; its objects, 244: == to be compared with the I one of 1668, iii. 278; refiger on, ii. 277, iii. 350; part of, 518, v. 120; characterone of doctrine and === dogma, iii. 850, 852; ===== mental principle, 352 French revolutionists,

Friends of the libery nociety of, iii. 479; ib.; origin and

Friends of the production of, ib.; a find a few seconds of the seconds of the seconds of the seconds of the second of the second

theirs, 499.

Frugality, founded on the principle that all riches have limits, ii 85 Fyzoola Khdn, treatment of, by Warren Hastings, v. 29

Gage, General, letter from, 1 420 Galgacus, the Caledonian chief, vi 214 in France, ib, the outlines of the constitution of England originated there, vi 281.

Gibraltar, importance of it to England, in 395 Girondists, in France, iii. 418

Glastonbury Abbey, its extraordinary wealth and splendour, vi

- , , ,

revolutionists in France not unpleasant, 525, they who are under its influence treat their fortunes lightly, it 107 Garrick, an anecdote of him, y

386 Gauls, their

times, vi 1

186, reduced at last under the Romans by Caus Cæsar, 1b, policy of Cæsar with regard to them, 1b

Gavelkind, a Saxon law, vi 304 General warrants condemned, 1 182

182 Generation of men and of brutes, 1

Geneva, difficulties it has to con tend with, v 99

Genghiz Khân, his conquests, v 256 Genes republic of how compared

Genoa, republic of, how originated, vi 308

George II, some of the great mea sures of his reign, 1 32I, his character, 1b George III, state of the nation, and

proceedings of government, at his accession, 1 317

Germanic custumary, the source of the polity of every country in Europe, v 214

Germans of Scythian original, vi 302, brief account of their man ners and institutions, vi 234

Germany, irruption of the Romans into, vi 187, critical situation of, in 1791, iii 357, how likely to be affected by the Revolution oa of, its effect on the mind, i 97, Scripture images of, 98

Goddess of Reason in France, v

v 214 See Germanic Government, originates with the people, 1 347, legitimate objects of. 11 332, v 107, its duty to foster and protect the interests of every part of the empire, is 52, evils of subdivisions in, 75, the great use of, restraint, v 83. forms of a free one not altogether incompatible with the ends of an arbitrary one 1 313, project of government devised in the court of Frederic, Prince of Wales, considered, 1 315, nature and design of it, ib , name of it, 329, important ends of a mixed government, 331, v 317, folly of hazarding plans of government, except from a scat of authority, 1 government a practical thing, in 29, 333, character of a free one, 30, an emment craterion of a wise one, 64, reform in it should be early and temperate, 65, without means of some change, is without the means of its conservation, 295, difficulty of framing a free one, 385, the particular form of it to be determined by the circumstances and

habits of a country, in 36, a

INDEX. 545

theory concerning it may be the cause of fanaticism as much as a dozma in religion, 95; the establishment of one, a difficult undertaking for foreign powers to act in as principals, 111; not subject to the laws that regulate the duration of individuals, v. 79, 153; Restraint, the great purpose of it. 83, 122; policy of it in times of scarcity, 59; important problem concerning it, 107; perishes only through its own weakness, 109; impossible without property, 256; ought to attend much to opinions, vi. 115; stands on opinion, 111. Government, British, in India, iv.

126. Governments, various forms of, i.

Grace, Acts of, impolicy of them, ii. 111.

Gracefulness, much the same thing as beauty, i. 137; an idea belonging to posture and motion, ib.

Granaries, England not favourable for, v. 98; public, danger of creeting them, ib.; only fit for a state too small for agriculture, 99.

Greece, situation of, in remote times, vi. 185; its original inhabitants, of the same race as the people of Northern Europe, ib.; situation of it from a remote period, 172.

Greek Church, character of its clergy, iii. 290.

Green cloth, court of, its origin, ii. 82. Grenville, Mr., portraiture of, i. 406.

Grenville, Lord, eulogy of him, v. 111.

Grief, nature of it, i. 73; distinct from positive pain, ib.

Guadaloupe, commerce of, i. 195. Guienne, William Duke of, engages

in the crusade, vi. 339.

Guilt, when gigantic, often over-

Guilt, when gigantic, often overpowers our ideas of justice, iii. 454.

VOL. VI.

"Habeas Corpus," remarks upon the suspension of it, ii. 2.

Habit and use, not the causes of pleasure, i. 123.

Hale, Sir Matthew, Cromwell's declaration to him when he appointed him judge, ii. 526; defect in his history of the common law, vi. 413; causes of it, ib.

Halifax, Earl of, i. 267.

Hallmote, the Saxon, vi. 287. Happiness, civil, what, v. 85.

Hardicanute, Prince, vi. 269.

Hardwicke, Lord, his declaration on rules of evidence, vi. 479.

Harold II., King, vi. 272.

Harrington, his opinion on the government of a state without property, v. 256.

Hastings, Warren:—
censured by the Court of Directors for his conduct towards the Robillas, iv. 128; resignation of his office, 129, 385; his maneuvre to resume it, 129, 387; his disobedience to the Court of Directors, 141; his unlawful receipt of monics and presents as governor-general, 158, 368; his corrupt traffic for office, 199; letters from, to the Court of Directors, 207, v. 63.

Articles of charge exhibited against him:

the Rohilla war, iv. 220; King Shaw Allum, 229; Rajah of Benares, 235; designs to ruin the Rajah, 211; his expulsion of the Rajah, 256; effects another revolution in Benares, 275; a third revolution, 280; his treatment of the princesses of Oude, 288; his conduct towards the prince of Farruckabad, 342; to the Rajah of Sahlone, 355; his disobedience to the orders of the Court of Directors, relative to contracts, 356, 398; his treaty with the Ranna of Gohud, 408; his mismanagement of the revenues of

 $^2$  N

Bengal, 412; misdemeanours in Oude, 424; his treatment of the Great Mogul, 506; his libels on the Court of Directors, 526; the Mahratta war and peace, v. 2; appendix to the eighth and sixteenth charges, 63, teport from the committee on the inspection of the Lords' Journals in relation to their proceedings on his trial. with the appendix, iv. 451; his conduct in the treaty with the Mahrattas, 11 189; his treatment of the Nabob of Oude, 197: his treatment of the Begums, 204. arrests of the Rajah of Benares, 261; gives orders for the seizure of the treasures of the Begums, 289, authorizes the Nahob of Oude to seize upon and confiscate to his own profit the landed estates of his parents, kindred, and principal nobility, 291: endeavours to stiffe an inquiry into his proceedings, 327; regulations of the East India Company with respect to the violation of their orders by Mr. Hastings, 357; his conduct with regard to the allowance to Sir Eyre Coote, 363, and to Brigadier-General Stubbert, 364; and to Sir John Day, 366; and to the government of Fort William, ib., and with regard to the supply of grun at Fort St. George. 363; charged with the violation of the orders of the East India Company, in the case of Munny Begum, 372, and of the phousdar of Houghly, 376; and in the case of money which he admitted he had privately received, 377; tenders his resignation by Mr. Lauchlin Maclaine, 385; Edward Wheler, Esq , is appointed in his room, 356; Mr. H. denies that his office is vacated, 387: General Clavering presides in council as governor-general of Bengal, on the presumed resig-

nation of Mr. H., ib.; his irregular proceedings subsequent to his resignation, 388; decision of the judges on the proceedings of General Clavering, 359; his conduct with regard to the surgeon-general, 398; and to Archibald Fraser, Esq. 399; he appoints R. J. Sullivan to the office of resident at the Durbar of the Nabob of Arcot, 406: recommends a treaty with the Ranna of Gohud, 408; his conduct with regard to the landed estates of Bengal, 412; permits his own Banyan to hold farms to a large amount in different districts, in violation of his own regulations, 415; changes the system of the collection of the public revenue, and the administration of civil and criminal justice, by provincial councils throughout the provinces, 420; refuses to relieve the distress of the Nabob of Oude, 427; allegally assumes the delegation of the whole functions of the council, for the purpose of entering into a treaty with the Nabob of Arcot, 433, unnecessarily burdens the Nabob of Oude with the maintenance of troops, to the dostruction of British discipline, 136; receives unlawful presents from, and makes unjustifiable demands on, the Nabob of Oude, ib.; urges the capital punishment of Almas Alı Khân, on his simple allegation of offences, 469; establishes in the government a system of disreputable and rumous interference, 477; attempts to abandon the British army to the discretion of the Nabob of Oude, 481; gives order for the imprisonment of Mahomet Reza Khan, without proofs of his guilt, 494; appoints Munny Begum to be guardian to the Nabob of Bengal, 495; endeavours to aggrandize

547

the power of the Mahrattas, 523; the Megul delivered up to them through his instrumentality, 1916; he libely and asperses the Court of Directors, 526; forces the Mahrattas into a war by repeatedly invading their country, v. 2; concludes a dishonourable treaty of perpetual alliance with them, 14; his conduct with regard to the rights of Fyzcola Khun, 29; demands 5000 horse from Fyzeos la Khan, 39; his conduct with regard to the treaty of Chunar, 46; consequences of the treaty, 50.

Havannah, conquest of, i. 194; im-

ports from, 195.

Hawles, Sir John, his political opinions, iii. 49, 56; extract from his speech at the trial of Doctor Sacheverel, 56.

"Heads for Consideration," 1792,

iii. 394.

Heathenism intolerant, vi. 108.

Height, less grand than depth, i. 100. Helvetii, remarkable emigration of

them related by Casar, vi. 191.

Helvetins, M., ii. 358.

Henry I., reign of, vi. 340.

Henry II., reign of, vi. 351; condition of the clergy during his reign, 356; prerogatives claimed by, 362.

Henry IV. of England, his conduct relative to the duchy and county palatine of Lancaster, ii. 76.

Henry IV. of France, brief character of him, ii. 407.

IIii, or Columkill, brief account of it, vi. 219.

Hillsborough, Lord, i. 398.

Hindostan. See INDIA.

"Hints for a Memorial," to be delivered to M. de M. M. (French Minister), iii, 345.

History, moral lessons to be drawn from it, ii. 411, 411, iii. 456; caution with regard to the study of it, 456.

History of England, by Burke, vi-184.

Holder, a remark of his en war, i.

Hoche, General, attacks Ireland, v. 241.

Holland, its desire for a connexion with France, in 365; how far resolutionary, ib.

Holland, Sir John, extract from his speech at the trial of Dector Sagheverch ni. 64; his thoughts on the rights of the people, ib.

Hely Land, the, condition of, at the time of the crucades, vi-377.

Homer, his art in framing similitudes, i. 59; a simile from the Hiad, 71; his representation of discord, obscure and magnificent, 93; no instance, in the Iliad, of the fall of any man remarkable for great strength touches us with pity, 167; has given to the Trojans more of the amiable and soclal virtues than to the Greeks. 168; the passion he desires to raise with regard to the Trojans, is pity; admiration with regard to the Greeks, ib.; his masterly representation of the grief of Priam at the death of Hector, iii. 26; observation on his representation of the ghosts of heroes at the sacrifices of Ulysses, vi. 200; his works first introduced into England by Theodorus, Archbishop of Canterbury; 249.

Honest men, no safety for them but by believing evil of evil men, iv. 362.

Horace, the truth of an assertion in his Art of Poetry discussed, i. 91; a passage from him in confirmation of Mr. Burke's theory of the sublime, 98.

House of Commons, reform of, to be attempted with great caution, vi. 2; limitation of its powers, 130. See Commons.

House of Lords, Sea Lords,

2 N 2

Household, the royal, has strong | Impey, Sir Elijah, iv. 270, 297. traces of feudality, u. 82. Howard, the philanthropist his

character, n. 142. Howe, Lord, u. 18; his character,

v. 124. Howe, General, u. 18, v. 454.

Hudibras, humorous lines from applicable to the modern Whigs, ш. 67.

on John Ball, 111. 377.

Humility, true, the basis of the Christian system, ii. 536; humanity cannot be degraded by it, v. 167.

Hundred Court, the, among the Saxons, vi. 289.

Hundreds, the, in England, v

Husbandry labourers, classes of, v.

Husbandry, classification of labourers m, v. 96.

of the Carnatic, in. 160, Hypæthra of the Greeks, what, vi. 205.

Imagination, what, i. 58; qualities and powers of, ib.; no bounds to men's passions when they are

influenced by it, iii. 98. Imitation, the passion of, i. 82; its

source and use, ib; its influence on society, 83. Impeachment, powers and proceedings of parliament, in cases of, vi.

428; the great guardian of the purity of the constitution, 1, 350; report of commutee upon the impeachment of Warren Hastings, va. 423; law and practice, in impeachments, 427; articles of impeachment, iv. 220.

Imprisonment for debt, cruelty of the law, 11, 140.

Inconsistency, Burke's defence against the charge of, ni. 24. Indecision, the natural accomplice

of violence, ni. 97.

Indemnification, one of the requisites of a good peace, 1, 207.

Indemnity, how far it should be granted to the French Revolutionists, in. 452; acts of, probable effects of them as means of reconciling France to a monarchy. ın. 452.

Independence of mind, always more or less influenced by independence of fortune, vi. 137.

INDIA:-Importance of governing it well. u. 175, affairs of, in 129; extent of the British territory in, n. 181; population of, ib., character of its population, 182; British government in, iv. 126; treatment of its princes by the Company, 11. 184; consequences of British rule, 191; revenues of, 111. 213: connexion of Great Britain with in, iv. 29; mode of carrying on trade with, 30; consequences of this, 46; eleventh Report of committee of Commons on, iv. 207; appendixes to same,

India Bill. Burke's speech on Fox's,

n. 173. Indifference, pleasure, and pain,

three states of the mind, 1. 68. Indolence, the prevailing characteristic of the fashionable class of mankind, vi. 177.

Industry, dangers of discouraging it, vi. 43.

Infidela never to be tolerated, the

Infinite, artificial, consists of succession and uniformity of parts, i. 101, 151.

Infinity, effect of, on the mind, t. 101; artificial, ib., 151; a source of the sublime, 101; in pleasing objects, a cause of pleasure, 104.

Influence of the Crown, operation of it, i. 313.

Inheritance, Saxon laws of, vi. 302; excellency of the principle of it in the British constitution, ii. 307.

Innovations, to innovate is not to reform, v. 120.

Insolvency, who ought to suffer in a case of, ii. 385.

Institutions, soundness of the materials, of more importance than the fashion of the work, in political institutions, v. 75; not subject to the laws that regulate the duration of individuals, 78; how to be established, 79; benefits of them, vi. 88.

Interest of a debt, the only thing that can distress a nation, i. 229. Interests of the labourer and the employer, not opposite, v. 87.

Interference of foreign States, ii. 531.

Interference in the affairs of nations, when justifiable, ii. 300; justified by Vattell, iii. 458.

Intolerance, mischief of it, vi. 110.

IRELAND:-

Early history of, ii. 575; English policy towards, i. 483, iii. 322; laws affecting the Roman Catholics in, vi. 5; remarks on, 18, 49, 56; consequences of, 42; remarks on the condition of, v. 452; probable consequences of a separation between England and Ireland, vi. 85; trade of, objections to Lord North's scheme for regulating it, ii. 43; taxation of, 46; danger of a proposed tax upon it, i. 245; early and uninterrupted participation in the laws and institutions of England, i. 483; two letters to the merchants of Bristol, relative to the trade of Ireland, ii. 43; Mr. Burke's defence of his conduct with regard to it, 132; recent plan for the government of Ireland, iii. 283; the true revolution there, when, 322; state of religion there before the grant of Pope Adrian, vi. 36; nature of the grant, ib.; letter on the affairs of, in 1797, 80; importance of Ireland to Great Britain, 85; reduction of it by Henry II., 365; previous condition of it, 366; nature of the country, ib.; motives which led Adrian IV. to commission Henry II. to reduce it, 365.

Irish absentee tax, v. 437; objec-

tions to it, 439.

Irish affairs, vindication of Burke's conduct in relation to, v. 492, 510.

Irish Catholics, laws against, iii. 284.

Irish church, the, remarks on, vi. 73; evils resulting from it, 75.

Irish language, names of the letters of it taken from the names of several species of trees, vi. 367.

Irish toleration bill, thoughts on, v. 486.

Isocrates, remark of his, in one of his orations against the Sophists, i. 3.

Italy, situation of, in remote times, vi. 185; in 1791, iii. 362; the original inhabitants of the same race as the people of Northern Europe, vi. 185; its situation from a remote period, 186; exposed to French power, iii. 395.

Jacobinism, the revolt of talents against property, v. 207, vi. 58; principles of, 52; objects of its attack, 59; by establishment what, v. 207.

Jacobins, their character, v. 190, vi. 53; their object, iii. 493; supposed number in England, v.

190.

. Lord, character of, v.

ed. 1 183

Jekyl, Sir Joseph, his thoughts on the Revolution of 1688, in 58, 62; extract from his speech at the trial of Dr. Sacheverel, in 61, 62, his character, 60

Jews, a source of great revenue to William the Conqueror, vi

Job, a passage in that book amazingly sublime, i. 93, the noble description of the war-horse, the wild horse, and the unicorn and leviathan, i 95.

John, reign of, vi 385, contest between him and the barons, 397.

Joy and grief, 1 73

Judge, duty of one, vs. 496. judges ought to be the last to feel the necessities of the state, ii 117

Judgment, and wit, difference between them, 1. 58, ought to regulate the reports of the senses. iii 125; a coarse discrimination,

Juries, power of, in prosecutions for libels, vi 155, should take the law from the bench, 162, not an institution suddenly formed, 160; not matuted by Alfred,

Juridical act different from a legis-

367, character of it in France, v.

206, state of the study of it in England, vi 413; whole frame

of it altered since the Conquest,

Jury, trial by, among the Saxons,

Justice, the standing policy of civil

cy, statutes of, prove the ancient existence of the spirit of the Popery laws, m 320.

King, the things in which he has an individual concern, 1 343, his extensive power, n. 554. Kings, naturally lovers of low com-

pany, 11 106, in what sense the servants of the people, 11 302.

King's Friends, the, a faction so called, 1. 315, their proceedings, 316, their character, 329; the origin of, 342; consequences of their acts. 371

Knight-errantry, origin of it, vi. 351.

Labour, an article of trade, v. 88; a remedy for melancholy, 1 149, necessary, why, 148, called by the ancients instrumentum vocale, v 88, is that on which a man is most to rely for the repayment of his capital, ib; ancient classification of it, ib. Labourer, and employer, an im-plied contract always between

them, v 86, his first and fundamental interest, what, 88.

Labouring classes, the, happiness of, v 85. Labouring poor, impropriety of the

expression, v 84

Lacedemonians, at the head of the aristocratic interests of Greece, m 351. 118, 433.

has not an original

's Letter, 11. 316. Lancaster, duchy of, original use of, 11 76, conduct of Henry IV. relative to them, ib.

Landed interests, conduct of the French republic with regard to them, 111 353

Landed property, the firm basis of every stable government, v. 312.

Ju

vi 299.

society, ii. 426,

Landed estates of the Crown, remarks on, ii. 75.

Lands and forests, Crown, objections to them, ii. 79.

Landisfern, brief account of it, vi. 249.

Lanfranc, character of him, vi. 331.

Langrishe, Sir Hercules, letter to, on the Catholic question, iii. 298;

second letter to, vi. 56.

Langton, Stephen, his appointment to the see of Canterbury through the influence of the pope, vi. 393, 396; oath administered by him to John, on his absolution, 395.

Lauderdale, Earl of, Burke's reply

to, v. 110.

Law, evils of, i. 38; remarks on the study of it among the Americans, 467; origin and progress of, an interesting object of inquiry, vi. 412.

Law of neighbourhood, what, v.

216

"Law Suit," observations on that comedy, vi. 180.

Lawful enjoyment, the surest method to prevent unlawful gratification, iii. 308.

Law's Mississippi scheme, charac-

ter of it, ii. 511.

Laws, can reach but a little way, i. 331; their severity tempered by trial by jury, 353; superseded by occasions of public necessity, ii. 101; bad ones, the worst sort of tyranny, 148; cannot wholly influence manners, v. 108; civil laws not all merely positive, 216; two things necessary to the solid establishment of them, vi. 20; equity and utility the two foundations of them, 22; ought to be in unison with manners, 106; written in England in the native language until the Norman Conquest, 417; written in other northern nations in Latin, ib.; reason of this difference, ib.; of England, their sources not well laid open, 412; alterations in, subsequent to the Conquest, 417; heterogeneous character of, ib.; Saxon, 294; character and objects of, 295.

Laws of England, essay towards the

history of them, vi. 412.

Laws of Canute the Great, remarks on them, vi. 418; review of the sanctions of the ancient Saxon laws, 419; sources of them, 421.

Lawyers in the French National

Assembly, ii. 315.

Learning, an attention to it necessary to Christianity, vi. 247; contributed, in the early ages, to the influence of the clergy, 358.

Lechmere, Mr., his thoughts on the British constitution, iii. 46, 61; extract from his speech at the trial of Dr. Sacheverel, iii. 46,

48, 61*.* 

Legislative right, not to be exercised without regard to the general opinion of those who are to be governed, iii. 27; a legislative act different from a juridical one, vi. 128.

Legislation, important problem in

it, v. 107.

Legislators, bound only by the great principles of reason and equity, and the general sense of mankind, ii. 333; character of a wise legislator, 430; his duties, v. 108, vi. 19; the mode of proceeding of the ancient legislators, ii. 454.

Legislature, true end of it what, iii. 27, 55; rights of it, with regard to the succession of the crown, 64.

Leland, Doctor, his able refutation

of infidelity, vi. 111.

Length, too great, in buildings, prejudicial to grandeur of effect, i. 104.

Letters (Burke's) to the Sheriffs of Bristol, ii. 1; his two letters 552

to gentlemen in Bristol respecting Ireland, 43, to an Irish peer. fics, 1

Langr

298, v Esq, on the Duke of Bedford s attack, v 67, on the Regicide Russia, 431, to Fox on the American war, 446, to the Marquis

of Rockingham, 451 Levellers, n 322

Libel, power of juries in prosecu tions for, vi 154, bill for defining the powers of juries, 165,

parts of it, what, 158 Libelling, not the crime of an illi-

terate people, vi 157 Liberty, cannot long exist amongst a corrupt people, p. 40, descrip

tion of, vi. 63 Liberty and commerce the two main sources of power to Great Britain, 1 441, mistakes about liberty, 30, cannot long exist where the people are generally corrupt, 40, necessity of regu-lating it, 282, how men are qua lified for it, 556, Mr. Burke s declaration of his ideas concerning it, iii 28, difficulty of a contrivance fitted to unite public and private liberty, 113, review of the causes of the Revolution in favour of it, in the reign of king John vi 411

Light, all colours dependent upon it, 1 106, produces an idea of greatness, ib , when excessive, resembles darkness in its effects, 107, light and right colours un-

favourable to the sublime, 108 Limerick, treaty of, observations on it, v 168

Literary cabal, in France, ii 338 Liturgy, of the Established Church, alteration in it vi 97

Loans remarks on, v 313

Locke s remark on wit and judg ment, 1 59 on the church, v. 100, Luxury, some good consequences

an opinion of his concerning plea sure and pain, considered 1 70.

works of orators and poets, 1 84

Peace, 152, to the Empress of Lords House of, jurisdiction of, in cases of impeachment, vi 427, its laws and rules of proceeding, 428, affected alarm at a sup posed intrenchment by it on the balance of the constitution in the

reign of George II, 1 322, influence of it, ib , the fceblest part of the constitution, in 500

Loudness, a source of the sublime. 1 109

Louis XIV, his conduct at the peace of Ryswick, reason given by him for the revocation of the edict of Nantz vi 25, his dislike to his minister Louvois, ii

471 Louis XVI cause of French hostility to him, is 531, his financial reforms 59, his character, v 257, barbarous treatment ex perienced by him at the Revolu tion it 342 343, 347, 531, unjustly called an arbitrary monarch, degraded office to which he was appointed by the revolutionists, 469, not the first cause of the evils by which he suffered, v 249. Character of his brothers,

m 428 Louisiana relinquished to Spain, 1

Love, forsaken the feelings connected with it 1 75, its origin and nature, 113, distinct from lust, ib , generally described by diminutive epithets, 132, 160, the physical cause of it, what, 160

Lucretius, passages from him illus tratice of the theory of the sub-

hmc. 1 98, 177

of it, i. 299; a tax on it, the only contribution that can be called voluntary, v. 318.

Macartney, Lord, iii. 165; his statements on the Nabob of Arcot's affairs not credible, 166.

Machiavel, an observation of his on war, i. 10; a maxim of his, v. 383.

Madmen, singular fact concerning them accounted for, i. 102.

Magna Charta, observations on it, ii. 305; iii. 315; origin and nature of it, vi. 402; objects and provisions of, 405; events which led to it, 410.

Magnanimity, in politics, often the

truest wisdom, i. 509.

Magnificence, a source of the sublime, i. 105.

Magnitude, in buildings, necessary to the sublime, i. 103.

Mahomet's conquests, v. 256.

Mahrattas, the, v. 2.

Majority, in a commonwealth, what, iii. 82; not true, that in all conquests the decision will be in their favour, vi. 122. See Multitudes.

Malesherbes murdered by the French revolutionists, v. 331.

Malmesbury, Lord, v. 281, 294, 299, 305, 307.

Malvoisins, what, vi. 350.

Man, proportion not the cause of beauty in, i. 118; becomes in his progress through life a creature of habit and opinions, ii. 31; a religious animal, 362.

Manifestoes, on what occasion commonly made, iii. 410; matters usually contained in them, ib.

Manilla, ransom, remarks on it, i. 286.

Mankind, division of, into separate societies, i. 26.

Manners, while they remain entire, correct the vices of law, ii. 11; corrupted by civil wars, ib.;

maintained in Europe for ages, by the spirit of nobility and of religion, 350; in England, influenced by France, 352; had done alone in England what institutions and manners together had done in France, iii. 356; statesmen ought to know what appertains to them, v. 108; of more importance than laws, 208; laws ought to harmonize with them, vi. 106.

Mansfield, Lord, his declaration on rules of evidence, vi. 481.

Manufactures, English, v. 334.

Mara, an ancient Saxon goddess, vi. 240.

Maratta, invaded by the East India Company, ii. 188; treaty with them, ib.

Marie Antoinette, queen of France, her fortitude under ill treatment, ii. 347; animated description of her in youth, 348.

Marriage, importance of the Christian doctrine concerning it, v. 209; endeavours of the French National Assembly to desecrate it, 209; speech on marriage act, vi. 168; restraints upon it in the reign of King John, 404.

Marriage Act, royal, remarks on, vi. 168; principles upon which it is founded, 169.

Massacre of St. Bartholomew, ii.

Mathematical and metaphysical reasoning different from moral, vi. 133.

Matrimony, its objects, vi. 169.

Mazarin, Cardinal, not loved by Louis XIV., ii. 471; saying of his on settling the match between Louis XIV. and a daughter of Spain, ib.

Mediterranean Sea, importance to England of keeping a strong na-

val force there, 208.

Merchants, English, first consulted, i. 183; evidence given by them

to parhament, respecting Ame- | Mixed government, a. i. 33. rica, 280, 285; qualities of them, what, u. 227.

Mercy, not opposed to justice, in. 453, v. 519; consists not in the weakness of the means, but in the benignity of the ends, v. 466.

Merlott, John, letter fo, on Burke's

Moderate men, the, in France, ii, Modes of life, advantages of them,

427; injustice of disturbing them.

Modesty, excellence of it, i. 129, y 81 anght to be reminted.

V. 141. Middlesex election, speech on, vi.

Migration in early times, caused by pasturage and hunting, vi 192,

and by wars, 193. Militia, probable origin of it, vi-

Military life, observation on it, v. 319.

Mulitary officer, the qualifications of an able one, v 320.

Milton, his admirable description of death, 1 90; his celebrated portrait of Satan, 92; his fine 261

Mogul, the Great, conduct of the East India Company towards, ii. 184; sold by them, ib , treaty with him alleged to be broken by him, 187.

Mona, (the Island of Anglesca,) the principal residence of the Druids in the beginning of the reign of Nero, vi. 210; reduced by bue-

tonius Paulinus, ib. Monarchy, preferred by Bolingbroke to other governments, ii. 397; one of its characters, to

have no local seat, in. 429. advantages of the , 11. 428; character of,

times, 11. 212. Seneral, 1, 194 value of it how to be 312.

Monied companies, dangerous to tax great ones, 1. 258; ought to be allowed to set a value on their money, v. 313.

Monied interests, when formidable to a government, in 380.

Monk, General, character of an army commanded by him, 11.

Monopoly of authority, an evil of capital, a benefit, v. 96; trade in the interior of India, iv. 85.

. DELS XVI.,

ing the French Revolution, 111. 379; British to be controlled by the House of Commons, 506, observations on their duty in giving information to the public, v. 240 Minority, advantages possessed by a discontented one over the ma-

Munisters, conduct of several dur-

jority, v. 190; conduct of the, m 1793, m. 467; Burke's defence against the attacks of, v. 67.

Misletoe, why venerated by the Druids, vi. 202.

legislators of antiquity, ii. 455; his thoughts on the Luglish constiINDEX. 555

tution, iii. 113; character of him, ib.

Moral duties, not necessary that the reasons of the practice of them should be clear to all, i. 4.

Moral order of things, the view of great disasters in it, attended with similar advantages to those which we derive from a contemplation of miracles in the physical, ii. 353; moral reasoning different from mathematical, iii. 16; moral questions never abstract, vi. 123.

Morality, public, want of in public men, i. 295; consequences of

this, 298.

Mortality, a general one, always a time of remarkable wickedness, vi. 141.

Mounier and Lally, ii. 516.

Multitudes, shoutings of a great one, a cause of the sublime, i. 109; a majority of the multitude, told by the head, not the PEOPLE, iii. 92.

Music, observation concerning the beautiful in it, i. 140.

Nabob of Arcot, the Subah of Deccan and other provinces sold to him by the East India Company, ii. 186; some account of, iii. 116; Burke's speech on Mr. Fox's motion for the production of papers relating to him, 122; his debts, 197.

Nabob of Oude, treatment of him by the East India Company, ii.

197.

Nantz, edict of, reason assigned by Louis XIV. for the revocation of it, and observations on it, vi. 25.

Naples, how likely to be affected by the Revolution in France, iii.

363.

Nation, state of it in 1770, i. 306; speculation of the ministry on the cause of it, 308; animadversions on them, 309.

"Nation, Present State of the," animadversions on a pamphlet so called, i. 185; purpose of it, 189.

National Assembly of France, iii. 93; corresponds with the Revolution Society of London, ii. 279; character of it, 315, 435; studies recommended by it to the youth of France, 535; endeavours to imitate Rousseau, ib.

Natural power in man, the senses, the imagination, and the judg-

ment, i. 54.

Natural Society, vindication of, i. 2; what it is, 7.

Natural state of society, inconveniences attendant on, i. 6.

Nature, state of, inconveniences of it, i. 7.

Nature, the social, impels a man to propagate his principles, v. 245.

Navigation, of Great Britain, i. 199; Act of, observations on it, 264.

Navy, the great danger of economical experiments upon it, i. 241.

Navy Pay Office, proposed reform of, ii. 95.

Necessity, invincible, remarks on it, v. 310.

Neckar, Mons., his financial reforms, ii. 62; his estimate of French debt, 389.

Negro slavery, abolition of, v. 521. Negro slaves, remarks on all attempts to excite an insurrection among them by proclamation in the colonies, v. 468.

Negro Code, sketch of it in 1792, v

Neighbourhood, law of, what, v 216.

Netherlands, French influence there, iii. 369.

Neutrals in politics, iii. 435.

Newfoundland, view of the trade with it, i. 222.

New Spain. See Spain, New. Newspapers, powerful influence of them, in producing the Revolution in France, in 356. Night, a source of the sublime, i

Night, a source of the sublime, 89, 108 Noailles, Vicomte de, 111 434.

Nobility, the French, 11 407, character of, 1b.; clamour against them, an artifice, 409

Non-Intervention, principle of, falsely applied by European powers, in 400

Norman Conquest, extraordinary facility of it, vi 272, attempt to account for it, 273, the great era of the English liws, 422

tam, vi. 272, state of Europe at | the time, 305.

North, Lord, observations on character, v 117, 496.

Nouvelle Eloise of Rousseau, v. 540, 541.

Nova Scotia, province of, ii. 112 Novelty, the love of, the first and simplest emotion of the human mind, 1 67; the danger of indulging a desire for it in practical cases, iii 12

Nundcomar, Rajah, hanged, 11 355.

Oak, the, why venerated by the Druids, vi. 201

ror, 1 88, why more affecting than clearness, 90.

Observations on the conduct of

minority in 1793, iii 469.
Obstinacy, though a great and ic mischievous vice, closely allied to the masculine virtues, 1 127

October 6 1789, the morning of, in France, ii 313

Office, men too much conversant in it, have rarely enlarged minds, i 407, 409. Officers, military, nature of the for titude required of them, v. 322. Offices, taxes on, ii 66; the mean est, why held in feudal times by

considerable persons, 82.

Opinion, popular, an equivocal tes
of ment, v. 118; the generality
of it not to be judged of by the

of it not to be judged of by the noise of the acclamation, 191; public opinion, the basis of authority, 463, vi. 144.

Opinions, men impelled to propagate their own, by the social nature, v 245, frequently guide and direct the affections, 275, the most decided often stated in the form of questions, 372, the interest and duty of government to attend much to them, v. 111.

10st

211.

326 Orunge, the Prince of, his declara-

tions, m. 65 Ordeal, purgation by, among the

Saxons, vi 299
Oude, Nabob of, his treatment by
the East India Company, ii 197;

princesses of, treatment of, by Warren Hastings, 1v. 288, 424. Pain, pleasure, and indifference,

the three states of the mind, I.
68, difference between the removal of it and positive pleasure,
70, a source of the sublime,
112, cause of it, 145, how a
cause of delight, 147.

Painting, its effect on the passions,

Painting and poetry, when the pleasures arising from either spring from the pleasures of imitation and when from those of sympathy, 1.83.

Pandulph, the Pope's legate, his

politic dealing with King John, vi. 395; parallel between his conduct to King John, and that of the Roman consuls to the Carthaginians in the last Punic war, 397.

Papal power, uniform steadiness of it in the pursuits of its ambitious

projects, vi. 394.

Papal pretensions, origin of them, vi. 346.

Papal States, how likely to be affected by the Revolution in France, iii. 363.

Paper money of the National Assembly of France, ii. 510.

Papers, private, seizure of con-

demned, i. 182.

Parliament, remarks on it, ii. 259; power of dissolving it, the most critical and delicate of trusts vested in the Crown, i. 259; a security provided for the protection of freedom, v. 474; on the duration of, vi. 1, 132; disadvantages of triennial parliaments, 137.

Parliamentary control, importance

of it, i. 333.

Parliamentary disorders, ideas for the cure of them, i. 185.

Parliamentary reform, thoughts on, i. 366; short parliaments, vi. 132. See Commons, House of.

Parliaments, short, thoughts on, i. 365.

Parliaments of France, character of, ii. 476.

Parsimony, a leaning towards it in war may be the worst management, i. 214; parsimony is not economy, v. 126; a short-sighted one injurious, 312.

Party divisions, inseparable from a free government, i. 185; the part which a good citizen should take in them, ib.; character of a party, 375; mischievous tendency of them, v. 131.

THE PASSIONS:-

Joy and grief, i. 73; those which belong to self-preservation, 74;

those which belong to society, 75; those which belong to self-preservation turn upon pain and danger, ib.; those which belong to generation, 76; final cause of the difference between those that belong to self-preservation, and those which regard the society of the sexes, ib.; the social passions, 78; sympathy, ib.; imitation, \$2; ambition, 83; uses of the passions, 85; those caused by the sublime, 88; terror, its effect on the mind, ib.; effects of painting and poetry on the passions, 90; difficulty of inquiring into their causes, 91; how influenced by words, 178; an indulgence of them incompatible with freedom, ii. 555; strong ones awaken the faculties, v. 191; do not always awaken an infirm judgment, 278; passions which interest men in the characters of others, vi. 178.

Pasturage and hunting weaken those ties which attach men to any particular habitation, vi. 193. Paulus, observations of his on law,

vi. 23.

Peace, requisites of a good one, i. 203; a judgment may be formed of what it is likely to be, from the steps that are made to bring it about, v. 165; a good ground of it never laid until it has been nearly concluded, 172; an arrangement of it in its nature, a permament settlement, 236.

Peerage, the, servility of, to the

court, i. 323.

Peers, House of. See Lords, House of.

Penal laws, evils of suffering them to remain inoperative, vi. 104.

Penal statute, of William III., against the Papists, repeal of it, ii. 145, 149.

Pension, Burke's defence of his, v. 110.

Pensions, state, reasons for, ii. 102; paymaster of, 97.

People, the, not always blamable in I time of public disorders, 1 110. temper of them the chief study of a statesman, 307; generally fifty years behindhand in their politics, 311, a connexion with their interests, a necessary qualification of a ministry, 334, sense of them how to be as certained by the Ling 337. ought to have the power to protect their representatives, 356, liberty cannot long exist where they are generally corrupt in 40, the prey of impostors, 523, danger of an abuse of it, in 414. people of England love a mitigated monarchy more than even a good republic, 66, danger of teaching them to disregard their moral obligations to their governors, 77, the natural control on authority, 78, idea attached to the phrase, 82, the duty of government to attend to their voice, v 463, generally right in their disputes with government, 1 310, have no interest in disorder, ib , the source of power, ii. 122, in what sense

People of France. 414, dangerous

so, 288

power capable of reserve to their erroneous choice of an ob Pleasure and pain, observations on

vi 28, an observation of Mr Bayle on it, 29, general observations on it, 67

Persecutor, a violent one, frequently an unbeliever in his own creed, v 415

Peters, Hugh, remarks on his serтоп. и 338

Petition of Right, recognises the inheritable nature of the English crown. u 305.

Philosophical inquiries, how to be conducted, and use of them. Preface to Sublime and Beautiful, 1

Physic, the profession of it, in ancient times, annexed to the priesthood, vi 202

Physiognomy has a considerable share in the beauty of the human species, 1 136 Picts, the, vi 224, Picts' wall, ib. Pilgrimages, early advantages of

them, vi 217. Pilgrim's Progress, remark on, a

Pitt Wm , remarks on his conduct, 111 506, his admirable declaration on the war with the French republic, v 185, eulogy of his speech on the war with France,

266 Place Bill, proposed remedy for parliamentary disorders, i 367. Placemen, thoughts on their having

seats in parliament, 1 365 Plagues, in Athens and in London, wickedness remarkably pre

pain, and indifference, tates of the mind, 1 69, a positivo nature, 70; pleasures which belong to society, 75

Poetry, its effects on the passions, i 91, more powerful than painting, in moving them, 90, the rationale of this, 169; not strictly an imitative art, 177, does not depend for its effect on the raising of sensible images, 170, this exemplified, 174, affects rather by sympathy than imitation, 177, descriptive poetry operates chuffy

by substitution, ib.

Poland, character of the revolution there, iii. 101; state of, previous to the revolution, 103; contrasted with the revolution in France. 359, 367; partition of, v. 251.

Policy, a refined one, the parent of confusion, i. 454; inseparable

from justice, ii. 426.

Political connexion, held honourable in the ancient commonwealths, i. 374; an injudicious or mischievous system not necessarily of short duration, iii. 375.

Political economy had its origin

in England, v. 124.

Political parties, advantages of, i.

Politician, the, business of, 378.

Politics, different in different ages, i. 311; ought to be adjusted to human nature, 380; unsuitable to the pulpit, ii. 286.

Polybius, anecdote concerning him,

iii. 328.

Poor, the labouring, their poverty owing to their numbers, v. 84; proper compassion for them,

what, ib., 321.

Pope, the, treatment of him by the French Revolutionists, v. 286; his disputes with Henry I., vi. his motives for giving Henry II. a commission to conquer Ireland, 367.

Popery, laws affecting it, in Ireland, v. 5; remarks on them, vi. 19, 50; their consequences, 42.

Popery Laws, (Ireland,) tracts on, vi. 5; letter on, to W. Smith, 49.

Popular election, essential to the great object of government, vi. 132; evils connected with it, ib.; the great advantage of a free state, i. 333; remarks on, vi. 133.

Popular opinion, an equivocal test of merit, v. 117.

Population, increase in, not compa-

tible with bad government, ii. 399; rapid increase of it in America, i. 456; state of it, a certain standard by which to estimate the effects of a government on any country, ii. 399; review of the state of it in France, ib.; effects of peace and war on it compared, v. 322.

Portugal, out of the road of French

politics, iii. 366.

Power, idea of, its effect on the mind, i. 94; all sublimity a modification of it, 95; incompacredit, 258; the tible with civil power, when it calls in the aid of the military, perishes by the aid it receives, 342; arbitrary power steals upon a people, by being rarely exercised, ii. 10; persons possessed of power ought to have a strong sense of religion, 365; difficulty and importance of managing it well, iv. 280; not easily abandoned, 364; dissensions in the commonwealth mostly concerning the hands in which power is to be placed, iii. 77; necessity of teaching men to restrain the immoderate desire and exercise of it, ib.; active power never placed by wise legislators in the hands of the multitude, 78; danger of a resumption of it by the people, 82; not always connected with property, 372; the possession of it discovers a man's true character, v. 246; men will make the greatest sacrifices to obtain it, vi. 140.

Pownall, Governor, i. 263.

Prejudice cannot be created, vi. 52.

Prerogative, remarks on the exercise of it, ii. 28.

Prerogative of the Crown, the, growth of, i. 313; means employed to effect this, ib.

Presbyterianism, remarks on it, iii.

Prescription, part of the law of

nature, n. 422; the most recog- | Protestant ascendency, vi. 66; obnised title in jurisprudence, vi 60. Present state of the nation, observ-

ations on, i. 185. Preservation, self, the passions

which belong to it, i 74. Price, Dr. Richard, his sermon on

the French Revolution, u. 285 observations on it, 283, 302, 326, 352; declarations of, vi. 125. Price of commodities, how raised,

v. 90; danger of attempting to raise it by authority, 89.

Priestley, declarations of, as to the church, vs. 125.

Primogeniture, law of, in. 69, right of, impolicy of taking it away, 1b . VI. 7.

Principal of a debt, cannot distress

servations on it, 64. Protestant Association, animad-

versions on it, ii, 143

Protestant, in what sense the state was declared so, at the Revolution, iti. 308; Protestantism not then undefined, 309.

Protestants, errors of the early ones. m. 145: misconduct of those in the south of France at the Revolution, 444

Provisions, trade of, danger of tampering with it, v. 83.

Prudence, the first in rank of the political and moral virtues, iii. 16; its rules and definitions seldom exact, never universal, v. 158, its decisions differ from those of judicature, iv 514.

Prussia, policy of, in 441. Psalms, abound in instances of the union of a sacred awe with our

ideas of the Deity, 1. 98. Public affairs, state of them previous to the formation of the

Rockingham administration, i 266.Public men, want of public morality in, i. 295; desiderata in,

298; not all equally corrupt, n. 38. Public opinion, the duty of states-

men to consider it, 1. 307, ii. 63, v. 464. Public service, means of rewardmg it necessary in every state, ii.

102. Punishment, considerations necessary to be observed in inflicting it, in. 451; inflicted by the

Saxons, vi. 301; remarks on the nature of it, ib. Punishments, modes of, among the

Saxons, vi. 301. Purgation by ordeal and oath,

among the baxons, vs. 296. Purveyance, and receipt in kind, what, ii. 81; taken away by

Charles II., 1b.; and afterwards revived, 1b.

of Mr. Burke to unite them to the Crown, 74. Privations, all general ones great,

ı. 99. Probert, John, n. 72.

Profit, an honest and fair one, the best security against avarice and rapacity, n. 105.

Property, necessity of securities for it in a republic, ii. 446; influence of, i. 325; laws affecting, among the Saxons, vi. 302, how affected by the laws against Popery in Ireland, 42; ought to predominate over ability in the representation, 11 324, importance of the power of perpetuating it, 324; not inseparably connected with power, in. 372.

Proportion, what, 1 118; not the cause of beauty in vegetables, 111, nor in animals, 117; nor in the human species, 118, whence the notion of it arose, 122. Prosperity, discovers the real cha-

racter of a man, n. 533; a constant prejudice in favour of it, m. 425.

Pythagoras, his discipline contrasted with that of Socrates, vi. 198; silence why enjoined by him, ib.

Quakerism, aristocratic, vi. 125. Queen of France. See Marie Antoinette.

Ransom, by auction, (in American taxation,) method of it impracticable, i. 502.

Raymond, Count of Tholouse, engages in the crusade, vi. 337.

Reason, sound, no real virtue without it, ii. 535; never inconvenient but when it comes to be applied, vi. 24; the standard of, i. 53; its influence in producing the passions, i. 79.

Reasoners, men often the worst reasoners for having been ministers,

i. 235.

Reflections on the French Revolution, ii. 277, 519.

Reform, practical, difficulties attendant upon, ii. 437.

Reform in parliament, to be approached with great caution, vi. 3; should be slow, ii. 439; short parliaments, vi. 132. See further, Parliamentary Reform and Commons' House.

Reform, economical, speech on, ii.

55.

Reform in representation of the House of Commons, vi. 144.

Reformation, different from change, v. 120; observations on it, ii. 439, vì. 1.

Reformation, the, observations on it, ii. 144; effects of it, iii. 350.

Reformations, in England, have all proceeded upon the principle of reference to antiquity, ii. 306.

Reformers, English, conduct of them, ii. 420.

Regicide, by establishment, what, v. 207.

Regicide Commonwealth, a, what, v. 207.

Regicide Peace, the, thoughts on, vol. vi.

v. 152, 358; three letters on, 152, 231, 261; fourth letter on, 358.

Religion, a principle of energy, i. 466: among the most powerful causes of enthusiasm, v. 245; the basis of civil society, ii, 362: paramount to all human laws. vi. 32; the Christian, overcame all opposition, 194; the magistrate has a right to direct the exterior ceramonies of it. 108: writers against it never set up any of their own, i. 5; effects of it on the colonists of America. 474; respected in England, ii. 26; a strong sense of it necessary to those in power, 33; mischievous consequences of changing it, except under strong conviction, iii. 443.

Religious ceremonies, vi. 108.

Religious freedom, vi. 61.

Religious persecution, indefensible, vi. 104.

Religious opinions, not the only cause of enthusiasm, v. 245.

Remarks on the policy of the allies in 1793, iii, 410.

Rent derived from land, should be returned to the industry whence it came, ii. 430.

Repetition of the same story, ef-

fects of it, iii. 356.

Report of the Committee of the Commons, in relation to precedents and the course of proceedings in impeachment, vi. 423.

Representation, virtual advantages of, iii. 334; personal, objections

to, vi. 146.

Representation of America in the British parliament, impossible, i. 260; ought to represent the ability as well as the property of a state, ii. 324; comparison between the representation of England and that of France in the National Assembly, 458; natural, what, v. 190.

Representation to his Majesty, on

 $^2$   $^{\circ}$ 

the speech from the throne, 11

Representative, his duty to his constituents, 1 446, ii 66
Republic, the Athenian, 1 31
Republican government

Republican government, remarks on, in 36

Republics, ancient, ii 453 Resemblance, pleasing to the ima gination, i 59

Resolutions, moved by Burke, respecting America, p. 510 Responsibility, nature of it, 11, 472,

v 354
Revenue, great importance of it to a state, ii 497, demands great

ability for its management, ib, of France, ib
Revenues of the Crown, object of

Revenues of the Grown, object of the alterations in, i 360, of Wil ham the Conqueror, vi 319 Revolution, the French, character

nevolution, the French, Character of, v 210, as it affected other nutions, 231, 302, not to be compared with that of 1688, in 278, general observations on it in 308, characterized as a revolution of doctrine and theoretic dogma, in 350, as relates to foreign states, v 231

Revolution of 1688, diminished influence of the Crown at that time how compensated, 1 314 brief remarks on it in 278, ples of it in the Declar Right in 290, grounds

Revolution Society, the, corresponds with the Society of France, 280, gin and character, ib

Revolutionists the French, described, v 246, 435

Reynolds, Sir Joshua, an excellent observation of his on taste in 114 Rich, the, trustices for the poor, v 84, their duties stated ib, do pendent on the poor, ib, need the consolations of religion, iv 234.

Richard I, brief account of his

reign, vi 376, pirallel between him and Charles XII of Sweden, 384

Richelieu, Cardinal, hated by Louis XIII, in 471 Rider's Almanac referred to v

360 Rights presumed, their effects of

great weight in deciding on their validity, in 92

Rights of men theory of, animadversions on it, ii 331, iii 94, the rights of men often sophistically confounded with their power, ii 335

Rioters of 1780 Burke's letters on their execution, v 513 515 Robespierre, his character, in 434,

Robespierre, his character, in 434, v 397 Rochefoucault, Cardinal, and Duke

of 11 386 Rochford, Lord, his conduct with regard to Corsica, 1 340

Rockingham Administration, the, account of, 1 182, Marquis of, Burke s letter to, on the proposed secession of the minority in the House of Commons, v 451, state of public affairs at the formation of the Administration 1 267, character and conduct of it, 272, ideas of it with regard to America, 283, his Lordship's conduct

n Ireland, laws affecting them, vi 5, remarks on, 18, 49, coa sequences of 42, Mr Burks of defence of the parliamentary of the regard that the grant them, and the search of the search

feets of placing the appointment of the Irish Roman Catholic clergy in the hands of the Lord-Licutement, 259.

man empire, politics of, vi. 216;

fall of, in Britain, 221.

oman politics, under the emperors, different from those which actuated the republic, vi. 216; procurators under the emperors, why invested with greater powers than the legates, 219; mintary ways, origin, nature, and extent of them, 221; nature of the revenues, 222.

tomans, methods by which they held their dominion over conquered nations, vi. 218.

Rome, ancient, examples from its history to show the danger of an attempt to feed the people out of the hands of the magistrates, v. 100; destroyed by the disorders of continual elections, vi. 139; and by its heavy taxes, 223; bounds of the empire first contracted by Adrian, 224; the third great change after the dissolu-

228. Rota, in the French Republic, remarks on it, iii. 373.

tion of the commonwealth, what,

Rotund, grand effect of it, i. 102; accounted for, ib.

Rousseau, ii. 358, 535; Mr. Hume's account from himself of the secret of his principles of composition, 411; the leaders in the National Assembly endeavour to imitate him, iii. 535; vanity his prevailing passion, 536; brief character of him, 537; totally destitute of taste, 539; his reprehensible ideas on the passions in the Nouvelle Eloise, 540; character of his

style, ib.
Royal establishments, ii. 70; evils resulting from them, 73.

Royal household, expenses of, ii.

Horal margin c. in "

Regal Manuage Ash, to make a first

Regulates ( Planer, on bear

Russell, Barro, the best " a fine ractor, to bill. See Bell !

Ringia, treaty punts musture in a hore far hable to rea with the sestary the emperator his hore than a far, where there exists had a ringress of, 184.

Russlan treaty of a minister, y and Rufus, Wilmans, character of, to 302; his treat, cold.

Suchercrel. Best to imprache of of him control on ly the White for the purpose of status we principles of the Revision of the Algebraich in the Revision of the Status and a status of the Status of t

Salaries, judice, of season and a tax upon them, so the policy of the not to the taxing of ton; text not be making them interal, 1945.

Saladin, Sultan of Egypt, Paket to reduced by lum, vi. 1944 defeate ed by Richard L. 319.

Sallust, remarkeen his tooly a naturaled characters of Cusar and Cato, i. 100.

Salt, monopolized by the Preach government, i. 231.

Salt, monopoly trade in, in Heugal, iv. 103.

Saltpetre, monopoly trade in, in Bengal, iv. 123.

Santerre, his brutal conduct to Louis XVI., v. 426.

Saracens, their character and operations, vi. 306.

Sarum, Old, ii. 83.

Saunders, Sir Charles, i. 191.

Saville, Sir George, his character, ii. 149; his act for the repeal of a penal statute of William III., 149.

Saxons, wholly altered in England since the Conquest, vi. 415; sources of them, 421; the question, whether the crown was elective or board. the speech from the throne, u

Representative, his duty to his constituents 1 446, ii 66 Republic, the 4thenian, t 31 Republican government, remarks

on, m 36 Republics, ancient, ii 453

Resemblance, pleasing to the ima

v 354

Revenue, great importance o a state, 11 497, demands ability for its management of France 1b

Revenues of the Crown, object of the alterations in. 1 360, of Wil liam the Conqueror, vi 319 Revolution, the French, character

of, v 210, as it affected other nations, 231, 302, not to be com pared with that of 1688, in 278, general observations on it, ii 308 characterized as a revolution of doctrine and theoretic dogma, un 350, as relates to foreign states, v 231

Revolution of 1688, diminished influence of the Crown at that time, how compensated, 1 314, brief remarks on it, in. 278, princi ples of it in the Declaration of Right, it 290, grounds of + 1v | Robills war iv 220

Revolution Society, the, corresponds with the Society of France, 280, its on gin and character, ib

Revolutionists, the French, de

pendent on the poor, ib , need ! the consolations of religion, 17 234 Richard I, brief account of his

reign, vi 376, parallel between him and Charles XII of Sweden 384

Richelieu, Cardinal, hated by Louis XIII , ic 471

Rider s Almanac referred to v

Rights presumed, their effects of great weight in deciding on their validity, in 92

Rights of men theory of, animad versions on it, ii 331, iii 94, the rights of men often sophistically confounded with their

v 397 Rochefoucault, Cardinal, and Duke of n 386 Rochford, Lord, his conduct with

regard to Corsica, 1 340 Rockingham Administration, the, account of, 1 182, Marquis of, Burke s letter to, on the proposed secession of the minority in the House of Commons, v 454, state of public affairs at the forma tion of his Administration, 1 267, character and conduct of it, 272, ideas of it with regard to Ameri ca, 283, his Lordship's conduct in American affairs, 1 412, 416,

ceedings affecting them, it 143, in Ireland, laws affecting them, vi 5, remarks on, 18, 49, con sequences of 42, Mr Burkes defence of his parliamentary con duct with regard to them, it. 143, letter on the penal laws against them, in 282, mode of education necessary for their clergy, 258, condition of the clergy before the restraints on marriage, 290, mischievous ef

Burke's letter to, v 454

fects of placing the appointment of the Irish Roman Catholic clergy in the hands of the Lord-Lieutenant, 289.

Roman empire, politics of, vi. 216;

fall of, in Britain, 224.

Roman politics, under the emperors, different from those which actuated the republic, vi. 216; procurators under the emperors, why invested with greater powers than the legates, 219; military ways, origin, nature, and extent of them, 221; nature of the revenues, 222.

Romans, methods by which they held their dominion over con-

quered nations, vi. 218.

Rome, ancient, examples from its history to show the danger of an attempt to feed the people out of the hands of the magistrates, v. 100; destroyed by the disorders of continual elections, vi. 139; and by its heavy taxes, 223; bounds of the empire first contracted by Adrian, 224; the third great change after the dissolution of the commonwealth, what, 228.

Rota, in the French Republic, remarks on it, iii. 373.

Rotund, grand effect of it, i. 102;

accounted for, ib.

Rousseau, ii. 358, 535; Mr. Hume's account from himself of the secret of his principles of composition, 441; the leaders in the National Assembly endeavour to imitate him, iii. 535; vanity his prevailing passion, 536; brief character of him, 537; totally destitute of taste, 539; his reprehensible ideas on the passions in the Nouvelle Eloise, 540; character of his style, ib.

Royal establishments, ii. 70; evils resulting from them, 73.

Royal household, expenses of, ii.

Royal wardrobe, ii. 59.

Royal Marriage Act, remarks on, vi. 168.

Royalists of France, iii. 122.

Russell, Baron, the first, his character, v. 131. See Bedford.

Russia, treaty made with, i. 183; how far liable to revolution, in: 367; the emperor of, his character, v. 289; Burke's letter to the empress of, 431.

Russian treaty of commerce, i. 259.
Russia, William, character of, vi.

332; his reign, 331.

Sacheverel, Doctor, impeachment of him carried on by the Whice for the purpose of stating the principles of the Revolution, iii. 44; extracts from his trial, 48.

Salaries, public, observations on a tax upon them, ii. 67; objections to the taxing of, 68; reasons for making them liberal, 101.

Saladin, Sultan of Egypt, Palestine reduced by him, vi. 320; defeated by Richard I., 379.

Sallust, remarks on his finely contrasted characters of Capir and Cato, i. 130.

Salt, monopolized by the French government, i. 231.

Salt, monopoly trade in, in Rengal, iv. 103.

Saltpetre, monopoly trade in, in Bengal, iv. 123.

Santerre, his brutal conduct to Louis XVI., v. 426.

Saracens, their character and operations, vi. 306.

Sarum, Old, ii. 83.

Saunders, Sir Charles, i. 191.

Saville, Sir George, his character, ii. 149; his act for the repeal of a penal statute of William III., 149.

Saxons, wholly altered in England since the Conquest, vi. 415; sources of them, 421; the question, whether the crown was elective or here?

of their history, 253, their laws and institutions, 279, 294, condition of, in 1791, in 367

Scarcity, thoughts and details on, v 83, policy of government in

time of, 99 Scotland, beneficial effects of its union with England, ii 47, re marks on the Church of Scotland. 111 309 Scripture, indefinite nature of sub36

Sinecures, mode of dealing wi them, 11 100 Strach, Son of, fine example of th sublime, from his Book of Wi

dom, 1 106 Slave trade, abolition of, m 517 thoughts on it, v 521, propose code for regulating it, 521

Slavery, its effects upon the mind of freemen, in the same state, i

nesses, vi 499

Self preservation, the passions which belong to it, 1 74, the sublime, an idea belonging to it, 112 See Passions

Senate, none in French constitution, 11 468

Senses, the, sources of the sublime, 1 74, general remarks on them, 54, ought to be put ander the ! tuition of the judgment

Serpent why an object of tion, vi 202

are, his descripti ong's army in Henry I ample of the sublume, 1 Shelburne, Lord, animady

a passage in his speech, Shaw Allum, 1v 229 Sheridan's attack on Burke, ni 281. i

Sheriff, office of, amongst the Saxons, vi 291 Sheriffs of Bristol, Burke's letter

to, n 513 Short account of a short administration, 1 182

Short parliaments, vi 132, objections to, 137 Silence, why enjoined by Pythago-

ras and the Druids, vi. 198

Selden, his observations on wit | Smelling, the idea of, enters into the sublime, 1 III, the cause of this, 164

Smells, remarks on : 111, taste and smell, their agreement, I II

Smith, Sir Sidney, observations on his conduct, v 273, his claims on the British nation, 1b Smith, William, letter to, on the

laws affecting Roman Catholics in Ireland, vi. 49

goterumen, J, ... of, 11 332, based on religion, 362, notion of, how first taught, 79 , its continuance under a permanent covenant, 11 367, society and solitude compared, & 78, great object of it, what, Ti.

Socrates, his discipline contrasted with that of Pythagoras, vi. 1.8 Solitude, pleasures of, 1. 78, some-

565 INDEX.

thing may be done in it for the ) benefit of society, v. 79.

Somers, Lord, the Declaration of Right framed by him, ii. 292.

Sophia, the Princess, why named in the Act of Settlement as the root of inheritance to the kings of England, ii. 297.

Sophia, St., church of, anecdote of the Greeks assembled there when Mahomet II. entered Constantinople, v. 422.

Sound, a source of the sublime, i. 109; grand effect of a single one repeated at short intervals, ib.; - intermitting one, productive of the sublime, 110; the beautiful in sounds, 140.

Sounds, sweet, a source of the beau-

tiful**, i.** 140. Spain, condition of, in 1791, iii. 364; how likely to be affected by the Revolution in France, ib., 396; not a substantive power, 397.

Speciousness and elegance, i. 138. Speech, by Mr. Burke, on American taxation, i. 382; on his arrival at Bristol, 439; to the electors of Bristol on being elected, 442; on moving the resolution for the conciliation with the colonies, 450; on economical reform, ii. 55; at the Guildhall in Bristol, relative to his parliamentary conduct, 127; in parliament on Mr. Fox's East India Bill, 173; on the Nabob of Arcot's debts, iii. 116; on the army estimates, 269; at Bristol, 1780, on declining the poll, ii. 170; on the Acts of Uniformity, vi. 91; on the bill for the relief of Protestant Dissenters, 102; on the petition of the Unitarians, 113; on the Middlesex election, 126; on a bill for shortening the duration of parliaments, 132; on the reform of the representation in the House of Commons, 144; on the powers of juries in prose-

cutions for libels, 154; on the bill for repealing the Marriage Act, 168; on the Bill for restraining dormant claims of the church, 172.

Spelman, difficulties overcome by him in the study of the law, vi.

Spirituous liquors, beneficial effects of them, v. 106.

Spon, Mr., his curious story of Campanella, i. 146.

Spring, the, why the pleasantest of the seasons, i. 104.

Stability, one of the requisites of a good peace, i. 203.

Stafford, Lord, extract from his trial, vi. 443.

Stamp Act, American, origin and progress of it, i. 270; opposed, 272; repealed, 273; motives for the repeal, 275; good effects of the repeal, 282.

Standing army in France, iii. 277.

Stanhope, General, extract from his speech at the trial of Doctor Sacheverel, iii. 50; his thoughts on the English constitution, ib.

Starry heaven, why productive of the idea of grandeur, i. 105.

State, the, ambiguity of the phrase, iii. 302; meaning of the term, ib.; important considerations respecting the question of vesting in it some one description of citizens, 304.

States, varieties in their progress to perfection and decay, v. 153; not necessarily subject to the laws which determine the duration of individuals, ib.; those which bound their efforts only with their being, must give laws to those which will not push their opposition beyond their convenience, 157.

States-General of France, ii. 315;

instructions to them, 554. Statesman, a, his primary duty, i. 307; should be governed by circumstances, vi. 114; should 566 INDEX

people, 1 307, character of an able one, u 427, v 108, differ from professors in the universities. v1 114.

Stephen, King, vi 348, reign of,

Stonehenge, grand, why, 1 observations on it, vi 199 Stones, rude ones, why objects of

veneration, vi 202

Strafford, Earl the House o

trial, vi 431.

his prosecution, 502 Sublime the sources of, 1 74, the

passion caused by, 88, compared with the beautiful, 141, 169, efficient cause of, 143, the strong est emption of the mind, 74, its cause, ib , its nature, 88, an idea belonging to self preserva tion, 112, in all things abhors mediocrity, 107, why produced by visual objects of great dimensions, 149

Sublime and beautiful, an inquiry into the origin of our ideas of them, 1 52, stand on very dif ferent foundations, 132, how produced, 147

Subscription to the XXXIX. Arti cles, remarks on, vi 97

Succession effects of, in visual ob jects explained, 1 153, the prin ciple of hereditary succession re cognised at the Revolution, ii 293

See Uniformity Suddenness a source of the sub

blume, 1. 109

Suffering all must be prepared for it who aspire to act greatly,

r 165 Sullivan agent of the Nabob of

Arcot ui 155 Sully, M de, an observation of his on revolutions in a state, 1 310 Superstition, the religion of feeble minds, ii 429, nature of it, 430

Surplus produce, nature and application of it, it 431

chiefly study the temper of the | Sweden, its revolutionary tenden

cies, 111 366 Sweetness its nature, i 162, re laxing, 163

Switzerland an object with the French Revolutionists in 1791, 1tt 361

Sympathy, its effects on the dis tresses of others, 1 79, 80, ob servations on it, v 2,2

COL duct, v 426

Tanjore, province of, in 177 Taste, the standard of, 1 52, defi mitton of, 53, agreement of mankind in matters of, 56, various qualities of 63, dependent upon the judgment, 65, discourse concerning it, 52, want of it, whence 61, a wrong or bad one, what 1b, a good one, 65, taste

and smell, their agreement, 141, taste and elegance of no mean importance in the regulation of life n 539 Tax, Irish absenice, objections to it,

· 437 Tax upon salaries, remarks upon

1t, 11 66 Taxation, British, v 328, lighter

in England than in any other great state of Europe, 1 232 Taxes, in France, 1 232, 11 390,

mode of levying them in com meteral colonies, an important and difficult consideration, : 217. nature of several in America, 248 . remarks on taxes on offices, 11 66, on different establishments, 67, the great contests for freedom in England, chiefly upon the question of taxing, 1 401, remarks on Luglish taxes, v

Tentar, Burkes speech on, i 356

Teas, consumption of, in America, 1 400

Temple, Sir William, v. 301.

Terror, its effects on the mind, i. 88, 145; often the source of delight, 80; a cause of the sublime, 85; produces an unnatural tension of the nerves, 147; how the cause of delight, ib.

Test Act, observation on it, iii. 314.

Thanes, origin and character of them, vi. 286.

Theatrical entertainments, remarks on them, ii. 353, v. 209; made an affair of state by the French regicides, v. 218.

Theodorus, Archbishop of Canterbury, character of him, vi. 249. Third Estate in France, ii. 314.

Thirty-nine Articles, the, relief from them proposed, vi- 97; objections to this, 98.

Thoughts on French affairs, iii. 347. Thoughts on scarcity, by Burke,

v. 83.

Thoughts on the present discontents, i. 306.

Tiers Etat, in France, how composed, ii. 314.

Time blends the conquered with the conquerors, iii. 319.

Tithes, remarks on, vi. 173. Tithing court, the Saxon, vi.

Tithing court, the Saxon, vi. 258. Tithings, in England, vi. 259.

Toleration, Burke's notions on, iii. 445, vi. 104.

Toleration Bill, Irish, thoughts on, v. 486.

Toleration in England, what, ii. 421; ought to be tender and large, iii. 309; not opposed to Christianity, vi. 96; not afforded by the heathens, vi. 108.

Touch, a source of the idea of

beauty, i. 134. Toulon, fleet of

Toulon, fleet of, injudicious measures of the English government with regard to it, iii. 439, 440.

Townshend, Charles, portraiture of, i. 426; character of, ib.

Trade, state of, in England, during the French war, v. 345; laws

affecting that of Ireland, in 14; sometimes were to period when it only assumes a different form. I. 217; states, f. 224; queskiy and deply affected by taxes, 275, state of it, how to be judged the v. 345.

Trade, Road of, origin at Loperas from of it, it, 16%

Trade and Plantstone, R. welsh in 199.

Tragedy, the effects of a 34 genes

Transmigration of confo, onem of the distribut, sa. 1995.

Treasurer's staif, Lord Co4o's remarks on the use of it, ii. 119.

Trent, Council of, effect of its regulations to positing seminories, in-291.

Triangle, the form of it, the parts of in effect of all simile hyperes, a. 101.

Triennial parliaments, disalvantages of them, vi. 136.

Trinoda necessitas, in Saxon law, what, vi. 304.

Tucker, Dean, on the Stamp Act, i. 421.

Turkey, power sought there with avadity not with standing the known danger of its tenure, vi. 140.

Tyranny, augmented by contumely, ii. 210; ought to be punished, 355; the desire of it often lurks in the claim of an extravagant liberty, iii. 41.

Ugliness, opposite to beauty, but not to proportion and fitness, nor to sublimity, i. 137.

Uniformity of parts and succession necessary to our idea of artificial infinite, i. 102.

Uniformity, Acts of, speech upon, vi. 91.

Union, political, advantages of, i. 375, Unitarians, speech on the petition of, vi. 113.

Unity, why requisite to vastness, i. 150.

568

Universal, nothing universal can be rationally affirmed on any moral or political subject, in 16.

Use to be attended to in works of art, 1, 105; use and habit not the cause of pleasure, 125.

Use and beauty, the ideas of, not necessarily connected, 1 125 Utility not the cause of beauty, 1

125.

Vanity, nature and tendency of 1t, 11. 536.

536.
 Variation, beautiful, why, 1 165, gradual, essential to beauty, 133.

the cause of this, 165.

Vastness, a cause of the sublime, 1
100; unity, why necessary to it,

Vattell on Alliances, in. 460 Vegetables, proportion not the

cause of beauty in, 1. 114. Venice, republic of, its regulations with respect to offices of state,

iii. 303, how originated, vi. 308, acquires the island of Cyprus, 377; the only state in Europe which derived any advantage from the crusades. 378

Verbal description a means of raising a stronger emotion than paint-

536.
Vicinity, civil law of, what, v. 216.
Vindication of natural society i 6
Virgil, his description o.

scure and magnificent combination of image mendous dignity in 1

tion of the mouth of hell, 99, a passage from him illustrative of the sublime effect of the cnes of animals, 111; and of smells, 112, examples of fine painting, 178, v. 121.

Virtue, progress of a transition from it to vice, in public men, described, 1. 296; will spread as well as vice by contact, 11. 40; enumeration of those virtues which cause admiration, 1. 130; enumeration of the softer virtues, bi, how far the idea of beauty may be applied to 11, ib.; humility the foundation of virtue, 11 536.

Virtues of the mind, 1, 131.

Virtues of the mind, 1, 131. Visual objects of great dimensions,

why sublune, i. 149, effect of succession in them explained, 153 Voice of the people, the duty of

Virtue, public, cannot grow under

statesmen to regard it, 1, 307, 11

Voltaire, ii 358.

Voters, in England, more in the spirit of the constitution to lessen than to enlarge their number, 1-259.

Wages, the rate of them has no direct relation to the price of provisions, v 86

Wales, abortue attempt to increase the revenue from, it. 73; injudeciously and mischierously governed by England for two hundred years, i 485; alteration of the system in the reign of Henry VIII, j. 486; principality of, it. 72, English policy towards, i. 485.

Wales, Frederick, Prince of, project of government devised in his court, 1 315: considered, 317;

the trial of Doctor Sacharerel, in. 51; forced into war with Spain by the people of England, v. 192; remarks on his character, 191.

War, justifiable when intended to preserve political independence and civil freedom, v. 205; canINDEX. 569

not long be carried on against the will of the people, 189; effects of that with France, on the English people, 318; civil, effects of, ii. 10; the original cause of it often very far from being the principal purpose, i. 296; economy not easily reconciled with it, 214; labourers and manufacturers not capable of understanding the grounds of it, iii. 492; war of England with the French Republic, a war with an armed doctrine, v. 164; general observations on it, 122; the power of making it, why put under the discretion of the Crown, ii. 471; principle of the law of nations with regard to it, vi. 41; the sole means of justice, v. 213.

Warrants. See General Warrants. Warren Hastings, Report of the Committee of the House of Commons, appointed to inspect the Lords' Journals, vi. 423. See

Hastings.

Wars in the early periods of so-

ciety, i. 9. See War.

Warwick, Earl of, extract from his trial, and observations on it, vi. 444.

Washington, v. 391.

Waste lands and commons, enclosure of, v. 340.

Water, why venerated by the Druids, vi. 201.

Weakness, human, seldom pitied by those who applaud prosperous folly or successful guilt, ii. 92.

Wealth, internal, consists in useful commodities as much as in gold and silver, i. 223; a certain standard by which to estimate the character of a government, ii. 400; can never rank first in England, v. 345; ought always to be subservient to virtue and public honour, 159; remark of a foreigner on the display of it in the shops in London, 345.

West Indians, always indebted to English merchants, i. 196.

West Indies, relative position of, politically, v. 239; their value to England, i. 196.

Whigs, an appeal from the new to the old, iii. I; opinions of the former, 68; the great connexion of them in the reign of Queen Anne, i. 375; the impeachment of Dr. Sacheverel carried on by them for the purpose of stating the true principles of the Revolution, iii. 45; principles of the New Whigs, 41; another statement from their writings, 68; their opinion with respect to the power of the people over the commonwealth, ib.

Wickham, Mr., British minister in

Switzerland, v, 174.

Wilkes, Mr., his contest with the court party, i. 351; cause of, ib.; pretence for punishing him, 353; remarks on his expulsion from the House of Commons, vi. 127.

Will and duty, contradictory terms, iii. 78; our duty not dependent

on it, 79.

William the Conqueror, account of his reign, vi. 311; his distribution of the land, 317; his reformation of the church, 318; his revenues, ib.; character of his reign, 331; extraordinary facility of his conquest of England accounted for, 273; reasons assigned for his numerous followers, 310.

William II., (Rufus,) vi. 332.

William III., his elevation to the throne an act not of choice, but of necessity, ii. 292; his judicious appointment of able men to the episcopal bench, 527; the spiritual address of the Commons to him respecting the war against France, iii. 45; origin of that war, v. 195; singular address with which he conducted it, 199;

address of the House of Lords respecting it, 301.

respecting it, 301. Wintoun, Lord, extracts from his

trial, vi. 437.
Wisdom of the Son of Sirach, example of the sublime from it, i

Wishes, vehement, the discovery of them generally frustrates their accomplishment, v. 166.

Wit and judgment, difference between them, i. 58.

Wittenagemote, the Saxon, vi. 291. Words, mischiefs arising out of, vi.

71; their power over the mind, 1, 170, 172; not necessary that they should raise images to affect the mind, 173; how they influence the passions, 178, the best means of communicating the affections of the mind, 169; affect

us in a manner very different from natural objects, painting, or architecture, ib.; three sorts of them, 170; general words before ideas, 171; effect of them, 172; aggregate words do not necessarily operate by presenting images to mind, ib.; exemplified in the case of Blackot, 174; and of Saunderson, ib.; the only means by which many ideas have been presented to the mind, 178.

Works, Board of, its cost, it. 89. Writers, when they act in a body, have much influence on the public mind, it. 384.

Zeal, novelty not the only source of, v 79.

Zisca, John, v. 111

THE END.

## Bonn's Classical Library.

A Series of Literal Prose Translations of the Greek and Latin Classics, with notes and indexes.

- Uniform with the Standard Library, 5s. each (exert Prophly, Frield, First). First, Horace, Cicero's Offices, Demosthenes, Appendix to Managent, Arrivelle's Organis, all of which are 3s. 6d. each volume).
  - 1. HERODOTUS. By the Rev. Henry Cary, M.A. Prouling ince.
  - 2 & 3. THUCYDIDES. By the Rev. II. Dale. In 2 Vols. Co. 64, c. c.? Fr. wing inco.
  - 4. PLATO. Vol. I. By CARY. [The Apology of Socrates, Cate, Paris, Garages, Phadrus, Theoreteus, Euthyphron, Lyand Transference.
  - 5. LIVY'S HISTORY OF ROME, literally translated. Vel. 1, II < 1 to 8.
  - 6. PLATO. Vol. II. By DAVIS. [The Republic, Timeus, and Critical]
  - 7. LIVY'S HISTORY OF ROME. Vol. II., Books 9 to 26.
  - 8. SOPHOCLES. The Oxford Translation, revised.
  - 9. ÆSCHYLUS, literally translated. By an Oxonian. (Price 3s. 61.)
  - 9\* Appendix to, containing the new reading given in Hermanica at the mous edition of Aschylus, translated and edited by G. Bunnia, M.A. wit. Ch.
  - 10. ARISTOTLE'S RHETORIC AND POETIC. With Examination Quarters.
  - 11. LIVY'S HISTORY OF ROME. Vol. 11L, Books 27 to 36.
  - 12 & 14. EURIPIDES, literally translated. From the Text of Dindorf. In 2 Vols.
  - 13. VIRGIL By DAVIDSON. New Edition, Revised. (Price Se. 6 f.) Pronting iece.
  - 15. HORACE, By SMART. New Edition, Revised. (Price 3r. 6.L.) Fronting inc.
  - 16. ARISTOTLE'S ETHICS. By PROP. R. W. BROWNE, of King's College.
  - 17. CICERO'S OFFICES. [Old Age, Friendship, Scipio's Dream, Paradoxes, &c.]
  - PLATO. Vol. III. By G. Bunges, M.A. [Euthydemus, Symposium, Sophistes, Politicus, Laches, Parmenides, Cratylus, and Meno.]
  - 19. LIVY'S HISTORY OF ROME. Vol. IV. (which completes the work).
  - 20. CESAR AND HIRTIUS. With Index.
  - 21. HOMER'S ILIAD, in prose, literally translated. Frontispiece.
  - 22. HOMER'S ODYSSEY, HYMNS, EPIGRAMS, AND BATTLE OF THE PROGRAND MICH.
  - 23. PLATO. Vol. IV. By G. Bungks, M.A. [Philebus, Charmides, Laches, The Two Alcibiades, and Ten other Dialogues.]
  - 24, 25, & 32. OVID. By H. T. RILEY, B.A. Complete in 3 Vols. Frontispieces.
  - 26. LUCRETIUS. By the REV. J. S. WATSON. With the Metrical Version of J. M. GOOD.
  - 27, 30, 31, & 34. CICERO'S ORATIONS. By C. D. Youge. Complete in 4 vols. (Vol. 4 contains also the Rhetorical Pieces.)
  - 28. PINDAR. By DAWSON W. TURNER. With the Metrical Version of Moore. Front.
  - 29. PLATO. Vol. V. By G. Burges, M.A. [The Laws.]
  - 33 & 36. THE COMEDIES OF PLAUTUS, By H. T. RILLY, B.A. In 2 Vols.
  - 35. JUVENAL, PERSIUS, &c. By the REV. L. TVANS, M.A. With the Metrical Version of GIFFORD. Frontispiece.
  - 37. THE GREEK ANTHOLOGY, translated chiefly by G. Burges, A.M., with Metrical Versions by various Authors.
  - 38. DEMOSTHENES. The Olynthiac, Philippic, and other Public Orations, with Notes, Appendices, &c., by C. RANN KENNEDY. (3s. 6d.)